often consumed in costs more than the amount-involved. We have then a judge in eachalcounty-that his an chancellor-and hears the appeals from magistrates where the parties desire to appeal to the circuit court

rawn

ahout

I do

dges,

offer

re're-

ried a

more

ess of

ion! I

tako

view

naing

a the

ourts

cómó

A OTA

cases,

your

n or

ip all

n this

11.201

rtem.

of the

this.

Sinte

rised/

878-

peo-

But

iscusi-

lment

reach

d by

from

rawn

opose

- "g" ++ f

each

called

they

ounty

t, and

ty for

lusivo

court

Il the

ircuit

everal

pre-

con-

o the

hero-

ge: In

with

.busi-

: from

ithey

IT! OF

ng.of

small

of the county of circuit, and let the three judges constitute the circuit court, with full common law powers and criminal jurisdiction; and thus you bring home to the people a more speedy and rapid settlement of the business, not only of the equity court, but in hearing appeals from magistrates, and all questions of common law or of criminal jurisdiction. Yet it does not increase the number of judges.

Mr. Jones, of Somerset ... I merely wish to add to what I have said that it is a maxim of law that it is for the interest of the republic that there should be an end to litigation. I think that the experience of the one-judge system in this State has shown that it tends to multiplicity of appeals, and especially in those districts where the judge is overworked and has to decide without due reflection. aIn our district the judge has not been overworked. He is an excellent judge, learned in the law and the decisions, remarkably accurate, and in the few appeals which have been taken he has generally been sustained. But in other districts, where the indges have been overworked, where they have done more than could be done accurately, exceptions have been taken and often sustained. The bar would not have that confidence in the decision of one manithat they would in the decision of three lawyers of good standing, agreeing upon a point of law; and in the latter case there would be fewer appeals: (I think this is worthy of consideration. These appeals cost money to the litigant, and it is for the public interest that they should be as few as possible. I think that! consideration is worthy to be taken into view in deciding whether to adopt the three-judge system or the one-judge systemio dan biga dan dibaka da dinan

Mr. HEBB. The reason why I did not put in the section that they should be elected by the people, was that the general provisions pass upon the mode of election, and all the clauses there are applicable to all the judges. "Mr. Milled! J. This is one of the gravest ministration of justice in the countles of the State especially is a matter of deep interest to the people of those counties. The system that is proposed by the gentleman from Allegany may work very well for the counties in the western portion of the State. He has there by his system all the benefit of the one judge for the single countys. He makes Frederick, Washington and Allegany counties;

cases. These small cases whave heretofore) judge is there to administer the law. He is easy of access to every part of the county and can be approached on business matters readily.

But in the other portions of the State, as I caught from the reading of the amendment, a large number of the counties would still be left without a judge—that is, the judge would have to go from one county to another.

"I hope that the amendment of the gentleman from Prince George's (Mr. Olarke,) or the report of the committee will be adhered to. The gentleman from Baltimore city, the chairman of the committee (Mr. Stockbridge,) has very ably set forth in his remarks to-night the advantages of the system which he has proposed. So far as our own judicial circuit is concerned we have had a very able and excellent judge upon the bench. But it is true that business has accumulated on his hands, and there are instances of delay, and complaints made by the judge himself, he is overtasked and overworked: If upon a proper consideration of the expense to the Sinte we should adopt a system by which one judge should be appointed in each county, having equity jurisdiction, and presiding over the orphins' court, we should bring bome the administration of justice to the doors of the people of the State generally:

We have declared in our bill of rights that it is a fundamental principle of all government that every man for every injury done to his person or his property ought to have justice; speedily without delay. The complaint that has been universally heard in the State under the present system is the delay-in the hearing cases which are brought before the case It has been in many in-stances stances antolerable: It is conceded on all hands that there must be some modification of the present system to meet the wants of the people. I can conceive of no better plan than to have the judges appointed in each county, and then to throw three adjoining counties into a circuit for the purpose of having civil and criminal cases tried before three judges.

Now, although we have had no complaint of that kind in our judicial circuit, yet I have heard lawyers from other parts of the State complain; and the people generally complain of this one-man business, this oneman power, in judging and determining every subjects we have had under consideration case that comes before them. The concurring since we have met in convention. The ad-Fopinion of three eminent and distinguished men apon the bench would carry with it a weight which no one man could possibly

"Under the old system appeals were less numerous to the court of appeals." Now, in almost every case where one man decides it, under the present system, an appealis taken: Litigants would oftener be satisfied with the decis on of three men upon the bench in imeach to compose a judicial circuit, where one portant cases, than with that of one, and