Kent, Sellman, Brent of Charles, Merrick, Jenifer, Howard, Bell, Chandler, Ridgely, Lloyd, Dickinson, Sherwood of Talbot, Colston, Hicks, Hodson, Eccleston, Chambers of Cecil, McCullough, McLane, Sprigg, Bowling, Spencer, Hearn, Fooks, Thomas, Shriver, Johnson, Gaither, Biser, Annan, McHenry, Magraw, Gwinn, Stewart of Baltimore city, Brent of Baltimore city, Sherwood of Baltimore city, Ware, Schley, Fiery, Neill, John Newcomer, Harbine, Michael Newcomer, Davis, Brewer, Anderson, Weber, Fitzpatrick, Smith, Shower, Cockey and Brown—63.

Negative—Messrs. Morgan, Weems, Bond, Buchanan, Welch, John Dennis, James U. Dennis, Crisfield, Dashiell, Williams, Goldsborough, Phelps, Miller, Bowie, Tuck, McCubbin, Grason, George, Wright, Dirickson, McMaster, Jacobs, Sappington, Stephenson, Nelson, Carter, Thawley, Stewart, of Caroline, Kilgour, Waters, Hollyday and Parke—31.

So the amendment was adopted.

The question then recurred upon the adoption of he a mendment as amended.

Mr. JOHNSON moved for a division of the question upon each branch of said amendment, stating that he would vote for the first part, but that he would not vote for the part specifying the districts.

The question was then put on the first branch of said amendment, being in these words:

"In order that each and every portion of the city of Baltimore, may be fairly represented, and its various interests protected in the Legislature, for the purpose of electing delegates therein, the city of Baltimore shall be divided into ten districts.

Mr. BOWIE. Allow me to ask my friend from Frederick, whether he means that the remainder of the section of the gentleman from Kent, shall be stricken out, and that we shall merely vote on the abstraction that Baltimore city is to be divided into districts.

The PRESIDENT stated that any remarks which would have an argumentative tendency, would be out of order.

The question was then stated to be on agreeing to the first branch of the amendment.

Mr. JOHNSON moved the question be taken by yeas and nays,

Which being ordered,

Appeared as follows:

Affirmative—Messrs. Chapman, pres't., Morgan, Dent, Hopewell, Ricaud, Lee, Chambers of Kent, Mitchell, Donaldson, Dorsey, Wells, Randall, Kent, Weems, Bond, Brent of Charles, Merrick, Jenifer, Ridgely, John Dennis, James U. Dennis, Dashiell, Williams, Hicks, Hodson, Goldsborough, Eccleston, Phelps, Bowie, Tuck, Sprigg, McCubbin, Bowling, Dirickson, McMaster, Hearn, Fooks, Jacobs, Thomas, Johnson, Gaither, Annan, McHenry, Magraw, Schley, Fiery, Neill, John Newcomer, Davis, Kilgour, Waters, Smith and Cockey—52.

Negative—Messrs. Howard, Buchanan, Bell, Welch, Chandler, Lloyd, Dickinson, Sherwood of Talbot, Colston, Chambers of Cecil, McCullough, Miller, McLane, Spencer, Grason, George, Wright, Shriver, Biser, Sappington, Stephenson, Nelson, Carter, Thawley, Stewart of Caroline, Gwinn, Stewart of Baltimore city, Brent of Baltimore city, Sherwood of Baltimore city, Ware, Harbine, Michael Newcomer, Brewer, Anderson, Weber, Hollyday, Fitzpatrick, Parke, Shower and Brown—40.

So the first branch of the amendment was adopted.

The question then recurred on agreeing to the second branch of the amendment, which is in these words:

"As follows, the first and second wards as now laid off shall constitute the district No. 1; the third and fourth wards district No. 2; the fifth and sixth wards district No. 3; the seventh and eighth wards district No. 4; the ninth and tenth wards No. 5; the eleventh and twelfth wards No. 6; the thirteenth and fourteenth wards No. 7; the fifteenth and sixteenth wards No. 8; the seventeenth and eighteenth wards No. 9; the nineteenth and twentieth wards No. 10."

Mr. CHAMBERS, of Kent, demanded the yeas and nays,

Which were ordered,

And being taken, resulted as follows:

Affirmative—Messrs. Chapman, Pres't, Morgan, Dent, Hopewell, Ricaud, Lee, Chambers of Kent, Mitchell, Donaldson, Dorsey, Wells, Randall, Kent, Weems, Bond, Brent of Charles, Merrick, Jenifer, John Dennis, James U. Dennis, Dashiell, Williams, Hicks, Hodson, Goldsborough, Eccleston, Phelps, Bowie, Tuck, Sprigg, McCubbin, Bowling, Dirickson, McMaster, Hearn, Fooks, Jacobs, Fiery, John Newcomer, Davis, Kilgour, Waters and Smith—43.

Negative—Messrs. Howard, Buchanan, Bell, Welch, Chandler, Ridgely, Lloyd. Dickinson, Sherwood of Talbot, Colston, Chambers of Cecil, McCullough, Miller, McLane, Spencer, Grason, George, Wright, Thomas, Shriver, Johnson, Gaither, Biser, Annan, Sappington, Stephenson, McHenry, Magraw, Nelson, Carter, Thawley, Stewart of Caroline, Gwinn, Stewart of Baltimore city, Brent of Baltimore city, Sherwood of Baltimore city, Ware, Schley, Neill, Harbine, Michael Newcomer, Brewer, Anderson, Weber, Hollyday, Fitzpatrick, Parke, Shower, Cockey and Brown—50.

So the second branch of the amendment was rejected.

The question then recurred on the third branch of the amendment, being in these words:

"And that each county in the State be divided into as many convenient election districts of contiguous territory, and as nearly equal in population as may be, as such county may be entitled to members of the House of Delegates, and each of said districts shall be entitled to elect one delegate, provided that each county and city shall be divided into separate election districts of compact contiguous territory, in the manner hereafter to be provided in this Constitution; the qualified voters in each of which districts shall at the time and in the manner in which delegates are chosen elect on delegate, who has for one year next be-