

December 17

attornt in forma confecta returned 17 February next

Ed. Briefe upon petition of magister de Souza against the goods of 500<sup>l</sup> value  
with writ of habeas corpus given by indent of Court and answer full duty  
with certificate without delay above for the purpose  
of the writ of 5<sup>th</sup> January

Ed. Case William Gouther & Denick vs Demand of Jo. Gollis of 11<sup>th</sup> & 90<sup>l</sup> value  
and the Court found for the plaintiff

Ed. Nicolas Gouther Demand of Henry Bigger 150<sup>l</sup> value due by Bill  
attornt in forma confecta returned 17 February next

Ed. want of writ of Habeas Corpus to warrant for Gouther 100<sup>l</sup> at Court on Monday next  
with certificate in the cause between James Carter & Edward Gouther, upon writ of 5<sup>th</sup> Jan

Ed. Thomas Gerard vs Demand of Ellis Adams 300<sup>l</sup> value & right, for the price of  
the contract  
attornt upon the goods of the defendant to answer, returned 17 February next

Ed. George Asing Demand of Richard Copp 350<sup>l</sup> value, due for the price of  
Sedentibus in causa { Leonard Calverley  
John Luggor Secretary  
Capt William Blount  
John Langford Esq.

The writ returned of the writ to try the cause between the Lord Attorney and the  
26<sup>th</sup> Court; viz, Calverley, Thomas Gerard, James Neale, George Asing,  
Nicolas Gouther, for the price, James Gouther, David Widdell, George Price, Thomas Gouther,  
Walter Adams, John Marshall; who named for foreman James Neale  
who was sworn in the Court, you shall be sworn your name & your fellows  
in the Court as shall be called by the Court and you shall be sworn  
& you shall truly try & true verdict give in the cause now depending between  
Lord Attorney in behalf of the colony & the said defendant to the best of your  
conscience according to your evidence. So please you God be.  
Upon answer 50<sup>l</sup> value for the appearance of the defendant  
a writ of Habeas Corpus, in the Court expressed against the writ, as being a violation of  
the plaintiff, being expressed of inclination in the Court; but the Court admitted  
the expression.

The Court shall give information to the jury, the jury desired it might  
upon oath, all the Court could not take notice of it. whereupon the Court  
sworn in Court, & examined upon the said interrogatories as the jury desired to be  
admitted to give

you was the issue deliberated in writing to the jury, and you returned  
found for the defendant. 5<sup>th</sup> Decemr. 1642. viz the Lord Attorney said that

Ed. not to you to indent upon the said verdict the issue being found in a writ  
of the plaintiff & defendant by the defendant & the plaintiff  
the allegation of the defendant concerning the price of Magister de Souza  
of the price of Gollis was found for the defendant, & admitted the Court  
of the said Magister for the difference of the price to the  
was valid, the great expectation was 100<sup>l</sup> value  
Gollis in the said verdict  
in the cause between the  
plaintiff 50<sup>l</sup> value  
was

Ed. in the Court the Court  
the Court  
the Court