

72

more explicit to obviate every doubt and the inconveniences which  
may result from such doubt

As to the Third. It certainly invades the private property  
of the Lord Proprietary, there being no saving clause for preserving  
his Quittents, and it determines upon a Doubt of Escheat  
without apprising his Lordship of his Title even by extinguishing  
the very Right of Escheat. Therefore I think it reasonable,  
his Lordship should reject it for the sake of its Precedent.

I thought proper to give you these Hints of the said  
acts, being observations I have made, after hearing the several  
Bro and Con Arguments at a conference held at the Attorney  
Generals house in Chancery Lane, on the subject of the Laws  
passed at the said Assembly.

My observations on these Laws, I have in my Letter in -  
serted to Mr Tasker, which vary in some parts as to par -  
ticulars from what I here infest to you; vizt as to the Con -  
vict act, as it will take up His Lordships most Deliberate Con -  
sideration; as to the two other acts, I have hinted to him,  
which I do the same to you. That in case another Sessions of  
Assembly should happen after the Receipt of his Letter, the said  
Laws might have supplementary and explanatory acts to preserve  
them, and remove every objection to them here when his Lordship  
comes of age to take them into consideration; such explanatory  
Laws being obtained, will be very agreeable to His Lordship; as  
at taking upon him the Exercise of his Government, he would  
be very desirous of avoiding all occasion of Diffent to any of the  
Laws that shall be then laid before his Lordship, which have been  
passed in Maryland during in his Minority. Therefore I hope You will  
Exert your self as to this Service and completion hereof for His  
Lordships Ease and satisfaction.

Thus concludes my Sentiments on the said several acts which are  
to undergo