

of a Delegate and duly elected - was not entitled to a seat because his Head was grey - how could the opinion be corrected or controuled? Suppose we should make silly Determinations in matters exclusively within our Jurisdiction - who could set them right? - In short - suppose that this imaginary appellate Authority was really in this Board as has been contended - is it clear that we should not blunder as well as the Justices? and, if we should, how would the blunder be rectified unless you constitute a still superior Tribunal of Revision?

An Example of possible absurdity in the Decision of the Justices therefore proves nothing in favour of our power to controul it - for similar hypothetical Examples might be used to prove that no Determination of any of our Courts of Justice can be final, and that, if we have the appellate power insisted on, we are not the dernier Resort or rather that there can be no dernier Resort in any Instance.

Every judicary Authority is precisely such as the municipal Regulations of the Government in which it exists have made it. There is no Solecism in a judicary power which is both original and final - It is always final unless an Appeal or Revision is provided for by Law. - Every proceeding according to the Course of the Common Law is liable to Revision by the express appointment of the Common Law altho no particular legislative Regulation may authorise it - but a proceeding which is not according to the Course of the Common Law - which is committed to particular designated Judges out of the ordinary Establishment - over whose opinion no controuling authority is erected - is not liable to such a Revision.

Whether it is wise and politic to make the Judgment of any one Tribunal conclusive may perhaps be doubtful - but, if the Law has made it so, the Judgments of that Tribunal are not to be subjected to the Interposition of any other Tribunal merely because they may be thought to be erroneous.