Cecil, (Mr. Scott.) Before that vote was taken it was not open to amendment. We have adopted the amendment of the gentleman from Harford, but as I understand, we have not yet voted upon the amendment of the gentleman from Cecil. Now the House is in this condition —— well, I do not know what condition it is in; I do not know where the proposition of the gentleman from Cecil is. As I understand it, the House having adopted the amendment of the gentleman from Harford, the question is now upon the amendment of the gentleman from Cecil, as amended. Now there being but one amendment pending, the gentleman from Baltimore city (Mr. Daniel) offers an amendment before the vote is taken upon the amendment as amended.

The PRESIDENT. The gentleman moves to strike out what the House has just adopted, which is not in order.

Mr. DANIEL. Then I move to strike out all in the amendment of the gentleman from Harford (Mr. Galloway) between the word "that" in the first line, and the word "that" in the fourth line.

The PRESIDENT. It is not in order to move to strike out either the whole or any part of any proposition which the House has adopted.

Mr. HEBB. That is the very point I raised yesterday, when the proposition of my colleague (Mr. Greene) was adopted. I took the ground that it was the judgment of the Convention that that should stand as the article, and therefore, no motion to strike out was in order. As I understand, the chair now rules the proposition of the gentleman from Baltimore city (Mr. Daniel) to be out of order.

THE PRESIDENT. The Chair decides that the amendment which has been adopted can be added to; but it is not in order to move to strike out any portion of it, because the judgment of the house has been passed upon it.

Mr. BELT. On yesterday, after the proposition of the gentleman from Alleghany, (Mr. Greene) had been adopted, and the judgment of the House expressed in favor of it, how was it allowable for the gentleman from Baltimore City (Mr. Stirling) to move to strike out all after the word "that," which was done.

The PRESIDENT. That was wrong.

Mr. PUGH. The question is upon the amendment as amended.

The PRESIDENT. The amendment of the gentleman from Cecil, (Mr. Scott) as amended, is now before the House for its action.

Mr SCOTT. There is evidently some misapprehension or mistake somewhere. I have taken no part in this discussion, because I have preferred to hear what others had to say. But on page 108 of the Journal, I find Mr. Greene's proposition recorded in these words: "Strike out article 14, and insert the following as article 14," which was adopted by a vote of 45 to 38. Then there were other amendments which were rejected; on page 111 of the Journal, I find that "Mr. Stirling submitted the following amendment to the amendment last adopted," to strike out all after the word "that" in the first line, and insert the words which appear as his amendment. Now immediately upon the adoption of the amendment of the gentleman from Alleghany (Mr. Greene) I withdrew my amendment, at the instance of the Chair. I supposed that by withdrawing that, that would leave the amendment of the gentleman from Allegany (Mr. Greene) to stand substantially as article 14, and that is the way I think it should appear upon the Journal.

Mr. GREENE. We are now in precisely the same condition after the adoption of the proposition of the gentleman from Harford, (Mr. Galloway) that we were in yesterday after the adoption of my amendment. The whole difficulty arises from this fact; the amendment of the gentleman from Cecil (Mr. Scott) was simply a motion to strike out. My amendment was also a motion to strike out, and included the whole idea of the other amendment, besides the motion to insert, and my amendment being adopted became the 14th article in the bill of rights.

The PRESIDENT. The amendment of the gentleman from Alleghany (Mr. Greene) stood the same as that of the gentleman from Harford, (Mr. Galloway) as an amendment to an amendment; it was so offered, and the Chair did not feel called upon to decide that it was improperly offered, but left that for the House to determine. After it was adopted by the House, the question then came up on agreeing to the amendment as amended.

Mr. SCOTT. Is it competent to correct the Journal in any way?

Mr. STIRLING. I raise the point of order that the whole of this discussion is out of order, the House having adopted the proposition of the gentleman from Harford, (Mr. Galloway) and the Chair having ruled the amendment of my colleague (Mr. Daniel) to be out of order.

Mr. DANIEL moved that the Convention do now adjourn.

The question being taken on the motion to adjourn, it was not agreed to.

The PRESIDENT. The proposition of the gentleman from Harford, (Mr. Galloway,) having been adopted as an amendment to the proposition of the gentleman from Cecil, (Mr. Scott,) the question is now upon agreeing to the amendment as amended.

Mr. CLARKE. Is it now in order to offer an amendment to that proposition?

The PRESIDENT. It is not in order to move to amend by striking out any part of it; but it can be amended by additions to it.

Mr. BELT. I understand the effect of the decision of the Chair to be this: that having adopted the proposition of the gentleman from Harford, (Mr. Galloway,) the House is