which has already, in fact, been passed upon. I have merely put it in a more perfect form.

The question was stated to be on the motion to reconsider.

Mr. RANDALL. The propositions suggested by the gentleman from Baltimore city in relation to the courts of that city, have been acted on by a much fuller Convention than they would be at present, if taken up at this late hour of the day. I suggest to the gentleman the postponement of this subject until to-morrow. We could then employ the remainder of the day in the correction of two or three sections, which require but mere verbal alterations. I move to postpone the further consideration of the motion to reconsider until to-morrow.

The motion was not agreed to.

Mr. BLAKISTONE. It is very evident that there is a very small number of members in attendance and as this is looked upon as a very important matter, I move that there be a call of the Convention.

The motion was agreed to, and

The Convention was accordingly called.

On motion,

All further proceedings under the call were dispensed with.

Mr. BRENT, of Baltimore city. I suggest the postponement of this subject until Friday. My colleague also has given notice of a substitute which he intends to offer, cannot be here to-morrow, as he must be absent to attend to matters of very great interest to him. I therefore, move to postpone the consideration of the motion to reconsider until Friday next.

Mr. BUCHANAN. We are very anxious to adjourn this Convention by Monday next, and unless we have sufficient amount of business for our consideration between this and Friday, I shall vote against the motion to postpone. I have the strongest desire to gratify our friends from Baltimore city, but we must adjourn next Monday, otherwise, away goes the Constitution, and I would not give two cents for the chance of its adoption.

Mr. EGE. I hope the motion to postpone will not be agreed to. I cannot be present on Friday.

Mr. RANDALL. I suggest that there should not be a postponement of the whole bill. Section twenty-six is very defective, and the Convention can correct it in a few moments.

Mr. BUCHANAN. I hope I am understood. I am very much disposed to gratify the application of my friend from Baltimore, and I will vote for it with the greatest pleasure in the world. My only object was to give notice to the Convention that I should endeavor to press all the business through by next Monday. I have been among my constituents and have heard from them, and I assure this body that the Constitution will be rejected unless you press on the business with a view to an adjournment on Monday next.

Mr. BOWIE. I hope the motion will not be postponed, but that we will proceed to consider it to-morrow.

The question was then taken on the motion to postpone, and

And it was disagreed to.

Mr. STEWART, of Baltimore city. I was about to say, in regard to my substitute for the eleventh and twelfth sections, that they have already been passed upon, the only change lies in the phraseology, which I thought would make it appear better in the organic law. I would say that the only possible matter which can give rise to debate is the section which suggests the *projet* of the Baltimore delegation, with regard to the third court of the city of Baltimore. In twenty minutes we can dispose of the whole business.

Mr. MAGRAW moved that the Convention take a recess until half-past four p. m., and on this motion demanded the yeas and nays,

Which were ordered,

And being taken,

Resulted as follows:

*Affirmative*—Messrs. Blakistone, Sellman, Howard, Bell, Miller, Dirickson, McMaster, Gaither, Annan, Magraw, Nelson, Carter, Hardcastle, Stewart of Baltimore city, Sherwood of Baltimore city, Fiery, John Newcomer, Harbine, Michael Newcomer, Brewer, Anderson, Weber, Fitzpatrick, Smith, Parke, Shower, Cockey and Brown—28

*Negative*—Messrs Ricaud, Pres't, *pro. tem*, Morgan, Hopewell, Lee, Donaldson, Wells, Randall, Kent, Weems, Bond, Buchanan, Welch, Sherwood of Talbot, John Dennis, James U. Dennis, Dashiell, Williams, Phelps, Bowie, Tuck, Spencer, Grason, George, Wright, Hearn, Fooks, Jacobs, Shriver, Johnson, Biser, Stephenson, McHenry, Thawley, Stewart of Caroline, Gwinn, Brent of Baltimore city, Ware, Schley, Neill, Waters and Ege—41

So the Convention refused to take a recess until half-past 4 o'clock, p. m.

Mr. JOHNSON. I wish it to be recorded upon the journal that hereafter I shall move as an amendment to the bill the following, and shall call it up at some future day. I will make no remarks now, but when it is called up, will claim the privilege of speaking upon it.

"No person who signs his name on any paper as security shall be responsible at any suit at law."

The question then recurred on the motion of Mr. STEWART, of Baltimore city, to reconsider the vote of the Convention on the eleventh and twelfth sections of the report.

Mr. STEWART, of Baltimore city, moved the question be taken by yeas and nays,

Which being ordered,

Appeared as follows:

*Affirmative*—Messrs. Donaldson, Howard, Buchanan, Bell, Welch, Sherwood of Talbot, McCullough, Miller, Tuck, Spencer, Grason, George, Wright, Shriver, Johnson, Biser, Stephenson, McHenry, Magraw, Nelson, Carter, Thawley, Stewart of Caroline, Hardcastle, Gwinn, Stewart of Baltimore city, Sherwood of Baltimore city, Ware, Schley, Fiery, Neill, Harbine, Michael Newcomer, Brewer, Ander-