ould have been inal upon the 1,11

ecided that by avery did not

ged that quesd that in the overrule that. lecision of the eptions taken nsideration of ert below, the

n independent litical stipulare, and bound of this State, s at liberty to esibly prevail

ne court below these:

in this cause woman called itioner, was a owner, claim-and of Barbals brought into e, claiming her 678 and 1681, vas held, úsed her issue have that then they ndant."

One: word for nothing to do se days. The shall now be a

bas been dehe court beucted the jury the petitioner the Court of rt of Appeals

efore the court re of opinion he law of this wever the laws nces operating ht interpose so ertain acts by in the case of ing Ann Joice rince of Maryind slave coned by the laws the judgment eversed."

atleman allow of Appeals in y exclude the ase according 52:

Mr. Miller. They decided it upon our is Mr. Barnon renewed the call for the pre-State law; and considered also the question whether sluvery did or did not exist in England, under the common law, which they did not decide, as the gentleman from Baltimore city (Mr. Thomas) yesterday would lead the convention to infer.

Mr. Thomas. The general court decided it; but the Court of Appeals would not de-

cide it. They dodged it.

Mr. Miller. Judge Chase decided it below, and the Court of Appeals reviewed his decision, and reversed it; and decided simply upon the State law. If I have succeeded in placing myself right upon the record upon those two propositions, I have nothing more to say upon the question.

Mr. Stinling. I now offer the amendment which I proposed during the gentleman's re-

Mr. Jones, of Somerset. I gave notice that I was writing an amendment before the gentleman from Anne Arundel (Mr. Miller) took the floor.

Mr. Stinuing's amendment was read by the clerk.

The CHAIRMAN (Mr. Hollyday.) No further amendments are now in order.

Mr. Barron called the previous question.
Mr. Jones, of Somerset. I insist that I was entitled to the floor, before the gentleman from Anne Arundel (Mr. Miller.)

The PRESIDENT resumed the chair]

Mr. Jones continued: The gentleman from Montgomery (Mr. Duvall) offered an additional section. I arose and was recognized, and stated that I had an amendment to offer to that section. I was engaged in writing it when the gentleman from Anne Arundel began to speak. I I submit that my amendment is first in order.

The President. When the gentleman from Somerset, addressed the chair and informed the convention that he proposed an amendment, amendments were not then exhausted, there being only an amendment pending in the first degree. The gentleman from Somerset can offer his amendment now. The motion of the gentleman from Baltimore city (Mr. Stirling) was to strike out and insert and that leaves the section proposed to be

stricken out, open to amendment.

Mr. Jones, of Somerset, submitted the fol-

lowing amendment to the section:
Add the following: "Or taken away from their owners under authority of the President of the United States, whereby their services have been lost to their said/owners."

Mr. Barnon called the previous question. Mr. Davis, of Charles, ils that in order before the section has been sent to the chair to

The PRESIDENT. It is not; The amendment is not in possession of the house until it is read by the clerk. it is read by the clerk:

The CLERK read the amendment.

vious question.

Mr. DENT. I rise to a question of order, whether it is in order for a gentleman to rise and keep the floor while an amendment is read by the clerk, so as to be in a position above all others to catch the eye of the President and call the previous question.

The Parsident overruled the point of or-

Mr. Miller demanded the year and nays upon sustaining the call for the previous question, and they were ordered.

The question being taken, the result was-

The question being taken, the result wasyeas 48, nays 23—as follows:

Yeas—Messrs. Goldsborough, President;
Abbott, Annan, Audoun, Barron, Carter,
Cunningham, Cushing, Daniel, Davis, of
Washington, Earle, Ecker, Farrow, Galloway, Hatch, Hebb, Hoffman, Hopkins, Hopper; Jones, of Cecil, Kennard, King, Markey,
McComss, Mullikin, Murray, Negley, Nyman,
Darker, Purh Purnell, Ridgely, Russell. Parker, Pugh, Purnell, Ridgely, Russell, Sands, Schley, Stirling, Stockbridge, Swope, Sykes, Thomas, Todd, Valliant, Wooden-43.

Nays-Messrs. Berry, of Prince George's, Blackiston, Bond, Brown, Chambers, Crawford, Dail, Davis, of Charles, Dent, Duvall, Edelen, Hollyday, Horsey, Jones, of Somer-set, Lee, Marbury, Mitchell, Miller, Morgan, Parran, Peter, Smith, of Dorchester, Wilmer

The call for the previous question was accordingly sustained.

The amendment offered by Mr. Jones, of Somerset, was rejected.

The question recurred upon the amendment moved by Mr. Stinking, as follows:

Strike out all the proposed amendment after the first word, "The," and insert the following:

"General assembly shall have power to receive from the United States any grant or donation of land, money or securities for any purpose designated by the United States, and shall administer or distribute the same according to the conditions of the said grant."
The amendment was agreed to.

The question then recurred upon the adoption of the section as amended.

Mr. Sands demanded the yeas and nays,

The question being taken, the result was yeas 65, nays 1—as follows;

Yeas—Messrs. Goldsborough, President;
Abbott, Ahnan, Audoun, Barron, Berry, of Prince George's Blackiston, Bond, Brown, Carter, Chambers, Crawford, Cunningham, Cushing, Dail, Daniel, Davis, of Charles, Da-vis, of Washington, Dent, Duvall, Earle, Ecker, Edelen, Farrow, Galloway, Hebb, Hoffman, Holliday, Hopkins, Hopper, Horsey, Jones, of Cecil, Jones, of Somerset, Kennard, King, Liee, Marbury, Markey, McComas,