Baltimore and upper counties. No change in | represent tion was advocated by others.

How do we now stand? A portion of each of those extremes have yielded something of their ultra views.

Mr. J. said, without this, no Constitution could be framed—with a proper spirit of compromise. i may be done.

in this he admired the course of his friend from the city of Baltimore, (Mr. Presstman,) and others, who although believing they were entided to a larger number, had voted for ten delegates for Balti nore city, and six from the largest counties—so had gentlemen from the smaller counties, and why? Because neither extreme could carry out th ir views, and some intermediate system must be resorted to; in which course Mr. J. had no doubt they would be sustained by their respective constituents. would here remark, that if such a constitution as would be accepted by the people, is not adopted. it will be justly attributable to the extremes of which the Reformers, par excellence, will be most responsible. Mr. J said, he came here as a Reformer, but not to the extent required by some. He would not say as others had, that they would vote for no Constitution, which did not embody their particular views. He would not say that he would reject any plan because his constitu ents might prefer some other.

He would go for none that compromised their interests. But he would not reject a Constitution because all they desired could not be obtained. Even the gentleman from Carroll, (Mr. 'Brown,) had yiel ed to what he considered the best alternative-a compromise. So the gentleman from the city of Baitimore, (Mr. Bren,) who although claiming for Baltimore twenty-eight to thirty, was willing to compromise in certain contingencies, to take twelve, or double the number

of the largest county.

It then becomes our duty, and certainly is our best policy, to meet them as far as we can go. Of one thing Mr. J. felt confident, which was, that in any Convention hereafter to be held, to after or frame a Constitution—the smaller counties never will have so favorable a representa-They are now representtion as in the present. ed, under the present Constitution framed by themselves, as their relative numbers entitle them in the Legislature of the State. Their interest, in preparing a Constitution such as may be ratided by the people, is equal to that of any portion of the State. An adherence to ultra views to deteat it, will recoil upon themselves.

Mr. J. said, he regretted that much had been said to array one portion of the State against another, by orawing invidious comparisons between the city of Baltimore and the counties. This he considered entirely out of place and productive of no good to either. There interests in many respects are different, but not necessarily antago-

nistic.

Each can move in its respective sphere smoothly and prosperously, without prejudice to the other; indeed in perfect harmony and mutual berefit. No part of the State should be looked Mr. Hiery's and 42 and marth hor 73 and to exclusively as being independent or indifferent

to the other; all had a common interest in the prosperity of every portion of it; hence our duty so to frame a Constitution to protect the whole. For himself he should endeavor to adopt the course most likely to effect that object. Mr. J. said, he would analyze the three plans referred to, and which he considered as a basis upon which a compromise might be agreed on. These which a compromise might be agreed on. are, the one of the gentleman from Aime Arundel, (Mr. Dorsey) the one of the gentleman from Washington, (Mr. Fiery,) and the one of the gentleman from Kent, (Mr. Chambers,) being the report of the min rity of the committee appointed on the subject of representation. two latter have been rejected, but stand under motions to reconsider, whilst the former is the immediate bill before the Convention.

They propose that the House of Delegates shall consist of 83, of 73, and of 88 members, apportioned as follows:

	Dorsey.	Fiery.	Chambers
Allegany county,		4	4 /3
Baltimore county	v. 6	6 `	6 :
Baltimore city,	10	10	6
Carroll,	4	3	4 /
Frederick,	6	6	6
Harford,	3	3	4
Cecil,	3	3	4
Washington,	5	5	5
Howard,	3	2	. 3
		-	· —
	44	42	42
Anne Arundel,	4	3	4
Caroli e,	2	2	, 3
	• ຄ	2	3 3
Mostgomery,	3 3 3 2	2 2 3 2 2 3	4° °
Dorchester,	3	3	4 - 3
Charles,	3	•2	4
Kent,	2	2	J. J. 3
Prince George's	, 4	. 3	4
Queen Anne,	3	2	3
St. Wary's,	3	2	3
Somerset,	- 4	3	4
Talbot,	3	2	3
Warcester,	3	3	. <u>-</u> 11. 3 4.€
		, نست	,
	39	31	146 €
			saa ya ak
Total,	83	73	88

Mr J. suid he did not know that the question of the slave holding counties proper, as contrasted with those less interested in that species of property, had been taken into consideration by either of the gentlemen who had submitted these plans, nor would he now advert to it, had hot some reference b en made to it, both in and out of the Convention This had induced him to turn his attention to the subject and to analyze the several Upon comparing the representapropositions. tion as proposed, the result was that mithe House of Delegates hereafter, the eight counties, with the city of Ba timore, least interested in that property, would have by

JugdeDorsey's plan 44 members out of 83 Judge Chamberla, :42 santhay distin 88 had