

That however his the said Excellency thought fit to Receive  
 his said petition and referred it to the then Attorney General  
 for his opinion how far it was in his Excellency's Power  
 to Relieve the Petitioner by virtue of his *Commissus Vice Admirali*  
 of the Province who the very same day reported as his  
 opinion that the Charter Party and other Contracts made  
 between your petitioner and Mr. Boulson were not to be  
 performed within the Body of any County within Great  
 Britain but that there having been a Transportation thro'  
 the High Seas and the quarrel between the Merchant and  
 the Proprietor of the Ship . . . . . being properly beyond  
 Sea therefore he advised his Excellency to refer Boulson to the  
 Court of Vice Admiralty within that Province and accor-  
 dingly the 9<sup>th</sup> of July 1718 Boulson libelled against your  
 Petitioner for the said vicualling freight in the Court of  
 Admiralty of the said Province setting forth the Substance  
 of the Charter Party the Originals of which he Alledged he  
 had left in London and thereon all your Petitioner's Factors  
 were summoned to Court and examined upon oath to  
 discover your Petitioner's Effects and he obtained Sentence  
 against your petitioner and Attachments and Condem-  
 nations not only against his Goods and Effects <sup>that were</sup> there  
 Subject to those Orders of Condemnation and when  
 ever any Effects of your petitioner were heard of new  
 Attachments issued against the same and by Executions  
 of that Court the same were seized to the Value of near  
 2000 and delivered over to Boulson without giving any  
 Security save only his own Bond to return the same when  
 Adjudged the same thro' by an Act of Assembly of that