many inconveniences public and private, in- | Morgan, Peter, Smith, of Dorchester, Todd, dependent of the loss of labor or property at

this particular time.

I therefore call upon this convention simply to supply a defect which will otherwise exist in our law by virtue of the abolition of alayery. Simply to provide by a law of the legislature a mode of preserving proof and evidence of title in this property, for whatever purposes and reasons that may be demanded hereafter. I ask it as an act of justice to us; as the only mode in which our people may be delivered, I may say, from innumerable difficulties, legal and otherwise. I ask the convention to act upon this proposition independent of all consideration of the rebellion, or from whence the compensation is to be derived, or how it is to be apportioned hereafter. I ask them simply to address their minds to the justice of pro-viding legal safeguards for the rights of the people.

Mr. Symm called for the previous ques-

Mr. Jones, of Somerset, moved that the convention adjourn, and called for the year and nays upon that question, which were or-

dered.

8

y t

Ė

٠

•

,

Ţ

n

is

7

.

ý

O

ıt

16

Ţ n.

10

ež

rø ď

18

d

JÒ.

ш

CO

18 ht

he OF

ìB

Δı

**y** 8,

-9

en

ht

0

ıt-

eľ

bα

he

of.

er

ir-

is

id

of

ug

ÖΩ

or

67

be !

Yeas-Messra. Abbott, Berry, of Prince George's, Blackiston, Bond, Briscoe, Brown, Carter, Chambers, Clarke, Dail, Davis, of Charles, Duvall, Edelen, Harwood, Hollyday, Horsey, Jones, of Somerset, King, Lansdale, Lee, Marbury, Mitchell, Miller, Morgan, Paran Batas Smith ran, Peter, Smith, of Dorchester, Wilmer-

Nays-Messrs. Goldsborough, President; Annan, Audoun, Barron, Cunningham, Cushing, Dellinger, Earle, Ecker, Farrow, Galloway, Hatch, Hebb, Hopkins, Hopper, Jones, of Cecil, Kennard, Larsh, Markey, Mc-Comas, Mullikin, Murray, Negley, Nyman, Parker, Pugh, Purnell, Ridgely, Russell, Sneary, Stirling, Swope, Sykes, Thomas, Todd, Valliant, Wooden—87.

The motion to adjourn was accordingly

rejected.

The question recurred upon the call for the previous question by Mr. STEES. The call was seconded, and the main ques-

tion was ordered. Production

The first question was upon the following smendment to the proposed section, submitted by Mr. Topp.

Amend by adding a second per

"Provided, that the expense of such census and registration in each case be met by the 

Upon this question Mr. Mansuay called the Jeas and nays, and they were ordered.

The question was then taken, by yeas and nays, and resulted—year 17, mays 46--- as follows:

Yeas-Mersrs. Blackiston, Clarke, Dail, Davall, Harwood, Horsey, Jones, of SomerWilmer-17.

Nays—Messrs. Goldsborough, President;
Abbott, Annan, Audoun, Barron, Beit,
Brown, Carter, Cunningham, Cushing, Davis,
of Charles, Dellinger, Earle, Ecker, Edelen,
Farrow, Galloway, Hatch, Hebb, Hollyday,
Hopkins, Hopper, Jones, of Cecil, Kennard,
King Lamb Markey, McComas, Mullikin. King, Larsh, Markey, McComas, Mullikin, Murray, Negley, Nyman, Parker, Pugh, Purnell, Ridgely, Russell, Sands, Smith, of Carroll, Sneary, Stirling, Swope, Sykes, Thomas, Valliant, Wooden—46.

The amendment was accordingly rejected. Pending the call of the year and nays, the following explanations were made by mem-

bers as their names were called:

Mr. CLARKS. I do not think the phraseology of this amendment is exactly what it should be. But I have no doubt the legislature would construe it to mean that whatever might be the mode of proof for perpetuating this title, it should be done at the expense of the owners. Believing that it would be so construed, and that the owners ought to pay

this expense, I shall vote " aye."

Mr. Jones, of Somerset. I think it is a rather small business for the convention to occupy its time with; the expense cannot be a very great deal. Still I think it a very important matter that the evidence should be perpetuated. And if that expense is the only obstacle, I do not think that any person who has lost a slave would object. If he does, then he can forego the privilege of having the testimony. I am willing to take it even upon these terms, and therefore I vote

Mr. NEGLEY. Under the operation of this section and this amendment the people in our section of the State who have sustained losses are effectually cut out; I therefore vote

Mr. Baur. I would like to say, in explanation of my vote, that my natural impulse is to vote in the affirmative, for the reason stated by my friend from Somerset (Mr. Jones, ) and because the general impulse and the general practice of my people is not to stand upon any mere small question of ex-pense of this sort. If the slaveholders of Maryland were agitating this question, and advocated this change; if they were those who wanted to exchange their negroes for money, or wanted slavery abolished for some purpose or other, I should feel myself compelled upon every principle, to vote that they should bear the expense of any incidental procedure like this. But since it is not they, but others who are forcing this thing upon them against their will, and against their interest, I think this incidental expense should be borne by the State. I shall therefore vote no."

Mr. Jones, of Somerset, moved to adjourn.

Mr. Coming. The house is engaged in a

set, Lansdale, Lee, Marbury, Mitchell, Miller, vote under a call of the previous question: