

Provincy good and sufficient Security Ought to have been given and as the usual course of the Court is and what in this Case was the more necessary Poulson having himself acknowledged in his Libell that he had neither Money nor Credit so that they could not but know he was unable to make your petitioner any Restitution

That the Great number of Attachments and Executions that issued came to a very Considerable Expence and which was in the first place paid for out of your Petitioners Effects

That notwithstanding your Petitioner's Agents there protested against the said Libell and all the proceedings thereon and the your Petitioner's Agents also preferred a bill in Equity to the then Governor as Chancellor of the said Province setting forth your Petitioner's Case and Complaininy of the said Proceedings and praying a stay thereof and that a *Writ of Habeas Corpus* might be awarded against the said Poulson yet could your Petitioner obtain no relief therein all his endeavours for which by his Agents there provid ineffectual and it being plain the Admiralty Courts had no Jurisdiction in this Affair Your Petitioner in July 1720 by his humble petition laid this his Case before their Excellencies the then Lords Justices in Council praying Relief against the said Unjust Proceedings and which petition being referred to the Right Honble the Lords of the Committee for Particular Affairs on their Lordships Report thereon the Lords Justices by their Order in Council dated the 11th of August 1720 Ordered