

As to the two modest Bills set forward as you recite, the
one to raise a Supply for an Agent under the sole Direction
of a Lord Lo: Ho: The other an absolute Independency
of the Lo: Ho: or a Gov^r. — & upper Ho: for Payment of
 their wages, seems a negative upon Gov^r and therefore
 not to be doubted as the upper Ho: did to bring an absolute
 negative on both. The ground work you mention
 to Embarras the Government some time or other, by a
 Concurrence of the Lo: Ho: with the Report you inclosed.
 As easily cleared by the Magistrates proving them selves
 blameless, the others complain'd of are already resign'd to the
 Law by Parliamentary Statutes & acts of Assembly Enacted
 within the Province, which being put into Execution surely
 wants no other Remedy.

The 30th of July mentions your Receipt of mine dated
 the 15th of May last, you therein desire to know, whether
Mr. Paris's sense of an amicable Agreement was confined to
an Execution of the Decree without the Necessity of attempt-
ing a Bill of Reviver. 'Tis my Opinion he meant so, at my
 Lord's coming of Age to End amicably with his Father's Artic-
 les of Agreement with the Messieurs Penns, a Circumstance
 my Lord can by no means comply with the previous Question
 is, whether the Messieurs Penns give up the said Articles
 of Agreement or not? If not, the Decision binding or not,
 must by Toyal be had from Chancery; 'Tis thought they
 will not insist being evinced my Lord is not bound by the said
 articles, as of right from his Father's marriage settlement,
 but of this I write not certain; You will by the inclosed
 Copy of the Report from the Lords of Trade to the Lords
 in Council observe, that the Proprietors mett amicably, they
 each profess'd much Friendship to one another and the
 Desire of good Neighbourhood, by an amicable Rectitude.
 I cannot