

or not, to the Attorney General, and what notice was taken thereof in case he did; would have cleared up this point.

3^d As to the Receivers of Quit Rents mentioned in the Memorial and Letter, I suppose it means the Farmers of Quit Rents, who are properly no other than Private Tenants to the Lord Proprietary. As to the Offices of the Rent Roll Keepers, I suppose them to be legally qualified; as also if any Receivers of Quit Rents hold the same by Office. The Testimonys of such Qualifications would Evidence the same here.

4th Relating to the Attorney General mention'd in the Letter. As to his having taken the oaths requisite, and his Attendance at the Protestant Church since his acceptance of the office; The one might have been certified from the Record, and the other from the Minister of his Parish.

5th As to the Complaint against Mr Attorney & his Brother the Judge by the Memorialist in regard to Philip Lee Esq^r who was one of his Lordship's Council of State. The Inconsistency, of it might have been properly made appear by what you say; as the Attorney was not appointed to his Office until after the Death of Mr Philip Lee, and in respect to the Judges Removal from his Judicial Station. Whether it was not occasion'd by the Dissent or Expiration of the Oath Law. and if he was afterwards appointed to any office, it is not to be imagin'd but he must have qualified him self for the same, and the Record thereof would be Evidence here against this Charge.