

appointed Overseer of the roads. That a subsequent adjourned Court he carried in his warrant and prayed the Court to release him from the Duties of the Office, and make another appointment being obliged to change his place of residence, at which time he was directed by the Court to continue in his place under the said warrant until the next March Court, and if he would then apply they would take in his warrant and discharge him, and that the said Petitioner did at March Court apply to be discharged, that his warrant was taken in by the Court, and that he was discharged - that the grand Jury at their next sitting found a presentment against him for not repairing the roads, and the entry of his discharge not appearing which had been omitted by the neglect of the Clerk, whereupon he was fined as the Law directs. And the above facts being verified by one of the Justices of the Peace of the said County who was one of the Court who discharged the petitioner from his appointment - and it appearing that a sufficient number of Justices have signed his recommendation for relief - The Board do therefore order and direct that the said fine be remitted upon the payment of Costs.

James Bruce
 H. Ridgely
 John Davidson