The fiftieth volume of Maryland Archives, just off the press, is a worthy companion to those which have preceded it. It is the twenty-third volume of the sub-series dealing with Assembly affairs and is a handsome quarto volume of six hundred and sixty-two pages. As in other recent volumes of the work it is prefaced with a scholarly *resume* of the contents, by the Editor.

The publication of the fiftieth volume of such a series is a matter of more than passing interest and should be a subject of gratulation to the citizens of the State at large, as it is an honor to the Maryland Historical Society, which has every reason to be proud of its stewardship of our State’s invaluable archives.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Mary Washington Keyser</td>
<td>Gift (1916)</td>
<td>Buildings and grounds of the Society</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Peabody</td>
<td>Gift (1806)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Wilson Leakin</td>
<td>Bequest (1923)</td>
<td>Historical relics and</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drayton Meade Hite</td>
<td>Gift (1919)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bequest (1923)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Drayton Meade Hite</td>
<td>Bequest (1927)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendes Cohen</td>
<td>Bequest (1915)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Caroline J. Lytle</td>
<td>(1928)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Lear Black</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Eleanor S. Cohen</td>
<td>Gifts (1919)</td>
<td>Historical relics and $300, Memorial to her</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>parents, Israel and Cecilia E. Cohen (1926)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Susan Dobbin Leakin</td>
<td>(1924)</td>
<td>Preparation of J. Wilson Leakin room and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>contribution to its contents.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Exley Calvert</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Thomas B. Gresham</td>
<td>Bequest (1926)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isaac Henry Ford</td>
<td>Bequest (1916)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Hall Harris</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isaac F. Nicholson</td>
<td>Gift (1909)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isaac Tyson Norris</td>
<td>Gift (1916)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Henry Stickney</td>
<td>Bequest (1892)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Emilie McKim Reed</td>
<td>Bequest (1926)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry Stockbridge</td>
<td>Gift (1920)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeCourcy W. Thom</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. DeCourcy W. Thom</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. G. Baker</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td></td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. W. Hall Harris</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td></td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adelaide S. Wilson</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td></td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Appleton Wilson</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td></td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Power Wilson</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td></td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Rebecca Lanier King</td>
<td>Bequest (1928)</td>
<td></td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McHenry Howard</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td></td>
<td>333.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles McHenry Howard</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td></td>
<td>333.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Gray Howard</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td></td>
<td>333.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon Dalsheimer</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td></td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles White, Jr.</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td></td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor Name</td>
<td>Gift Type</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Nellie Williams</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles C. Homer, Jr.</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raphael Semmes</td>
<td>Gifts</td>
<td>140.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. George F. Libby</td>
<td>Gifts</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel M. Wilson</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>120.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis H. Dielman</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. C. Hoffman</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry P. Hynson</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Ingle</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Rebecca Littlejohn</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John H. Morgan</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence J. Morris</td>
<td>Life Membership</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Charlotte Gilman Paul</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Mary B. Redwood</td>
<td>Life Membership</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Mary Clough Cain</td>
<td>Life Membership</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Harvey Davis</td>
<td>Life Membership</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Ida M. Shirk</td>
<td>Life Membership</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Joseph Y. Jeanes</td>
<td>Life Membership</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard C. Steiner</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Alexis Shriver</td>
<td>Life Membership</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Edmund Key</td>
<td>Life Membership</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwin Warfield, Jr.</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Emma U. Warfield</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanchard Randall</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>43.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferd. Bernheimer</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter I. Dawkins</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William J. Donnelly</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. E. Duncan</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. E. Edmunds Foster</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John W. Marshall</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Parker</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Joseph Y. Jeanes</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Annan</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. C. Shriver</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Francis T. Redwood</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. John H. Sherburne</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Annie Leakin Sioussat</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Grafton Duvall</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. V. E. Mohler</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William B. Levy</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philip Francis Trippe</td>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The history of the contest of a century between the Penns and Calverts over the "Three Lower Counties," then a comparatively narrow tract of land along the Delaware River, Bay and Ocean, which resulted in making the State of Delaware a separate Commonwealth, is found in the Archives of Pennsylvania, Maryland and New York. These Archives contain copies of grants, records, documents, papers, proceedings, testimony and orders in the hearings in England before the Lords of Trade and Plantations in 1683-1685 and in the suit in Chancery decided in 1750 by Lord Chancellor Hardwicke in the contest between the Penns and Calverts. The claim is made that the existence of Delaware as a separate Commonwealth is due to the planting the De Vries Colony in 1631 at Lewes on a narrow tract of land two miles wide and thirty-two miles in length under a grant by the West India Company of Holland.

England claimed the territory, now Delaware, being the East half of Peninsula lying between the Chesapeake Bay and the Delaware River, Bay and Ocean by right of discovery of land from Cape Fear to Canada and by occupation by Colonies in many places long prior to 1631. June 20, 1632, Charles I made a grant to Lord Baltimore of Maryland—being all of the land between the Chesapeake Bay and the Delaware River, Bay and Ocean and other land. In 1681, Charles II made a grant to William Penn of Pennsylvania.
Penn, discovering that he had no outlet to his province, saw that he must have the "Three Lower Counties on Delaware" then a comparatively narrow tract of land along the Delaware River, Bay and Ocean, for an outlet to Pennsylvania, even though this territory had been granted to Lord Baltimore in 1632.

The contest between the Penns and Calverts over what was then known as the "Three Lower Counties," waged for nearly a century, finally resulted in taking from Lord Baltimore a part of his grant— the entire east half of his Peninsula, now Delaware territory, and giving it to the Penns.

Delaware owes its existence as a separate Commonwealth to this fight of a century in which Lord Baltimore lost and Penn gained the east half of the Peninsula—now Delaware.

WALTER A. POWELL,
April, 1932.
Dover, Delaware.

The De Vries monument on the site of the Colony seated at Lewes by the Dutch in 1631 bears the following inscription:

"That Delaware exists as a separate Commonwealth is due to this Colony."

This inscription had its origin in a clause in the patent to Maryland issued by Charles I. of England to Cecelius Calvert—Lord Baltimore, dated June 20th, 1632, viz: "A country hither-to uncultivated (Hactenus inculta) . . . and partly inhabited by savages having no knowledge of the Divine Being."

The De Vries Colony was planted in 1631 on a narrow tract of land on the coast extending from the present south line of Delaware north 32 miles to a point a little north of Bower's Beach and 2 miles in width, under a grant from the West India Company to Godyn and Bloemmacrt and the purchase thereof by them from the Indians. The claim is made that as the De Vries Colony was planted in 1631, this "Country" was cultivated and inhabited by the Dutch prior to Lord Baltimore's grant made June 20, 1632 (hereafter discussed); consequently it was not then "uncultivated" and partly inhabited by "savages": Therefore, "That Delaware exists as a separate Commonwealth is due to this Colony." The territory now known as Delaware, comprising the entire east half of the Peninsula
between the Delaware Bay and River on the East and of the Chesapeake Bay on the West, does not owe its existence as a "separate Commonwealth" to the De Vries Colony. The "Three Lower Counties on Delaware" grew into a "separate Commonwealth" through a fight of a century between the Penns and Calverts over what is now Delaware territory.

The following are the historic facts, records and evidence from which the east half of the Peninsula lying between the Delaware Bay and River and the Chesapeake Bay grew into a separate Commonwealth. In 1609, Henry Hudson, in the employ of the Dutch East India Company sailed into a Bay (Delaware Bay) in the "Half Moon." He was looking for a short-passage to the Indies. Finding that the Bay was full of shoals and dangerous he, without landing, sailed out to sea and up the New Jersey coast to Manhattan Island. In 1614, Captain Cornelis Jacobson Mey sailed in the "Fortune" from Holland to the Hudson River. He then sailed south along the New Jersey coast to Delaware Bay, charting the coast. He gave the name, "Cape Cornelis" to the cape now called "Cape Henlopen," and the name "Cape Hinlopen" to the point where the South line of Delaware as now established meets the ocean—now called "Cape James,"—changed by order of William Penn in 1682.

In October, 1614, the States General of Holland granted to Merchants of Amsterdam and Hoorn exclusive privilege of trading for three years between 40 and 45 degrees of latitude (from about Long Branch, New Jersey to Canada though the ancient line of the 40th degree was then supposed to be a little north of the present site of New Castle); and called the territory New Netherland. They later claimed that the boundary of New Netherland along the sea coast extended from (Old) Cape Hinlopen to Cape Cod and as far inland as title by possession warranted. The Dutch called Delaware River the South River. In 1615 and 1616 Captain Cornelis Hendrickson in the "Onrust" (Restless) sailed up the Delaware River to Christiana Creek.

In 1621, the States General of Holland gave a charter to the
Dutch West India Company granting the right to trade and colonize along the entire American Coast from the Straits of Magellan to Hudson Bay for a period of twenty-four years. In 1623, the Company built Fort Nassau on the Jersey side of the Delaware River, but later abandoned it. The Dutch did not plant any colony on any of the above expeditions on the west side of the Delaware Bay and River. This roving commission was given by Holland notwithstanding the fact that King James of England in 1606 had granted two patents—one to the Virginia Company and the other to the Plymouth Company, which covered the territory from Cape Fear, North Carolina to Canada: That under these patents many colonies were planted, the first one in Virginia at Jamestown in 1607, and in New England at Plymouth in 1620, and many fishing colonies earlier. Captain Nathaniel Powell and Robert Tindell, surveyors, were employed and sent over in 1607, by the Virginia Company in London to make surveys and maps of the new country. They made surveys, charts and maps of the country, which were used by Captain John Smith as a part of his history of Virginia.

The author of “New Albion,” published in London in 1648, in describing the Delaware Bay refers to Captain John Smith’s book of Virginia and Capt. Powell’s map. Captain Powell was killed by the Indians in 1622.

In 1629, the Dutch West India Company granted to any member of the Company who would plant a colony in New Netherland the “right to select and acquire a tract of land Sixteen Dutch miles in length, if on one side of a river, or eight Dutch miles (32 English miles) on each side of the river, and to extend as far into the country as the situation of the occupiers should make desirable,” on condition, however, that any one planting a colony should purchase the land from the Indians. The distance inland was later changed and fixed at one half of a Dutch mile (two English miles). Samuel Godyn and Samuel Bloemaert, called Patroons, through their agent, selected and on June 1, 1629, purchased from the Indians the following tract of land situated on the west side of Delaware Bay and extending in length from Old Cape Hinlopen off into the mouth
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of the South (Delaware) River about eight Dutch miles (32 English miles a little north of Bower's Beach) and half a Dutch mile (2 English miles) in width. Godyn and Bloememaert soon after formed a partnership with David Pietersen De Vries and others for the purpose of establishing a colony on this narrow tract of land two miles wide and thirty-two miles in length. The Patroons equipped a ship—the "Walrus"—Whale, which sailed under Captain Peter Heyes in December, 1630, with twenty-eight colonists and supplies for farming and whale fishing, to plant a colony on this narrow strip of land 2 miles wide and 32 miles in length. The "Walrus" arrived in Delaware Bay in the spring of 1631, and landed the colonists at a place which they called Zwaanendael—Swanendael—"Valley of Swans," just north of the present town of Lewes. They built a house "well beset with palisades in place of breastworks" and called it Fort Optlandt. This entire colony, except one man, was murdered and the house destroyed in a few months by the Indians.

The whale fishing under Captain Heyes had been a losing venture; but encouraged by Godyn, De Vries, going as commander of the ship, agreed to undertake a voyage for whale fishing. De Vries, on May 24, 1632, sailed from Holland for the "whale fishery," not to colonize but to engage in "whale fishing." He arrived at the South Bay December 5, 1632, and on December 6th went up the river in a boat to Swanendael. He found the house destroyed and "lying here and there the skulls and bones of the people." A report of the murder of the colony had been received in Holland before De Vries sailed on May 24, 1632. He did not sail for the purpose of again colonizing, but to engage in whale fishing. The whale fishing was a failure, and in the spring of 1633 De Vries sailed from the South Bay and arrived in Holland in midsummer of 1633.

After the murder of the colony at Swanendael in the latter part of 1631, the Patroons never made any further attempt to colonize this narrow tract of land. It was utterly abandoned to the savages. When Charles I, on June 20, 1632, made a grant of Maryland to Lord Baltimore, this narrow tract of land
2 miles wide and 32 miles in length was primeval forest, uncultivated and inhabited by savages.

On June 20th, 1632, Charles I granted a patent to Cecelius Calvert, Lord Baltimore, to that part of a peninsula lying "between the Ocean on the East and the Bay of Chesapeake on the West," and other land west of the Chesapeake Bay. This grant was bounded on the South by the present South line of Maryland, on the east by the Ocean and Bay running North "into that part of the estuary of Delaware which lyeth under the 40th degree—where New England ends," and bounded on the North by a right line (the 40th degree) from "the said estuary called Delaware Bay" to the Chesapeake Bay, etc. This grant which included the Peninsula north of the south line of Maryland was named by the King "Terra Mariae"—Maryland in honor of Queen Henrietta Maria. It is this patent which contains the statement above that the grant is for a "certain regions... hitherto uncultivated (Hactenus inculta) and partly inhabited by savages."

In 1638, the Swedes sailed up the Delaware River, bought from the Indians the land on the coast from Old Cape Hinlopen to Christiana and planted a colony at Christiana, now Wilmington, and later settled a few colonies along the river a short distance above and below Christiana. The territory from Christiana to Old Cape Hinlopen was then a wilderness occupied by savages.

After a lapse of twenty years the Dutch, in 1651, again came to the South River and built Fort Casimer, now New Castle, without the shadow of a claim to the land either by occupation or purchase from the Indians. In 1655, they subjugated the Swedes, captured the Swedish forts and colonies and called the town, now New Castle, New Amstel. Recognizing the fact that they had no right or claim to any land West of the Delaware River and Bay, Peter Stuyvesant, the Dutch governor, in 1659, by order of the West India Company, purchased from the Indians the land from Bombay Hook to Old Cape Hinlopen and built a fort at the Hoornkill whorekill,—now Lewes, and manned it with five or six soldiers in order to annex it to the colony
at New Amstel. This purchase from the Indians of land which they had in 1638 sold to the Swedes, included the narrow strip which Godyn and Bloemmaert had bought, June 1, 1629, from the Indians, but abandoned after the murder of the De Vries colony in 1631. The Marylanders were beginning to settle in that part of the country under Lord Baltimore and the Dutch abandoned the fort at the Hoornkill. Lord Baltimore, learning of the Dutch Colony at New Amstel, directed his agent James Neale, in 1660, to present to the Assembly of the West India Company in Holland, his patent to the territory of Maryland and notify the Assembly that the Dutch Colony at New Amstel was seated within the limits of Maryland, and demand submission of the colony to Lord Baltimore.

In 1664, Charles II made a grant to his brother James, Duke of York, of a large body of land which included New York, New Jersey and other land, but not the land west of the Delaware River. The Duke of York then sent his war ships under Sir Richard Nicolls to America, subjugated the Dutch and Swedes and took possession of the land, colonies and forts along the west side of the Delaware River and Bay occupied by the Swedes and Dutch—afterwards called the "Three Lower Counties on Delaware." There were, however, no boundary lines named. The Swedes and Dutch occupied the land only along the coast about Christiana and New Amstel with a few settlers at the Whorekill. The Duke exercised jurisdiction over the "Three Lower Counties on Delaware" as an appendage of New York, and remained in possession thereof until he made deeds to William Penn, August 24th, 1682, although the description in Lord Baltimore’s grant to Maryland bounded on the East by the Ocean, Delaware Bay and River, covered these Three Lower Counties. Charles II, on March 4, 1681, made a grant to William Penn of the Province of Pennsylvania. This grant was bounded on the East by the Delaware River from twelve miles North of New Castle Towne, unto the 43rd degree of North latitude; ... on the North by the 43rd degree extending West five degrees in longitude; on the South by a circle drawn at twelve miles distance from New Castle and west-
ward on the circle unto the 40th degree and thence westward on
the 40th degree to the limit of longitude above mentioned.
When the respective grants were made to Lord Baltimore in
1632 of Maryland and to Penn in 1681 of Pennsylvania, the
40th degree was shown on John Smith's map and other ancient
maps and charts as being a little north of New Castle. The
King, Lord Baltimore and William Penn understood that this
ancient line was the true line of the 40th degree.

William Penn in April, 1681, sent his agent, William Mark-
ham, to America to take possession of his "Province" and to
settle boundary disputes, especially with Lord Baltimore. Lord
Baltimore and William Markham, in attempting to ascertain
the boundary line between Pennsylvania and Maryland, taking
an observation with a sextant, discovered that the true line of
the 40th degree was farther North,—viz. at the Schuylkill River
about the site of Philadelphia. Penn now discovered that he
had no inlet to or outlet from his Province: The 40th degree
did not afford him a harbor; he feared he would have to buy one
from Lord Baltimore and have ships enter and clear out of
Maryland by way of the Chesapeake Bay. Penn then proposed
to Lord Baltimore to buy a convenient port at the head of the
Bay of Chesapeake so that he "might have a back port to his
Province." "I told him," said Penn, "it was not the love or
need of the land, but the water; . . . that I would not be thus
importunate, but for the importance of the thing to save a
Province; that without an outlet his country would be but a
dead lump of earth." Lord Baltimore refused to sell or allow
him a port on the Chesapeake Bay.

Penn foresaw that he must have New Castle and the territory
later called the "Three Lower Counties,"—New Castle, Kent
and Sussex and the Delaware River and Bay, otherwise his
"commerce and trade would be at the mercy" of the possessor
of these counties. He now applied to the Duke of York for a
grant of these counties, but found the Duke hesitant about com-
plying: But this grant was necessary to "save a Province." The
Duke of York, though he had no grant from the King,
finally, on August 24, 1682, made two deeds to William Penn,—
one deed to New Castle and all that tract of land lying within a circle of twelve miles about the same. The other deed was to all that tract of land upon Delaware River and Bay, beginning twelve miles south from New Castle, and extending south to (Old) Cape Hinlopen, but without naming the west boundary thereof. In fact, no West boundary had ever been established. After the discovery by the sextant that the true line of the 40th degree was at the Schuylkill about the site of Philadelphia, Lord Baltimore claimed that this true line of the 40th degree was the north line of Maryland, and that all the land thereunto and also the "Three Lower Counties" were "comprised within his grant," and that the River and Bay was the east boundary line of Maryland. Penn maintained that his respective grants included all the land down to the ancient line of the 40th degree (New Castle) and also the "Three Lower Counties," although no western or southern boundary lines thereof had ever been established.

In April, 1683, Lord Baltimore filed a petition with the King to settle the boundary disputes between Pennsylvania and Maryland and to prevent a grant by the King to the Duke of York to confirm William Penn's title to the "Three Lower Counties." The King referred this petition to the Lords of Trade and Plantations for a hearing between Penn and Lord Baltimore. In these hearings before their Lordships Penn based his claim to the "Three Lower Counties" on the following grounds,—

First, the absolute necessity of the possession of the Three Lower Counties on Delaware so that he could have free access through the Delaware Bay and River to his Province of Pennsylvania. Penn made a written statement to their Lordships while he was still in America in which he set forth the fact that he had no outlet to his Province; that he had tried to buy from Lord Baltimore a convenient port at the head of the Chesapeake Bay. In this statement he set forth his interview with Lord Baltimore concerning his great need of an outlet for his Province, in which he said,—"I told him it was not the love or need of land but water, . . . that I would not be so importunate but for the importance of the thing to save a Province"; "But that
Lord Baltimore had refused to sell or allow him a port on the Chesapeake Bay."

Second, That the boundaries of Maryland were vague, indefinite and uncertain. That Lord Baltimore was wrongfully claiming the land north of the ancient line of the 40th degree to its newly discovered location (The Schuylkill) and also the whole Peninsula to the Delaware River, Bay and Ocean on the east. Penn maintained that the ancient line of the 40th degree was the south boundary line of Pennsylvania. This ancient line of the 40th degree was finally established as the north line of Maryland both by the decision of the Lords of Trade and Plantations and by the decision of Lord Chancellor Hardwicke (hereafter discussed).

Third, That Lord Baltimore’s patent was only to land “hitherto uncultivated.”

In 1685, there were no living witnesses to tell of ancient settlements or of the murdered colony of a half century before in the primeval forest of an unknown country inhabited only by Indians. The evidence produced by Penn at the hearings before the Lords of Trade and Plantations was traditionary and nebulous with no living witness to tell the facts. It was of a general character—to the effect that the “country of Delaware was inhabited by the Swedes and Dutch as early as 1609 or at least before the date of Lord Baltimore’s patent:” There was no evidence of the planting of the De Vries colony at Swanendael in 1631, nor of any specific settlement at all by either the Dutch or Swedes. In a suit in Chancery brought in 1735, a century after the De Vries Colony in 1631, by the sons of William Penn against the then Lord Baltimore (hereafter discussed) the allegations in their petition, evidently from the records in the suit in 1683 and papers of William Penn, deceased, were that in ancient times a settlement was “planted and inhabited by the Swedish nation and afterwards by the Dutch” on the land, and that the “Swedes and Dutch successively possessed and enjoyed for many years after the date of Letters Patent to the said Cecelius their said ancient settlements on Delaware.” These allegations and the evidence offered in the suit show the
general, indefinite and erroneous character of the evidence before their Lordships in 1685. There was in fact no settlement by the Swedes, until 1638, and none by the Dutch until 1651, except the murdered colony in 1631 of which there was no evidence in either suit. In 1659, the inhabited part of the colonies of the Swedes and Dutch did not extend west beyond four English miles from the Dutch fort Casimer, now New Castle, nor south from Bombay Hook to (Old) Cape Hinlopen. The several expeditions from 1609 to 1629 by the Dutch were not for colonizing but for discovery and trading purposes. The evidence and statements both by Penn in 1685 and by his sons in 1735 that the country of Delaware was planted and inhabited by the Swedes and Dutch and “successively possest” by the Swedes and Dutch were evidently confused with the actual settlement by the Swedes at Christiana in 1638 followed by the Dutch in 1655. William Penn did not know of the De Vries Colony settled at Swanendael in 1631, nor offer any evidence thereof in the hearings in 1683-1685, before their Lordships. But even if he had known of this murdered colony the land after the murder had lapsed again into a primeval forest, was uncultivated and inhabited by savages in 1632 at the time Lord Baltimore’s patent was issued.

In 1654, the head of the Chesapeake Bay was not seated, so the Marylanders did not take much notice either of the Swedes or Dutch, looking upon them as traders, “there being no road between the head of Chesapeake and Delaware Bays by which means the Marylanders could be informed of the proceedings of the Dutch and Swedes:” Nor was there any settlement at the Whorekill from the time of the murdered colony until the Dutch built a fort there in 1659. In 1655, the Dutch subjugated the Swedes and claimed possession of the South River. In 1659, Lord Baltimore sent his commissioner, Colonel Utie to New Amstel, who claimed all the land on the South River for Lord Baltimore, and ordered the Dutch to leave or else declare themselves subjects of Lord Baltimore. Both before and after the Duke of York assumed jurisdiction of the Three Lower Counties in 1664, Lord Baltimore continued to assert his right
to these counties against the Duke, Swedes and Dutch. A few
grants of land were made along and near the coast by the Gov-
ernors of the Duke of York—Lovelace and Andross. Penn,
except along the coast, exercised no authority, nor made any
grants of land in Sussex until after the boundary lines had been
established in 1767. In Kent and New Castle Penn made some
grants farther inland, but none on the western part of what is
now Delaware. Lord Baltimore, especially in Kent and Sussex,
continued making grants of land until the boundary lines were
established in 1767. Courts had been established under Lord
Baltimore, the first court on Kent Island in 1637. Counties
had been organized—the first one in Kent County (Maryland),
in 1650, followed by Talbot in 1660, and later but prior to 1682
in Dorchester, Somerset and Cecil. The courts in these counties
exercised jurisdiction over the whole of the Peninsula until 1767
except in that part along the River and Bay settled by the
Swedes, Dutch, Duke of York and William Penn. Suits had
been brought and judgments rendered by the Maryland courts
in the various counties against the inhabitants and lands in what
is now Delaware territory. The General Assembly of Delaware
in 1775 enacted a law by which these judgments rendered by
the Maryland courts could be collected and rendered effective
through proceedings in Delaware courts.

On the question as to the territory covered by Lord Balti-
more’s patent, there are several instances in which it was
recognized that Maryland territory extended to and was bounded
on the East by the Delaware River, Bay and Ocean. Charles II
in the twelfth year of his reign in a letter to the “Governor and
Council of the Virginias” recognized Lord Baltimore’s juris-
diction over Maryland “according to his Patent or charter of
the said Province to him granted by Charles I.” William Penn
recognized the fact that the Delaware River, Bay and Ocean
was the East boundary line of Maryland, for in the interview
with Lord Baltimore in 1683, he tried to purchase a port at the
head of Chesapeake Bay for an outlet from his Province, even
though he had two deeds in his possession to the Three Lower
Counties made to him August 24, 1682, by the Duke of York.
In the litigation between the Penns and Lord Baltimore, the question as to the North boundary line of Maryland in Lord Baltimore’s patent was submitted by their Lordships to three eminent lawyers—C. Wearg, J. Willes and P. York. They gave as their opinion that the ancient line of the 40th degree, which was above New Castle, ran to the Delaware River, that the head of the Delaware Bay was understood in that ancient time to be at the 40th degree and that this ancient line of the 40th degree was the north line of Maryland. Notwithstanding the fact that Lord Baltimore’s grant to Maryland was bounded on the east by the Delaware River, Bay and Ocean, that this boundary had been recognized in the several instances above cited, and disregarding the fact that Lord Baltimore had actual and undisputed possession of the said entire Peninsula from the Chesapeake to the Delaware Bay, except a narrow strip off of the east side thereof known as the “Three Lower Counties on Delaware” settled and actually occupied by the Swedes and Dutch and a few English under the Duke, that he was making grants of land and exercising jurisdiction through county governments and ancient courts above named over the entire Peninsula to the River, Bay and Ocean except the jurisdiction claimed by the Duke of York over the narrow strip along the River, Bay and Ocean, the Lords of Trade and Plantations on October 31, 1685 made the following proposition to Lord Baltimore:

“Their Lordships taking into consideration what might be the proper boundaries of the country of Delaware in question, it is proposed that the whole Peninsula or tract of land called Delaware from East to West as far as Cape Hinlopen southward, may be divided into two equal parts between His Majesty and my Lord Baltimore.”

The proposition was rejected by “my Lord Baltimore.” Their Lordships indulging in a fiction in the above proposition called the whole Peninsula or tract of land between the Chesapeake and Delaware Bays—“Delaware,” ignoring the fact that this whole “Peninsula” had been granted by Charles I to Lord Baltimore and that the King had given it the name “Terra Mariae” “Maryland” in his grant in honor of his Queen
Henrietta Maria. The east half of the Peninsula was never called "Delaware" until the convention, Sept. 11, 1776, in adopting a "Declaration of Rights" called it "The Delaware State"—formerly styled "The government of the Counties of New Castle, Kent and Sussex upon Delaware." It had been sometimes called "The Three Lower Counties," and when annexed by Penn to his Province of Pennsylvania was called "The Territories." Their Lordships had evidently determined that William Penn must have an outlet to "save a Province." By ignoring the grant by Charles I to Lord Baltimore of Maryland, and calling the whole Peninsula "Delaware" they found an unjustifiable excuse for their subsequent report to his Majesty giving Penn one half of the Peninsula.

On November 7, 1685, their Lordships, making no findings as to any settlement by the Swedes and Dutch, or either of them, made the following report to the King:

"Their Lordships resolve to report their opinion to His Majesty,—that for avoiding further differences the tract of land lying between the River and Bay of Delaware and the Eastern Sea on the one side, and the Chesapeake Bay on the other be divided into two equal parts by a line from the latitude of (Old) Cape Hinlopen to the 40th degree northern latitude, and that one half thereof lying towards the Bay of Delaware and Eastern Sea be adjudged to belong to His Majesty and that the other half remain to the Lord Baltimore as comprised within his charter."

"Nov. 7, 1685, His Majesty well approving said report, it was thereupon ordered by His Majesty in Council, that the said lands be forthwith divided accordingly."

His Majesty had not made a grant to the Duke of York of the Three Lower Counties on Delaware at the time the Duke made his two deeds of August 24, 1682 to William Penn. His Majesty subsequently made a grant to the Duke of York of the Three Lower Counties.

While there was no evidence before their Lordships of this murdered colony planted by Dutch at Swanendael in 1631, nor any knowledge thereof either by William Penn or their Lordships, yet Penn had offered evidence of a general character to the
effect that the country of Delaware was inhabited by the Swedes and Dutch before the date of Lord Baltimore’s Patent, though entirely erroneous except as to the murdered colony at Swanendael, therefore he was entitled to a finding on the issue made by him of “Haetenus inculta.”

On November 13, 1685, their Lordships made the following report including a general finding that the land was inhabited and planted by Christians at and before the date of Lord Baltimore’s Patent with no evidence thereof except the general but erroneous statements above shown, and none as to the De Vries Colony or of any specific settlement by either the Dutch or Swedes. Their Lordships still indulged in the fiction of calling the Peninsula “A tract of land in America called De la Ware.”

“Their Lordship find . . . that this tract of land, now in dispute, was inhabited and planted by Christians at and before the date of Lord Baltimore’s Patent as it hath been ever since to this time and continued as a distinct colony from that of Maryland; so that their Lordships offer their opinion that for avoiding further differences the tract of land,—the Peninsula, be divided into two equal parts, etc.,—following the order of Nov. 7, 1685, giving the east half to His Majesty and the west half to Lord Baltimore.”

This new finding does not appear to have been approved by His Majesty. This finding that the land was inhabited and planted by Christians at and before the date of Lord Baltimore’s patent “as it hath been ever since to this time as a distinct colony from Maryland,” could only apply to the settlement by the Swedes in 1638 followed by the Dutch in 1655 and English in 1664. It is conclusive of the traditionary and erroneous character of the evidence of settlements by the Swedes and Dutch at and prior to Lord Baltimore’s patent. As Penn did not know of this colony of Swanendael, nor offer any evidence thereof in the hearings in 1685, before their Lordships, they, therefore, did not base their findings on their report of November 13, 1685, on the settlement of this colony by the Dutch in 1631.

On what grounds did their Lordships base their report that
the entire Peninsula be divided into two equal parts giving the west half thereof to Lord Baltimore,—land given to him by his grant in 1632 and in his possession, and the East half thereof to William Penn? Certainly the entire east half of the Peninsula could not be adjudged to Penn because of the De Vries settlement at Swanendael on a narrow tract of land two miles wide and thirty-two miles long on the coast and Bay, for which Godyn and Blaemmaert had a patent from the West India Company but which had been abandoned and had returned to its wild state—uncultivated and inhabited by savages. The boundaries of this narrow tract of land on which the De Vries Colony was planted in 1631, even had it been known to Penn, the Lords of Trade and Plantations, or Lord Chancellor Hardwicke, could not be extended and enlarged until they embraced the whole east half of the Peninsula so as to preclude King Charles I from making a grant to Lord Baltimore in 1632 of territory on the Peninsula beyond the limits of this narrow tract only two miles wide and thirty-two miles in length because of the clause "Haetenus inculta" in Lord Baltimore's Patent. The report of their Lordships that the Peninsula should be divided into two equal parts giving Penn the entire east half thereof was based on the absolute necessity of William Penn for an outlet to the sea to "save a Province"—Pennsylvania. It was entirely unwarranted, either in law or equity from the facts. Lord Baltimore, regarding the order taking from him the entire east half of the Peninsula to which he had a patent from King Charles I as arbitrary, unwarranted and unjust, refused to abide by the report of their Lordships or obey the order of the King to divide the land. In 1708 and 1709, Lord Baltimore filed petitions with Queen Anne to set aside the order of the King made in 1685. Both petitions were denied by the Queen. William Penn died July 30, 1718. He appointed his widow, Hannah Penn, sole executrix of his will. As Executrix and Trustee under Penn's will she assumed supervision of the affairs of the Province and Territories (Three Lower Counties). The uncertainty of the boundary lines and ownership of the land both as between Pennsylvania and Maryland and the
Territories gave rise to disputes and difficulties which many times ripened into violence. In order to avoid these difficulties an agreement was made in 1623 between Lord Baltimore and Hannah Penn as executrix that no person or persons on either side shall be disturbed or molested in their possessions for a term of eighteen months. This agreement put an end to border trouble for some years.

In 1731 serious disputes accompanied with violence broke out between the respective grantees of Lord Baltimore and the Penns. These difficulties growing increasingly violent finally resulted in an agreement of compromise between John, Thomas and Richard Penn—sons of William Penn, and Charles Calvert—Lord Baltimore, a descendant of Cecelius Calvert, the first Lord Baltimore. This agreement, dated May 10, 1732, after reciting that disputes and differences had arisen between the former Lord Baltimore and William Penn touching the bounds and limits of Pennsylvania and Maryland and Maryland and the Three Lower Counties of New Castle, Kent and Sussex, provided that, to put an end to the said disputes and differences, Lord Baltimore agreed to a settlement of the boundary disputes and to forever renounce and release unto the Penns all pretensions to the said Counties of New Castle, Kent and Sussex. The agreement further set out the metes and bounds of the east half of the Peninsula, and provided that a line on the south should be run west from Old Cape Hinlopen to the middle of the Peninsula, that from the end of that line a straight line should run northwards up the Peninsula until it touched the western part of a circle with a twelve miles radius from the center of New Castle, then following the said circle eastwardly until it meets the Delaware River on the east. That commissioners should be appointed by the respective parties to run, mark and lay out the circle and lines accordingly. Commissioners were appointed, but failed to act and the agreement was therefore not consummated. Hostilities were renewed and acts of violence increased until there became a border warfare between the respective grantees of Penn and Lord Baltimore. Lord Baltimore again applied to the King to confirm his grant to the
"Three Lower Counties" as a part of the grant to him of Maryland. The Penns resisted the application on the ground that Lord Baltimore had made the agreement of Compromise of May 10, 1732, to divide the land and should abide by it. The Penns were then ordered by the King to file a bill in Chancery against Lord Baltimore for a specific performance of the compromise agreement.

In 1734, John, Thomas and Richard Penn filed a bill in Chancery against Lord Baltimore for specific performance of the compromise agreement of May 10, 1732. In 1750, Lord Chancellor Hardwicke rendered a decision in favor of the Penns and against Lord Baltimore. (1 Vesey sr. 444) From the evidence the Lord Chancellor found that the boundaries in the respective grants to Lord Baltimore and William Penn were uncertain and in doubt; that Lord Baltimore claimed the land to the 40th degree (Philadelphia), but that latitudes were

"set much lower anciently than now; that the ancient line of the 40th degree was supposed then to be above New Castle; that there is considerable evidence that Dutch and Swedes were settled on the east part of that country. That the result of all the evidence ... amounts to make the boundaries of these counties and the rights of the parties doubtful, that therefore the parties could not resort back to the original rights between them, but must stand upon the agreement."

The Lord Chancellor, thereupon, decreed a specific performance of the agreement of May 10, 1732, by Lord Baltimore. The decision and decree of Lord Chancellor Hardwicke was not based on the words "Haetenus inculta"—"Hitherto uncultivated" in Lord Baltimore's grant, nor on the settlement made by the Dutch at Lewes in 1631, for the Lord Chancellor had no evidence or knowledge of this colony, but on the agreement between the Penns and Lord Baltimore May 10, 1732, which was made to terminate hostilities and to settle their disputes and differences touching the bounds and limits of Pennsylvania and Maryland and the Three Lower Counties—New Castle, Kent and Sussex. It was not until 1760 that Frederick, the Sixth Lord Baltimore (grew weary of the fight and accepted the
THE FIGHT BETWEEN THE PENNS AND CALVERTS.

decree of the Chancellor as final. In accordance with this decree, Commissioners were appointed to run, mark and lay out the boundary lines as provided in the agreement, but the work was delayed by disputes caused mainly by Lord Baltimore. In 1763, Charles Mason and Jeremiah Dixon, surveyors, employed by Thomas and Richard Penn, John having died, and Lord Baltimore, began the work of running and marking the lines, boundaries and circle of Delaware and between Maryland and Pennsylvania as provided in the agreement, which was completed in 1767. The territory embraced within these boundary lines of the east half of the Peninsula became the State of Delaware.

"That Delaware exists as a separate Commonwealth" is not due to the De Vries Colony settled at Swanendael in 1631 on a narrow tract of land two miles wide and thirty-two miles in length. The State of Delaware "owes its existence as a separate Commonwealth" to Penn's absolute need for an outlet to save his Province of Pennsylvania; This resulted in a fight of a century between the Penns and Calverts in which Lord Baltimore lost and Penn won the entire east half of the Peninsula—the territory now the State of Delaware.

THE SOCIETY OF FRIENDS IN MARYLAND.

By Delmar Leon Thornbury.

The history of the early years of the Friends is the history of its founder, George Fox, born in Drayton, Leicestershire, England, sometime in July 1624. No movement succeeds unless there is a prepared, receptive field, and he found a yearning to walk and live in direct communion with the Spirit of God. He began to preach late in 1647, speaking with force and earnestness, truly inspired, excelling in prayer, longing for a more spiritual life; and became the most powerful preacher of the day, presenting an outward life consistent with inner profession and seeking divine power within, to live in accordance with God.
The Independents were a religious body in England which allowed discussion after the sermon, and Fox usually entered their churches. At first, there was no idea that a new branch of the church would be established, but because of the objections and obstructions placed against their worshipping in any existing denomination, they found “meetings” actually established before the fact was realized. Once begun, the movement spread to other counties until by 1654 it was all over England, Scotland, Ireland and Wales. The “truth” broke forth in America in 1656.

Mary Fisher and Ann Austin arrived in Boston July 11th, 1656. They were committed to prison and kept there five weeks. More than 100 of their books were burned, and when released the jailer kept their Bibles and bedding for prison fees. The master of the ship which brought them, had to take them back gratis to the Barbadoes. Two days after they sailed on Aug. 7, 1656, eight new Quakers sailed into Boston, and were lodged in their recently vacated prison, kept eleven weeks in close confinement, deprived of all material comforts, and eventually sent back to England.

The first of the missionary Friends to land on Maryland soil was Elizabeth Harris in September of the same year. Under her ministry a large group of Friends was gathered around the Severn River and in the Isle of Kent. Among the “Convincements” was Robert Clarkson, a member of the House of Burgesses from Ann Arundell. Under date of January 14, 1658 (Old Style 1657) he wrote a letter to Elizabeth Harris, then in England, mentioning his wife, Ann Dorsey and husband, John Baldwin, Henry Caplin, Charles Balye, Elizabeth Beasley, Thomas Cole, William Cole, Henry Woolchurch, William Fuller, William Durand, Nicholas Wayte. He speaks of the localities at Herring Creek, Rhoad River, South River, Severn, Brand Neck, Seven Mountains and Kent Island.

His letter mentions two messengers lately come to Virginia, but he did not know their names. For the first few years, the membership was a small affair drawn from persons with no definite religious affiliation.
The persecutions in Maryland were mild compared to any other place. The Colonial Records, under date of July 8, 1658, report "alarm felt by the increase of the Quakers." What persecution, that did take place, was based upon the mistaken idea that they were hostile to a well-ordered governmental regime. As soon as the real nature of the religion was discovered it became respected, largely due to the great leaders of the movement. Besse's Sufferings give a list of more than 40 in Maryland before 1658, given in V 2 P 378 et. sq Homewood Library.

Josiah Coale and Thomas Thurston had "wasted" six months in prison in Virginia, though they made several converts, and upon release came up into Maryland with Thomas Campbell. Here they refused to submit to the authority of the Proprietor, and were ordered to move on. They made their way through the wilderness on foot, many hundreds of miles, to New England. The First Epistle to Friends in Maryland was written by Josiah Coale from Essex, England 7-5-1664, and the Second from the common jail in Kendal, Westmoreland in England 7-29-1665. This section of the old country was quite a field for George Fox where his most valuable convert was Margaret Fell, then the wife of Judge Fell of Swarthmoor Hall. He never joined the society, and at his death left her a great amount of property, with corresponding influence. Her house became the headquarters of a missionary band, as many of the early preachers came from the vicinity of Cartmel and Ulverston in Lancashire-over-Sands, and of Kendall in Westmoreland. She became the wife of George Fox and brought her remarkable attainments, great executive ability and excellent judgment to the assistance of her husband, who though one of the most mystical of modern reformers was at the same time practical and full of common sense and filled with zeal for the moral, political and social welfare of his hearers. Both received from and wrote many letters to meetings in Maryland.

He taught men to unfold the heaven that each carried within him on earth. Freedom of opinion in things pertaining to God; the wickedness of war; the influence of example; the evil
of slavery; the direct revelation of the Spirit of God, Christ, to the individual soul of each human being; the equality of men and women can be called the fundamental doctrines of his teachings.

Thomas Thurston returned on foot to Maryland the following year after his forced leave, in 1658, and settled by taking up land, going into politics, and for his worldliness was finally disowned. In 1659 William Robertson, Robert Hodgson and Christopher Holder visited and converted many. Virginia expelled the Friends in 1660 and many came especially to the Eastern Shore. Josiah Coale came a second time in 1660 for ten weeks. George Rofe ministered in 1661 and “found many settled meetings in Maryland.”

The most influential of these early leaders was John Burnyeat, who arrived in April 1665 and spent the entire summer travelling and laboring in the ministry within the Province. He came a second time in 1671 staying for several months at Patuxent. On the eve of departing he appointed the first general meeting for April 2nd, 1672, at West River that he might see all the Friends together. They came by boat for generally their houses were on water courses, the highways of the early day. Plain ordinary men and women were these pioneers, with the Spirit of God illuminating, inspiring, and guiding the soul within the body, accustomed to work with their hands and depend upon themselves but united in a spiritual group at “The Meeting.”

This 1672 meeting was twice blessed, for the Founder came up from Jamaica and under the magic of George Fox all were wonderfully comforted and edified. Fox and his friends journeyed down to the “Cliffs of Calvert” and from thence to Tred Avon, “Third Haven,” near Easton on the Eastern Shore. The primitive and quiet sect had flourished. Buildings had been erected along the creeks,—Wye in Talbot; Little Choptank in Dorchester; Island in Kent; Leonard in Calvert. Meetings at private homes are recorded: John Garye’s on the “Cliffs” 1677; Francis Billingsley; Benjamin Lawrence at Patuxent 1679; Ann Chew’s at Herring Creek 1679; Ralph Fishbourne’s 1693 etc.
Betty’s Cove on Miles Creek must be where the first meeting house was built, having ten acres of ground, with graveyard, a school house and a teacher. The records of the Eastern Shore have been kept continuously since 1676. The Great Meeting House was built in 1693 actually on Tred Avon River, and the older place was abandoned. The old place had a new fence in 1694 and another in 1708, but neglect and abandonment crumbled the walls which had been repaired and enlarged in 1676. The ravages of decay have effaced the landmarks in 1934, and while the plot is known, the exact location where sleep the Early Friends has been lost.

The old record book of the West River Meeting was examined by the author. The first pages give two sermons by George Fox in the Barbadoes at Thomas Rouse’s in 1671. Its first minute in Maryland is entered under the date 4th of 4 month, 1677, with four subordinate meetings—West River, Herring Creek, Cliffs, and Patuxent. They also met at John Pitts House and Obiah Judkins.

In 1679 there were meetings at West River on land formerly Francis Hookers, at Herring Creek on land formerly Samuel Chew’s, at the house of Wm. Richardson on West River, at the house of Ann Lumbolt near the head of South River, at the house of John Belt, all in Ann Arundel. Samuel Thornbury, wife Sara, and three boys, John, Richard and Abraham Thornbury were Friends, settling on Lyons Creek, near the Patuxent, and having land there in 1667. Samuel Thornbury died in 1682, his wife remarried in 1683 to Richard Bedworth, who died, and she married third to Matthew Axon 1684.

The Friends also met in Calvert County in a very old meeting house near Leonard’s Creek and at the dwelling of George Royston at the Cliffs. In Talbott County they had a small meeting house at Ralph Fishbourns, another at Howell Powsly, a third between Tuckahoe and King’s Creek, and the 50 foot frame house at the head of Tread Haven River. The small ones were about 20 feet long built of clapboard. Preachers in 1679 were Mr. Wm. Richardson and Samuel Galloway’s wife.
The districts north of the Patapsco were receiving many settlers, and the earliest record of the Patapsco meeting was made Aug. 22, 1681. The first quarterly meeting was held 12th day of the 6th month, 1681, at the residence of Thomas Hookers, and it had committee reports:

Patuxent, Nothing to present at present, things being not yet cool.
Sassafras, Nothing to offer at present.
Chester, All is not well.
Bay Side, All is not well.
Betty Cove, Things are pretty well, excepting one particular.
Tuckahoe, All things are well, and the most part are in love and unity.
Choptank Things are not so well as they could desire, but nothing to offer the meeting.
Muddy Creek, Things are pretty well.
Nassawadox, Nothing from that meeting.

The West River, Third Haven and Cliffs meetings owned small vessels for the use of Friends on journeys of much distance and to and from the assemblies.

These meetings were in existence in 1679, and there were others in Maryland, to-wit: King Creek, Anamessey, Patapsco, Pacaty Norton, Monuy, South River, Mulberry, Elk Ridge, Gunpowder, Queen Ann, Mashel Creek. Transquaking, Herring Creek, and Cliffs. There was a "Youth's Meeting" at West River held on 2nd day 5th month, 1679. In 1700 a "Select Meeting" was held at Wm. Coale's on Fishing Creek.

On March 16th, 1703, permission was given to the Friends of Patapsco to keep their Fifth-day meeting at the house of John Wilmore, on the north side of that River.

A meeting on Gunpowder River was established before 1675. The Gunpowder Monthly meeting eventually was made up of Gunpowder, Patapsco (1703), Patapsco Forest (1746), Elk Ridge (Ellicott City) transferred from West River (1747), and Little Falls established (1752). The Patapsco Meeting obtained an acre plot on one of the highest knolls in the country-side called "Friendship," taken out of a larger tract called Darly Hall, by
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a deed from John Ensor and wife, of Baltimore County, planter to Richard Taylor, as Trustee on Feb. 12, 1713 and a house of worship was built by 1714. The land is now a part of Friend's Cemetery, on Harford Road and 25th Street, within two miles of Jones Falls, and was to be for the use of Friends forever. The building was of logs, and the Indians during its construction supposed it was to be some fortification designed against them, but when they learned it was for a Quaker Meeting House, their fears were changed to that of love and interest, and they assisted the Friends in the erection of the structure. After it was completed some of the Indians worshipped therein. It was the first church built within the present limits of Baltimore City, which was not even as yet made into a town, which happened 15 years later in 1729. St. Paul's at Charles and Saratoga Streets was built during the period 1730-1739. The County Seat in 1714 was at Joppa, where the Court for Baltimore County approved the trusteeship of the land on behalf of the Patapsco Meeting. This was used until 1781.

The spot on which it stood is marked by a beautiful bronze tablet. Preparations for a move were begun 1773, by purchase of two plots of 12 square perches and 59½ square perches in the square at that time bounded by Great York Street, now Baltimore on the south; by Harford now Central Avenue on the east; by Pitt Street, now Fayette on the north; and on the west by Smock Alley, now Aisquith Street. This was a narrow alley which was widened. By subsequent purchase a lot on Fayette Street was added in 1779, and another of 190 sq. ft. of ground in 1793. This rounded out the entire block except a lot at the northeast corner of Aisquith and Baltimore. The entrance was on Aisquith Street nearly opposite Petticoat Alley, now Laurel Street. This is now a Public Playground owned by the city.

The building was 51 feet long by 40 feet wide, and with a gallery seated 600 people. George Matthews was the architect and it cost $4,500.00. The land cost $506.25. The east wall was taken down about 90 years ago and rebuilt. The popula-
tion of Baltimore in 1781 was about 10,000 and the first census in 1790 showed 13,503.

The Gunpowder Monthly meeting received a request 12-30-1780 from the friends of Patapsco to move to the new house in Baltimore Town, which was granted at the next meeting as reasonable and the name was ordered changed from Patapsco Meeting to Baltimore Meeting. In 1781 some subscriptions were raised to help defray the expense by the Gunpowder Meeting, but it is evident that most of the money was paid by the people in Baltimore. The first assembly in the new building was on 2-22-1781. The membership comprised 199 individuals in families, 12 single men, 14 single women, 9 orphans, 10 apprentice lads, a total membership of 244. Among the names of the membership are the following: Beall, Boyd, Brown, Byer, Carey, Coates, Cornthwaite, Davenport, Duncan, Dukehart, Ellicott, Fisher, Harris, Hayward, Helm, Hicks, Hoppkins, Hussey, Jackson, James, Jones, Kelso, Lee, Marsh, Mitchell, McDermot, McKim, Miller, Naylor, Price, Riley, Rees, Sheppard, Scott, Thomas, Townsend, Trimble, Tyson, Wells, Williams, Wilson and others.

The first monthly meeting was held 12-28, 1792. At this meeting 5 additions in membership were made, 15 at the next, with 7 at the following, increasing the membership to 280. At this date the population of Baltimore was increasing rapidly. Friends were migrating from the surrounding country in Maryland, Virginia and Pennsylvania. Friends were the leading religious body in membership, wealth and influence. At most of the monthly meetings several certificates of membership were received. Among these was one for Joseph Thornburgh B 1760 D 1820, who had lived in Cumberland Co., Pa., was wagon master in the Rev. War, and complained of for military service, and gave a certificate dated 6-11, 1796 to Baltimore Meeting from the Warrington Meeting, Pa. was received 7-14-1796. His minor daughters, Deborah, Elizabeth, Margaret and Sarah were admitted 8-12-1798. They switched around between the Gunpowder, Indian Spring and Baltimore meetings according
to residence or convenience. On 11-23, 1798, Joseph Thornburgh, widower married Cassandra (dau. of Samuel Hopkins and Sarah Giles) Ellicott, widow of John Ellicott. She died 1812. Joseph Thornburgh was a merchant with a store on Baltimore St, having partners a brother-in-law Joseph Miller, and a son in law Henry Webster. His sister Mary had married Wm Love of York, Pa, became a widow, and married 2nd to John McKim of Baltimore, on 9-24-1795, in the presence of her sisters Susanna Northland, and Sarah Conley and her brothers Joseph Thornburgh and Thomas Thornburgh and wife Phebe Wireman Thornburgh, all from Pennsylvania. Joseph Thornburgh was a man of wealth, accumulating his fortune in the iron smelting business in Pa., leaving more than a half million dollars, and appointing as one of the executors his friend Philip E. Thomas, who was afterwards the chief promoter in building the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad, and its first president. Joseph Thornburgh is buried in the Friends' Cemetery, 2506 Harford Road, between his sisters, Susannah Northland on the north and Mary McKim and her husband John McKim on the south.

The West River Monthly meeting had been the original assemblage since 1672, but on account of the rapid growth of the city, in 1790 it was transferred to the Baltimore Monthly Meeting. In 1799 the Library was founded, the oldest in the city with the exception of "The Library Company of Baltimore, organized 1796 and this was disband in 1854; but its books were secured by the Maryland Historical Society which had been chartered in 1844. The Friends' School was established in 1800-1801 on St. Paul's Lane where it remained until 1849 when it was moved to a building built in the rear of the Lombard Street Meeting House. The beginnings of an agitation for a new house started in 1801 with the purchase of a lot for $1725.00 at the southeast corner of Calvert and Lexington, now occupied by the Post Office. This was exchanged with cash for a lot 100 x 170 on Lombard Street between Howard and Eutaw Streets, making the total cost $4200.00 in 1803. The new building was completed in 1804 at a cost of $13,250.00. In
1889 it was sold for $50,000.00 when the Park Ave. and Lau-
rens Street building was opened for worship.

The Western District in 1807 had 476 members to the East-
ern District's 375 members, a total of 851. An unfortunate
controversy arose in 1809 between the Districts concerning
shares, titles, responsibility, and care of the burial grounds, too
lengthy in details, that left its scars as long as the members
participating therein, or their children lived. In 1819 the dis-
tricts were merged, and the Eastern has remained a Preparative
Meeting containing only a few members. The total of Friends
in Baltimore in 1900 was 871, a gain of 20 in a hundred years,
a painful example of a steady standstill. However numbers do
not necessarily indicate strength. In 1930 the total number of
Friends included in the Baltimore Meeting was 3,427.

The early days of the movement were marked by zeal to
spread the glad tidings to all people, and its missionary zeal has
never been equalled and the extensive service and great expense
was borne by the membership at large. Their leaders were con-
strained to tell all men that God was seeking their salvation, not
their destruction, and that Christ Jesus, the Light of the World
personally visited every Soul, whenever and wherever that soul
might be, for the betterment of mankind. Their dependence was
upon the light of Christ, the heavenly and spiritual man, in their
hearts and dwelling within.

George Fox was not only a prophet founder but also a skillful
organizer, believing that a true Gospel order should be exer-
cised by spiritually minded Friends. The practical attitude to-
wards treatment of the Indians, human slavery, tithes, truthfull-
ness, marriage, equality of men and women, refusal to take oaths
or to acknowledge the authority of man as against that of God,
against war, simplicity (not uniformity) of dress, and the ad-
mirable system of meetings, records and schools has lasted with
little alteration to the present day. In his day, prejudice, con-
tention and opposition and disputes were not allowed to come
up in the regular meetings, for these were appointed to a special
one for the discontented.
With the increase in numbers, there came a laxity in regard to good order of the Society, and a declension in spiritual life. The rigid rules of the Discipline became too concerned with forms and a substitute for patient and discriminating wisdom, tempered with love. Expulsion of members marrying non-members, rules in regard to dress and language, peculiar customs and outward practices brought on an almost total cessation of aggressive efforts to spread the doctrines of the Society and even of missionary efforts. A church not aggressive is sure to decline. When the list of disownments is read in the record, it becomes a matter of wonder that there is any Society left. It changed the Society from a church of believers into an association of those who depended alone upon internal spiritual guidance and neglected the importance of modern discoveries in science, history, religion, its scriptures and need of an educated ministry. This has been greatly changed during the last twenty years.

From 1755 with the adoption of the printed discipline for the exclusive use of the meeting, and the prohibition of possession of a copy by any individual, the elders and overseers gradually exercised more authority. The attention of the Friends centered upon enforcement, moral reforms and philanthropy, to the neglect of adaptation to the changes resulting from colonial to national standing on the part of the United States, and a shift of civilization from the foundation of agriculture on which it had been based hitherto to manufacturing and agricultural which became marked about 1835. The simple point of view that the great objective of organized religion is to spread the knowledge of Jesus Christ and the building up of believers was strangled. Traditionalism enslaved freedom when the attitude of the Meeting and officers became that of judging and cutting off the offender and not the encouragement of the weak and the restoration of those who had gone astray. The change of front in 1934 is truly marvelous in that secondary matters of opinion, forms, rites and practices have been subordinated to the increase of dependence upon the Lord directly in the personal religious life and character of the worshipper. The policy of withdrawal
and isolation has been abandoned for a missionary zeal of much clearer vision, deeper spiritual current, and greater unity for the spread of a doctrine of Peace, Right of Conscience, the non-essentiality of ordinance and ritual, the reality of the communication of the will of God directly to the individual, and the priesthood of all believers. Awakened to the necessity for a living ministry that creates a living people, and that it is acceptable to speak as to be silent, the Society lays today as much stress upon active coöperation as upon passive receptiveness in the call to the service, which must be desired earnestly and also intellectually prepared. Lifeless preaching certainly recommends silence, but the Glad Tidings sometimes have to be proclaimed with understanding by word of mouth to famishing souls.

But doctrine, evangelization, and biblical knowledge were greatly neglected between 1755 and 1850, and spiritual life was very low, and a large proportion of the Society were Friends by tradition rather than by conviction. Thus the soil was prepared for the entrance of controversy. The years 1827-28 marks the rending of the movement into two parts. So far as to difference in doctrine, there was no need for any separation. Patient labor and suffering would have been better than division for there will always be as many differences in matters of opinion as there are individual human beings. A broken front, with diminished influence, without a single constructive result, was presented to a period filled with new inventions, new ideas, new lands, and new knowledge. The Friends were slowly absorbed into the aggressive Methodists and Baptists. The older settlements were abandoned for the better land in the Ohio Country in the search for adventure and economic independence. Quietism and inflexibility and torpidity were potent, but none were so destructive as the divisions.

The most prominent person connected with the separation was Elias Hicks, an eloquent, logical, intense and practical man, of powerful build, commanding personality and with great will power. The central cause of the controversy was his teachings as to the person and work of Jesus, taking practically the position
of the present day Unitarians. Jesus was superior to the rest of mankind because he had a greater work to perform, but yet a man liable to sin, but free from sinning because of his obedience, so that he became the Son of God, going through an experience in this respect that all mankind must go through. Elias Hicks presented a simple spiritual gospel freed from all man-made additions, and held that men are saved by the power of God. The death of Christ was an example of the necessity, without alternative, of being faithful and suffer, or else standing with God would be lost. The kind of a Saviour that mankind needs is with him all the time to save him at the moment help is needed. His discourses were generally on moral themes, and he was held everywhere in great esteem, his broad views on the atonement did not raise much difference until the elders of the Philadelphia meeting asked for a private interview, which was acceded to. He brought along a number of his friends. The interview did not go on, but a subsequent correspondence did not satisfy the elders, and they condemned. In turn the Philadelphia Meeting removed the elders, and party spirit ran high. The schism was unfortunate, and choice of sides depended mostly on social and family motives. The widely divergent views could have been harmonized and at least tolerated. The different points of view were more the result of qualities of mind, and simply were a portion of the liberalism, chiefly unitarianism, of the early part of the nineteenth century. In the intervening century, the Friends have changed from being one of the most traditional bodies to one which believes in freedom and permits, allows and encourages variety of service and a development of individual gifts. How an old fashioned Quaker Meeting was conducted is worth remembering.

In the split up two-thirds of the members in Philadelphia found themselves in the Hicksite division. In Baltimore at least four-fifths went with the "Hicksites." The "Orthodox" withdrew from the old, and set up their own establishment. Altogether 72 men and 118 women separated during the 18 years between 1829 and 1848, building their house on the side of a
steep hill on Saratoga Street at the corner of Courtland Street on a site now included in the bed of St. Paul Square or Boulevard. It followed the style of the Philadelphia structures with an entrance on two sides of the front, one opening into the men's side and the other to the women's. A gallery for the youths occupied three sides of the interior. The minister's gallery of raised seats were on the side opposite the entrance. The seats were heavy wooden benches, with a board at the height of a man's shoulder blades as a back. One of the more wealthy ladies had a board inserted in the back of her bench, which was considered quite luxurious. A partition as high as the tops of the benches separated the two sections, but just before services started, a partition came down slowly from the ceiling which landed on the center partition and made two meetings. The women elders sat silent in queenly presence, with intellectual and spiritual power shining out of their calm peaceful faces half hidden in the old fashioned plain bonnets. The men elders were people of vision, high ideals and Christian character. This congregation set its mark upon Baltimore. John Hopkins, Miles White, Thomas Wilson and a score of others, influential in the city, were among its membership.

These were the days of horses and carriages. The animals were tied to trees and posts in the vicinity, and by the aid of abundant flies in summer time kept up a rhythmical and constant stamping on the cobbles to which was given the name of the "Quaker Organ." The only other music was the church bell of St. Paul's just above, which rang many times during the silent and vocal periods. When that church burned, and the bell toppled never to be replaced, there were few regrets in Courtland Street.

And here they lived during the disturbance of the Civil War, with the neighborhood growing yearly less respectable. During the winter, the ice formed from surface drainage almost shut off access, and the summer time the mud, so 1864 a lot at Eutaw and Monument Streets was bought for $15,000.00. In 1867 a house was built for $31,000.00 of which 6,000.00 was turned
over by the Hicksite as representing the interest in property at the division. The north half of the property was sold 1918 for $24,000.00 and the rest of the property disposed of 1921. A fine lot on North Charles Street had been purchased and the present building at 3107 N. Charles Street was built and occupied 1921.

The greatest of harmony now prevails in 1934 between the divisions, and the scars of yester feuds have long since vanished from the mind. The younger generation has little interest in doctrinal disputes when there is so much to do in the social unlift and doing of good works. Christianity is an evolving religion and naturally must be expressed in the language and thought of each age and presents a variety of solutions for a multiplicity of difficulties. The end of religion is more abundant life. It explains to each individual the divided self with its struggle, and the evolution from a personal viewpoint as one becomes mature, to a regard of duties towards society and humanity. The lower attributes are gradually surrendered and a higher power comes to the consciousness and union is formed with the Spirit. The rewards are gathered in the feelings of security and joy, which always follow from good character and conduct. This is the Gospel of primitive Christianity, voiced by the prophets of every period in history, and now on the threshold of attainment. The spirit is being liberated from ignorance, superstition and slavery to the forces of nature. Social and political institutions are being reformed for the benefit of the greatest number.

Material progress is the foundation of civilization, but the idealistic and the spiritual is the superstructure of the really beautiful structure. The history of the Friends shows them as specialists in honesty, truthfulness, simplicity and purity of life and in the uplift of the weak and downtrodden. To them conduct and inner experience are one. In combining training of the appreciation of spiritual values with the aesthetic in an harmonious and complete existence, they have pointed the way to the establishment of the Kingdom of Heaven on Earth.
LAND RECORDS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY,
1674 AND 1675.
Contributed by Louis Dow Scisco.

Land transfers are recorded in average number in 1674 but few are offered in 1675. The explanation probably lies partly in the distraction due to Indian troubles and partly in the division of the county into two parts. The Eastern Shore portion of the old county became Cecil County by proclamation of June 6, 1674. The naval war in Europe closed in 1674.

The following items summarize pages 223 to 300 of the original Liber G No. J, now on the record shelves, and pages 169 to 235 of the transcript in Liber T R No. R A.

Deed, February 6, 1673-74, John Fallock and wife Jane, for 13,500 pounds of tobacco, conveying to Miles Gibson the 640-acre tract “Spryes Inheritance” at Rumley Creek. Witnesses, George Utie, William Hollis. Acknowledged before same persons.

Deed, February 6, 1673-74, Richard Morgan conveying to Anthony Brisco the 100-acre tract “Crabb Hill” on the north side of Bush River. Witnesses, George Utie, William Hollis. Acknowledged before same persons.

Deed, January 16, 1673-74, Richard Collins, blacksmith, for natural affection and love, conveying to his friend Anthony Brisco the 125-acre tract “Chillberry Hall” on the north side of the west branch of Bush River, giving possession by affixing a silver sixpence on the seal. Witnesses, George Utie, George Bayley.

Deed, February 7, 1673-74, John Masters, planter, of Gunpowder River, for 2,000 pounds of tobacco, conveying to John Waterton, gentleman, of same place, the 50-acre tract “Fox Hall” on a point at the dividing of Gunpowder River, near the Great Falls Run, and adjoining land formerly taken up by William Wignoll and land of Richard Syms, the tract having been patented to Richard Whitton and by him sold to Masters. Witnesses, John Ridge, Robert Sanders, Cornelius Bowyer. Seisin given by turf and twig February 10, 1673-74, before John Owen, John Ridge, Robert Gales, Richard Winly. Sheriff Thomas Carleton on March 3, 1673-74, has received from Dr. John Waterton one shilling for alienation.

Letter of attorney, February 7, 1673-74, John Masters, planter, of Gunpowder River appointing John Ridd of same place his attorney to acknowledge in court his sale of land. Witnesses, Robert Sanders, Richard Syms.
Letter of attorney, December 28, 1671, Peter Sterling, chirurgeon, of Gloucester County, Va., appointing Mr. Thomas Long his attorney to acknowledge in court the sale of two parcels of land. Witnesses, Thomas Mathar, Giles Stephens.

Deed, December 26, 1671, Peter Sterling, chirurgeon, of Gloucester County, Va., for 5,500 pounds of tobacco, conveying to Luke Raven, blacksmith, of same county, the 350-acre tract "Albrough" and the 100-acre tract "Tryangle," both at the middle branch of Gunpowder River. Witnesses, Thomas Mather, Giles Steephens.

Deed, December 26, 1671, Peter Sterling, chirurgeon, of Gloucester County, Va., for 5,500 pounds of tobacco, conveying to Luke Raven, blacksmith, of same county, the 350-acre tract "Albrough" and the 100-acre tract "Tryangle," both at the middle branch of Gunpowder River. Witnesses, Thomas Mather, Giles Steephens.

Deed, February 27, 1673-74, Gyles Stevens, Sawyer, for 4,000 pounds of tobacco, conveying to Richard Bennett the 300-acre tract "Paradise" on the north side of Back River. Witnesses, John Keely, Thomas Long.


Deed, June 3, 1674, Thomas Ramsey, for 3,000 pounds of tobacco and a three-year old barrow, conveying to John West the 200-acre tract "Fareall" on the west side of Towelison's Creek at Sassafras River, adjoining land formerly taken up by Andrew Toulson. Witnesses, Augustin Harman, Bruerton Vaughan. Acknowledged June 2, 1674, in court.

Deed, June 2, 1674, Mary Harmar, widow, conveying to Robert Benjar and John Johnson the 150-acre tract "Olivers Reserve" on the east side of the middle branch of Gunpowder River and facing west to land formerly taken up by Capt. Cornwallis, this tract being formerly taken up by Oliver Spry, deceased, and lately possessed by grantor's deceased husband Godfry Harmar. Witnesses, Thomas Salmon, William Dunkerton. Acknowledged in court by grantor.

Deed, June 2, 1674, John Willis, planter, conveying to Joseph Hopkins, gentleman, the 200-acre tract "Chenyche" now occupied by grantor, on the south side of Chirne Creek, the land being patented September 25, 1666, to William Peirce and sold by him to Willis. Witnesses, Nathaniell Stiles, Thomas Long. Acknowledged June 2 in court by grantor.

Letter of attorney, March 9, 1673-74, Rowland Williams appointing Mr. John Walston his attorney to acknowledge the sale of 300 acres at Troopes Neck at Spesuty Creek to Mr. James Ives, merchant. Witnesses, Peter Ellis, John Cooke.

Deed, November 4, 1673, Rowland Williams, planter, for 18,000 pounds of tobacco, conveying to James Ives the 300-acre tract "Overton" at the mouth of Speas Utia Creek. Witnesses, Nathaniell Utie, Henry Haselwood. Acknowledged June 2, 1674, by John Walston as attorney.

Deed, May 26, 1674, Richard Leake, planter, and wife Gwenlean, for 3,000 pounds of tobacco, conveying to Thomas Warde, planter, the 200-acre tract "The Marshes" at the head of Fishing Creek, adjoining land formerly...
taken up by John Blomfeild and Nicholas Alom. Witnesses, Ed. Williams, Richard Baldbee.

Deed, May 26, 1674, Augustine Harman, gentleman, for 7,500 pounds of tobacco, conveying to Henrick Matthias, carpenter, 500 acres on the north side of Bohemia Back River at St. Thomas's Creek and adjoining Rowland Williams's plantation, the land having been patented April 3, 1671. Witnesses, John Gilbert, Abraham Wild. Acknowledged on June 2 by grantor.

Deed, June 1, 1674, George Wilson, planter, for 3,250 pounds of tobacco, conveying to Richard Lee, carpenter, 125 acres where Lee now dwells, at the head of Cornwallis's Creek on south side of Sassafras River, it being part of the tract "Verina" and adjoining to the tract "The Stripe" bought from Wilson by William Southbe, Lee to pay Wilson annually 2 shillings 6 pence for proprietary rent. Witnesses, Ann Gibson, Thomas Linsey. Acknowledged June 2 in court by grantor.

Deed, June 1, 1674, George Wilson, planter, for 4,750 pounds of tobacco, conveying to William Southbee two adjoining parcels on the south side of Sassafras River, one being 125 acres out of the tract "Verina" adjoining Richard Lee's land, the other being the 50-acre tract "The Slipe" at the mouth of Island Branch, Southbee to pay Wilson annually 2 shillings 6 pence on the 125 acres for proprietary rent. Witnesses, Ann Gibson, Thomas Linsey. Acknowledged June 2 in court by grantor.

Deed, August 4, 1674, William Palmer, gentleman, conveying to John Tarkinton, planter, the 500-acre tract "Towcester" on the east side of Handly Creek on south side of Sassafras River, as patented August 1, 1672, to Palmer, and also all livestock except horses. No witnesses on record. Acknowledged August 4 in court by grantor.

Deed, August 3, 1674, John Tarkinton, planter, and wife Prudence conveying to William Palmer, gentleman, two adjoining parcels at Musketta Creek and all livestock except horses, one parcel being the 250-acre tract "The Grove" at the head of Musketta Creek, patented May 1, 1672, the other being the 250-acre tract "Woodland." No witnesses on record. Acknowledged August 4 in court by grantors. George Wells certifies that wife's consent is given on August 2 in court. Sheriff Samuell Boston on August 4, 1674, has received from Palmer 10 shillings for alienation.

Letter of attorney, May 3, 1674, Alice, wife of Nicholas Ruxton, appointing Mr. Richard Ball her attorney to convey 200 acres on the south side of Bare Creek on north side of Patapsco River. Witnesses, Samuell Thomas, William Shilbourne.

Deed, May 3, 1674, Nicholas Ruxton, planter, and wife Alice, for 4,500 pounds of tobacco, conveying to Thomas Jones, boatwright, the 200-acre tract "Nashes Rest" at Bare Creek in Patapsco River, patented June 3, 1663, to John Collett, senior, deceased. Witnesses, Richard Ball, Thomas Marshill. Acknowledged August 4 in court by Ruxton and Ball.

Deed, August 3, 1674, Stephen Whyte, planter, and wife Ann, for 3,500 pounds of tobacco, conveying to Joseph Symons, planter, 100 acres, being
part of the tract "Radinge" on the south side of Patapsco River, in Anne Arundel County, and opposite to the Rockes. Witnesses, Robert Benger, James Ives. Acknowledged in court of November 3-4 by grantors.

Deed, September 28, 1674, Charles Gorsutch, for 8,000 pounds of tobacco, conveying to William Wheatly, mariner, of London, the 100-acre tract "Spring Poynt" and the 200-acre tract "Health" on the north side of Patapsco River, adjoining land called "Spring Poynt" formerly taken up by Paul Knisely. Witnesses, John Bollen, Ralph Doncalfe. Gorsutch gives seisin to Henry Howard as Wheatly's attorney in presence of John Bollen, Richard Keene, Ralfe Duncafe, John Woodwine. At court on March 2, 1674-75, Capt. Thomas Long as attorney for Gorsutch acknowledges the conveyance to Henry Howard as attorney for grantee.

Deed, September 3, 1674, John Lee, planter, and wife Florence, for 7,000 pounds of tobacco, conveying to Joseph Gallion, planter, 200 acres at the head of the northeast branch of Bush River. Witnesses, Miles Gibson, William Bysse. At court of March 2, 1674-75, Lee acknowledges deed.

Letter of attorney, November 24, 1674, Charles Gorsuch, gentleman, of Patapsco, appointing Thomas Long, gentleman, of Back River, his attorney to acknowledge in court his conveyance of 300 acres to William Wheatly. Witnesses, John Kemp, William Bisse.

Following are items from the contemporary record in former Liber I C No. A, now found in transcript Liber I S No. I K on pages 46 to 56. The presence of an undated minute indicates that the items came from a former court register now lost.

Certificate, October 12, 1672, the vicar and two churchwardens of Preston and Sutton Points parish [Somerset] declaring that John, son of Thomas Bradford, deceased, was baptized November 9, 1628, that William, eldest son of Thomas and brother of John, was baptized June 27, 1619, and that Thomas, eldest son of William, was baptized May 12, 1644.

Assignment, October 18, 1672, William Bradford, clothworker, of Somerset, Somersetshire, conveying to his son Thomas Bradford, shoemaker, of London, his interest in property of his brother John Bradford, late of Maryland, who died intestate without wife or child, leaving plantation and chattels devolving upon his brothers William and Nicholas, and sister Susanna. Witnesses, Robert Marsh, Henry Pavie.

Petition, undated, Thomas Bradford asking of Lord Baltimore an order to aid his recovery of the estate of his uncle John Bradford, born and baptized at Preston and Sutton Points parish and deceased about three years ago at Bush River, without heirs except this petitioner. Appendant notation, November 21, 1672, by Lord Baltimore, that he is satisfied by testimony received that Thomas is legal heir to the deceased.

Deed, October 19, 1674, Thomas Bradford and wife Mary of London, for £19, conveying to Thomas Thurston of Baltimore County 250 acres formerly owned by John Bradford, bounded east by William Hollis's land
called Broad Neck, west by William Osborne's land, south by Bush River, and north by Miles Gibson's land. Witnesses, Andrew Miller, Nicholas Nagle, Bryan Burton, Mary Crowne.

Letter of attorney, October 20, 1674, Thomas Bradford, of London, appointing Miles Gibson his attorney to take possession of John Bradford's 250 acres and other property and to deliver same to Thomas Thurston. Witnesses, Andrew Miller, Bryan Burton, Nicholas Nagle, Mary Crowne.

Certificate, June 1, 1675, by John Tillyard, that he has given seizin by turf and twig to Miles Gibson, for benefit of Thomas Thurston, of the plantation of Thomas Bradford, heir to John Bradford. Witnesses, Arthur Taylor, Thomas Troute.

Clerk's minute, undated, that Miles Gibson has appeared in court and has declared that he is no longer the attorney of Thomas Bradford.
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1 as the American Museum of science, literature, and the arts.


Annals of the propagation of the faith (Society for the propagation of the faith) Baltimore, Md. 1-86, 1838–1923

Merged into Catholic Missions.

*Baltimore Athenaeum. Baltimore, Md. 1, Je 1834–Sr 14 1835

Separate numbers have title: Baltimore Young Men’s Paper. Suspended Je 13–N 22, 1834

Baltimore Christian Advocate.


Continued as Spirit of the XIX Century.

*Baltimore Literary Monument. Baltimore, Md. 1-2, O 1838–O 1839

Continues Baltimore Monument.

None issued Ja 1839.

Only one number issued. Absorbed by Port Folio—Philadelphia.

*Baltimore Medical and Philosophical Lyceum. Baltimore, Md.
    1, Ap–D 1811

*Baltimore Medical and Physical Recorder. Baltimore, Md.
    V 1-2 No 1, Ap 1808–Ag 1809

Baltimore Medical and Surgical Journal and Review. Baltimore, Md.
    1-2, O 1833–S 1834

Continued as North American Archives of Medical and Surgical Science.

*Baltimore Methodist. See Washington Christian Advocate.

Baltimore Minerva. Baltimore, Md.
    D 1828–1830

Merged with Emerald and Baltimore Literary Gazette and was called Baltimore Minerva and Emerald until 1830, when it became Minerva and Saturday Post.

*Baltimore Monthly Budget of Science, Literature, and Art. Baltimore, Md.
    V 1, No 1-2, Ja–F 1841

    V 1 Nos 1-12, F 1830–Ja 1831

    V 1, No 1, Ap 1842

Preceded by Baltimore Phoenix and Budget.

*Baltimore Monument. A weekly Journal, devoted to polite literature, science, and the fine arts. Baltimore, Md.
    1-2, O 8 1836–S 29 1838

Continued as *Baltimore Literary Monument.

*Baltimore Philosophical Journal and Review. Baltimore, Md.
    No 1, JI 1823

*Baltimore Phoenix and Budget. Baltimore, Md.
    V 1 No 1, Ap 1841–Mr 1842

Superseded by Baltimore Monthly Visiter.
*Baltimore Repertory of Papers on Literary and other Topics, including a selection of English Dramas. Baltimore, Md. V 1 Nos 1-6, Ja–Je 1811||

*Baltimore Saturday Visiter. Baltimore, Md. D 1832–1850||


*Baltimore Young Men’s Paper. (See Baltimore Athenaeum)


Catholic Youth’s Magazine. Baltimore, Md. 1-4, S 1857–Ag 1861||

*Child of Pallas. Baltimore, Md. No 1-8, N 1800–Ja 1801||

*Christian Messenger. Baltimore, Md. 1-4, 1817–N 21 1818|| ?


Civil, Military, and Naval Gazette. Devoted to the interests of the citizen, soldier, and sailor. Annapolis, Md.

Cohen's Gazette and Lottery Register. Baltimore, Md.

Columbia Register. Washington; Baltimore, Md.

Cotton Plant. Baltimore, Md.

*Covenant; a monthly magazine devoted to the cause of Odd-Fellowship. Baltimore, Md.

Crystal Fount, a monthly magazine devoted to the order Sons of Temperance, temperance, and literature. Baltimore, Md.

*Dental Enterprise. Baltimore, Md.

Dental Times and Advertiser. Baltimore, Md.

Deutsch-Amerikanische Didaskalia. Baltimore, Md.

*Emerald and Baltimore Literary Gazette. Baltimore, Md.

Emerald. Baltimore, Md.
Episcopal Methodist. Baltimore, Md.
   - V 17 No 2, Je 13 1833 as Baltimore Episcopal Methodist

Evangelical and Literary Magazine. Baltimore, Md.
   1-3, 1818–20 as Virginia Evangelical and Literary Magazine;
   4-6, 1821–23 Evangelical and Literary Magazine
   (Subtitle varies); 6-11, 1823–28 Literary and Evangelical Magazine.
   Richmond, Va.

*Evangelical Lutheran Intelligencer containing historical,
   biographical and religious memoirs with essays on the doctrines
   of Luther. Frederick, Md.
   V 1-5 Mr 1826–D 1830

Fackel. Literaturblatt Zur Förderung Geistiger freiheit.
   Baltimore, Md.
   1-17, 1843–65

Family Journal. Baltimore, Md.
   1859 ?–1860

Farm and Gardener. Baltimore, Md.
   1834–39 (See American Farmer).

Farmer’s Book, or Western Maryland Farmer. Frederick, Md.
   V 1 Je 1840–Je 1841

Free Universal Magazine. Baltimore, Md.
   1, Je 1793–94

General Magazine and Impartial Review. Baltimore, Md.
   Je–Ag 1798

*Genius of Universal Emancipation. Mount Pleasant, O;
   Philadelphia; Baltimore, etc.
   1-16, 1821–39

Continued as Genius of Liberty. Subtitle varies. Numbering
   irregular.

Guardian of Health; a monthly journal of domestic hygiene.
   Baltimore, Md.
   V 1 No 1-12, S 1841–Ag 1842

*Home Companion.
   V 1 No 1-3, Jl 1858–S 1858

*Itinerant; or, Wesleyan Methodist Visitor. Baltimore, Md.
   V 1-3, N 12, 1828–O 26, 1831
Journal of Agriculture. Baltimore, Md.
V 1-3, Jl 1845–Je 1848

*Journal of Times. Baltimore, Md.
1, S 12 1818–Mr 6, 1819
   Superseded by Morning Chronicle.

Katholische Volkszeitung. Baltimore, Md.
1-54, 1860–1914

*Key. Frederick Town, Md.
V 1 No 1-27, Ja 13–Jl 14 1798

Ladies' Literary Bouquet. Baltimore, Maryland.
Ag 30, 1823–O 22, 1824

Lutheran Observer and Weekly Literary Religious Visitor
Baltimore, Md.
1834–37

No 1-4?, 1804

Maryland University.
—Department of Pharmacy.
—Journal and Transactions.
V 1 No 1-13, Je–D 1858

Maryland Academy of Sciences. Baltimore, Md.
*Transactions 1, 1837; Nsv. 1-2, D 1888–S 1908; V 4
   No 1-3, 1921
   1, 1837 as Transactions of Maryland Academy of Science
   and Literature.

Maryland and Virginia Medical Journal. Baltimore, Md.
V 1-16, No 5, 1853–My 1861
   1-5, 1853–55 as Virginia Medical and Surgical Journal;

Maryland College of Pharmacy. Baltimore, Md.
Baltimore Journal and Transactions.
1, Nsv 1-2, 1858–62

See also Pharmaceutical Review. Baltimore.

Maryland Colonization Journal. (Maryland State Colonization Society) Baltimore, Md.
Nsv 1-10 No 12, 1841–My 1860
Maryland Magazine. Baltimore, Md.
No 1, S 1794

*Maryland Medical and Surgical Journal. (Medical and Chirurgical Faculty of Maryland) Baltimore, Md.
1-3, O 1839–J 1843

Maryland Medical Recorder, devoted to the medical science in general. Baltimore, Md.
1-3, S 1829–N 1832

Maryland Pocket Magazine.
No 1-11, —— F 1796

Medical and Chirurgical Faculty of the State of Maryland.
Proceedings 1854
Sketch of Proceedings—J 1853
Summary of Proceedings. 1, 1799–1807
Transactions. 1, 1799 +

*Medical and Physical Recorder. Baltimore, Md.
See Baltimore Medical and Physical Recorder.

Methodist Protestant. Baltimore, Md.
1-2, 1831–32; N. S. V. 1, 1835 +

*Metropolitan; a monthly magazine, devoted to religion, education, literature, and general information. Baltimore, Md.
1-5, F 1853–Ja 1858; Nsv 1, F 1858–Ja 1859

*Metropolitan, devoted to Literature. Baltimore, Md.
V 1, No 1-10, F 1–J 15, 1860

*Metropolitan; or Catholic Monthly Magazine. Baltimore, Md.
1, 1830
V 1 Ja–D, 1830

Minerva. Baltimore, Md.
1829 united with Emerald and Baltimore Literary Gazette.

*Mirror. Baltimore, Md.
1-2, N 1 1859–Je 1 1860

Monitor. Baltimore, Md.
1-2, 1857

Monthly Mirror; or Maryland Museum. Baltimore, Md.
Je 1793.
Moonshine. (Lunarian Society) Baltimore, Md.
No 1-5, Je 20–Jl 23 1807 ?
Musical Magazine. 1792–1799, Nos. 1-5 12 mo.
    Cheshire 1792–1793.
    Baltimore 1793–1795.
    Philadelphia 1799.
    Nsv 1-87 No 23, Ja 7 1831–Je 6 1917 ?
    Running title: Methodist Protestant.
*Mutual Rights of Minister and Members of M. E. Church.
    Baltimore, Md.
    V 1-4, Ag 1824–1828
*National Magazine; or, Lady's Emporium. Baltimore, Md.
    1-2, N 1830–Jl 1831
    V 1 No 1-16, N 13 1813–N 12 1814 ?
*Niles' National Register. Philadelphia; Baltimore.
    1-75, S 1811–Jl 1849
    1-6, S 1811–14 as Weekly Register. Pub. at Baltimore, etc.
*North American; or, Weekly Journal of Politics, science, and literature. Baltimore, Md.
    1, My 19–N 24 1827
*North American Archives of Medical and Surgical Science.
    Baltimore.
    1-2, O 1834–S 1835
    Continues Baltimore Medical and Surgical Journal and Review.
    Preceded by North American Magazine.
    V 1-9; N 1832–Je 1838
*Observer. Baltimore, Md.
    1-2, N 29 1806–D 26 1807
    Preceded by Companion and Weekly Miscellany.
Parlor Gazette and Ladies' Advertiser. Baltimore, Md.
    1849– ?
*Portico, a repertory of science and literature. Baltimore, Md.
    1-5, 1816–20
    Subtitle varies.
Presbyterian Critic. Baltimore; Philadelphia.
1-2, 1855–56

Principle. N. Y.; Baltimore.
1-2, D 1856–N 1858

Annual Address ——— 1, Ja 25 1850

Quodlibetarian. (Medfield Literary Society) Medfield, Md.
1-5, S 15 1859–Je 15 1860

V 1-2 Nos 1-10, 1819–1821

Reformer and People's Advocate. Frederick, Md.
Ag–O 1844 (Democratic Campaign Weekly).

*Religious and Literary Repository. Annapolis, Md.
V 1, No 1-24, Ja 15–D 23, 1820

*Religious Cabinet. Baltimore, Md.
V 1, Ja–D, 1842. Continued as U. S. Catholic Magazine.

Repertory of Papers on Literature and other Topics. Baltimore, Md.
Ja 1811–Je 1811

Robinson's Magazine; a weekly repository of original papers; and selections from the English Magazines. Baltimore, Md.
1-2, 1818–19

*Rural Register. Baltimore, Md.
1-4, Jl 1859–D 1863

Saturday Visiter.
See Baltimore Saturday Visiter.

*Sinai, ein organ für erkenntniss und veredlung des Judenthums. Baltimore, Md.
V 1-7, F 1856–Ja 1863

Southern Baptist Convention Proceedings. Baltimore, Richmond, etc.
1, 1845 +

Southern Lady's Magazine; a monthly magazine of literature, art, and science. Baltimore, Md.
V 1 No 1-2, Ap–My 1850

Caption: Garland's Lady's Magazine.
Southern Pioneer and Gospel Visiter. Baltimore; Richmond.
V 1 No 1-12, O 1831–O 1832||
Merged into Universalist Union.
*Southern Universalist, devoted to religion, morality, and rights
of man. Baltimore, Md.
V 1, No 1-7, My 5–Je 16, 1838||
*Spirit of the XIX Century. Baltimore, Md.
1-2, 1842–43||
Continues Baltimore Literary and Religious Magazine.
*Temple of Truth; or a Vindication of various passages and
Doctrines of the Holy Scripture. Baltimore, Md.
No 1-12, Ap 1–O 24, 1801||
Theological Review and General Repository of Religious and
moral information. Baltimore, Md.
V 1 No 1-4, Ja–O 1822||
*True Catholic. Baltimore, Md.
V 1-10, 1843–1853; N S U 1-4, 1853–1856||
1-12, D 8 1849–D 1861||?
*Unitarian Miscellany and Christian Monitor. Baltimore, Md.
1-6 (No 1-48), Ja 1821–D 1824||
*United States Catholic Magazine. Baltimore, Md.
1-8, 1842–49||
1842 as Religious Cabinet, a monthly periodical; 1843,
United States Catholic Magazine, a monthly periodical;
1844–48, United States Catholic Magazine and Monthly
Review.
Succeeded by Catholic Mirror.
Universalist Circulating Family Library. Baltimore, Md.
No 1-7, 1836||
Vaccine Inquirer. Baltimore, Md.
No 1-6, F 1822–Je ? 1824||?
*Wanderer. Baltimore, Md.
V 1, No 1-26, D 9, 1815–Je 1, 1816||?
*Washington Christian Advocate. Baltimore, etc.
1, 1859 +
1-32?, 1859–1910? as Baltimore Methodist; V 34?-44
No 21, 1912?–Je 1922 Methodist.
Suspended D 1920–Ja 6 1921.

*Weekly Magpie. Edgewood, Md.
  V 1 No 8-27, Je 18–O 29, 1859||
  1-7 were issued in manuscript.

*Weekly Museum. Baltimore, Md.
  Ja 8–My 28 1797||

Weekly Pilot. Baltimore, Md.
  1841–??

*Weishampel’ s Literary and Religious Magazine. Baltimore, Md.
  V 1 No 1-10, Jl 1860–Ap. 1861|| ?

*Young Ladies’ Journal of Literature and Science. Baltimore, Md.
  1-2, O 1830–N 1831||

EXPLANATION OF SYMBOLS

V  volume.
No.  number.
N. S.  New Series.
|| publication ceased with the preceding date or volume.
+ published to date.
? information incomplete or uncertain.
(-) dash between volume numbers or dates signifies from and including
  the former to and including the latter.
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1846

* [Annapolis] Maryland Republican and State Capital Advertiser.
  [Baltimore] Culturist.
  Baltimore Daily News.
  * [Baltimore] Daily Republican and Argus.
  [Baltimore] Democratic Sentinel. (?)
  Baltimore Gazette and Daily Advertiser.
  [Baltimore] Lutheran Observer.
  * [Baltimore] Niles' National Register.
  * Baltimore Patriot and Commercial Gazette.
  * Baltimore Price Current (Lyford's).
  * [Baltimore] Republican and Daily Argus.
  * [Baltimore] Saturday Visiter.
EARLY MARYLAND NEWSPAPERS.

* [Baltimore] Sun.
Baltimore Weekly Sun.
[Baltimore] Western Continent.
[Bel Air] Harford Republican.
[Bel Air and Havre de Grace] Harford Madisonian and Bel Air and Havre de Grace Messenger.
Boonsboro Odd Fellow.
Boonsboro Times.
Cambridge Chronicle.
Cambridge Democrat and News.
[Chester Town] Kent Conservator.
Cumberland Advocate.
Cumberland Alleganian.
Cumberland Civilian.
Denton Journal.
Denton Pearl.
* Easton Gazette.
* Easton Star.
* [Elkton] Cecil Whig.
Emmitsburg Star (?)
Frederick Herald.
[Frederick] Political Examiner.
[Frederick] Republican Citizen.
[Frederick] Times.
[Hagerstown] Herald of Freedom.
Hagerstown Mail.
[Hagerstown] News'
[Hagerstown] Odd-Fellow.
* Hagers-Town Torch Light and Public Advertiser
[Leonardtown] St. Mary's Beacon.
Port Tobacco Times and Charles County Advertiser.
[Princess Anne] Somerset Herald.
Rockville Journal and Montgomery County Advocate.
[Upper Marlboro'] Marlboro' Gazette.
Westminster Carrolltonian.
[Westminster] Democrat and Carroll Co. Republican

1847

* [Annapolis] Maryland Republican and State Capital Advertiser.
* [Baltimore] Church Times.
* Baltimore Clipper.
[Annapolis] Constitution.
Baltimore Daily News.
[Annapolis] Deutsche Correspondent.
[Annapolis] Enterprise.
[Annapolis] Flag of Our Union.
Baltimore Gazette and Daily Advertiser.
[Annapolis] Lutheran Observer.
* [Baltimore] Niles’ National Register.
* Baltimore Patriot and Commercial Gazette.
* Baltimore Price Current (Lyford's).
* [Baltimore] Republican and Argus.
* [Baltimore] Republican Daily Argus.
* [Baltimore] Saturday Visiter.
* [Baltimore] Sun.
Baltimore Weekly Sun.
[Baltimore] Western Continent.
[Bel Air] Harford Republican.
[Bel Air and Havre de Grace] Harford Madisonian and
Bel Air and Havre de Grace Messenger.
[Boonsboro] Odd Fellow.
Boonsboro Times (?).
Cambridge Chronicle.
Cambridge Democrat and News
Centreville Times.
Centreville Advertiser.
[Chester Town] Kent Conservator.
Cumberland Advocate.
Cumberland Alleganian.
Cumberland Civilian.
Cumberland Mountaineer.
Denton Journal
Denton Pearl
* Easton Gazette.
* Easton Star.
* [Elkton] Cecil Whig.
Frederick Herald.
[Frederick] Examiner.
[Frederick] Republican Citizen.
[Frederick] Times.
* [Hagerstown] Herald of Freedom.
Hagerstown Mail.
[Hagerstown] News.
[Hagerstown] Odd-Fellow.
Hagers-Town Torch Light and Public Advertiser.
[Havre de Grace] Harford Madisonian and Havre de Grace Advertiser.
[Leonardtown] St. Mary's Beacon.
Port Tobacco Times and Charles County Advertiser.
[Princess Anne] Somerset Herald.
Rockville Journal and Montgomery County Advocate.
[Upper Marlboro'] Marlboro' Gazette.
Westminster Carrolltonian.
[Williamsport] Scott Banner.

1848

* [Baltimore] Buena Vista
* [Baltimore] Church Times.
* Baltimore Clipper.

* Baltimore Commercial Journal and Lyford's Price Current.
[Baltimore] Enterprise
Baltimore Gazette and Daily Advertiser.
[Baltimore] Lutheran Observer.


[Baltimore] Niles’ National Register.

Baltimore Patriot and Commercial Gazette.

* [Baltimore] Price Current (Lyford’s).

* [Baltimore] Republican and Argus.

* [Baltimore] Republican and Daily Argus.


* [Baltimore] Sun.

[Baltimore] True Union.


Baltimore Weekly Sun.

* [Baltimore] Western Continent.


[Bel Air] Harford Republican.

[Boonsboro] Odd Fellow.

Boonsboro Times (?).

Cambridge Chronicle.

Cambridge Democrat and News.

Centreville Sentinel.

Centreville Times.

[Chester Town] Kent Conservator.


Cumberland Advocate (?).

Cumberland Alleganian.

Cumberland Citizen.

Cumberland Civilian.

Cumberland Mountaineer.

Denton Journal.

* Easton Gazette.

* Easton Star.

[Elkton] Cecil County Advocate.


* [Elkton] Cecil Whig.


[Ellicott’s Mills] Howard District Advocate.

* [Ellicott’s Mills] Howard District Free Press.
Frederick Herald.
[Frederick] Political Examiner.
* [Frederick] Republican Citizen.
[Frederick] Times.
[Hagerstown] Herald of Freedom.
Hagerstown Mail.
[Hagerstown] News.
[Hagerstown] Odd-Fellow.
Hagerstown Pledge.
Hagerstown Torch Light and Public Advertiser.
[Havre de Grace] Harford Madisonian and Havre de Grace Advertiser.
[Leonardtown] St. Mary’s Beacon.
Port Tobacco Times and Charles County Advertiser.
[Princess Anne] Somerset Herald.
Rockville Journal and Montgomery County Advocate.
[Smithsburg] Advocate.
[Upper Marlboro'] Marlboro’ Gazette.
Westminster Carrolltonian.
[Williamsport] Scott Banner.

1849

Baltimore Argus (?).
Baltimore Bank Note Reporter.
* Baltimore Clipper.
* Baltimore Commercial Journal and Lyford's Price Current.
[Baltimore] Daily City Item.
Baltimore Gazette and Daily Advertiser.
Baltimore Herald. [German]
[Baltimore] Ladies' Newspaper.
[Baltimore] Lutheran Observer.
[Baltimore] Niles' National Register.
Baltimore Pathfinder.
Baltimore Patriot and Commercial Gazette.
[Baltimore] Paul Pry.
* [Baltimore] Republican and Argus.
* [Baltimore] Sun.
* [Baltimore] Temperance Banner.
[Baltimore] True Union.
* [Baltimore] Viper's Sting and Paul Pry
Baltimore Weekly Sun.
* [Baltimore] Western Continent.
  [Baltimore] Young American
  [Bel Air] Harford Republican.
  Boonsboro' Odd Fellow.
  Boonsboro Times (?)
  Cambridge Chronicle.
  Cambridge Democrat and News.
  Centreville Sentinel.
  Centreville Times.
  [Chester Town] Kent Conservator.
  Clear Spring Sentinel.
  Cumberland Advocate (?)
  Cumberland Alleganian.
  Cumberland Citizen.
  Cumberland Civilian.
  Denton Journal.
  Easton Gazette.
  * Easton Star.
  * [Elkton] Cecil Whig.
  [Ellicott’s Mills] Howard District Advocate.
  Frederick Herald.
  [Frederick] Political Examiner.
  * [Frederick] Republican Citizen.
  [Frederick] Times.
  * [Hager’s City] Weekly Casket.
  [Hagerstown] Herald of Freedom.
  * Hagerstown Mail.
  [Hagerstown] News.
  [Hagerstown] Odd-Fellow.
  Hagerstown Pledge.
  Hagers-Town Torch Light and Public Advertiser.
EARLY MARYLAND NEWSPAPERS.

[Annapolis] Legislative Republican.
  [Baltimore] Catholic Mirror.
    * Baltimore Clipper.
[Baltimore] Commercial Enterprise and Baltimore Advertiser.
  Baltimore County Advocate.
Baltimore Gazette and Daily Advertiser.
Baltimore Herald.
[Baltimore] Lutheran Observer.
Baltimore Olio and American Musical Gazette.
Baltimore Pathfinder.
* Baltimore Patriot and Commercial Gazette.
Baltimore Price Current (Lyford’s).
* Baltimore Republican and Argus.
* [Baltimore] Sun.
[Baltimore] True Union.
[Baltimore?] Truth Teller.
Baltimore Weekly Sun.
[Bel Air] Harford Gazette.
[Bel Air] Harford Republican.
[Boonsboro’] Odd Fellow.
Cambridge Chronicle.
Cambridge Democrat and News.
Centreville Advertiser.
Centreville Sentinel.
Centreville Times.
Charlestown News.
[Chester Town] Kent Conservator.
Clearspring Sentinel.
Clearspring Whig.
[Cockeysville] Baltimore County Advocate.
Cumberland Alleganian.
Cumberland Citizen.
Cumberland Civilian.
Cumberland Mountaineer.
Denton Journal.
Denton Star.
Easton Democrat.
Easton Gazette.
* Easton Star.
Easton Whig.
[Elkton] Cecil Democrat.
* [Elkton] Cecil Whig.
Frederick Herald.
[Frederick] Examiner.
* [Frederick] Republican Citizen.
[Frederick] Times.
* [Hager's City] Weekly Casket.
[Hagerstown] Herald of Freedom.
* Hagerstown Mail.
Hagerstown News.
[Hagerstown] Odd-Fellow.
[Hagerstown] People's Own.
Hagerstown Pledge.
[Hagerstown] Reformer.
Hagers-Town Torch Light and Public Advertiser.
[Havre de Grace] Harford Madisonian and Havre de Grace Advertiser.
[Leonardtown] St. Mary's Beacon.
Port Tobacco Times and Charles County Advertiser.
Rockville Journal and Montgomery County Advocate.
[Towsontown] Jacksonian and Baltimore County Advertiser
[Upper Marlboro'] Marlboro' Gazette.
Westminster Carrolltonian.
AN ORATION.
Delivered on the 4th of July, 1800.
By Jno. L. Kerr.*

In compliance with the request of the "Committee of Arrangement" for the day, I have with much diffidence prevailed upon myself to undertake a task, which I fear, is consigned to too feeble talents. In haste I have prepared for the occasion. But a firm reliance on your liberality and indulgence affords the most ample comfort, and encourages me to proceed in the performance of the duty which has thus devolved upon me.

To celebrate the great events which have distinguished the different nations of the Earth, is a custom of the remotest antiquity. Poets, Orators and Historians have taken upon themselves the pleasing task of perpetuating the remembrance of those incidents most honourable to their Country. Thus have the names of Rome and Athens become immortal, and "survived their gilded turrets and their marble Domes!" The grandeur and prowess of more modern nations have been likewise the theme of frequent declamation. But when we reflect, my Fellow Citizens, on the glorious scenes of our own Country, we shall surely find a still juster cause of patriotic exaltation.

The Anniversary of this great and memorable day, is calculated to inspire us with an enthusiastic zeal for the Interests of our Country. It naturally calls to our recollection the hardy

From the original MSS in the possession of Philip Gutman Straus, Esq.
struggles by which the Revolution was effected and our Liberties wrested from the all-grasping hand of Oppression.

It is our peculiar happiness that the love of Civil and Religious Liberty has ever been the ruling passion of our Countrymen. Driven from their native Land by the rage of Tyranny and persecution, our Forefathers were forced to seek some Asylum where they could enjoy a security of property together with that degree of Freedom, which is essential to the happiness of Man. The benevolent Genius of Liberty invited and conducted them to this Western World! The wild, untutored Natives, looking with awe and wonder on their swelling canvass yielded them an abode on their paternal Shores. Here, by a due exertion of the powers of Industry, they progressively improved the uncultivated soil, and introduced the refined Arts of Civilization. The rising prospects of America seemed to be viewed with pleasure by the Mother Country. Great Brittain at the summit of her grandeur—when her power had extended almost to the bounds of the Earth, seemed to delight in our prosperity. But just at the period when we had begun to claim a rank amongst the Nations, she suddenly changes from her friendly conduct, and with an ungenerous policy resolves to enslave us. The right of passing Laws to bind us in all cases, was asserted, and she attempted to impose on us an unjust and burthensome taxation. At first the mild means of remonstrance were resorted to, and every argument that reason and ingenuity could invent was made use of to check the iniquitous design. But these proved wholly ineffectual—Great Brittain still persisted in her arbitrary demands. In this situation we were either tamely to submit to a deprivation of our inestimable privileges, and lick the foot that fain would tread us in the dust, or else we must take up arms and stand forth boldly in our own defence. It was a trying dilemma—but the sons of America could not hesitate. They resolved at once, to devote their lives, their fortunes and their all in defence of their Rights. With unshaken firmness they declared themselves a free and independent People! Which great and memorable act
has so much distinguished this very day—and this auspicious day, my friends, we have assembled here to celebrate! (Here read the Declaration of Independance passed by Congress on the 4th July, 1776.)

Thence ensued those glorious scenes which now adorn the page of History thence arose those illustrious Heroes whose generous efforts have ensured our Independance; and we should, my Fellow Citizens, attribute it to the immediate care of Heaven, that in this awful Crisis of our fate, we were presented with an Illustrious Hero—a more than Aristides or Epaminondas to cement the union of our interests—to conduct our armies, and to be the Saviour of his Country! But here we involuntarily pause and make the mournful reflection, that this greatest and best of Men, the illustrious Washington now moulders in the dust! He has gone, my Friends, to that Region from whence he never can return—gone, alas! at the very moment, when his beloved Country most needed his assistance! Great and immortal Patriot! to whose Tomb we can now only go and say (as Pericles did over the bodies of his deceased Fellow Soldiers), "thou art like to the Divinities above us—you art no longer with us—you art only known by the benefits which thou hast conferred!"  

To undertake a particular panegyric on the many Heroes who nobly devoted themselves to the sacred cause of Liberty would indeed be a vain attempt; a plain narrative of their glorious Actions speak for them the highest praises that Language can bestow; and tho' their ever honoured names will descend unsullied down the tide of Time, through the pure Channel of History, yet a more grateful, a more immediate remembrance of their virtuous toils shall live in every American heart!

During the rage and violence of our Revolutionary War, a plan of Confederation was framed in Congress, and after being submitted to the consideration of the several States was finally adopted. But in the troubled times of a Revolution, the

1 A passage in Thucydides.
result of which was doubtful, to form a Constitution fitted to settle and controul the jarring Interests of so many States, and to establish uniformity in its operations, was wholly impracticable. No sooner therefore was the War ended and peace established, than the defects and inadequacy of the Confederation began to be felt. A principle of common danger had supplied the place of a necessary coercion in Government—but as soon as this principle ceased to operate, the Interests of the Individual States began to clash with each other, and were put in opposition to the general welfare of the union. Attempts were made by artful and designing men to excite apprehensions and discontents in the minds of the People. Their passions had been inflamed by the cry of Despotism, and the most vague suggestions were sufficient to alarm them. A jealousy was conceived of the powers of Congress, and its acts were misrepresented. The Country was infested by commotions in different parts, and in 1786 an Insurrection actually took place in Massachusetts. Thus threatened as we were, with all the horrors and calamities of a Civil War, men of the greatest wisdom and talents were delegated by the People to form a Government adequate to the exigencies of the times, and which might stop the progress of licentiousness and disunion. They assembled—and after mature deliberation produced that admirable Constitution under which we live and of which we so justly boast—that Constitution which so well secures and guards the Liberties of the People. To its excellencies you can all bear testimony—for its beneficent effects have been felt by every class and denomination of Citizens. As soon as it began its operation under the auspices of the great Saviour of our Country, peace and order were established throughout the Continent—public credit was restored and Commerce regulated. The name of the United States became respectable abroad, and the Government at home was the boast and admiration of the Citizens. Private happiness was universally diffused as the necessary result of public prosperity, and the only fear seemed to be that a long continuance of such a state of things was not
consistent with the Lot of Man. Such a fear, indeed, my Fellow Citizens, was well grounded!

Just at this period the French Revolution had begun to agitate the Nations of Europe, and the Torch of War was flaming over the Land. Instead of adhering to those principles of Reform and rational Liberty upon which she at first professed to set out, France soon exhibited herself to the World, a ferocious Monster in iniquity! Religion and Morality—those great Pillars of Society, were utterly thrown down, and not a trace of them permitted to remain in that ill fated country. The Titans of modern days, they have raised their impious crests against the Majesty of Heaven, and vainly imagined they could subvert his eternal throne!  

France, like ancient Rome, had conceived views of universal domination; she had proclaimed herself the Guardian Genius of Republics; but it is a fact too notorious to be denied that every one within her reach seemed to be marked out as the peculiar victims of her savage fury. The kingdoms around began to dread her increasing power, and were taking measures to check her destructive ravages. Finding herself strongly opposed, she wished to draw America into the vortex of her broils; But the wisdom and patriotism of Washington forbade him to risk the happiness of his country, and he firmly pronounced our Neutrality. At once they conceived the design of revolutionizing our Government, Her Ministers and Agents were employed in using every artifice and intrigue to divide the People from their Government, and to sow the seeds of discord in our happy Land. Sorry I am to say, my Fellow Citizens, their endeavours were not unsuccessful for, ever since, there has existed a Party—uniform and steady in its opposition to every Act of the Govern-

9 The ridiculous establishment of the Decades—the inscriptions by public authority, on the tombs of the deceased affirming Death to be an eternal sleep—the open profession of Atheism in the Convention by Dupont, Danton &c received with acclamations the honourable mention of a book (on its journals) proposing to prove the Nothingness of all Religion, and the institution of a Festival to offer public worship to a Courtesan decorated with the title of the “Goddess of Reason,” are abundant proofs of their design to annihilate the Christian Religion. Tit. Manlius, No. 15.
ment. The vilest calumny and abuse have been indiscriminately poured forth against the best Patriots of our Country—Men, whom the Nation fondly numbered amongst her Friends,—Men who had devoted their whole Lives to the establishment and preservation of our Independence. Even your beloved Washington did not escape their Slander, and now that he is gone down to the grave, with fiendlike malignity they endeavour to asperse his memory and to obscure the lustre of his glory! I shall not pretend this day, my Fellow Citizens, to enter upon a particular defence of the various measures which have been adopted by Government for the protection of our Country. Most of them you all know, were induced by the hostility of France, and her ruinous depredations on our Commerce:—they were measures dictated by the soundest policy, and the most genuine patriotism.—Did you, my Fellow Citizens, undergo the toils and calamities of war to rescue your Liberties from the usurpations of Brittain, that you might prostrate them at the foot of France? No, my country-men! you will this day call Heaven to witness, that you will submit them to neither. The foundation of a Naval establishment was laid by Washington, and has been carried further into effect by his upright Successor. So vast have been the advantages resulting from this protection that even Party has ceased to cavil at it. But an Army has been established? Yes, my Fellow Citizens, to protect us against a threatened danger. We were told with insolent effrontery by the Minister and Directory of France that we were a divided People. We were threatened with the Fate of some of her miserable Neighbours who were reduced by them to a State of ignominious vassalage. Was it not then time to prepare for defence? When because we would not submit to their infamous demands they directed our attention to the ill fated Venice, it was time for us to deprive them of the means whereby her ruin was affected. For this, my Fellow Countrymen, was the Army established—and this purpose it has fully answered. France has at last discovered her mistake, and is inclined to treat with us. But you were told
that it was raised for the purpose of trampling down your liberties. Not so, for it is already disbanded. I forbear to say anything with regard to the two first attempts to negociate a peace with France. To call to your remembrance the degrading terms that were offered to our Minister, could only excite your Minds to rage and indignation. Let us rather now turn our attention to the Man who has so prudently guided the helm of State, and pay a just tribute of Gratitude to his patriotic endeavours. Has he not used his utmost exertions to promote our welfare? Yes, my Countrymen. Though his conduct may be viewed by some thro' the misty Medium of prejudice, yet I trust, you will all be convinced that he has been actuated by the purest motives and the most earnest anxiety for our peace and happiness. Adopting the Maxims of Washington, he acted in such a manner as to draw forth the warmest and most unequivocal approbation of that illustrious Sage. 

In addressing you on the subject of our Independence, it is but just, my Fellow Citizens, that I should endeavour to make right impressions of those Characters who were most forward in promoting it. Amongst that Band of Patriots our present Chief Magistrate Adams acted a conspicuous part, and was one of those who had the honour of signing the Declaration I have read to you. He was steadfast and true to the cause of American Independance through all the trying scenes of the Revolution, and has ever since devoted himself to the service of his Country. Since he was called by the voice of his Countrymen to the first Chair of State, he has made an honest and patriotic administration of our government, and thereby entitled himself to additional gratitude from his Fellow Citizens. Notwithstanding this you have heard him vilified and abused—On the one hand he has been represented to you as a Cesar in Ambition—On the other, as the despicable Tool of Brittain.

See Washington's Letter on his acceptance of the command of the army. He understood our true Interests—he could once unite our hearts. Let us then join with Washington and offer up the small tribute of our Applause. Let but the Glass of Truth be turned upon the actions of our virtuous President, and they will be reflected to our minds attended by the purest motives of Integrity and Patriotism.
You have heard my Fellow Citizens, that his Political sentiments are repugnant to Liberty, and that he entertains a pre-dilection for a Monarchical form of Government. But wherein has he discovered these sentiments? In a book, say they, which he once wrote on our American Constitutions. Upon this very ground I will now meet the virulence of his Slanderers, and by a single quotation refute the unfounded Columny. When he is comparing in that book (p. 95) the Theories of the different Governments, he thus exultingly proclaims the superiority of our own. “After all, says he, let us compare every Constitution we have seen with those of the United States, and we shall have no reason to blush for our Country; on the contrary we shall feel the strongest motives to fall upon our knees in gratitude to Heaven for having been graciously pleased to give us birth and education in that Country, and for having destined us to live under her Laws. We shall have reason to exult, if we make our comparison with England and the English Constitution. Our People are undoubtedly sovereign. All the Landed and other property is in the hands of the Citizens. Not only their Representatives but their Senators and Governours are annually chosen. There are no hereditary titles—honours—offices or distinctions. The Legislative, Executive and Judicial departments are separated from each other. The powers of the one—the few and the many are nicely balanced in their Legislatures. Trials by Jury are preserved in all their glory, and there is no standing Army. The habeas corpus is in full force, and the Press is the most free in the world; and where all these circumstances take place, it is unnecessary to add that the Laws alone must govern.” These are the sentiments of John Adams—they are the sentiments of a friend to Liberty and Man!

Believe me, my Fellow Citizens, our Constitution is the best Bulwark of your Rights; your most invaluable Interests—the security of your Lives, your Liberties and your Property are inseparably connected with its preservation; whoever then wishes you to destroy it should be considered your deadly foe.
Whenever its destruction is accomplished your Liberty and Happiness must sink with it in one common grave!

I have perhaps wearied your attention. I have touched upon those Incidents, which the anniversary of a Day so glorious in the Annals of our Country naturally suggested. I conclude with expressing my hopes that we shall celebrate it with Harmony and decorum, and with the genuine feelings of Americans.

THE BROOKE FAMILY.

By Ellon Brooke Culver Bowen.
(Mrs. Edwin LeRoy Bowen.)

Dr. Christopher Johnston's ably compiled "Brooke Family," as contained in the Maryland Historical Magazine, Vol. I, commencing on page 66, deals with the Brooke family of the older and lower counties of Maryland, including the seventh and touching lightly the eighth generation.

This present article will continue the numbering of the generations which it will record, as Dr. Johnston started them, commencing with the two parent generations of the Brooke line in Whitchurch, Co. Hampshire, England.

Richard Brooke³, Thomas Brooke², both of Whitchurch, to Robert Brooke³, who after long journeying in strange waters sailed into the beautiful Patuxent River, with its richly wooded shores, 30 June, 1650, and settled twenty miles from its mouth, having been in sight of his own lands after leaving the Chesapeake Bay. The Manor of De la Brooke was erected, a quaint brick building, with steep roof and dormer windows, with a wainscotted main floor and living room with massive cornice and floral designed frieze of rose and lily.

The second son of Robert Brooke³ was Major Thomas Brooke⁴, whose eldest son was Col. Thomas Brooke⁵, his eldest son was Thomas Brooke⁶, Esq., of Prince George's Co., whose eldest son was Thomas Brooke⁷. With the seventh generation Dr. Johnston's Brooke Family stops, with a mention of the eighth generation. The line of Thomas Brooke⁷ (Maryland
Hist. Mag., Vol. I, p. 377) had not been concluded, as only the name of the second wife, Sarah Mason, is given; the records of the first wife and other records had not been found by Dr. Johnston. The following is the additional record of Thomas Brooke⁷, who was of Prince George’s and Charles Counties, Maryland. He was the eldest son of Thomas Brooke⁶, Esq., of Brookefield, Prince George’s Co. (previous to 1695 Calvert Co., Md.), and his wife, Lucy Smith (dau. of Col. Walter Smith of Calvert Co., Md., and his wife, Rachael Hall), born to them at Brookefield, 30 April, 1706, died at his estate of “Nonesuch,” near Chickamuxen, Charles Co., Md. His will was proved 15 June, 1749. Charles Co. Wills, Lib. A. C., No. 4, fol. 276.

Thomas Brooke⁷ married first, Judith Briscoe (died ca. 1738), the widow of Charles Ashcom, of St. Mary’s Co., Md. (see will of Charles Ashcom, Annapolis, Md., Lib. XIX, fol. 127, dated 20 Nov., 1725, Pro. 23 Mar. 1727), and the daughter of Philip Briscoe, Sr., of Charles Co., Md., and his wife, Susanna Swann. In the will of Philip Briscoe, Sr., Charles Co., Md., Lib. A. B., No. 3, fol. 176, under its date of 25 Apr., 1724, Pro. 29 Jan., 1724 [old style reckoning] mentions this daughter as “Judith Ashcom.” Between the date of his will and the date of the will of his wife, Susanna Briscoe, Charles Co., Md., Lib. A. C., No. 4, fol. 116, dated 5 Feb., 1739, Pro. 24 July, 1740, Judith (Briscoe) Ashcom had remarried and died, and is mentioned in this will of her mother as “my daughter, Judith Brooke deceased.” Under Testamentary Proceedings, Lib. No. 28, 1727-1730, Annapolis, Md., in the Will Codicil and Testamentary Bond on Estate of Charles Ashcom, late of St. Mary’s Co., deceased, his widow, as executrix of his will, signs this bond for £5,000 sterling, as Judith Ashcom. Bond dated 23 March, 1727.

In Annapolis, Md., Inventory Book No. 12, 1727, fol. 261, the Inventory of Estate of Charles Ashcom, late of St. Mary’s Co., Md., deceased, is appraised £939.8.9. Signed and proved by Judith Brooke, Extrix., dated 5 Sept., 1727. The dates and the change in her name, as given in the afore Test. Bond and the following one, on the Appraiseiment of Charles Ashcom’s
Estate, show that Judith (Briscoe) Ashcom had become the wife of Thomas Brooke, between 23 March, 1727, and 5 Sept., 1727.

A record from Annapolis, Md., Account Book, No. 9, fol. 195, under Account of Estate of Charles Ashcom, late of St. Mary’s Co., Md., deceased, dated 29 May, 1728, is signed by Thomas Brooke and Judith, his wife. Additional Account of Estate of Charles Ashcom, late of St. Mary’s Co., Md., deceased, Annapolis, Md., Account Book No. 11, 1731-1733, fol. 525, is given “By Thomas Brooke, who intermarried with Judith, the Relict and Extrix. of said Charles Ashcom.” Proved 21 Nov., 1732, by Thomas Brooke. The total of the estate being £1,056..19..1½. A careful search of the records shows that the only Thomas Brooke of that generation who could have intermarried with Charles Ashcom’s young widow, Judith Briscoe 1727, was Thomas Brooke of the seventh generation, being of Prince George’s and Charles Co., as the only other unmarried Thomas Brooke in Maryland was not born until 1717 and died a bachelor 1768, he was the youngest son of Col. Thomas Brooke and his 2nd wife, Barbara Dent. See Maryland Hist. Mag., Vol. I, page 186. The Marriage References, compiled by Mrs. George W. Hodges, on file at Annapolis, Md., Land Office, gives the date of 1732 for marriage of Thomas Brooke to Judith Briscoe, which date is the final account of the settlement of Charles Ashcom’s estate, and is nearly five years later than the date of the marriage 1727, as shown in the preceding data of Court Proceedings quoted in this article.

Following are the Colonial Services of Thomas Brooke, known in Prince George’s Co. as Thomas Brooke, Jr. (1706-1749):


List of Civil Officers of Maryland (1637-1659), Goldsborough Bound MSS. (Md. Hist. Soc.). P. 607, Commission issued
Thomas Brooke Junr. 12 June, 1746, as Associate Justice of Prince George's Co., Md. P. 613, Commission issued Thomas Brooke Junr. 6 Oct., 1747, as Associate Justice of Prince George's Co., Md.


"Thomas Brooke, my eldest son" is again mentioned in his father's will and again in "the education of my three sons, Thomas, Walter and Richard Brooke to be under the direction of my loving brother Richard Brooke, but the expense of their education to be discharged by my Executrix."

Issue of Thomas Brooke Junr and his first wife, Judith Briscoe (widow of Charles Ashcom of St. Mary's Co., Md.).

i. Thomas Brooke, b. 1734, d. 1789, Washington Co., Md. See further.


i. Walter Brooke, b. ca. 1740, d. 1798, mar. 1774, Ann Darrell (b. 21 June, 1758, d. 10 Oct., 1823). Commodore Va. Navy 1775-78. Left surviving issue 3 sons and 2 daus. (Family Records.)

ii. Richard Brooke.

Dr. Johnston in his "Brooke Family," Vol. I, p. 377, makes
it plain that Walter and Richard Brooke were the sons of Sarah Mason, while the first son was the child of the first wife.

In 1695 Prince George's Co., Md., was formed from Calvert Co., and included Brookefield, the estate of Col. Thomas Brooke⁵. Later when Brookefield came by inheritance to his eldest son, Thomas Brooke⁶, by his first wife, Ann, there came to be three lineal generations of Thomas Brooke, of Prince George's Co., it then became necessary to designate them as Thomas Brooke⁶, Esq. (1683-1744), his eldest son, Thomas Brooke⁷, as Junr (1706-1749), and his eldest son, Thomas Brooke⁸, as the 3rd (1734-1789). After the death of the progenitors of Thomas Brooke the 3rd and his removing to Frederick Co., and Washington Co. being formed from Frederick, he was variously named as Thomas Brooke, Jr., of Washington Co., as Thomas Brooke of Washington Co., and as Thomas Brooke, son of Thomas. There are various ones in the Warrant Books in the Maryland Land Office in Annapolis between June 29, 1782, and Aug. 19, 1788. The clinching proof of the foregoing that Thomas Brooke⁸ was identical with the Thomas Brooke of the Land Office records and Thomas Brooke who made his Washington Co. Will in 1789 is found in Annapolis Land Office Book of Patents, Lib. I. C. C., fol. 490.

The designation of these three lineal generations of Brooke in Prince George's Co., that perpetuated the beloved name of Thomas, each the eldest son of their line, appears frequently on the pages of the "Church Records of St. Paul's Parish, Prince George's Co. (1730-1819)," as well as in civil proceedings. P. 17. Thomas Brooke, Esq., late Sheriff, in balance of his account, etc. P. 16. The new Church, St. Paul's Parish, 2 Sept., 1735. Choice of Pews, Thomas Brooke, Esq., pew 18. Thomas Brooke, Junr, Walter Brooke, Baker Brooke and Gerard Truman Groenfield, Pew 3, in the gallery. P. 17. Thomas Brooke, Junr, as Vestryman of St. Paul's Parish, and like appointments recorded on pages 55, 61, 63, 65, 76, 77. Vestry Proceedings, St. Paul's Parish, p. 156, "Order given by the Register of the Parish to give Thomas Brooke, the 3rd, an order to have the church repaired."
THOMAS BROOKE* *(Thomas7, Thomas6, Thomas5, Thomas4, Robert3, Thomas2, Richard1, the two latter of Whitchurch, Co. Hampshire, England), eldest son of Thomas Brooke7, and his first wife, Judith Briscoe (widow of Charles Ashcom of St. Mary's Co., Md.). He was born 1734 (according to his sworn statement in a deposition before Justice John Stull, Hagers-town, Washington Co., Md., 8 Apr., 1786. Land Records, Lib. D, fol. 487). Died 1789, Washington Co., Md. Married Elizabeth ————, ante 1765, when she joins him in deed when living in Prince George's Co., Md. (Charles Co. Deeds, Lib. L, No. 3, fol. 612, 22 Feb., 1765.) Elizabeth Brooke died between 25 Aug., 1784 (at which time she stated under oath that she released her right voluntary in "Jonas Discovery." Wash. Co., Md., Deeds, Lib. D, fol. 120), and when on 1 Apr., 1785, her signature was not given, on an Indenture made by Thomas Brooke, her husband, a process of signature release being necessary if wife is living (Wash. Co., Md., Land Records, Lib. D, fol. 278). Thomas Brooke's will dated 6 March, 1786, shows her deceased, when in this will he tenderly states his desire "to be buried as near as may be to the body of my deceased wife, Elizabeth." Thomas Brooke8 had been completely orphaned by the death of his father Thomas Brooke7,

* The painstaking Dr. Christopher Johnston in his "Brooke Family," Vol. I, pp. 377-378, names four different eldest sons by the name of Thomas Brooke, all first cousins, belonging to the eighth generation. They were as follows:

1. Thomas Brooke8, born 1734, as herein shown (date not given by Dr. Johnston), died 1789, Wash. Co., Md. Eldest son of Thomas Brooke7 of Charles Co., Md.

2. Thomas Brooke8, under 12 in 1740, died intestate 1768. Eldest son of Walter Brooke7, of Prince George's Co., Md.


It is obvious that the death date, as well as the deducted birth year of the second of the four cousins and the dates of the birth of the third and fourth of the cousins, eliminate all of them from being Thomas Brooke8, the first of the cousins, born 1734, who became the Surveyor of Wash. Co., and whose will was proved 1789.
1749. Records show he was evidently reared by his grandmother, Lucy (Smith) Brooke, widow of Thomas Brooke, Esq., and his maiden aunts Rachael and Rebecca, and bachelor uncle Isaac Brooke, at Brookefield, Prince George's Co., Md. Learning the profession of surveying from his uncle, Isaac Brooke, and following him to Upper Frederick Co., Md., he eventually succeeded his uncle, as in the formation of Washington Co., from Frederick in 1776, Thomas Brooke became the First Surveyor of Washington Co. (Scharf’s *Hist. of Western Maryland*, Vol. 2, p. 989), which office he filled until his death in 1789. Thomas Brooke served his country faithfully in Colonial service leading up to and including Revolutionary service.


Thomas Brooke of Frederick Co., Md., 18 Nov., 1774. Appointed on a Committee to represent Frederick Co., Md., to carry into execution the acts of the Association agreed upon by the American Continental Congress and that any five of the Committee have power to act.

P. 1173-4. Thomas Brooke of Frederick Co., Md., 24 Jan., 1775, appointed on a Committee of Observation, with full powers to act to prevent any infraction of and to carry into execution the Resolves of American Congress and Provincial Convention. In the larger districts any five of these gentlemen to act for the good of the country.

The services of Thomas Brooke after 1776 are listed of Washington Co., as this county at this date was formed from Frederick Co.


Vol. 12, p. 152. Thomas Brooke on Committee of Observation for Elizabeth Town District (after 1814 Hagerstown), Washington Co., and made Clerk of the Committee Feb., 1776.

Thomas Brooke\(^8\) appointed Chairman on Committee of Observation, 18 Jan., 1777, and as Chairman at various meetings as recorded in *Maryland Hist. Mag.*, Vol. 13, pp. 42, 50, 52, 238.

Thomas Brooke\(^8\), the first surveyor of Washington Co., Md., was the fifth generation of this line perpetuating the name of Thomas in the direct line from Colonial Governor Robert Brooke. According to the will of Thomas Brooke\(^8\), of Washington Co., it evidences a divisible interest in the estate of Dan. This great tract of 4,000 acres was granted to his great-grandfather, Col. Thomas Brooke\(^6\), 28 July, 1694 (Annapolis, Md., Land "Certificates," Lib. B. 23, fol. 223-4). It is now embraced by the northwestern section of Washington, D. C., and includes some of the best residence and Rock Creek Park section of the National Capital. This tract of Dan is referred to in various other Brooke records, of some of those in lineal descent from Col. Thomas Brooke\(^6\). In addition the family land connections of Thomas Brooke\(^8\) of Washington Co., Md., may be cited further. The tracts of Gibeon, Ajalon and Brookefield, each of which had previously been owned or surveyed to Isaac, Rachael and Rebecca Brooke, of the seventh generation, of Prince George's Co., Md. (children of Thomas Brooke\(^8\) and his wife, Lucy Smith), and to Mary Ashcom Greenfield Brooke, widow of Walter Brooke\(^7\), were parts of the land holdings of Thomas Brooke\(^8\) of Washington Co., Md., and came by will to his eight children.

The issue of Thomas Brooke\(^8\) and his wife Elizabeth is listed in the *Maryland Hist. Mag.*, Vol. 10, pp. 283-344. All the names there given are verified by his will on file at the Court House, Hagerstown, Washington Co., Md. See "Wills," Lib. A, fol. 205.

i. Thomas Brooke\(^8\), died early in the Carolinas (probably following his father's profession of surveying). Mar., name of wife unknown. Living in 1786. Left issue.

ii. William Pitt Brooke, mar., deceased in 1816, left issue. Named for the Eng. statesman, the Earl of Chatham, who fearlessly upheld the cause of the American Colonies, before and during the Revolution.

iv. Rachael Brooke, mar. 1° William Darrell (whose son Wm. Darrell, Jr., mar. Sarah Virginia Brooke, grand-daughter of Commodore Walter Brooke of the Va. Navy (1775-78) and his wife Ann Darrell, thus uniting the blood of the 1° and 2° wives of Thomas Brooke, Judith Briscoe and Sarah Mason). Mar. 2° William Collard and had Eliza. of Retirement, near Alexandria, Va. Wm. Pitt Brooke and Elizabeth Brooke, being minors at the time of their father's death, their care and education was left to Wm. Darrell, Sr., their expenses to be paid by his estate. Rachael was named for her father's maiden aunt, Rachael Brooke of Prince George's Co.


vi. Judith Briscoe Brooke, mar. James Lindsay, a merchant of Union-town, Pa. Left issue. She was named for her father's mother, 1° wife of Thomas Brooke.


CLEMENT BROOKE (Thomas, Thomas, Thomas, Thomas, Thomas, Robert, Thomas, Richard, the two latter of Whitchurch, Co. Hampshire, England). Youngest son of Thomas Brooke, of Washington Co., Md., and his wife, Elizabeth, he was born 1770, Washington Co, Md., died 1836, Zanesville, Ohio, married Ann Dillon, 26 day, 9 mo., 1794, at Redstone (now So. Brownsville), Fayette Co., Pa., Quaker Records, p. 75. Ann Dillon, born Long Green, Baltimore, Md., 27 day, 10 mo., 1774 [Record Gunpowder Monthly Meeting (Quaker), page 21 and Dillon Fam. Bible Records] died 1833, Zanesville, Ohio. Buried by her husband Clement Brooke, their graves being at the foot of those of her parents, Moses and Hannah (Griffith) Dillon in the Dillon, or Quaker, cemetery on the Dillon Falls road on the outskirts of Zanesville. The tombstone inscriptions attest the facts. Moses Dillon was born 10 March, 1746, Bucks
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Co., Pa., grandson of Nicholas and Mary Dillon, who emigrated from northern Ireland in 1740, settling on a large farm adjoining the present village of Pipersville, Bucks Co., Pa., and were made members 7th Dec., 1741, of the Society of Friends at Buckingham Monthly Meeting. The will of Nicholas Dillon was proved 25 Sept., 1773, and mentions his only son, Thomas Dillon. Moses Dillon, was a member of the Society of Friends, Little Falls, Md., 1778, an architect and stone mason of Baltimore, 1795 (*Maryland Hist. Mag.*, Vol. 4, p. 20), married in 1772, Hannah Griffith, born 6 July, 1749, Bucks Co., Pa. (Record Richland Friends' Meeting, Bucks Co., Pa.), daughter of Isaac Griffith (Abraham, Howell), and his wife, Ann Burson. Howell Griffith, the emigrating ancestor, came from Wales in 1689 and purchased a lot on High street, now Market street, Philadelphia, where he died 17 Feb., 1710. Complete data of the Griffith, Burson, Lester and Potts families is given in "Early Friends' Families in Upper Bucks Co., Pa.", by C. V. Roberts and Warren Ely, Philadelphia, 1925.

Clement Brooke* was successfully engaged in the mercantile and shipping business in Baltimore during the closing years of 1700 and early 1800, and in active and extensive land speculations. During this time the portraits of the distinguished appearing Clement Brooke and his beautiful Quaker wife, Ann Dillon, were painted in Baltimore. Through the failing conditions of Jay's Treaty and the Jefferson Embargo, Clement Brooke suffered losses in his shipping ventures and was forced into virtual bankruptcy 1808/9. Putting his large real estate holdings of 40,000 acres in Virginia (now West Virginia) and lands in northern Maryland and southern Pennsylvania in the hands of trustees, for the benefit of his creditors, he removed with his wife Ann ("Nancy") and four children to Zanesville, Ohio, and there became identified in business with Moses Dillon (*Maryland Hist. Mag.*, Vol. X, p. 361; Vol. XI, pp. 144-6), "who in 1805 had established near Zanesville, Ohio, the first successful blast furnace, iron foundries, and lumber mills in the state at Dillon Falls on the Licking River, and became Ohio's first real Captain of Industry, giving more employment than did
any other manufacturer in the infant state. For over thirty years he was actively identified with the best interests, business, social and religious, in the state." After the War of 1812-15 the land holdings of Clement Brooke were placed in the hands of Uria Brown, a surveyor and conveyancer, of which he kept a unique "Journal" and which appears in six successive numbers of the *Maryland Hist. Mag.*, Vols. 10 and 11. (Afterwards this Uria Brown in 1821 became the first teacher of McKim's Free School in Baltimore.)

The issue of Clement Brooke⁹ and his wife, Ann Dillon, were two sons and two daughters.

i. Dr. William Lee Brooke¹⁰, b. 1796, mar. Elizabeth Goss, settled in Newark, Licking Co., Ohio, in practice of his profession. Died 1849, leaving numerous descendants, many of them in and around Newark. Named for his Aunt Susan Brooke's husband, William Lee, who had been made the "Whole Executor" by Thomas Brooke⁸, in his will and the guardian, during minority, of his son Clement Brooke. William Lee became the business partner of the latter in Baltimore in early 1800.

ii. Moses Dillon Brooke, b. 16 Apr., 1798, named for his maternal grandfather, mar. Eliza McFadden, of whom further.

iii. Elizabeth Brooke, b. 17 March, 1800, Baltimore, Md., d. 29 Oct., 1873, Brownsville, Pa., leaving many descendants.

iv. Hannah Dillon Brooke, b. 29 May, 1804, Baltimore, Md., d. 15 Feb., 1862, Logan, Ohio; mar. 24 Dec., 1827, Dr. Reuben Culver, of whom further. Named for her maternal grandmother, Hannah (Griffith) Dillon.

Moses Dillon Brooke¹⁰ (Clement⁹, Thomas⁸, Thomas⁷, Thomas⁶, Thomas⁵, Thomas⁴, Robert³, Thomas², Richard¹, the two latter of Whitchurch, Co. Hampshire, England), b. 16 Apr., 1798, Baltimore Co., Md., d. 16 Apr., 1881, Madison, Ind. Second and youngest son of Clement Brooke⁹ and his wife, Ann Dillon, mar. 9 July, 1822, Eliza McFadden, d. 1864, dau. Thomas and Ann Adams McFadden, of Armagh, Ireland, later of Washington, Pa. Moses Dillon Brooke was a merchant at Thorndale and Somerset, Perry Co., Ohio, and continued the business when he removed to Madison, Ind., where he died. The names of their children who survived infancy, two sons and three daughters, were:
THE BROOKE FAMILY.

i. Robert Fulton Brooke\textsuperscript{11}, b. 19 July, 1829, Thornville, Ohio, d. 23 Nov., 1904; mar. 23 Jan., 1855, his cousin, Mary Wallace McFadden, b. 29 Feb., 1830. Issue:

(A) Wallace Gibson Brooke\textsuperscript{12}, d. 29 July, 1923; mar. 21 Apr., 1885, Annie E. Evans, who had Clayton Evans Brooke\textsuperscript{13}, William Wallace Brooke\textsuperscript{13}.

(B) Cora Fulton Brooke\textsuperscript{12}, d. 17 Feb., 1927; mar. 25 June, 1890, Anthony H. Creagh, who had Marie Elsie Creagh\textsuperscript{13}, Dorothea Brooke Creagh\textsuperscript{13}, William Brooke Creagh\textsuperscript{13}.

(C) Charles Whitney Brooke\textsuperscript{12}; mar. 29 June, 1886, Kate Van de Water, who had Marjorie Van de Water Brooke\textsuperscript{13}.

(D) Jennie May Brooke\textsuperscript{12}; unmarried.

The four foregoing A, B, C, D issue were all residents of Greater New York.

ii. Mary Ellen Brooke\textsuperscript{11}, b. 4 March, 1831, Thornville, Ohio; mar. 23 Oct., 1849, John Lafayette Wilson, of Madison, Ind., later of a country estate, near Morrow, Warren Co., Ohio. Of nine children raised to maturity only one survives, Hon. Manly D. Wilson\textsuperscript{12}, of Madison, Ind., who mar. Margaret Johnson, 13 Oct., 1880, of Madison, Ind., and had Jeannette Wilson\textsuperscript{13}.

iii. Lucy Hazlette Brooke\textsuperscript{11}, of whom further, under Hannah Dillon Brooke\textsuperscript{10}, whose son she married.

iv. Gilbert McFadden Brooke\textsuperscript{11}, b. 17 July, 1834, Thornville, Ohio, d. 2 Aug., 1913, Knoxville, Tenn; mar. 18 May, 1858, Catherine Todd Smith and had

(A) Charlton Perkins Brooke\textsuperscript{12} of Miami, Fla., who mar. 14 Dec., 1893, 1\textdegree Margaret McTeer and had Charlton Perkins Brooke\textsuperscript{13}, Jr.; mar. 2\textdegree, 10 June, 1913, Charlotte M. Bell.

(B) Bertha S. Brooke\textsuperscript{12}; unmarried; lives at Knoxville, Tenn.

(C) Florence Brooke; deceased.

v. Catherine F. Brooke\textsuperscript{11}, b. 6 Feb., 1837, Somerset, Ohio, d. Apr., 1914, St. Louis, Mo.; mar. Hiram H. Todd, 1857, of Madison, Ind., who died 1903. Issue, three sons and one daughter:

(A) Charles Brooke Todd\textsuperscript{12} of St. Louis, Mo.; mar. Oliva Hart 1 Sept., 1886, Logan, Ohio, and had the following seven children: Harold Hart Todd\textsuperscript{13}, mar. Elizabeth ——; Seymour S. Todd\textsuperscript{13}, mar. Gladys Taylor; Mary Oliva Todd\textsuperscript{13}; Charles Brooke Todd\textsuperscript{13}, Jr., mar. Betty Graddon; Vernon Cary Todd\textsuperscript{13}; Marshall Fulton Todd\textsuperscript{13}; Katherine T. Todd\textsuperscript{13}.

(B) Harry H. Todd\textsuperscript{12} of St. Louis, Mo.; mar. Mabel Le Bosquet Brown of Kansas City, Mo., Nov., 1898, and had Marjorie Todd\textsuperscript{13}, Wylie Todd\textsuperscript{13}.

(C) Vernon C. Todd\textsuperscript{12} of Takoma, Wash.; mar. Mary Kroger and had Helen Todd\textsuperscript{13}; Freda Todd\textsuperscript{13}, Stanley Todd\textsuperscript{13}, Doris Todd\textsuperscript{13}, Catherine Todd\textsuperscript{13}.

(D) Mazie Brooke Todd\textsuperscript{12}, of whom further, under Hannah Dillon Brooke\textsuperscript{10}, whose grandson, Reuben Dillon Culvert, she married.
Hannah Dillon Brooke\textsuperscript{10}, (Clement\textsuperscript{8}, Thomas\textsuperscript{7}, Thomas\textsuperscript{6}, Thomas\textsuperscript{5}, Thomas\textsuperscript{4}, Robert\textsuperscript{3}, Thomas\textsuperscript{2}, Richard\textsuperscript{1}, the two latter of Whitchurch, Co. Hampshire, England), b. 29 May, 1804, Baltimore, Md., d. 15 Feb., 1862, Logan, Ohio. Youngest of the four children of Clement Brooke and his wife, Ann Dillon, mar. 24 Dec., 1827, Zanesville, Ohio (where she was raised), Dr. Reuben Culver of Logan, Ohio, b. 4 Oct., 1798, Waterford, Ohio, d. 2 Apr., 1861, Logan, Ohio. Son of Reuben Culver and his wife, Olive Buell (both descendants of two of the original proprietors of Litchfield, Conn., Lieut. Edw. Culver and Capt. John Buell), who migrated from Litchfield, Conn., 1796, to Waterford, Ohio.

Dr. Reuben Culver, according to a biographical sketch of his life in "Eminent Americans," published prior to the Civil War, studied law, medicine and surgery in Charlestown, Va., now the capital of West Virginia, but by a coincidence settled in Logan, Ohio, where he became the first president of Logan Branch, of the State Bank of Ohio. In 1834 the Ohio Legislature appointed him Associate Judge of Hocking Co., which office he filled until it was abolished. Dr. Reuben Culver was the leading physician and surgeon of Hocking Co. until his death, 2 Apr., 1861.

The issue of Dr. Reuben Culver and his wife, Hannah Dillon Brooke, was three sons and one daughter.

i. Charles Vernon Culver, b. Sept., 1830, Logan, Ohio, d. 1910, Philadelphia, Pa.; mar. 1855, Mary Elizabeth Austin. Their only son died in infancy.

ii. Lucien Hambden Culver, of whom further.

iii. Lawrence Augustus Culver, of whom further.


Lawrence Augustus Culver, third and youngest son of Dr. Reuben Culver and his wife, Hannah Dillon Brooke, b. 9 Oct., 1834, Logan, Ohio, d. 12 Dec., 1918, Veedersburg, Ind. Spent his active life as a banker in Logan, Ohio. Mar. Madison, Ind., 27 July, 1859, his cousin, Lucy Hazlett Brooke, b. 6 Nov. 1831, Thornville, Ohio, d. 11 Apr., 1904, St. Louis, Mo., she was the
daughter of Moses Dillon Brooke and his wife, Eliza McFadden, daughter of Thomas McFadden, b. 1757, Armagh, Co. Armagh, Ireland, d. 8 Oct., 1812, and his wife, Ann Adams, b. 1766, Armagh, Co. Armagh, Ireland, d. 19 Aug., 1840. They were married 1786 in Armagh, migrated to America circa 1800, locating at Washington, Pa. They had ten children, all of whom married and had issue.

The issue of Lawrence Agustus Culver and his wife, Lucy Hazlett Brooke, two sons and two daughters, of whom the eldest

(A) Reuben Dillon Culver, b. 10 May, 1860, Logan, Hocking Co., Ohio. Educated at Alleghany College, Meadville, Pa. Active business career at Veedersburg, Ind., with his younger brother, Lawrence Agustus Culver, Jr. In 1931 retired and living at Oakland, California. Married 30 Dec., 1883, Washington, D. C., his cousin, Mazie Brooke Todd, b. 13 July, 1862, Madison, Ind., only daughter of Hiram H. Todd and his wife, Catherine Brooke, of the eleventh Brooke generation. Hiram H. Todd was the grandson of Owen Todd and his wife, who was the daughter of Col. Thomas Paxton, of Bedford Co., Pa., who was Lieut. Col. in a Pennsylvanian regiment in the Revolution. This Todd family descended from Robert Todd of Lanarkshire, Scotland, who was a progenitor of David Andrew Todd, b. Co. Down, Ireland, 8 Apr., 1725, later migrated to Montgomery Co., Pa. The issue of Reuben Dillon Culver and his wife, Mazie Brooke Todd, is an only son, Lawrence Frederick Culver, b. 25 July, 1885, Logan, Ohio; mar. 23 Mar., 1918, Cincinnati, Ohio, Helen Beverly Nichols, b. 23 Feb., 1894, St. Louis, Mo., eldest daughter of Walter Nichols, b. 3 Jan., 1862, near Covington, Ky., and his wife, Laura Bell McConahy, b. 25 Sept., 1867, Van Wert, Ohio; mar. 13 Dec., 1887, Philadelphia, Pa. Issue of Lawrence Frederick Culver and his wife, Helen Beverly Nicholas: Lawrence Frederick Culver, Jr., b. 2 Sept., 1920, Veedersburg, Ind., and Marjanne Culver, b. 8 Sept., 1923, Veedersburg, Ind.

(B) Lawrence Agustus Culver, Jr., b. Logan, Ohio, resides at Veedersburg, Ind. Mar. 26 Jan., 1899, Ivy Jane Todd (daughter of Elhannon Paxton Todd and his wife, Sarah Farmer, of Kansas City, Kansas) and had Dorothy Todd Culver, who mar. William Lee Todd Morton, of Danville, Va., and had Lawrence Culver Morton and William Lee Morton, Jr.


(D) Florence E. Culver of Pasadena, California.
Lucien Hamden Culver, ninth in descent from Robert Brooke, Colonial Governor of Maryland, thirty-fourth in descent from King Alfred of England, and thirty-ninth in descent from Charlemagne, Emperor of the West, 800 A.D. He was the second son of Dr. Reuben Culver and his wife, Hannah Dillon Brooke, was born 25 Feb., 1833, Greene Township, Hocking Co., Ohio, died 22 Apr., 1881, Reno, Pa. Graduated from Wesleyan College, Delaware, Ohio. Studied law with Governor Dennison and General Carrington at their law office, Columbus, Ohio. Admitted to the Bar, 11 July, 1855. In 1863 engaged in private banking in New York City with his brother Charles Vernon Culver, as Culver, Penn and Company, establishing twelve branch banks in western Pennsylvania, and in production of oil at Reno, Pa., at which place he died at the early age of forty-eight years. Mar. 13 Apr., 1856, at Logan, Ohio, Cynthia Baker, b. 14 Sept., 1835, Lancaster, Ohio, d. 27 July, 1929, Paradise Valley, California; entombed Inglewood Park Mausoleum, Los Angeles, California. The above dates of birth, marriage and death are attested on the mausoleum and in the Culver and Baker family Bibles. Cynthia Baker was the daughter of Luman Baker, son of Timothy Baker, son of Aaron (Cornwall, Vt., Town Records, Vol. I, p. 284), lineal descendants of Edward and Jane Baker of Baker’s Hill, Lynn, Mass. (Lewis and Newhall’s History of Lynn, Trumbull’s History of Northampton, p. 110, Baker-Thompson Lineage Book, Baker family Bible), and his wife, Sarah Ann Hart, of Lancaster and Logan, Ohio (see “Stephen Hart and His Descendants,” by Alfred Andrews, 1875, pp. 399, 451, 514). She was a daughter of Thomas Hart and his wife, Elizabeth McClelland, of Lancaster, Ohio, and a lineal descendant of Dr. Josiah Hart, who graduated at Yale, 1762. Dr Josiah Hart was made full surgeon in the Revolution, 1775, at a special session of the Connecticut Assembly (Ancient Wethersfield, Vol. I, p. 454).

From “Historical Register of Officers of Continental Army during the War of the Revolution (1775-1783),” by Francis B. Heitman, 1914 edition, p. 277:
Josiah Hart (Conn.). Surgeon's Mate 6th Conn. 6th July to Dec., 1775.
Surgeon 10th Continental Infantry 1st Jan. to 31st Dec., 1776.
Surgeon Conn. Militia 1777-1780. (Died Aug., 1812.)
Sup. Index to Rev. MSS. Conn. Josiah Hart Surgeon indorsement sick bills 1775, pp. 6, 17. Also see D. A. R. Lineage Book 31, p. 258.
Cynthia (Baker) Culver was lineally descended from Colonial Governor Thomas Welles (1598-1660), who was also Treasurer and Secretary of the Colony of Conn.; Commissioner United Colonies; Deputy Governor and Governor of Conn. 1655-1658,
The issue of Lucien Hambden Culver and his wife, Cynthia Baker, are the following:
(A) Minnie Culver, of whom further.
(B) Anna Brooke Culver, b. 13 Nov., 1858, mar. 15 Jan., 1886, Charles Russell, d. 5 Dec., 1886.
(C) Mary Louise Culver, b. 5 March, 1860, d. in childhood.
(D) Ruth Austin Culver, b. 29 March, 1862; mar. 11 May, 1882, William Alexander Drake of Oil City, Pa.
(E) Elizabeth Brooke Culver, b. 9 Oct., 1864, Hudson City, N. J. Living in Los Angeles, Calif.
Minnie Culver, b. 8 June, 1857, Logan, Ohio, d. 26 Mar.,

Robert Emmet Hurley at age of twenty years served during the Civil War in the U. S. Navy, with rank of 2nd Lieut. S. S. Santiago de Cuba, attached in the Blockading Squadron, South Atlantic Coast, 1864, and was one of its three officers appointed to stand as body-guard over the body of President Lincoln at the Capitol at Washington on 18th Aug., 1865. Later he was honorably discharged from the Navy.

The issue of Robert Emmet Hurley and his wife, Minnie Culver, was three sons.

(A) Lucien Culver Hurley, b. 20 June, 1885, Des Moines, Iowa. Graduate of Scranton High School, 1903; graduate of Yale University, June, 1906. Entered 1918 the Great Lakes and Washington, D. C., U. S. N. training for World's War Service. Honorably discharged at Armistice. Resides in Denver, Colo., as president and treasurer of The Hurley Co. Through maternal grandmother descends from
Robert Fitzwalter, the successful leader of the barons who wrested Magna Charta from King John, 1215, upon which tenets our Declaration of Independence and Constitution are founded. Member of the Soc. of Barons of Runnemede. Mar. 15 May, 1915, Denver, Colo., Shirley Basey Watkins, b. 3 July, 1890, Denver Colo., daughter of Leonard Kendrick Watkins, b. 26 Aug., 1863, St. Louis, Mo., d. 13 Sept., 1913, Denver, Colo., and his wife, Annabel Basey, b. 3 July, 1862, Brunswick, Mo., whom he married 24 Feb., 1885. Shirley Basey Watkins is the grand-daughter of Leonard Alfred Watkins, b. 2 Oct., 1831, Birmingham, Eng., d. 18 Jan., 1895, Denver, Colo.; mar. in Birmingham, Eng., Emma Kendrick, b. 8 March, 1832, Birmingham, Eng., d. 2 June, 1915, Denver, Colo. They came to St. Louis, Mo., 1855. The issue of Lucien Culver Hurley and his wife, Shirley Basey Watkins are the following: Barbara Brooke Hurley, b. 12 May, 1917, Sheboygan, Wis.; Patricia Brooke Hurley, b. 1 Aug., 1920, Denver, Colo., died there 16 Oct., 1925; Leonard Watkins Hurley, b. 5 Feb., 1924, Denver, Colo.


PROCEEDINGS OF THE SOCIETY.

March 12th, 1934.—The regular meeting of the Society was held to-night with the President in the chair.

The minutes of the last meeting were read and approved, as read.

A list of the donations made to the Library and Gallery since the last meeting was read.

The following named persons, having been previously nominated, were elected to membership:

**Active:**

- John Condon of "H"
- Mrs. Bennett Crain
- Mr. Z. Bond Evans, Jr.
- Mr. George Henderson
- Miss Fanny King McLane
- Harvey Harges Robinson
- Miss Anna Trail

**Associate:**

- Mr. Richard Washburn Hynson

The attention of the members was called to the gift of the Old C. & P. telephone directories and asked to please present any of these old books which they may have in their possession so that our file may be made more complete. It is thought that in later years these old directories will be useful to extend the file of old Baltimore City Directories.

Dr. Harris E. Kirk was recognized by the chair and read a paper entitled "John Baskerville."

Mr. Louis H. Dielman moved that the unanimous thanks of the Society be extended to Dr. Kirk for his most interesting and delightful paper.

Upon motion duly seconded and carried the meeting adjourned.
April 9th, 1934.—The regular meeting of the Society was held to-night with President Harris in the chair.

The minutes of the last meeting were read and approved, as read.

The following named persons were elected to membership:

**Active:**
- Mrs. Brodnax (Julia Sprigg) Cameron
- Mrs. Allen A. Davis
- Kent Roberts Greenfield, Ph. D.
- W. Stull Holt, Ph. D.
- Miss Ellen C. Israel
- Miss Martha Jarman
- Miss Margery Whyte

**Associate:**
- J. Thomas Kelly, M. D.
- Mr. Clifford Lewis, 3rd
- Mr. Herbert L. Satterlee

The following deaths were reported from among our members:

- Mr. Frank C. Norwood, January 5th, 1934.
- Mr. Francis X. Milholland, March 24th, 1934.

Mr. J. Bennett Nolan, historian of the “American Friends of Lafayette,” was introduced by the President. Mr. Nolan’s subject was “Lafayette in Maryland.”

It was moved, seconded and carried, that the unanimous thanks of the Society be extended to Mr. Nolan for his interesting and instructive talk.

There being no further business, upon motion duly seconded and carried, the meeting adjourned.

May 14th, 1934.—The regular meeting of the Society was held to-night with President Harris in the chair.

The minutes of the last meeting were read and approved, as read.

A list of the donations made to the Library was read.

The following named persons, having been previously nominated, were elected to membership:
Active:

Miss Annie Smith Riggs
Mr. Erwin R. Roach
Mr. Walter F. Austin
Mrs. Francis King Carey
Mr. Samuel P. Morton
Mr. Thomas F. Cadwallader

Mr. William H. Matthai
Mr. Howard C. Sutton
Mrs. Richard J. Leupold
Mrs. W. Edwin Moffett
Dr. Edmund P. Harrison

Associate:

Mr. Robert C. Jones
Mrs. Philip Gardner

Mrs. J. R. Grove

The following deaths were reported from among our members:

Charles F. Harley, July 1st, 1933.
Mrs. Wilson Burns Trundle, April 7th, 1934.
William H. Welch, M. D., April 30th, 1934.

Senator McCulloh Brown was recognized by the Chair. He brought to the attention of the Society the proposed restoration of Fort Frederick by the “C. C. C.” camp, and asked the members of the Society to go to Fort Frederick sometime during the summer and make a survey of the work so that any possible step can be taken in case the work on the Fort is not being confined to its original specifications.

President Harris introduced the speakers of the evening, Prof. Kent Roberts Greenfield, Head of the Department of Modern European History; and Prof. William Stull Holt, Associate in American History; both of the Johns Hopkins University.

Dr. Holt spoke on the management and field covered by the Historical Societies of the United States, Dr. Greenfield spoke on the European Historical Societies. Both of these speakers brought out the work which could be accomplished by the Historical Societies and the Universities working together.

Mr. Louis H. Dielman was recognized by the Chair. In extending to the speakers the thanks of the Society for their interesting and constructive talk, Mr. Dielman gave a brief
NOTE AND QUERY.

THE SURGEON OF THE ARK OF MARYLAND.

As a matter of timely interest, attention is called to the following letter from the “Lechford Correspondence” published in Vol. 5 of this Magazine, at page 61.

“Sir Richard Leatchford my service with respect remembered, you may be pleased to understand that your loving Frend Captaine Leanard Calvert having occasion to make use of mee for som Commodities whome I was very willing and redy to furnish hath charged you by way of exchainge to make satisfactio. my request is that your worshippe would be pleased I living soe Far of and it being soe smale a some as to take order for the paiment.

“so wishing you health I rest

Yours to use

Richard Edwards
Chirurgeon of the Arke of Mariland.

the some of the
bill is 9lb sterling
To the Worpp Sir
Richard Leatchford give
this I pray. [Endorse in a later hand “Sans Date”.

In the Peabody Fund Publication, No. 35 (Calvert Papers No. 3.) is a letter from Leonard Calvert to Sir Richard Lechford, dated poyn Comfort, 30th May 1634, in which he writes: “I have signed a bill of exchange of 9l for one Mr. Richard Edwards our Chyrurgeon of the Arcke dated the 30th day of May, 1634 . . .” [page 25]

What was the maiden name of Catharine, wife of Richard Bevens (Bivens, Bevans) of Maryland and Shelby County, Ky.?
I. Susan Bevens, dau. of Richard, above was born in Maryland (what county?) about 1795, and married in Simpsonville, Shelby Co., Ky., Michael Goodknight, son of Abraham and Mary Hanna Goodknight.

II. Margaret Bevens, dau. of Richard, m. Jefferson Mitchell.

III. Sarah (Sally) Bevens m. Wm. Ellis.

IV. Elizabeth (Betty) Bevens m. John Fox and emigrated to Salem, Indiana.

V. Mary Bevens m. Nov. 6, 1828, Simpsonville, Ky., Jacob Goodknight, b. 1795, in Ky., son of Abraham & Mary (Hanna) Goodknight.

Where and when were Richard and Catharine Bevens born, and married? Who were their parents and grandparents? Did Richard Bevens participate in the Revolutionary War?


(Mrs. C. S.) Lillian Prewitt Goodknight.

4455 Kahala Avenue, Honolulu, Hawaii.

We have received from The British Library of Information 270 Madison Ave., New York City, a handsome facsimile of the "Olive Branch Petition, 8 July, 1775," in colliotype. This it will be recalled is from the original in the Museum of the Public Record Office in London, the signed duplicate of which was sold in 1932 for a fabulous sum.

Copies of the facsimile may be obtained as above at 65 cents each.

We have received from The Institute of American Genealogy, Chicago, Ill., The Handbook of American Genealogy, Vol. 2, 1934.

This is a guide to the genealogical work known to be in progress, and an index to the National clearing house of genealogical information conducted under the general direction of Mr. Frederick Adams Virkus. It will doubtless prove of real value to searchers everywhere. There are names and addresses of professional genealogists in every section of the country.