

Copy of a Letter from Charles B. Fisk, Esq. Engineer, to Governor Veazey.

Chesapeake and Ohio Canal Line,
HANCOCK, Feb. 15, 1837.

To His Excellency,
the Governor of Maryland,

Sir:—Mr. Hughes and myself intended to have placed in possession of your Excellency by this date, the additional information that we promised in the report we had the honor to present on the 26th of July last, in reference to the "Cross-cut Canal."

Urgent and unanticipated duties upon this canal prevented my meeting Mr. Hughes at the time we had appointed for the closing of our supplementary report, now nearly in readiness.

On Friday (the 17th inst.) I shall be in Washington—I shall then meet Mr. Hughes—and in a very few days afterwards we shall forward to your Excellency the report in question.

I am, very respectfully,

Your obedient servant,

CHARLES B. FISK, Engineer.

True copy from a paper on file in my office.

THO. CULBRETH, Clerk
of the Council.

Copy of a Letter from Charles B. Fisk, Esq. Engineer, to Governor Veazey.

WASHINGTON, June 7th, 1837.

To His Excellency

THOMAS W. VEAZEY,

Governor of Maryland.

Sir:—I have just read in a newspaper, the proceedings of a meeting held at Unity, on the 24th of the last month, in reference to a cross-cut canal from the Potomac river to the city of Baltimore, by the valleys of the Seneca and the Patuxent.

As the report of the Engineer for the city of Baltimore, upon the most northern practicable route for a cross cut canal, made subsequently to the two joint reports from Mr. Hughes and myself on the same subject, gave rise to the meeting at Unity, it is proper for me, at this time, to say (in Mr. Hughes' absence from the city, I take upon myself to speak for him also—having understood from him his views in the matter,) that we shall in another joint report, examine into the reasons for the difference in the conclusions arrived at by the Engineer from Baltimore and by ourselves.

The Governor and Council may be assured, that we have seen nothing (from conversations I have had with Mr. Hughes, I may venture to speak for both,) since our last report, to cause us to have the least doubt—the shadow of a doubt—in regard to the