

On motion by Mr. Allen, leave given to bring in a bill, entitled, An act to prohibit more than one judgment for the costs of one suit being entered on any bond or note. Ordered, that Messrs. Allen, Orrick and Kilgour report the same.

The bill to explain an act, entitled, A supplement to an act to provide for the opening and extension of Pratt street, in the city of Baltimore, was read the second time, passed, and sent to the senate.

Mr. John P. Kennedy reports a bill, entitled, A supplement to the act, entitled, An act relating to the paving of certain streets in the city of Baltimore. And Mr. Dalrymple reports a bill, entitled, A further additional supplement to an act, entitled, An act relating to the public roads in the several counties therein mentioned; which were read.

The message proposed by Mr. J. P. Kennedy, in answer to the message requesting a reconsideration of the bill to incorporate the Avalon Manufacturing Company, was read the second time. On motion by Mr. Thomas, the question was put, that the whole of the message be stricken out? The yeas and nays being required appeared as follow:

AFFIRMATIVE.—Messrs. Millard, Stone, Ireland, Massey, Dalrymple, Loockerman, Martin, Jones, Teackle, Dennis, Steele, Byus, Willis, Hooper, Parker, Gerry, Cosden, Wright, Pratt, Norris, Allen, Douglass, Drury, Galloway, Kilgour, Williams, Duvall, Greenwell—28.

NEGATIVE.—Messrs. Speaker, G. Leigh, Estep, Howard, Weems, Skinner, Rogerson, Garner, Stansbury, Orrick, Johnson, Worthington, Sheredine, Cannell, Hughes, Roberts, Meconiken, Slemaker, Riley, Franklin, H. Kemp, Fisher, Chauncey, Whiteford, Boon, Saulsbury, J. P. Kennedy, Purviance, T. Kennedy, Keller, Pollard, Tomlinson—32. Determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. Allen, the question was put, That the following be received as a substitute? *Gentlemen of the Senate*—We have received your message, requesting us to reconsider a

bill, entitled, An act to incorporate the Avalon Company of Baltimore county and a rule of our house requiring that any reconsideration must take place by three persons rising in favour who voted in the majority, & three persons not rising in favour of the reconsideration of the said bill, we cannot reconsider the same. And the question was put, that the house assent to the same? Determined in the negative.

The question was then put, That the house assent to the message proposed by Mr. John P. Kennedy?

Resolved, in the affirmative, and with the bill, sent to the senate.

The clerk of the senate delivers the bill for the relief of Catharine Jeyd, and the supplement to an act, entitled, An act for the relief of the poor of the several counties of this state, severally endorsed "will not pass."

The house, according to the order of the day, proceeded to the second reading of the bill to provide a revenue for the support of the government of this state.

On motion by Mr. Allen, the question was put, That the serjeant at arms be sent with the message for absent members?

The yeas and nays being required, appeared as follow:

AFFIRMATIVE.—Messrs. Speaker, Maddox, Ireland, Estep, Skinner, Orrick, Worthington, Martin, Jones, Teackle, Dennis, Steele, Byus, Hooper, Parker, Gerry, Hughes, Carroll, Roberts, Meconiken, Wright, Riley, Franklin, Thomas; Chauncey, Allen, John P. Kennedy, Thomas Kennedy, Galloway, Greenwell—30.

NEGATIVE.—Messrs. Stone, Massey, Hodges, Linthicum, Howard; Dalrymple, Smith, Edelen, Stansbury, Johnson, Loockerman, Willis, Sheredine, Cosden, Semmes, H. Kemp, Norris, Whiteford, Boon, Casson, Saulsbury, Douglass, Purviance, Drury, Kilgour, Williams, Hoffman, Pollard, Tomlinson—29.

Resolved in the affirmative. The serjeant proceeded accordingly.

On his return, on motion by Mr. Semmes, Ordered, That the members in the custody of the serjeant at arms, be discharged.

On motion by Mr. Semmes, the question was put, That the proceedings relative to sending the serjeant at arms for absent members, be expunged from the journal? Determined in the negative.

The house resumed the consideration of the bill to provide for a revenue to the state.

On motion by Mr. John P. Kennedy, the question was put, That "19,468 dollars" be stricken out of the clause, stating the proportion of tax to be paid by Baltimore county, for the purpose of inserting \$16,000? Determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. Stansbury, the question was put, That the further consideration of the bill be referred to the next general assembly?

The yeas and nays being required appeared as follow;

AFFIRMATIVE.—Messrs. Ireland, Weems, Stansbury, Orrick, Worthington, Jones, Teackle, Sheredine, Gerry, Cosden, Allen, Boon, Saulsbury, Douglass—14.

NEGATIVE.—Messrs. Speaker, Millard, G. Leigh, Stone, Maddox, Massey, Hodges, Estep, Linthicum, Howard, Dalrymple, Smith, Skinner, Stonestreet, Edelen, Rogerson, Garner, Johnson, Loockerman, Martin, Dennis, Steele, Byus, Hooper, Parker, Semmes, Hughes, Carroll, Roberts, Meconiken, Wright, Slemaker, Riley, Franklin, H. Kemp, Thomas, Farquhar, Fisher, Norris, Chauncey, Whitford, Casson, J. P. Kennedy, Purviance, Thomas Kennedy, Drury, Keller, Galloway, Williams, A. Lee, Greenwell, Hoffmann, Pollard, Tomlinson—54.

Determined in the negative. On motion by Mr. John P. Kennedy, the question was put, That the words "on Somerset county the sum of two thousand two hundred and sixteen dollars" be stricken out?