

"to our Hands are paid, except two, remitted to us from M<sup>r</sup>. Richard Lee, one for 22<sup>l</sup>. 1<sup>s</sup>. 0<sup>d</sup>.  
 "the other 150. dated the 22<sup>d</sup>. of September 1759. by Daniel Campbell payable at 90.  
 "Days Sight to the Order of Daniel of S<sup>t</sup>. Thomas Tenifer; Value received of him in  
 "Tobacco on John Stuart and Company; which two Bills do not become payable until  
 "the sixteenth of next Month, and are indorsed by the said Lee to William Hunt or  
 "Order for the use of the Province of Maryland. We think it our Obligation to give you  
 "this Information that you may judge whether or no it is consistent with your Law  
 "made for issuing and paying your Paper Currency, if the Collectors send us such Bills.  
 "for that Account, we know not how we can avoid them when they come into our Hands.  
 "and if it is to be remedied, it must be done by your Government only."

Your Committee also further find the following Bills, appearing by the Naval  
 Officers Accounts to have been remitted by them to the Trustees in London for which  
 the said Trustees have given no Credit: viz<sup>t</sup>

By Philip Lee then	1739.	John Boyds Exchange indorsed by J <sup>n</sup> . Brown	13. 17. 1.
Naval Officer of North	}	John Tomats ditto indorsed by Robert Yeates	53. 11. 8
Potomack District		James Montier on Robert Brown	25. 16. 0
	1740.	Randolph Johnson on John Buchanan	4. 0. 6
	1741.	Thomas Hungerford on John Buchanan	7. 0. 0
And by Thomas Lee	}	And by said Executors Account then dated a <sup>d</sup>	} 0. 10. 1/2
his Executor in		Ballance due to the Province of	
By the Deputy Naval	}	Barker on Ashburner	10. 0. 0
Officer of Norfolk		Foster on ditto	53. 11. 7
		Ackenleak on Patonack	37. 12. 1/2
		And in 1745: he charged a Bill	} Difference to the Preju- dice of the Province - } 1. 0. 0
		William Pemberton on Richard	
		Gildart for . . . . . 79. 19. 8.	
		And the Trustees } give Credit for said } 70. 19. 8. Bill only . . . . . }	

But your Committee find that the Trustees have given Credit to the Province in } 140. 6.  
 1747 for Samuel Chamberlains Bill on William Anderson for . . . . .  
 Which said Bill we dont find charged in any of the Naval Officers Accounts,  
 and therefore think it probable the above Bills were protested, and the same Sum  
 has been by him since remitted for Reimbursement of the said Bills.