

The Appellants Factors had shipped a back Lading on board Paulson &
 directed him to sail for London & protested against him for not doing so,
 until this the Appellants Factors there had paid the said
 Paulson a Considerable Sum of Money yet the said
 Paulson brought an action in the Provincial Court there
 against the Appellant for 370[£] 7[⁄] 6 pretended to be due
 to him for the said Ships Freight and for non Payment
 whereof he laid his Damages at 750 whereupon the
 20th of September 1720 the Court declared the said Paul-
 son ought to Recover against the Petitioner the Damages
 he had Sustained in the Premises and to Ascertain which
 a writ of Inquiry issued which was executed and re-
 turned the same day whereby the said Paulsons dama-
 ges besides his Costs were ascertained at 600[£] Sterling and
 6451 Pounds of Tobacco and upon which Judgment
 was the same day Given that Paulson should recover
 against the Appellant as well the said 600[£] Sterling and
 6451 Pounds of Tobacco for his said Damages as also
 1273 Pounds of Tobacco for Costs that Paulson took out
 a Writ of Attachment on the said Judgment which was
 Actually executed several times over on the Appellants E-
 ffects in the hands of his Factors there and the said Paul-
 son also took out a Fieri Facias against the Appellant
 Whereupon the Petitioner's Attorney brought a writ of
 Error Assigning several Errors in the said Judgment
 Proceedings and Execution notwithstanding which
 Paulson moved the Court for Condemnation of the
 Petitioner's Effects in the hands of Thomas Cocky one
 of his Factors so attach'd as aforesaid to satisfy Paulson
 the said Judgment as also the Costs of the said Execution
 which the Appellants Attorney opposed by reason of his