

When James likewise deposed, of his acknowledgment of the bond of the plaintiff. The plaintiff being sick: & of deponent calling of the plaintiff out to work. The plaintiff replied to him, that he was not able to work, & deposed of the Court which was drawn between them might be true & it was thereupon borne, but by whom he knoweth not.
And of Jury returned their Verdict. Were found for the defendant. & of Court gave judgment accordingly.

Upon the complaint of Barnaby Jackson plaintiff versus John Hallows defendant, for transporting out of the province John Weston who owed the plaintiff 300^l. Tob. & cash. George Manners of the defendants Attorney deposed not of debt: but deposed of charge of the Attachment for the debt was need demanded of the defendant. & of deponents Attorney promised payment of the debt, for so much as he heard it was in his hands. & of Jury going upon the Allegation touching the charge, returned, & found for the plaintiff. & of Court gave judgment accordingly.

The: Speaker Seat of the Attorney. Tho: Packer made his appearance at this Court, & deposed to have the cause heard & determined which is commenced against by Mr. Tho: Copley the 1st of the month of Copley not being in the province: & no Attorney for him put in Court to make demand, or prove. The cause is referred to the next Court with the consent of the above Mr. Speaks Attorney.

At a Court held at
St. Johns 10. Feb.
Per Governor.

John Newell at the request of Francis Van Liden says upon his oath, that before Christmas last at Tho: Peters his house Mr. John Hallows bargained with Francis Van Liden & covenanted by the said Francis should make out at his right in his Leys this year unto the said Hallows, in consideration of a debt, which the said Hallows laymed of him. Whereupon the said Hallows engaged himself, that after he should receive of the said Leys, to trust of the said Francis with 1000^l. Tob. in goods, of the said Francis giving Bills for the payment thereof againe the next year & further he saith not.
John + Newell
Jurats. in curia.

Upon the demand above of Tho: Holden plaintiff versus Tho: Jackson defendant, the defendant being called, & not appearing by himselfe or Attorney (this being the last day of the Court) the plaintiff produced a Bill of the said Tho: Jackson in Court for 350^l. Tob. & cash. & declared upon oath that he never received any satisfaction therefor. Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that the plaintiff should receive according to his demand.

Upon the demand of Nicolas Gougher plaintiff versus Tho: Jackson defendant. The defendant not appearing as above. The plaintiff was admitted to prove his demand. & of demand appearing upon Record, the demand was according to a judgment of Court 6. December. at Supra. It is ordered that the plaintiff shall receive 205^l. Tob. & cash, which is found due in Mrs. Merycatt Breants hands, upon the oath of his selfe.

Upon the complaint of Mrs. Merycatt Brent plaintiff versus Edwards Commings defendant. The defendant of the Attorney. George Manners deposed that he never saw the Court, for that he had not his evidence ready in Court, whereby to prove, that the defendant had shipped Capt. Gales Brent for what could be alleged concerning the damages pretended. & further that Capt. Brent appeared of the defendant, that he should not be impleaded, or come to any damages, touching any matter that should be objected against him, for any such damages which was generated.