

By the petition of Richard W. M. Pherson and Walter M. J. Watson, ex.  
a transcript from the Records of Charles County Court, it appears that they had re-  
cognized into a recognizance in the sum of \$25, each, with one Henry King, in the  
sum of fifty pounds, for the appearance of the said Henry King before the said  
County Court, at April Term 1829, and for his good behavior; and that the said  
recognizance has been forfeited. The petitioners state that the said King did in  
fact appear in pursuance of the said recognizance, for the purpose of answering  
to, but not being called he left the Court to resume his occupation of a sailor, in  
which he has been ever since engaged, and consequently almost always absent  
from the County. They further state that they have been unremitting in their  
efforts to procure the attendance of the said King, but have failed of success.  
They, therefore, pray to be released from the aforesaid forfeiture. And the said  
Court having recommended a compliance with their prayer, we do hereby  
remit the said forfeiture as prayed for.

Geo Howard  
Wm Potter  
Thomas C. Worthington  
Saml Turner

By the petition of Jonathan M. Wilson of Baltimore County, it  
appears that he has been fined by the County Court of said County, at October  
Term last the sum of \_\_\_\_\_ dollars for selling liquor without License.

The petitioner states that he resides a considerable distance from  
Baltimore, and desired one of his friends, to wit A. R. Blakely to procure him a  
License from the funds which he furnished him with for the purpose; that the  
License was accordingly procured, as the Records of the Court will prove;  
that he supposed the License would authorize him to sell for the whole  
year, when in truth it only extended to the first of May last; that he went  
on under this impression to sell after the said first of May, in consequence  
of which he has been indicted and fined as aforesaid. He further states  
that he did not receive the License from Blakely after it had been  
procured, in consequence of his having lost or mislaid it, so that he could  
not know that it only extended to the first of May. He therefore, as  
what he did was by no means intended as a fraud upon the State, but  
proceeded from ignorance and mistake prays for a remission of the said  
fine. And the statement of the petitioner being verified by his affid-  
avit, and the remission of the said fine being recommended by the said Court,  
we do hereby remit the same, so far as it is payable to the State.

Geo Howard  
Wm Potter  
Thomas C. Worthington  
Saml Turner