## American,

Commercial Daily

PRINTED AND PURLISHED BY WILLIAM PECHIN, (PRINTER OF THE LAWS OF THE WHICH.) 31, SOUTH GAY-STREET, MEAR THE CUSTOM HOUSE, BALTIMORE.

Daily paper 87 and Country paper 5 per ann. WAll udvertisements appear in both papers.

FRIDAY, MARCH 14, 1806!

## Congress.

IN SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES.

THURSDAY, MARCH 6.

Mr. Smith of Maryland, from the committee appointed to consider the resolution of the 12th February on the subject, reported the following bill, which was read and passed to a second reading

For the encouragement of the shipping and navi-

.... day of

gation of the U. States. Eit enacted by the Senate and House of Re-Interestatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That from and after the

next, no goods.

wares or merchandize shall be imported in any foreign ship or vessel into the United States, or the territories thereof, other than goods, wares " and merchandize of the growth, produce of manufacture of the nation to which such foreign ship or vessel shall belong, upon the pemarty of the forfeiture of all such goods, wares and merchandise as shall be imported, in any 'foreign ship or vassel, contrary to the true intent and meaning hereof, as also of the ship or wessel in which they shall be imported, and of all her tackle, furniture and appirel, to be disposed of conformably to the ninety first section of the act to regulate the collection of duties on imports and tonnage, passed the second day of March, one thousand seven hundred and ninety-nine. Provided always, That this prohibition shall not extend to the ships or vessels of any foreign nation, which admits into its ports the importation, in ships or vessels of the United States of goods, wares and merchandize, not being the growth, produce or manufacture of the United States: And provided also, That any forfeiture which may be incurred by virtue of this act, may be mitigated or remitted in 'the manner prescribed by the act to provide for mitigating or remitting the forfeitures, penalties and disabilities accurring in certain cases therein mentioned, passed third March, one thousand seven hundred and ninety seven, and made perpetual by an act passed eleventh Februsry, one thousand eight hundred.

MARCH 6. Mr. Worthington submitted the following resolu-

tion for consideration. RESOLVED, That in order better to provide for the defence of the territory of Orleans, a tract of land, not exceeding in any case acres, to be located out of a tract of land not

exceeding two millions of acres, to be surveyed between the Achafalaga, the Red river, and a meridian line passing by the fort at Nachitoches; shall be given free of all expences, other than those of suiveying expences and office fees, to every citizen of the United States, above twenty one years of age, and not at present residing either in said territory or in the Mississippi territory, who shall before: next, become an actual set-

tler on such tract, and shall actually improve, & continue to cultivate and reside on the same years thereafter; on condition,

that such actual settler and his sons above the age of eighteen years, at any time or times during the said period of years be l able to be called into service for the defence of the said territories of Orleans and Mississippi. and under obligation during the said period, to continue in such service for any length of

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

Aime which may be necessary.

WEDNESDAY, March, 5.

DERVIE

On Mr. GREGG's resolution. - [CONTINUED.]

Mr. J. CLAY. I had entertained a hope that the call of my colleague would have been delayed for a few days longer, as the documents we have received from the Treasury Department have been but two days on our table, at least in a printed form, and as they are calculated to throw much light on the subject before us. the few remarks which I am about to offer, I shall not follow my colleague thro' the detail he has made of the injuries & insults of Great Britain. We all of us well know that the national flag has been grossly outraged and the national honor piolated by her. But T do not conceive that the best mode of obtaining redress for these wrongs is to do that which will be more injurious to ourselves than to her. My objections to this resolution are two foldie. The first is that, if carried into efzect. M. places greater means of injuring wis in the hands of our enemy than she already possesses; and the second, that we shall not be able to maintain the course it points out for any length of time.

By the resolution before us prohibited from importing from Great Drittin; any articles; however necessary or convenient they may be; while at the Lioreign markets supply, the great demand same time we are permitted to carry any articles to her market. The effect will be I some articles which we may obtain that while our, productions are accumulativelsewhere—Let'us then prohibit the imang in the hands of the British manufac- | portation of these from Britain. Let'us turers and merchants they will have no I carry such a system as this into effect. ments of paying for them. And of con- I One which as it will do us little injury, is sequence debt to a very large amount will likely to be permanent; and will therefore become tine from British, merchants to I liave a permatent influence on Great Bri-American ciuzens. Even at the present I tain. day I have great doubts whether there are pot greater soms the by the merchants, surce taken in the year 1793. But deligreat Britting to the citizens of the U. | will recollect that the orders, issued by esstates; Wan there are recoverable debts 4 the British government, at that period due by American citizens to them. If so, went much farther than the principle now what will become of the second expedient set up. Besides in 1793 the spirit of this Britain and Lord Hawksbury that sheattempt proposed to be resorted to by my cell country was very different from that which ed to renew it at that time—Mr. King, howe-

favor, will be against us. If my colleague, asm of our own revution; and I believe the subject to the attorney general of Great. had looked over the report of the secretay I shall not be injoint and analog brinciple, was not warrantable. The opinion . of the Treasury, and had attended to the rity of our citizens/ere in favor of draw. Is this that the neutral has a right to carry on amount of American property affoat, he | ing the sword infabr of France. I ask, a commerce with the enemies colonies. That would have seen that there is not less if that is the cas nw? If a majority of the continuity of the voyage is broken when than one hundred millions of dollars worth of American property at the mercy of the cruizers of Britain. I believe that the naked vessels, independent of the products they carry, amount in value to more than thirty millions of dollars. It will be seen that the commerce of the U. States, in exports and imports amount to 150 millions, of which it is fair to calculate that one third is constantly exposed on the ocean. Of this amount about forty millians is carried on between the U States, and the power with whom it is proposed to cut off intercourse. With this fact staring us in the face, would it be politic to expose so much property to the retaliation of the British ministry. When the gentleman spoke of the amount of British depredations, he ought to have stated the amount of those recently com-

mitted. I believe I am not very wrong in stating the whole amount of American property detained by British cruisers as not exceeding six millions of dollars. On balancing, therefore, their interests, ought the U. States to resort to measures of hostility, to measures which, in the opinion of every man, will justify retaliation. Besides, I would wish my colleague to shew us how we are to be supplied with articles heretofore derived from G. Britain. All articles of iron manufacture,

hard ware, of cotton, and course woolens, we are obliged to import from that Island. From her East India dominions we likewise import a great variety of useful articles; while from her West India islands we import a great portion of one article, spirits, which pays one sixth of our whole amount of duties—the amount which we get from her c lonies will be found to be two and a half millions of dollars. From the same document it appears that the whole of this article imported from the British dominions is consumed in the U. States. But it is said that we may find a substitute for it. I acknowledge it. We may find this substitute in the products of our domestic distilleries which pay no duties. Are we prepared to sacrifice so large a part of our revenue as is derived from the importation? For it will be observed that other kinds of spirits are in a great measure exported from the United States, while all that we import from British settlements is consumed in this country. If the importation of British manufactures is prohibited I do not know whence we are to be furnished with the if the adjidications continue, I believe it articles we stand in need of. It is true, France has some rising manufactures, and that she takes off about a fourth part of the cotton exported from the U. States.

measure. The present revenue may be tain. We should be able, in that event, estimated at 12,000,000 of dollars, of which 5,400,000 dollars is paid on importations from Great Britain and her dependencies. We have an annual appropriation of 8,000,000 for the payment of the principal and interest of the public debt. We have been engaged in extinguishing this debt for near twenty years, and have so far succeeded.

But are we, by this measure, to cut off

the market of the agriculturalist for this

article to the extent of 90,000 bales at

present annually exported to Great Bri;

It is a probable calculation that if we remain at peace, and do not cut off the merely about to prohibit the importation right hand of our revenue, the debt will be extinguished by the year 1816. To me this is an object as desirable as it can be to my colleague. I do not, therefore, wish to deprive the government of this large share of revenue derived from duties on merchandise imported from Great Bri-

As to the effects of this measure on G. Britain, I might acknowledge, if it could be permanent, that it might raise a clamoramong her merchants and manufacturers, which might induce the minister of that nation to relax in the course now pu'sued. But I will appeal to any gentleman conversant with the state of the country from the year 1792 to this time, to say whether there is any probability of our being able to endure a non importation of British goods for any length of time; for more than about six or eight months. We have neither stock enough on hand; nor, manufactures or manufacturers of our own to enable us to do so. It is true that our manufactures are advancing surely, though slowly, and I may state in cor roboration of this fact, what may not generally be known, that in the city of Philadelphia, manufactures are now carried on to a greater extent than they were forty years ago in the nown of Birmingham. But can we from this source, cr. from No. There of our citizens 'f

Div colleague has alluded to themen

the people of Antica are ready to take part with the expror Napoleon. And, notwithstandingth measures then taken, in 1794 we corlided a treaty which saand for which I believe my colleague voted, at least or ippropriations to carry it into operatio. If this was the issue of them. I am no for taking measures, which my collajue says will strike at the vitals of Brifin but which, he says at the same tim, vill not be a cause of war. I am, on thecentrary, in favor of such measures as seare able to carry into effect, and whih may be adopted without permanent imy to qurselves.

Mr. CROVIINSHIELD. The gen-

tleman from Pinnsylvania, who has last

spoken, regristhat this subject has been

taken up so son, but I regret it has not been taken uat an earlier period. Although, after found certain information called for, I loved for other documents, calculated tohed further light on the subject, yet then said, and I am still convinced the this information could not influence midecision on the subject un der considerion. The documents called for are, hower now before us. And it appears thathe balance of trade between the UnitedStates and Great Britain is trom eleve to twelve millions against This efference we are obliged to make up h remittances in cash or bills not purchæ of her more than we sell to her, we shuld not owe this annual balance, andhe amount would surely be returned to United States, very probably in 4sh, as a balance in our favor from othe European nations. The trade, therefore, eith Great Britain, so far as relates to te balance, is disadvantageous to us. The gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. Cay) thinks this resolution will materially njure us, while it will inflict little injur on Great Britain. But there can be no loubt that the measure it contemplates vill injure Great Britain vastly more thanit will injure us. Great Britain has, whout any cause whatever, condemned or vessels engaged in the carriage of comial productions, the bona fide property of American citizens. The gentlemar has acknowledged that these captures nay amount to six millions of dollars. do not know the amount, but will soon exceed that sum. But if the amount'dil not excred one million we are bound in duty to protect our merchants. The gentleman, in his remarks, goes on me calculation that Great Britain will go to war with us if we adopt this resolution. But I have no such idea. If, however, I held that opinion, I should not on that account withhold my approba-But the great and material injury to tion from it. Because I believe if a war the United States will be from the des- | should take place, the United States, will truction of revenue occasioned by this have a great advantage over Great Brito St out a great number of privateers, and we should make two captures to their one. If a war should take place, which I do not hesitate to say I should greatly deprecate, we should take twice as much of their preperty, as they would take of ours. But we are not, by the adoption of this resolution, about to enter into war with Great Britain. No such thing is in the contemplation of any gentleman. We are of British goods, in consequence of her having seized our vessels engaged in carrying on a lawful commerce, and in consequence of her seizure of American citi-

zens protected by the American flag. With regard to the measure contemplated by the resolution, I hope that Great Britain will not permit it to go into operation; that she will, on the contrary, on mature reflection, give us back the property she has wrongfully taken from us, and liberate our seamen from the capti-

vity in which she detains them. With regard to the observation of the gentleman relative to our inability to supply ourselves with the goods we get from her settlements in the East Indies, I think it proper to observe that they may be obtained from other colonies in that quarter: and as to spirits, there can be no doubt of our getting a sufficient quantity of spirits, notwithstanding the adoption of this resolution. But suppose we could not obtain a sufficient quantity of rum, or manufactured articles for our ordinary supply, and the revenue should be thereby affected for a time. We ought not to be governed by this consideration. But I believe the industry and enterprise of our merchants would soon obtain from other markets, a sufficient supply of every article- woollen goods, perhaps, except-

In November 1793, Great Britain adopted a similar principle with regard to the colonial. trade, except that the orders issued at that time went further than the present principle. In consequence of these orders, four or five hundred of our vessels were seized. Every one knows the conductiof the Americant goremment at that times of treaty was finally made in which Great Britain promised to pay for the aggressions committed by her vessels on reutral rights. But nearly ten years elapsed before our merchants received compensation for their losses. The principle elept till 1801. Great Britain did not find it convenient to call It again into existence before that time. It then appears by a correspondence between Mr King, then our minister at the sount of Great

league, that of sequestration?? The ba- now prevails. The evolution of France very remonstrated and he finally received a lance of injury, instead of being in our had, at that time kindled the enthust note from Lord Hawksbury, who had referred the return cargo is landed in the neutral country, and has paid duties there, and that the goods can afterwards be safely transported to any belligerent country in Europe, in the same bottom on which they were originally imported crificed every tin we had contended for, or on any other neutral bottom whatever. This appears to have settled the question, and numerous decisions in England both before and since that time have confirmed the principle as a correct one. But notwithstanding all this, to. violent measurs I am not now for taking our astonishment, the same adjudications have been renewed, and the same principle consi dered as abandoned last war, is now revived. In the early part of the last summer we find it once more brought into existence, and the following case was made use of, as a fit pretext, to warrant the new captures which were intended should be made under this extraordinary decision of the court of appeals.

In the latter part of the late war which was terminated by the treaty of Amiens, an American vessel was carried into the island of New Providence, and adjudicated. An appeal was carried to Great Britain, where the condemnation was affirmed on the ground that she was carrying on a trade during war which was not allowed in a time of peace. Under this decision the cruizers of Great Britain have seized a great number of other vessels. I know this case particularly. The vessel, I have referred to, was the Essex; Captain Orne. There was adirect importation of her cargo into Salem, in Massachusetts, after remaining there stored three or four weeks, the duties having been paid, it was shipped for the Havanna. On her passage to the Havanna, she was seized, and adjudicated in New Providence. There was an appeal, and after remaining nearly four years undecided, the judgment is at last confirmed in England, and the capture of American vessels commences. Can we put up with such decisions? Can we agree to them? from other countries; when if we did this principle be allowed, I desire to know whether the whole carrying trade of the United States will not eventually be sacrificed. By the carrying trade I mean the direct trade to and from the colonies, as well as to Europe. If we acquiesce in their capturing a part, Great Bri. tain will extend her captures still further, and make a sweep of our whole trade. For my part I am not willing to make this sacrifice; if it were no more than a million I could not

agree to it. As to the impressment of our seamen, that too is a subject of most serious complaint. We have called for a document on this point, which unfortunately is not yet on our tables. It is so extensive, and the information drawn from such various sources, that the Secretary of State has not yet been able to present it. We have, however, understood, that the number of our impressed seamen amounts to above 3,000. During the last war Great Britain impressed upwards of 2,000 of our seamen, of which she restored 1,200, proved to be American, and 800 remained in her possession at the peace. In the short period of two years she has impressed 3,000 seamen. I believe that we are bound, by all peaceable means, to obtain the liberation of these men. Lately, one of our frigates was shipwrecked off Tripoli, and 300 men taken captives. We immediately passed a new appropriation bill, and sent out several additional frigates. The affair has terminated honorably to our country, and our seamen are released. Will we not now do as much for 3,000 seamen, as we then did for 300, which are but a tenth part! After the course we are now taking, should Britain persist in her captures and in her oppressive treatment of our seamen, and refuse to give them up, I would not heaitate to meet her in war. But, as I observed before, I do not believe Great Britain will go to war. Our trade is too valuable to her. She knows too that in such an event she will lose her Eastern provinces. The states of. Vermont and Massachusetts will ask no other assistance than their own militia to take Canada and Nova Scotia. Some of her West India islands will likewise fall. She knows also other things. Her subjects own sixteen millions of the old public debt of the United States, eight millions of the Louisiana stock; and three or four millions of Bank stock; and have private debts to the amount of ten or twelve millions. amounting in the whole to nearly forty millions of dollars.-Will Great Britain, by going to war, risque her provinces, and this large amount of property? I think she will not put so much at hazard. I believe she will take no other steps than are usual with regard to neutral trade. As I said before, the risk of a contrary course will be too great. Her minister is now trying a system, the continuance in which will depend on us. He means to see how much we can bear. By this step we shall put an end to it. If, before it goes into effect, we give him time to reflect, he will, rather than experience the injury that menaces his country, pay for the property that has been condemned, and release our seamen. Under these circumstances, and viewing the resolution as a pacific measure; I hope it will be adopted unanimous.

(To be continued.)

MONDAY, March 10. The committee of claims made an unfavorable report on the petition of the representative of Baron Beaumarchais, which was referred to a committee of the whole House on Thursday.

TUESDAY, March IL. Mr. Gregg, from the committee on publi lands, reported on the petitions of sundry in habitants of the Indiana and Mississippi, terri tories, praying for the right of pre-emption to the lands on which they are settled. The re-

port was unfavorable to the petitioners. Mr. Gregg from the same committee, reported on the petition of sundry settlers in Washington county, in the Mississippi territory The report was in favor of granting school lands and opposed to exonerating claimants from the expense of having their surveys executed.

Navy Department, March 47 1806

In obedience to the resolution of the House of Representatives of the 5th ult. requiring the secretary of the mavy to inform the House, 4 of the nature and ex tent of the services rendered to the captive crew of the frigate Philadelphia, b the Danish consul, at Tripou, I here with enclose a copy of a letter from cap Bainbridge to me, which affords, all the information in my possession upon the Respectfully submitted.

R. SMITH The hone the Speaker.

New, York, Feb. 12, 1806.

In compliance with your letter of the oth inst. which this day came to hund, I hasten to detail the services rendered to the crew of the U.S. late frignte Philadelphia, while in captivity at Tripoli, by Nicholus C. Nissen, esq. his Danish majesty's consul residing there.

In consequence of the mode of imprisonment it was impossible for the officers to procure the necessary articles of subsistence, they were therefore necessitated to receive their daily provision, &c. thro' another channel. Mr. Nissen generously offeredahis services to obtain our necessary supplies, which he did, in the most faithful manner, for 19 months and 3 days, the period of our captivity, and at times when the funds placedat my command, in Tripoli, were expended, he continued our daily supply, by his own means, until ours were renewed by the commodore of the Mediterranean squadron, or George Davis, esq. charge of affairs at Tunis, to whom we are gratefully indebted.

Had it not been for the friendly aid of consul Nissen we should not have received our subsistence in so regular a manner as we did, and should have been exposed, at a great expence, to the impositions of the lowest class of our enquiy. His attentions were not confined solely to the officers, but were equally extended to the scamun and soldiers.

Consul Nissen was the first christian we saw after landing on the shore of. Africa, and he met us the very evening of our missortune, with the philanthropy of a man of feeling, and immediately administered to our wants by sending provision and bedding from his house, and ameliorated, as much as possible, our truly unpleasant situation.

cannot, in justice to his attention, omit mentioning a circumstance which a shews the lively interest he took in our i situation, and the hazard he ran to serve us. When commodore Preble attacked Tripoli, Mr. Nissen's house was as much exposed as any in the town, and himself in danger from the bombardment. I solicited him frequently to leave his dangerous situation, and retire to the gardens where the consuls were: he requested me not to importune him more to leave the town, for he preferred running the risk of the shot and shells from our squadron, and attending to our wants and subsistence, to resorting to the gardens. In thus pursuing the dictates of a feeling mind he was very near losing his life by a shot from the Constitution. Had he not acted in this friendly manner towards us it is very probable we should have been several days without provision, as the enemy were occupied and exasperated.

I have, sir, detailed to you generally the services rendered to the officers and crew of the Philadelphia, at Tripoli, by Nicholas C. Nissen, esq. but it is impossible for me to convey a just sense of them. Nothing but a perfect acquaintance with our situation, and the aid we received, can truly estimate the services rendered.

> I have the honor to be, sir, With the greatest respect, Your most obedient servant, (Signed,) WM. BAINBRIDGE.

Hon. ROBT. SMITH, - Secretary Aavy.

REPORT.

The committee of commerce and mnnufactures, to whom was referred the petition of the merchanis of Newburyport in the state of Massachusetts, submit their

REPORT. The petitioners state the great inconvenience in navigating their vessels, by reason of the rooks and shoals, to which they are exposed in the river Merrimack, notwithstanding buoys have been placed on several of the most dangerous of them, and supported at the expence of the Unit. ed States. The rapidity of the current, and numerous accidents arising from vessels and bodies of ice running against them, having worn away the chains by which they were fastened, the petitioners felt themselves justified in building two piers as a substitute for the buoys. The piers were erected in the summer of 1804, at the expence of one thousand three hundred and eighty-five dollars and sixty cents, which sum was raised by subscription among themselves. They now ask for a reimbursement of the money expended in erecting the piers. They did not make application to government 'to defray this expense in the first instance. as it was doubted by many persons whether the piers could be so constructed as to withstand the force of the ice, which runs in the Merrimack. with great rapidity; but they were willing to make the experiment at their own hazard, and are now fully satisfied that they will answer the purpose contemplated a for, since they were erected, very large and heavy, bodies of Ice have passed down the river, without doing them any injury. Newburyport is a place of considerable

trade, and their navigation has already increased to upwards of twenty eight thousand tons. There can be no doubt of the utility of piers in all great rivers, espenially where they are placed as marks to avoid dangerous shoals, or to afford, protection to ressels within them; as in the case of the piers in the niver Delaware. for which an appropriation of 30,000 dollars, was made by an act of the 6th 61 April 1802 At Newbury port they were much wanted ; but it does not apnear that the petitioners were warranted in substituting piers for the buoys, which