

Whitehall

At a Council held Sep<sup>r</sup>: 22<sup>th</sup> 1694

Present

His Exce<sup>ny</sup> Fran<sup>co</sup>: Nicholson Esq and rest of their Maj<sup>ty</sup>: Hono<sup>ble</sup>: Council  
aforesaided w<sup>th</sup> the Addition of the Hono<sup>ble</sup>: Tho<sup>s</sup>: Finch Esq and  
John Courts.

Attor: Order to be  
consulted about Appeals  
and Writts of Error

It being debated at the Board whether the Gover: and Council could properly  
take upon them the hearing of Appeals and Writts of Error brought before them  
in a Judiciall way, as also the regulating the Court of Chancery taken into con-  
sideration. Resolved that the Kings Attorney Gen<sup>l</sup>: w<sup>th</sup> the rest of the Attorneys  
in Towne be sent for immediatly to give their Advice & Opinion, about the same  
and accordingly appeared M<sup>r</sup>: Job: Smith Speaker of the House of Burgeses  
Attor<sup>ny</sup>: Gen<sup>l</sup>: M<sup>r</sup>: Henelom Cheseloyne M<sup>r</sup>: W<sup>m</sup>: Dent & M<sup>r</sup>: Charles Carroll  
who upon stating the Case and debating the same w<sup>th</sup> con<sup>cl</sup>: did humbly  
crave time to advise & further consult about it w<sup>ch</sup>: was granted and for their  
better direction was delivered to them Copy of an Instruction and Clause out  
of his Sacred Maj<sup>ty</sup>: Comission to his Exce<sup>ny</sup>: Francis Nicholson Esq relating  
thereto whereof they are to make report w<sup>th</sup> all convenient Speed.

Whitehall

Sep<sup>r</sup>: the 27<sup>th</sup> 1694

Produced the Answer & Opinion of the Attorneys about the same w<sup>ch</sup>:  
being read was referred to be reheard again to morrow Morning

Sep<sup>r</sup>: the 28<sup>th</sup> 1694

The Attorneys Answer last night Referred taken again into consideration Resolved  
ordered that the same be sent down to the House of Burgeses for their perusal  
The sd answer runs in these following words; Viz:

Whitehall

Maryland p:

To his Exce<sup>ny</sup>: the Gover:

Attor: opinion about  
Appeals & Writts of  
Error

We have in obedience to yo<sup>r</sup>: Commands consider'd and debated the sd  
Matters Given us in Charge w<sup>ch</sup>: We conceive to consist of these two following  
propositions (to wit)  
1: Whether by Virtue of their Maj<sup>ty</sup>: Comission the Gover: and Council are not actually  
qualified & Enabled as a Court of Justice to hear and determine all Matters in Law  
that shall be brought before them by Error or Appeals or that there be a Necessity  
for any further Authority or Comission to them for the same To w<sup>ch</sup>: We humbly  
answer, We are of opinion that you and yo<sup>r</sup>: Council are sufficiently Authorized and  
Empowered by yo<sup>r</sup>: Comission from their Maj<sup>ty</sup>: to hear and determine all Matters in  
Law coming before you either by Appeals or Writts of Error from the prov<sup>l</sup>: Court  
w<sup>th</sup> out any further or other Comission or Authority for the same but We conceive  
it Necessary for information of the people that you signify by proclamation  
how often in the year you will hear & determine such Matters.  
2: What will be the best Methode or Manner for constituting a Court of Chancery or  
Equity in this province according to the constitutions of the same.  
We say that because you have power & Authority to constitute Erect and establish  
such & so many Courts of Judicature & publick Justice as to you shall seem con-  
venient & you may constitute a particular Court of Equity in this province  
But then for the Hon<sup>ble</sup>: of the Gover: & Council it would be Necessary to declare  
by a Law that any person expecting to be relieved by Equity shall hold of the same  
before the sd Gover: & Council and not after or that you may procure a Law to be  
made declaring the Gover: & Council to be Judges in Equity w<sup>th</sup> in this province.

Whitehall