

Recognizance, by consequence of which the same was forfeited. That the said Alexander Dixon took with him all his property and promised to return in the course of a short time, but has not done so. That the forfeiture has been placed in the hands of the Sheriff of Charles County. The Board do on the recommendation of the Court of the said County remit the forfeiture.

Philomou Chew

Israel D. Maulsby

Nicholas Brewer

By the Petition of Samuel Tyler and William T. Wootton of Prince Georges County accompanied by a Transcript from the Proceedings of the Court of the said County it appears that in the year eighteen hundred and twenty they became bound in a Recognizance in the sum of twenty five Pounds current Money each for the appearance of a certain Thomas Brogden a free released man, at the next ensuing term of the Court of the said County, to answer a Presentment for an assault committed on the body of Joseph W. Mitchell. The Petitioners state that the said Recognizance was accepted until April term eighteen hundred and twenty one, when said Brogden was brought into Court by them, and no person appearing to renew the same, it was ordered by the Court to be discharged. That early in the said term, and before they had produced said Brogden, the Clerk in going over the Recognizance Docket had as usual entered it forfeited, nisi to be stricken out upon the appearance of the party. The Petitioners state, that after the direction of the Court to discharge their obligation they supposed themselves, and ought in fact to have been exempt from all responsibility, but owing to a mistake of the Clerk, the forfeiture was not erased and the time having long since expired they are now liable to pay the said sum of twenty five Pounds each. The Board do on the recommendation of the Court of the said County release the said Samuel Tyler and William T. Wootton from the aforesaid Recognizance.

Philomou Chew

Israel D. Maulsby

Nicholas Brewer