American,

Commercial Daily Advertisqu

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY WILLIAM PRCHIN, (PRINTER OF THE LAWS OF THE UNION.) 31, 60UTH GAY-STREET, WRAR THE CUSTOM HOUSE, BALTIMORE.

Daily paper 87 and Country paper 5 per anni All advertisements appear in both papers.

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 19, 1806

From the prevalence of the northerly winds for three weeks past, it is feared some of the Do gentlemen remember the capture of inward bound vessels have hard times on the Cornwallis on land because De Grasse enast, and that many of them from the length. of the time they are detained from port may be in want of the necessaries of life; it was therefore resolved on Saturday last to send out ressels to furnish them if possible with relief. Immediately two schrs, were taken up loaded with provisions, water, &c. and yesterday noon skiled for that benevalent purpose.

[Phil. paper.

J. P. Vermont, formerly a resident of New York, has been appointed by gen. Ferrand sole auctioneer and interpreter of the Island of St. Domingo. Mr. Vermont has already been of service to Americans that have been carried into that island; and he offers them in future, all the assistance in his power to bestow. In a letter to the editors of the New York Gazette, he mentions, that an American consul is much wanted at the city of St. Domingo.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

WEDNESDAY, March, 5. DEBATE On Mr. GREGG's resolution. [CONTINUED.]

Mr. J. RANDOLPH. I am extremely afraid, sir, that so far as it may constitution? If the gentleman has no depend on my acquaintance with details other bounty to offer us for going to war, connected with the subject, I have very than the incorporation of Canada and little right to address you, for in truth, Nova Scotia with the United States, I am I have not yet seen the documents from tor remaining at peace. the treasury, which were called for What is the question in dispute? The some time ago, to direct the judgment carrying trade. What part of it? The of this house in the decision of the fair, the honest and the useful trade that question now before you; and, indeed is engaged in carrying our own producafter what I have this day heard I no | tions to foreign markets, and bringing back longer require that document or any o- their productions in exchange. No, Sir. ther document-indeed I do not know that I ever should have required it-to vote on the resolution of the gentleman from Pennsylvinia. If I had entertained any doubts they would have been removed by the style in which the friends of the resolution have this morning discussed it. I am perfectly aware, that on entering upon this subject, we go into it | and say so; and let a committee of | ing upon this naval warfare. I am averse manacled-hand-cuffed, and tongue-tied; public safety be appointed from those gentlemen know that our lips are towns to carry on the government. scaled on subjects of momentous foreign for one; will not mortgage my property the relations, which are indissolubly linked and my liberty to carry on this trade. with the present question, and which The nation said so seven years ago, -I would serve to throw a great light on it in | said so then,—and I say so now. It is every respect relevant to it. I will, however, endeavor to hobble over the subject, as well as my fettered limbs and palsied tongue will enable me to do it.

. I am not surprised to hear this resolu-

tion discussed by its friends as a war measure. They say (if is true) that it | plunge us into war. 23 not a war measure; but they defend It on principles which would justify none | by the recollection of a remark made by but war measures, and seemed pleased one of the ablest [if not the honestest] with the idea that it may prove the fore- ministers that England ever produced. runner of war. If war is necessary-if we have reached this point, let us have war. But while I have life, I will never consent to these incipient war measures, which, in their commencement breathe nothing but peace, though they plunge us at last into war. . It has been commercial interest, the whole stye was well-beserved by the gentleman from in an uproar. It was indeed shearing the Pennsylvania, b hind me (Mr. J. Clay) | hog-"great cry, and little woul." that the situation of this nation in 1793, was in every respect different from that in which it finds itself in 1806. Let me ask, too, if the situation of England is not since materially changed? Gentlemen, who, it would appear from their language, have not got beyond the horn- when they shall have told us what they Sir, you may help to crush G. Britain, book of politics, talk of our ability to cope with the British navy, and tell us of the war of our revolution. What was the situation of Great Britain then? She | the United States, not your new fangled | pass into his hands who wears the iron was then contending for the empire of country over the Mississippi, but the crown of the land. You may then exthe Bittiell channel, barely able to main- | good old United States-part of Georgia, | pect a new code of maritime law. Where tain a doubtful equality with her enemies, of the old thirteen states—where citizens over whom she never grained the superi- | have been taken, not from our ships, but ty until Rodney's victory of the 12th of from our actual territory. When gen-April: What is her present attuation ?. The combined fleets of France, Spain, mouths, I shall be ready to tell them and Holland are dissipated, they no what I will do, relative to our dispute. nuity which he so memorably displayed longer exists I am surprised to hear with Britain, on the law of nations, on lon a recent occasion. No, sir-Let the men, advocate these, wild, opinions, to controvand, and such stuff. battle of Actium be once fought and the see them goaded on by a spirit of mer- I have another objection to this course | whole line of sea coast will be at the mercaptile arange; straining their feeble of proceeding. Great Britain, when she cy of the conqueror. The Atlantic, deep strengthato; excite the nation to war, sees it, will say the American people and wide as it is, will prove just as good when they have reached this stage of in- | have great cause of dissatisfaction with a barrier against his ambition if directed fatttation, that we are an over-match | Spain. She will see by the documents | against you, as the Mediterranean to the for Great Britain on the ocean. It is furnished by the President, that Spain | power of the Casars. Do I mean (when onere waste of time to reason with such persons? They do not deserve any thing like serious refutation. The proper arguittents for such statesmen are a strait. It is true, she will receive no answer, but to the mountains, from the mountains to waistcoate a dark rooting winer, gruelz and she must know what we have not done. the Mississippi. But after tamely sub-

there are three points to be considered, Lour army and navy—nor even classed the, on your flag 3,000 miles off ? and maturely considered before we can militia. No sir not one of your militia be prepared to vote for the resolution of generals in politics has marshalled a sinthe gentleman from Pennsylvania. First: | gle brigade. Our billity to contend with Great Britain [Although I have said it would be time for the question in dispute : Decendly. | enough to answer the question which gen The policy of such a coptest: and Third- I tlemen have put to me-when they shall Iv. In cate both these shall be settled I have answered mine, yet as I do not like affirmatively, the manner in which we long prorogations I will give them an ancane with the gremest effect re-act upon | swer now. I will never consent to go to and amor-our advertary.

capable of contending with Great Britain on the ocean, but that we are actually her superior. Whence does the gentleman deduce this inference? Because, truly, at that time when Great Britain was not mistress of the ocean, when a North was her prime minister and a Sandwich the first lord of her admiralty, when she was governed by a countinghouse administration, privateers of this country trespassed on her commerce., So, too, did the cruizers of Dunkirk; at that day Suffrein held the mastery of the Indian seas. But what is the case now maintained the dominion of the occan? To my mind no position is more clear. than that if we go to war with Great Britain, Charleston and Boston, the Chesapeake and the Hudson will be invested by British squadrons. Will you call on the count de Grasse to relieve them, or shall we apply to admiral Gravina, or admiral Villeneuve to raise the blockade? But you have not only a prospect of gathering glory, and what seems to the gentleman from Massachusetts, much dearer, profit, by privateering, but you will be able to make a conquest of Canada and Nova Scotia. Indeed !-Then, sir, we shall catch a Tarter. confess, however, I have no desire to see the Senators and Representatives of the Canadian French, or of the tories and refugees of Nova Scotia sitting on this floor or that of the other house-To see them becoming members of the union, and participating equally in our political rights. And on what other principle would the gentleman from Massachusetts be for incorporating those provinces with us? Or on what other principle could it be done under the

.- It is that carrying trade which covers enemy's property & carries the coffee, the sugar, and other West India products to the mother country. No, Sir, if this great agricultur national is to be governed by Salem and Boston-New-York and Philadelphia, and Baltimore and Norfolk and Charleston, let gentlemen come out not for the honest carrying trade of America, but for this mushroom, this fungus of war,-for a trade which as soon as the nations of Europe are at peace, will no longer exist, it is for this that the spirit of avaricious trussic would sheep-and not be excited by the mus-

I am forcibly struck on this occasion I mean Sir Robert Walpole, who said that the country gentlemen (poor meck souls!) came up every year to be sheared-that they laid mute and patient whilst their fleeces were taking off-but that if he touched a single bristle of the

bend the neck to England; to submit to their weight into the scale of France at her outrages? No, Sir, I answer, that this moment:-- from whatever motive to it will be time enough for us to tell aid the views of her gigantic ambition--gentlemen what we will do to vindicate to make her mistress of the sea and land the violation of our flag on the ocean, --- to jeopardize the liberties of mankind. have done, in resentment of the violation you may assist in breaking down her naof the actual territory of the United val dominion; but you cannot succeed to States by Spain—the true territory of it. The iron sceptre of the ocean will tlemen have taken the padlock from our

has outraged our territory, parated upon [1, say so] to crouch to the invader ! No our commerce and imprisoned our citizens; - willmeet him at the water's edge, and and she will enquire what we have done? fight every inch of ground from thence dealedon with the see that we have not repelled mitting to an outrage on your domicile, all has always appeared to morthat | these outrages, not made any addition to will you bully, and look big at an insult

war for that which I cannot project.

Now the gentleman from Massachu-Jillem it no sacrifice of dignity to say to setts (Mr. Crowminshield) has settled the Leviathan of the deep-wo are unat a single sweep, to use one of his fa- lable to contend with you in your own elevorite expressions, not only that we are ment, but if you come within our actual limits we will shed our last drop of blood in their desence. In such an event I would feel, not reason, and obey an impulse which never has—which never can deceive me.

France is at war with England-sup-

pose her power on the continent of Eu-

rope no greater than it is on the ocean.

How would she make her enemy feel it i

There would be a perfect non conductor

between them. So with the United States and England—she scarcely presents to us a vulnerable point. Her commerce is now carried on for the most part in fleets; when in single ships they are stout and well armed-very different from the state of her trade during the American war, when her merchantmen became the prey of paltry privateers. Great Britain has been too long at war with the three most powerful maritime nations of Europe, not to have learnt how to protect trade. She can afford convoy to it all—she has 800 ships in commission, the navies of her enemies are annihilated. Thus this war has presented the new and curious political spectacle of a regular annual increase (and to an immense amount) of her imports and exports and tonnage and revenue, and all the insignia of accumulating wealth, whilst in every former war, without exception, these have suffered a greater or less diminution. And wherefore ? Because she has driven France, Spain, and Holland from the ocean. Their marine is no more. I verily believe that ten English ships of the line would not decline a meeting with the combined fleets of those nations. I forewarn the gentleman from Massachusetts and his constituents of Salem, that all their golden hopes are vain. I forewarn them of the exposure of their trade beyond the Cape of Good Hope (or now doubling it) to capture and confiscation-of their unprotected sea port towns, exposed to contribution or bombare sient. Are we to be legislated into war by a set of men, who in six weeks after its commencement may be compelled to take refuge with us up in the country. And for what? A mere fungus-a mushroom production of wsr in-Europe, which will disappear with the first return of peace—an unfair trade. For is there a man so credulous as to believe that we possess a capital not only equal to what may be called our own pro per trade, but large, enough also to trans mit to the respective parent states the vast and wealthy products of the French, Spanish and Dutch colonies? 'Tis beyond the belief of any rational being .--But this is not my only objection to enterto a naval war with any nation whatever. I was opposed to the naval war of the last administration, and I am as ready to oppose a naval war of the present administration, should they meditate such a measure. What! shall this great Mammoth of the American forest leave his native element and plunge into the water in a mad contest with the shark? Let him beware that his proboscis is not bitten off in the engagement. Let him stay on cles & periwinkles on the strand, or political bears, in a boat, to venture on the perils of the deep. Gentleman say will you not protect your violated rights? and I say why take to water, where you can neither fight nor swim. Look at France -see her vessels stealing from port to port on her own coast---and remember that she is the first military power of the earth, and as a naval people second only to England. Take away the British navy, and France to-morrow is the tyrant of

This brings me to the second point. But we are asked, are we willing to How far is it politic in the U.S. to throw

will you look for redress? I can tell the gentleman from .. Massachusetts, that there is nothing in his rule of three that will save us, even elthough he should outdo himself and exceed the financial inge-

But asit! I have a vet more corent reason, a gainst going to war, for the honor of the flag in the narrow seas or any other maritime punctio, It springs from my attachment to the principles of the government under which I live. declare, in the face of day, that this government was not instituted for the purposes of oficusive war. No. It was framed, to use its own language, for the common descare and the general-wellare, which warm inconsistent with offensive war. I call that offensive war, which goes out of our jurisdiction and Limits for the

I was opposed to this species of warfare, be- tion under consideration. to it, and on the same grounds. No sooner do the measures contemplated to be pursued. you put the constitution to this use, to a test! He was followed by Messrs. J. Clay, J Ranbe over-run and devoured by commissioners jected--- Ayes 48 --- Noes 63. and all the vermin of contract. I fear, sir, that Mr. J. Clay then rose, and made a short ... what are called the energy men will rise up speech, chiefly embracing facts of a commercial again-men who will burn the parchment. We nature. In the course of his remarks he said shall be told that our government is too free- he considered the resolution under consideraor as they would say west and inefficient. Much tion as well calculated to induce Britain to avirtue, sir, in terms. That we must give the bandon her unjust and unauthorised prefensions President power to call forth the resources of ... He was, therefore, in favor of acting upon it the nation—that is to filch the last shilling from though not at this time. For this reason, and our pockets to drain the last drop of blood from as it was near the usual hour of adjournment, our veins. I am against giving this power to he moved that the committee should rise. any man be him who he may. The American people must either withhold this power or resign their liberties. There is no other alternative. Nothing but the most imperious necessity will justify such a grant-and is there a powerful enemy at our doors? You may begin with a first consul-from that Chrysalis your choice. It depends upon your election whether you will be a free, happy, and united people at home, or the light of your executive majesty shall beam across the Atlantic in one, general blaze of the public liberty.

self desence. /I have no desire for conquests-, tion under consideration. no ambition to possess Nova Scotia-I hold the . Mr. Mumford opposed the rising of the comhard to say whether such principles are more against the depredations of the belligerent nadetestably dishonest than they are weak and tions, and particuly those of Britain. foolish. What, sir, will you go about with Messrs. Stanton and Jackson likewise spoke a late occasion you could not borrow at a less rate of interest than 8 per cent, when the government arowed that they would pay to the last shilling of the public ability, at what price do you expect to raise money with an avowal of these nefarious opinions. God help syou! if these are your ways and means for carrying on war-if your finances are in the hands of such a chancellor of the exchequer. Because a man can take an observation, and keep a log-book and a reckoning, can navigate a cock boat to the West Indies or the East, shall he aspire to nathe carrying trade. Already you possess seven | by turnseights of it. What is the object in dispute? The fair honest trade that exchanges the product of our soil for foreign articles for home consumption? Not at all. You'are called upon to sacrifice this necessary branch of your narigation and the great agricultural interest, Europe. whose hand-maid it is-to jeopardize vour best interests, for a circuitous commerce, for the fraudulent protection of belligerent proper- | chy ty under your neutral flag-Wili you be goaded by the dreaming calculations of insatiate avarice to stake your all for the protection of this trade. I do not speak of the probable c fects of war on the price of our produce-Severely as we must feel, we may scuffle through it. I speak of its reaction on the constitution. You may go to war for this excrescence of the carrying trade-and make peace at the expense of the constitution. Your executive will lord it over you, and you must make the best terms with the conqueror that you can. But the gentleman from Pennsylvania, Mr. Gregg, tells you that he is for acting in this, as in all things, uninfluenced by the opinion of any minister whatever-foreign, or, I presume, domestic. On this point I am willing to meet the gentleman, am unwilling to be dictated to by any minister at home or abroad. Is he willing to act on the same independent footing? have before protested, and I again protest a gainst secret irresponsible, over-ruling influence. The first question I asked when I saw the gentleman's resolution was, "is this a measure of the cabinet!" Not of an open declared cabinet, but of an invisible, inscrutable, u constitutional cabinet-without responsibility, unknown to the constitution. I speak of backstairs influence—of men who bring messages to this house, which although they do not appear on the journals, govern its decisions. Sir, the first question that I asked on the subject o British relations was, what is the opinion of the cabinet?-What measures will they recommend to Con., ress? (Well knowingthat what: ever measures we might take they must exccute them-and therefore that we should have their opinion on the subject)-My answer was (and from a cabinet minister too) " there is no longer any cabinet." Subsequent circumstances,

sir, have given me a personal knowledge of the fact. It needs no commentary. (To be continued.)

FRIDAY, March 14. A message was received from the senate stating their disagreement to a bill relative to the

A message was likewise received from the senate, stating their appointment of a committee on their part, to unite with such committee as the house might see fit to appoint, to consider and report such business as it will be necessary to transact during the present session in which the house concurred.

On motion of Mr. Findley, it was resolved that major general Arthur St. Clair he allowed to be heard at the bar of the house in support of

his claim on Monday at 12 o'clock Mr. Alstan called for the order of the day for the house to resolve itself into a committee of the whole on the state of the union. Mr. Clark moved to postpone this order till

The speaker declared it out of order to postpone such an order of the day.

The question of going into a committed was then put and carried-Ayes 70. Mr. John C. Smith took the chair.

The committee having agreed to take up thi resolution submitted by Mr. Nicholson Mr. Mumford spoke at considerable length in vindication of the mercantile character, and in favor of prompt and efficient measures: Mr. Chandler delivered himself to the same effect. He observed that his own opinion wa in favor of Mr. Gregg's resolution i but to f sure greater unanimity he would agree to the resolution under consideration.

Mr. J. Randolph spoke against the resolution. and avowed himself in favor of negociating with Britain. Mr. J. Clay believing a delay of four or fin days very desirable, moved that the committee should rise to the said blunda Mr. Alstan opposed this motion, and took oc. I the liberties of Europe the glorious

attainment or projection of objects, not within course of measures proper to be pursued, those limits and that jurisdiction. As in 1798. claring himself in favor of adopting the resulu-

cause I believed it would raze the constitution Mr. Smilie spoke, against the rising of the to the very foundation, so in 1806 am I opposed committee and in favor of an early decision of

which it is by no means calculated to endure, dolph and Nicholson, who advocated the rising than its incompetency to such purposes be. of the committee, principally on the ground that comes manifest and apparent to all. I fear if it was proper to wait a short time to learn the you go into a foreign war for a circuitous issue of the negociation depending between Mr. unfair carrying trade you will come out without Monroe and the British ministry, and of the your constitution. Have you not contractors great events passing on the theatre of Europe. enough yet in this House? Or do you want to The motion for the committee to rise was rea.

Mr. Early advocated the rising of the com-

Mr. Findley declared himself in favor of the motion; not however that the subject should be posponed, but merely because they had reached the ordinary time of adjournment.

Mr Lyon enquired whether the object of the state he soon becomes an emperor. You have, motion was postponement. If so, he should vote against it.

Mr. J. Clay said his object was to postpone

the subject till Moraday Mr. Lyon then spoke against the rising of the

committee, and took occasion to assign his rea-For my part I never will go to war but in sons for being decidedly in favor of the resolu-

liberties of this people at a higher rate. Much i mittee, and said he had received a very impormore am I indisposed to war, when among the | tant letter from the president of the Maxine Infirst means for carrying it on, I see gentle- surance Company of New York, which he read men propose the confiscation of debts due by ... The letter expresses the deep apprehension government to individuals. Does a bona fide entertained by the merchants of New York ;... creditor know who holds his paper? Dare that Congress will rise without adopting effecany honest man ask himself the question? Tis; that measures for the protection of commerce

proposals for opening a loan in one hand, and a a against the rising of the committee, and urged spunge for the national d. bt in the other. If on the strong necessity of prompt and vigorous

When the question was taken, Ayes 65, Nocs 47, and the House adjourned a short time after 3 o'clock to Monday. .

From the AURORA.

LOOK STRAIT!

Ignorance is to be pitied—folly is to be treated in the way of fools-but there is a vigate the great vess of State—to stand at the | species of being which is neither one nor helm of public councils? Ne sutor ultra crepi- i the other, and yet both, over which it is dam. What are you going to war for! For impossible not to laugh and to sigh

It is from this intermediate, species of being that we hear it said at our coffee

Britain is fighting for the Liberties of

France-is aiming at universal monar-

These phrases have become articles in a kind of political litany—in which tho theme is delivered and the responsais sung-with as little sensibility to the real meaning of the phrases, and with as little conception of their actual truth or falshood -with as little consideration of the merits of the questions which they involve, as the ploughman, who whistles a rural tune, makes the key note or the thorough bass in music. It is a tune at the plough -it is a song in politics-it is sometimes a sad song, for it fosters delusion,

We have heard it very lately said, that " Britain is lighting for the liberties of Europe, and America."

This has been the cant of English statesmen ever since political quackery introduced the nostrum called the balance of hower, a nostrum which was like other quack medicines a cure for all diseases, but which never cured any; while it has often aggravated if not created worse dis-

We are not surprised to find these nostrme retailed, nor these new centicles sung-by the abject followers of the old school, by all whose minds were trained in the habits of dependence even for the course of thought's upon some authority. some eleeve-ninn'd dependence upon a name or a character, halfknown or known only in a mask.

But from different characters and different men, it is really astonishing to hear the same tones re-echoed_to see the some nauscous potions swallowed - hough! Let us examine the question.

Has Britain at any time, or is she now, fighting; for the liberties of Europe; and America?

How far shall we go back? Before the conquest! Magna Charta-or after !-Was it by the Edwards or the Henry's the Tudors or the Plantagenets-IVas It under Cromwell, vor under William of Nassau !-The Stuarts or the Guelphs ? A school-boy of ordinary reflection would laugh at the idea—

Where are we to look for those contentions, those monuments of heroic virtue, which engaged a nation, of 7 to 10. millions of people in the couse of 250,000,000 and if such was the rause at any time-what has been the effect.

Let prejudice and vanity club, their wits, and after they have waded through the history of desoluting wars, "avaricious and piratical expeditions, corrupting, in trigues, perudious strategems, and illicit inmentation of war & rebellion, breaches of faith; and treatics, tyranhy, massacre, cruelty, intolerance; usurpation and plunder ; and after they have fixed on a solltary case in the annals of the world, in which Britain long bt for the liberties to Europe or of any other nation, then we shall be ready to kay we have been decely

ed or are ulterly innorant of the subject Was it for the libertles of a brave people, she lought at Banpockbum or Killicranky-this transforme rate-Twastite casion to express his opinion of the general . face was caged -- was exposed in