

varied in some measure. The duties of Currier and from this concludes that he is entitled to additional compensation. The Executive is therefore authorized to decide what there will be an adequate compensation for the increased expense and trouble of the Executive consequence of that resolve.

It of course is not referred to the Executive to say what is a just compensation to the Currier for all of his labors and say him in addition to the salary allowed by law such further sum as the work done by him was worth. The memorial asked passed by the Resolution of eighteen hundred and eleven are to print the laws and Votes and Proceedings in a different form and on a different paper which is stated to have increased the labors and expense and also to note in the margin the day on which any resolve or law is passed. For all of this and more the Executive is allowed to make compensation. With respect to his claim for publishing a second edition of the Votes and Proceedings this claim even if well founded cannot be considered within the terms of the resolution of the late Congress authorizing the Executive to make compensation. What was the operation of the order of seventeen hundred and ninety five is a question of fact and of any operation at all, is a question evidently not referred to the Executive and not referred because the two Branches of the Legislature have the late Congress in law eighteen hundred and twelve decided it themselves.

The Congress of late Congress eighteen hundred and twelve (p. 12) imposed no new duty but a resolution by each Branch of the Legislature of having already required to be performed by the Currier and imposed by the order of the Congress in the Currier Memorial. It is not necessary that the Legislature has an exclusive right to decide upon the validity and operation of that order. But it appears that they acted upon the subject at the request of the Currier. As this was a contract between the Currier and the State if he has salary paid by law he has not engaged to furnish the Congress with copies of the laws and Proceedings he might well to have refused to submit to the opinion of the Legislature and to have demanded his salary for the work he was to do.