

~~... made in January in Henry the 8th~~
 and Queen Elizabeth. Inter. Fyrdle p. 29. ad p.
 294. And Mappard's pit. appears that is the
 proper place for a woman being turned of
 her husband to sue for Alimony, that it can
 be done no where else. It may be objected
 that in this case the wife was not turned of
 her husband who deny not her return
 yet by his cruelty he had driven her from
 him. I know not how driving can amount
 to loss then turning her out of his par-
 sonage it is rather more.

And his observe that appeals in spirit
 are made from the archbishops the
 in Suprema. And Ancollar. I think they
 are sufficient inducements for his
 sentence against Thomas Macnamara.

And about a single Justice even of the
 Degree of the ~~...~~ takes up all kinds
 of Judges of the jurisdiction and Decrees of
 Court of Chancery who would ever think it
 worth their while to apply to that Court to
 remit the rigour of the Canon Law or an
 otherwise relieve them to no purpose. As to
 Recob's Rep. cited by Mr. Smithson, I have
 the book, neither do I know the Case but have
 good reason to think that his Quotation of
 Stat. 16. Ric. 2. is a presumption very
 grounded and upon a very crooked opinion
 which must needs spend itself before the
 be attained, for that nothing in this case can
 pretend without that Statute.

The want of courage as well as Integrity
 certainly the Decretal blunders and
 Defects in a Chancellor on the other hand
 and Ignorance, weigh heavy.