


Data Resource Guide

Fiscal Year 2020

Successful Youth
Strong Leaders
Safer Communities

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services



Data Resource Guide Fiscal Year 2020

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

State of Maryland
Larry Hogan, Governor
Sam Abed, Secretary
December 2020

This guide fulfills the statutory reporting requirements set forth in Md. Human Services Code Ann. §9-204 regarding the agency's comprehensive juvenile services plan as well as reporting juvenile recidivism rates.

This Data Resource Guide as well as previous editions can be found on the DJS website:
djs.maryland.gov/Pages/Data-Resource-Guides.aspx

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

EXECUTIVE STAFF

Sam Abed, Secretary

Jay Cleary, Chief of Staff

Lynette Holmes, Deputy Secretary for Support Services

Wallis Norman, Deputy Secretary for Operations

Betsy Tolentino, Assistant Secretary of Community Operations

Scott Beal, Executive Director of Community Services

James Johnston, Director of Legislation and Policy

PROJECT LEADERS

Lynette Holmes

Fang Qian

John Irvine

Urjita Rami

Susan Nicely

REVIEW TEAM

DeVon Bailey

Susan Nicely

Patricia Elmore

Falguni Patel

Gabriel Emmanuel

Fang Qian

Kate Figiel-Miller

Urjita Rami

Shane Hall

Claire Souryal-Shriver

Lynette Holmes

Terri Sobus

John Irvine

Marci Stables

Lavinia Jackson

Xiaohui "Naomi" Yu

LAYOUT DESIGN

Susan Nicely

The DJS Executive Staff and Project Leaders would like to thank everyone who contributed to this document. Our appreciation goes to the members of the agency who contributed a wealth of information with special thanks to Kara Aanenson, Toni Christian, Michael DiBattista, Laura Estupinan-Kane, Lisa Garry, Yolanda Kennard, Falguni Patel, Dave Phillips, Nic Ryan, and Denise Victory. We would also like to thank Jill Farrell at the University of Maryland, School of Social Work, The Institute for Innovation and Implementation, and Boyce Williams at the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services. We are especially grateful to the field staff who gather the data necessary to complete the *Data Resource Guide*.

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION

Letter from the Governor	iv
A Word from the Secretary	v
DJS' COVID-19 Pandemic Response	vi
Commonly Used Acronyms	viii
Terms and Concepts	ix

SECTION I: AN OVERVIEW OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES

Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services (DJS)	3
Organizational Chart	6
DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2020	7
Juvenile Justice in Maryland - A Historical Evolution	8
Flow of FY 2020 Case Referrals	12
Maryland Juvenile Justice System Process Flowchart	14
Professional Training and Education Unit	16

SECTION II: INTAKE AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision	21
Statewide, Regional, and County Activity	26
Pre-Court Supervision	92
Regional, County, and Long-Term Trends	95

SECTION III: DETENTION

Introduction to Detention	113
Statewide Activity for Detention Centers	118
Youth Charged as Adults Pending Transfer	126
Specific Detention Facility Information	128
Alternatives to Detention (ATD)	142

SECTION IV: COMMITTED PROGRAMS

Introduction to Committed Programs	149
Committed Statewide and by Type	154
State-Operated Facilities	172
Silver Oak Academy (Private Provider)	186
Community-Based Family Therapy Programs	188

SECTION V: RECIDIVISM RATES

Measuring Recidivism Rates	193
Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases	195
Recidivism Rates for Youth with Probation Dispositions	206

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Community Services Staffing Distribution as of July 31, 2020	211
Appendix B: Detention Center Staffing (Filled Positions) as of July 31, 2020	212
Appendix C: Committed Program Staffing (Filled Positions) as of July 31, 2020	212
Appendix D: State-Operated Facility Expenditures, FY 2020	213
Appendix E: State-Operated Facility and Committed Program Per Diem Rates, FY 2020	214
Appendix F: Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI)	215
Appendix G: DJS Housing Classification Assessment/Reassessment for Youth	219
Appendix H: Accountability Incentives Management (AIM)	221
Appendix I: DJS Youth Vulnerability Assessment Tool	223
Appendix J: Racial Impact Assessment Lens	227
Appendix K: Crimes of Violence	229
Appendix L: Maryland Citations Pertaining to DJS and Juvenile Jurisdiction	229
Appendix M: Intake Decision Tool	232
Appendix N: Questions from the DJS MCASP Needs Assessment	239
Appendix O: Relative Rate Index (RRI)	243
Appendix P: Census Information 2019 Population Estimates by Race (Age 11-17)	246
Appendix Q: Number and Ratio of Youth, Cases, and Placements by County, FY 2020	247
Appendix R: Classification of Offenses	248
Appendix S: Offenses Under Original Adult Court Jurisdiction for Youth Under 18	255
Appendix T: Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking (DMST) Tool	256
Appendix U: Re-Entry Pre- and Post-Discharge Checklists	259

DJS STATISTICAL SUMMARY INFORMATION SHEET (PULLOUT)	265
--	------------

Letter from the Governor...

Dear Fellow Marylanders:

It is my pleasure to present the Department of Juvenile Services' (DJS) FY 2020 Data Resource Guide.

This past year has been one of the most challenging and trying times we have had to date. We've been through tragedy with our loved ones, loss of jobs and businesses, and adapting to a new environment of social distancing and masking. But what makes Maryland the best state in the country is that everyone has remained resilient, and because of you, we have a safer and more vibrant state. During this COVID pandemic, we are and will continue to be much better positioned than most states when it comes to testing and keeping our economy open for business.



Larry Hogan

I am very pleased with the way that DJS has adjusted to the new norm by having staff telework when possible, keeping staff and youth safe in all of their facilities, reducing the number of youth that are in out-of-home placements, conducting universal testing of all staff and youth, and continuing to create reforms in our uncertain times.

The Data Resource Guide is a transparent and valuable tool for the entire state. The book contains statistics and data that allow us to allocate resources and hold system stakeholders and localities accountable.

When addressing crime, we must have all of our leaders work together to tackle the issues. This is being accomplished in Baltimore City, where last year I announced a strategic partnership with DJS and the major stakeholders in the City. I am thrilled that DJS is establishing an Emerging Adult Unit with Roca, placing case managers in the Baltimore City Intelligence Centers, bringing evidence-based services to the City, and connecting young people and families who are waiting for court action to voluntary services, interventions, and supports.

DJS plays a critical role in public safety and is involved in nearly every stage of the juvenile justice process. The Department continues to lead the way with effective initiatives to collaborate with families, law enforcement, and community partners.

Our administration remains committed as ever to keeping Maryland's communities safe and giving youth and families the resources they need to succeed. With the help of the Department of Juvenile Services and other executive agencies, we can continue to improve the lives of all citizens and change Maryland for the better.

Sincerely,

Larry Hogan
Governor

A Word from the Secretary...

Welcome to the 10th edition of the Department of Juvenile Services' Data Resource Guide (DRG). Our Department is a data-driven agency, and the data we collect not only impacts our decision-making, but also decisions made by many of our stakeholders related to policy and practice. The information contained in the DRG provides a detailed look at our system and the ongoing trends in recent years. We publish the DRG every year to meet statutory obligations and provide transparency to our partners both on the local and State level as well as the general public. I hope that the DRG provides the information you need as well as insight into Maryland's juvenile justice system.



Sam Abed

This year the COVID-19 pandemic impacted DJS operations, both in facility management and the number of youth served. As Maryland schools shifted to virtual learning and more families stayed home to limit the spread of the virus, juvenile complaints statewide dropped nearly 60% in the latter half of FY 2020. As the pandemic spread, DJS sought to limit youth involvement in the juvenile justice system by identifying low-risk and non-violent offenders for release from DJS facilities. Detention and committed populations declined nearly 50% between February and June of 2020. Efforts to release low-risk offenders while still protecting public safety succeeded, as high-risk youth accounted for the majority of offenders in DJS detention facilities by July 2020.

Since the beginning of the health crisis, DJS worked extensively to minimize the impact of COVID-19 on our operations and implement practices and protocols that were consistent with guidance provided by the Maryland Department of Health and the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) to keep our youth and staff safe.

The Department also remains transparent with the information it shares related to COVID-19. DJS updates its COVID-19 webpage and hotline on a regular basis, with the latest statistics and protocols.


Despite the tragedies that many of us have suffered this year, our staff have persevered and have done a fantastic job mitigating the virus, as we continue to serve the youth and families of Maryland. The Department has taken advantage of these unprecedented times in reducing incarceration. It has not only aided in mitigating the spread of the virus, but also mitigated long-standing areas needing improvement such as racial disparities and using incarceration for low-level offenders.

In the coming month, DJS will continue to implement system-wide interventions, including those focused on equity and diversion. These and many other improvements were discussed within the Juvenile Justice Reform Council (JJRC), which was established in 2019 by the Maryland Legislature. The group's recommendations were forwarded to the Maryland General Assembly for consideration.

As always, a huge thanks goes out to everyone involved with the production of the DRG, especially the Department's Research and Evaluation Unit, who analyzed and compiled the data. Without their hard work and dedication, the DRG would not exist. I also need to recognize the amazing staff we have at DJS. You continue to serve our youth and families, no matter what the challenge.

I look forward to building on our successes. We are committed to improving the lives of young people, making communities safer, and changing Maryland for the better.

Sincerely,



Sam Abed

DJS' COVID-19 Pandemic Response

Seemingly overnight, the COVID-19 pandemic forced individuals, businesses, and government to drastically alter daily routines, practices, and policies to reduce the spread of the virus. Institutions large and small have had to adjust to the “new normal,” and juvenile justice systems have not been spared. Maryland’s government responded quickly to contain the virus, and the Department moved to protect the youth under its supervision and direct care staff working with youth, while protecting public safety.

DJS implemented a series of practices and protocols consistent with guidance provided by the Maryland Department of Health (MDH) and the federal Centers for Disease Control (CDC). Immediate actions included providing masks and other personal protective equipment (PPE) for direct care employees, telework for eligible employees, stepped-up cleaning and disinfection procedures, and social distancing within youth facilities. Below is a timeline for major actions in response to the pandemic:

Timeline of Maryland DJS 2020 COVID-19 Response:

March:

- Governor Hogan declares state of emergency.
- State institutes Elevated Level 2 Pandemic Response, mandating telework for most state employees.
- DJS implements Limited Access Protocols, restricting movement throughout the department, and adopts limited non-essential facility transfers. DJS closes some facilities, moving youth within them to other facilities.
- DJS creates new medical isolation units within its facilities for youth who test positive for COVID-19. Likewise, dedicated units are created for new admissions to isolate prior to placement in general housing units.
- DJS staff begin universal case reviews for all youth in custody and expedite releases where agreed to by the court.
- DJS implements virtual contacts by Community Services staff for youth under community supervision.

April:

- Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals orders juvenile courts to limit detention or commitment, unless necessary to protect the health and safety of the youth and public.
- Maryland DBM institutes COVID-19 response pay to certain groups of essential employees, who receive a pay differential of \$3.13 per hour, and an additional \$2.00 per hour for staff required to work in designated quarantine areas.
- DJS implements a mandatory screening questionnaire, regarding virus-like symptoms, for all staff and visitors to access DJS buildings, including offices and facilities.
- DJS expands distribution of facility personal protective equipment (PPE) statewide, providing additional resources to all facilities and vendor group homes.
- DJS initiates mandatory social distancing requirements for all youth in facilities when working on academic activities during school hours and in the unit.
- DJS begins publishing daily tracking reports showing numbers of youth and staff tested and positive cases.
- DJS introduces a new Quality Assurance COVID-19 audit tool for review. The tool creates new standards for best practices across facilities in management, inspection, and performance review.
- Most Maryland circuit courts reduce or suspend operations.
- DJS implements virtual-only facility visits by family and public defenders.

May:

- Governor Hogan announces universal testing at Maryland’s prisons and juvenile facilities.

June:

- The courts enter the first phase of a gradual return to full operations. This initial step adds arraignments, hearings without witnesses, treatment plan reviews, and non-contested hearings to the list of authorized juvenile court matters. Contested matters including adjudications and transfer/waiver hearings resume on July 20, with the juvenile court reaching fully operational status on August 31.

DJS operations were greatly affected by the pandemic response, both in the number of youth served and the way cases and facilities were managed. The number of juvenile complaints referred to DJS declined sharply in the months after the pandemic began, which was likely impacted by schools moving to virtual classrooms and families staying home to reduce the spread of COVID-19. Statewide, juvenile complaints dropped nearly 60% between February 2020 (prior to the impact of COVID-19) and the end of the fiscal year in June 2020.

Community supervision policies were adjusted to allow for virtual contacts - phone or video - for youth under supervision. When required, Community Detention Officers, equipped with adequate PPE, managed in-person responses. DJS staff identified community-based resources and supports to connect families to organizations that provide food, health resources, and crisis interventions. DJS also assisted in promoting remote programming with community-based service providers. Maryland's government instituted mandatory telework for eligible employees and also gave an hourly pay increase to community detention employees working directly with youth.

COVID-19 thrives on density, and as Maryland cases continued to rise, DJS implemented systematic screening and testing protocols at offices and facilities. Admissions, transfers, and visitations were reduced or eliminated. Dedicated admission and quarantine units were created to allow physical separation of youth who had yet to be tested, those who had tested negative, and youth showing symptoms and/or testing positive. All youth entering into detention were monitored for symptom development for a 14-days. Units were kept to a maximum capacity of eight youth. DJS initiated a weekly detention review process, designed to reduce detention populations by working with the juvenile courts to release lower-risk youth and non-violent offenders.

In addition to these measures, DJS suspended all family face-to-face visits with youth in facilities to protect the safety of youth, family, and staff. To keep youth connected with families, the Department increased the number of youth phone calls allowed daily and increased its use of virtual visits. DJS supported youth access to counsel through telephone calls and video visits. In-person visitation was available when necessary.

As essential employees, DJS behavioral health staff continued to provide services and interventions to youth in facilities. Telepsychiatry and other telemedicine services provided further support for youth, providing continuity of care. DJS collaborated with the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) to ensure continuity of educational services, either through a distance learning model or through classroom instruction. Youth attended classes in small groups to adhere to social distancing guidelines.

All youth and staff in DJS facilities were provided masks and were required to wear them at all times. DJS made all necessary PPE available, including gowns, gloves, face shields, and hand sanitizer, working closely with MDH and the governor's office to restock this equipment as needed.

The lower number of admissions and the comprehensive case reviews for confined youth offered DJS the opportunity to accelerate some ongoing system reform efforts. In recent years, the Department has made concerted efforts to divert lower-risk youth from system involvement, reserving confinement for those youth posing a higher risk to public safety. Between February and June of 2020, the average daily population (ADP) in DJS detention facilities declined 49%. Further, the review process appeared to be successful in identifying non-violent youth for release while still protecting public safety. In March 2020, for example, DJS had 108 youth in its detention facilities, with youth accused of violent crimes representing only 29% of the population. By July 2020, that number fell to only 43, with violent offenders accounting for 53% of the total. The overall reduction in DJS facility populations also helped reduce racial and ethnic disparities in populations. In February 2020, for example, youth of color represented nearly 80% of overall facility populations. By July 2020, that proportion had fallen to about 68%.

DJS reforms in response to COVID-19 also impacted committed programming. The agency implemented monthly planning reviews to track the status and progress of treatment plans for youth. This process helped ensure that length of stay aligned with treatment goals. The planning reviews also examined discharge and aftercare planning. Family engagement was an important element of the process as well, embedding family and youth involvement in every step of the process. Between February and July of 2020, the average committed population dropped by 48%. These declines affected DJS commitment and private facilities as well as out-of-state contracted committed programs.

It should be clear that the FY 2020 caseload and population data presented in this Data Resource Guide were greatly impacted by the pandemic. Some declines were a direct result of reductions in complaints and court actions, while others were the result of policy changes and reforms, some of which, if successful, may persist beyond the pandemic. So when looking at the declines from previous years, or projecting future caseloads and populations, it is important to note that it is unknown which of these trends will reverse and which might continue and become the new normal.

Commonly Used Acronyms

ACA	American Correctional Association
ADP	Average Daily Population
AIM	Accountability Incentives Management
ALOS	Average Length of Stay
ARIMA	Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average
ART®	Aggression Replacement Training
ASSIST	Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools
ATD	Alternatives to Detention
AWOL	Absent Without Leave
BCDC	Baltimore City Detention Center
BCJJC	Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center
BHDI	Behavioral Health Diversion Initiative
CD	Community Detention
CDS	Controlled Dangerous Substances
CHHS	Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School
CINA	Child in Need of Assistance
CINS	Child in Need of Supervision
CJAMS	Child, Juvenile, and Adult Management System
CJIS	Criminal Justice Information System
CJJA	Council of Juvenile Justice Administrators
CMS	Case Management Specialist
CMSS	Case Management Specialist Supervisor
COMAR	Code of Maryland Regulations
COP	Certificate of Placement
COV	Crime of Violence
CRC	Central Review Committee
CYPM	Crossover Youth Practice Model
D/ERC	Day/Evening Reporting Center
DBM	Department of Budget and Management
DDA	Developmental Disabilities Administration
DHS	Department of Human Services (formerly DHR)
DJS	Department of Juvenile Services
DMC	Disproportionate Minority Contact
DPSCS	Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services
DRAI	Detention Risk Assessment Instrument
DRG	Data Resource Guide
EBS	Evidence-Based Services
EM	Electronic Monitoring
FAFP	For Authorization of Formal Petition
FCT	Family Centered Treatment
FFT	Functional Family Therapy (an EBS)
FY	Fiscal Year
GOC	Governor's Office for Children

GPS	Global Positioning System
ICFA	Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions
IRC	Interagency Rates Committee
JCR	Joint Chairmen's Report
JDAI	Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative
JJDPA	Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act
JJMU	Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit
JSES	Juvenile Services Education System
LESCC	Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center
MAST	Multi-Disciplinary Assessment Staffing Team
MCASP	Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning
MDH	Maryland Department of Health (formerly DHMH)
METS	Maryland Evaluation & Treatment Services (formerly SMART)
MHA	Mental Hygiene Administration
MLDS	Maryland Longitudinal Data System
MSDE	Maryland State Department of Education
MST	Multisystemic Therapy (an EBS)
MYRC	Maryland Youth Residence Center
NCCD	National Council on Crime and Delinquency
NFS	Non-Fatal Shooting
OJJDP	Office of Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention
OPD	Office of the Public Defender
PBIS	Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports
PREA	Prison Rape Elimination Act
QRTP	Qualified Residential Treatment Program
RA	Resident Advisor
RICA	Regional Institute for Children and Adolescents
RRI	Relative Rate Index
RTC	Residential Treatment Center
RTSP	Residential Treatment Service Plan
SAB	State Advisory Board (DJS)
SAO	State's Attorney's Office
SHI	Social History Investigation
SOS	Spotlight on Schools Program
SPI	Safety Planning Initiative
START	Social Skills Training & Aggression Replacement Techniques
TAMAR-Y	Trauma, Addiction, Mental Health, and Recovery - Youth
TFC	Treatment Foster Care
TGH	Therapeutic Group Home
TIC	Trauma Informed Care
TSP	Treatment Service Plan
VOP	Violation of Probation
WMCC	Western Maryland Children's Center

Terms and Concepts

Absent Without Leave (AWOL): A youth who absconds from a non-secure residential program.

Accountability Incentives Management (AIM): A structured statewide system of responses to deter negative behaviors and encourage positive behaviors of youth under court-ordered community supervision. AIM seeks to reduce technical violations of supervision by ensuring certain, fair, and immediate responses to youth behavior that promote successful completion of supervision.

Adjudicatory Hearing: A proceeding before a juvenile judge or magistrate to determine whether allegations made against a youth are true. If the allegations concerning the commitment of a delinquent act are found to be true, the youth may be adjudicated delinquent.

Admission: See definition for “commitment versus admission” and “placement versus admission.”

Aftercare: Supervision and individualized treatment services provided to youth in the community following discharge from a residential program. A youth is assigned an aftercare worker at the time of commitment.

Alternatives to Detention (ATD): Community-based program options that provide structured supervision and accountability of youth who would otherwise be detained pending adjudicatory hearings in the juvenile court.

Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST): DJS client database.

Average Daily Population (ADP): Daily population of youth averaged over the number of days in a given time period.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS): Average total number of days in residential placement between admission and release. Youth detained in more than one facility during a contiguous stay are counted as a single placement.

Behavioral Health Diversion Initiative (BHDI): An initiative funded by the Annie E. Casey Foundation to screen and divert youth for mental health services.

Case Management Specialist (CMS): DJS staff who provide case management services to youth in community and residential settings. Case managers provide supervision, develop treatment plans, link youth with necessary resources and services, monitor progress, and modify treatment plans as needed.

Central Review Committee (CRC): A central committee that convenes weekly to hear case reviews of youth at risk of removal from a committed placement, direct changes in the youths’ provision of services, and make youth placement transfer decisions. Members include the Directors of the Behavioral Health and Resource Offices, the Executive Directors of Residential Placements and Community Supervision, and a representative from the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE).

Certificate of Placement (COP): The document which reflects a youth’s placement location, services, and authorizes service payment.

CHALLENGE: A behavior modification program focused on improving youth behavior and maintaining safety and security for youth in DJS detention facilities. It helps youth develop positive behaviors through a system of rewards and support and teaches youth to take responsibility for their behavior. In DJS committed facilities the program has been updated to incorporate PBIS principles and was renamed STARR in 2018.

Child in Need of Assistance (CINA): A youth who is found by the court to require court intervention, such as removal from the home and placement with a foster family or relative, because of abuse or neglect by a parent, guardian, or other person responsible for their care.

Child in Need of Supervision (CINS): A youth who is found by the court to need guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation because the youth committed an offense applicable only to children, is habitually truant, poses a risk of injury to themselves or others, or who is ungovernable (See definition for “Ungovernable”).

Commitment versus Admission: A commitment is a court order placing a delinquent youth in DJS’ care, which usually results in placement into an out-of-home program, but in some cases services may instead be provided in home. An admission occurs when a youth physically arrives at a facility and is officially entered onto the facility’s roster, and this normally occurs some weeks after the court order. A single admission to an out-of-home program could be the result of multiple commitments - a youth may be committed by more than one court, or be committed on multiple charges - and a youth may be admitted to several programs under a single commitment order. Thus, the number of commitments will not equal the number of admissions to committed programs.

Community Detention (CD): A DJS program that monitors a delinquent child or a child alleged to be delinquent who is placed in the home of a parent, guardian, custodian, other fit person, or in shelter care, as a condition of probation or as an alternative to detention (ATD). Community detention often includes electronic monitoring (EM).

Complaint: A written statement made by any person or agency to a DJS intake officer which, if true, would support allegations of a juvenile petition.

Crimes of Violence: Offenses designated as crimes of violence in Maryland's Annotated Code (see Appendix K for more detail). Includes primarily felony offenses, but some misdemeanors.

Crossover Youth Practice Model (CYPM): A program to coordinate casework of youth who are dually involved in both the juvenile justice system and the child welfare system and foster increased communication and collaboration between the staff members of DJS and local Department of Social Services. DJS partnered with Georgetown University to implement the CYPM in Prince George's County in 2015. Since then, the model is being slowly rolled out in other Maryland counties.

Day/Evening Reporting Center (D/ERC): A program that serves youth as an alternative to detention. Youth are required to report daily to ensure the youth are monitored and get back to court for hearings.

Delinquent Act: An act which would be a crime if committed by an adult.

Delinquent Child: A child who has committed a delinquent act and requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation.

Detention: The temporary care of respondents who, pending court dispositions and other hearings, require secure custody for the protection of themselves or the community, in physically restricting facilities or circumstances. A youth may be detained if a court determines that they might fail to appear for a future hearing, continue to break the law, or pose a threat to the public or themselves. Detention may be utilized pending a court hearing or after disposition. A youth's detention status is required to be reviewed every 30 days.

Detention Hearing: A court proceeding to determine whether a youth shall be placed in or continue in detention.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI): An assessment of a youth's risk to reoffend and/or fail to appear for future court dates used to guide whether the youth should be detained, placed in a detention alternative, or released to a parent/guardian.

Direct Care Staff: An employee whose primary duty is to provide direct supervision of youth.

Disposition: The action taken by the juvenile court that outlines whether the youth requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation and, if so, the nature of such assistance, e.g., probation terms or commitment. (Note: In adult courts, this is known as a "sentence.")

Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC): A rate of contact with the juvenile justice system among youth of a specific minority group that is significantly different than the rate of contact for whites (i.e., non-Hispanic Caucasians) or for other minority groups (See RRI definition).

Diversion: A program or practice where the primary goal is to reduce the occurrence of juvenile delinquency by diverting a youth from the traditional juvenile justice system and providing an alternative to formal processing. Diversion programs and practices vary in terms of the juvenile justice contact point at which the youth is diverted and the types of services provided. Youth may be diverted by law enforcement before arrest, during DJS intake, or between adjudication and disposition.

Ejection from Committed Placement: A youth's removal from an out-of-home placement upon determination that the youth failed to comply with the rules and conditions of the program. Following an ejection, a youth may require a new out-of-home placement. A youth may remain in detention pending a new placement.

Electronic Monitoring (EM): A statewide program providing close monitoring of youth in the community as an alternative to residential placement/detention. Youth wear an ankle bracelet that electronically monitors their movement and compliance to established location parameters. This may involve the use of global positioning systems (GPS).

Escape: Absconding from a secure DJS residential program (including Youth Centers) or detention facility (including Community Detention).

Evidence-Based Services (EBS): Programs that have been found to be effective based on the results of rigorous evaluations.

Family Centered Treatment (FCT): A family preservation model of in-home treatment provided in Maryland by Mentor Maryland. The Mentor Maryland team utilizes FCT to help families learn and adopt positive behavioral patterns.

Family Engagement: A collaborative relationship between families and DJS throughout the youth’s involvement in the system.

Felony vs. Misdemeanor: In Maryland a crime is either a felony or a misdemeanor. Generally, felonies are the more serious of these two types of crimes. However, there is no clear line for determining whether a crime is a felony or misdemeanor based on the statutory maximum penalty associated with the offense. Unless specified in a statute or the offense was a felony at common law, a crime is considered a misdemeanor. Most statutes specify whether a crime is a misdemeanor or a felony. Common law crimes retain common law grades as either felonies or misdemeanors unless changed through the legislative process. The General Assembly may choose to label a statutory crime a felony or misdemeanor independent of the amount of punishment the statute provides. The General Assembly may also choose to change the status of a crime from a misdemeanor to a felony or a felony to a misdemeanor.

Fiscal Year (FY): The time period measured from July 1st of one year to June 30th of the following year. FY 2020 runs from July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020.

For Authorization of Formal Petition (FAFP): A decision by a DJS intake officer to authorize the State’s Attorney to petition the court for formal processing.

Functional Family Therapy (FFT - an EBS): An outcome-driven prevention/intervention program for youth demonstrating the entire range of maladaptive behaviors such as delinquency, violence, substance use, Conduct Disorder, Oppositional Defiant Disorder, or Disruptive Behavior Disorder. Flexible delivery of service is provided by one- or two-person teams to clients in home, clinic, school, juvenile court, community-based programs, and at re-entry from institutional placement.

Global Positioning System (GPS): A global navigation satellite system that provides location and time information for youth monitored with a global positioning system receiver.

Group Home: A residential program licensed by DHS, DJS, or MHA/MDH to provide 24-hour supervised out-of-home care for 4 or more youth providing a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services.

Hardware Secure Facility: A facility that relies primarily on the use of construction and hardware such as locks, bars, and fences to restrict freedom.

Informal Supervision: See definition for “Pre-Court Supervision.”

Intake: The process for reviewing a complaint against a youth and determining whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public and/or the youth. During intake, youth and their family may be offered services. DJS has statutory authority to screen all juvenile complaints referred.

Juvenile Court: A division of the Circuit Court in Maryland.

Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI): A best practice model that addresses inappropriate and unnecessary use of detention and reduces the failures of juveniles to appear in court. A primary goal of JDAI is to reduce overcrowding in detention centers by safely maintaining youth in the community in detention alternatives without jeopardizing public safety or increasing the number of youth who fail to appear for court.

Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit (JJMU): Administratively housed in the Office of the Attorney General, the unit investigates the needs of children under the jurisdiction of DJS and determines whether their needs are being met in compliance with State law. This includes evaluating the conditions of youth housing, reporting on treatment of and services to youth, and investigating allegations of child abuse.

Juvenile Justice Reform Council: Established by law in 2019 for two years, the council has members from all three branches of State government, other key stakeholders, and national experts. It is charged to develop a statewide framework of policies to invest in strategies to increase public safety and reduce recidivism of youth offenders, using a data-driven approach.

Juvenile Services Education System (JSES): A part of the Maryland Department of Education, JSES provides educational services to detained and committed youth in DJS facilities across the state.

Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP): MCASP uses integrated case management to assess risks and needs of youth throughout their DJS involvement and develop interventions to accomplish the goals of public safety and youth rehabilitation. MCASP enables DJS to strengthen individualized service plans for youth and families and match them with appropriate services/programs, to track youth progress, and to ensure that each youth receives the level of supervision consistent with his or her risk to public safety.

Maryland Evaluation and Treatment Services (METS - formerly SMART): A web-based platform that provides key case management functions, including assessments, contacts, and treatment service planning in coordination with the DJS ASSIST system.

Maryland Longitudinal Data System (MLDS): A research data center established by State law in 2010 to allow research and policy evaluation using matched, then de-identified data from the State education, workforce, and (as of October 2019) DJS data systems.

Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC): Under DPSCS, MPCTC is vested with the authority to set standards of initial selection and training for all governmental law enforcement, correctional, parole and probation, and juvenile services employees in the State of Maryland, and to otherwise upgrade the professionalism of these officers. MPCTC provides certification and ongoing training to DJS staff.

Misdemeanor: See definition for “felony versus misdemeanor.”

Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST): A specialized regional diagnostic team responsible for assessing and evaluating youth who are detained and at risk of out-of-home placement, prior to disposition. Following the in-depth review, the MAST prepares security and treatment recommendations to the juvenile court. The MAST includes psychologists, social workers, community and facility case managers and supervisors, resource specialists, MSDE representatives, and individuals from other disciplines as needed.

Multisystemic Therapy (MST - an EBS): An intensive family- and community-based treatment program that addresses the serious anti-social behavior of juvenile offenders. The major goal of MST is to empower parents and youth with the skills and resources needed to independently resolve the difficulties that arise in coping with family, peer, school, and neighborhood problems. Intervention strategies include family therapy, structural family therapy, behavioral parent training, and cognitive behavior therapies. MST is a home-based model of service delivery.

Pending Placement: A temporary status for youth who have been committed for placement in an out-of-home residential facility and are awaiting placement, including youth ejected from a committed placement. Youth may be “pending placement” in a variety of settings including: detention facility, home, home with additional services, home under community detention and/or electronic monitoring, family shelter care, structured shelter care, acute care hospitals, or psychiatric respite care programs.

Petition: A formal written request filed by the State’s Attorney’s Office with the juvenile court alleging that a child is delinquent, in need of supervision (CINS) or in need of assistance (CINA).

Placement versus Admission: A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention or a committed program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Thus, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions, and these counts will not match.

Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS): An implementation framework for maximizing the selection and use of evidence-based prevention and intervention practices along a multi-tiered continuum that supports the academic, social, emotional, and behavioral competence of youth in DJS facilities. PBIS principles guide the provision of medical, behavioral health, education, and recreation services, as well as Trauma Informed Care (TIC) and DJS’ STARR program. Facility teams use data on youth behavior to evaluate the effectiveness of facility operations, and youth behaviors and progress.

Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Training (PACT): PACT Evening Reporting Center serves youth 14 to 17 years of age in Baltimore City as an alternative to detention. It utilizes a youth development model and collaborates with participants, their families, DJS, and other partners to develop a plan to address the underlying issues which lead to anti-social or delinquent behavior.

Pre-Court Supervision: An agreement consented to by the youth, parent/guardian, and the victim diverting the case from formal court proceedings. The term is up to 90 days, and may be extended to 180 days for substance abuse and mental health treatment needs by DJS or as otherwise ordered by the court. Failure to comply with the supervision agreement may result in a formal petition being filed.

Probation: Court-ordered supervision of youth in the community requiring youth to meet court-ordered probation conditions (general and case specific), including, for example, school attendance, employment, community service, restitution, counseling, or participation in substance abuse treatment.

Qualified Residential Treatment Program (QRTP): A residential program that has a trauma-informed treatment model designed to address the needs, including clinical needs as appropriate, of youth with serious emotional or behavioral disorders or disturbances; is able to implement the treatment identified for the youth within the required 30 calendar day assessment of the appropriateness of the placement; has registered or licensed nursing staff and other licensed clinical staff available on-site 24 hours a day, 7 days a week; and is accredited by any of the independent, not-for-profit organization approved Health and Human Service Administration

Racial Equity: A condition that would be achieved if one's racial or ethnic identity no longer predicted, in a statistical sense, how one fares.

Recidivism: Subsequent juvenile/adult delinquent or criminal involvement of youth released from committed residential programs or youth placed on probation.

Relative Rate Index (RRI): A measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool used to assess the level of racial disparity introduced at each decision point (detention, court referral, etc.) For example, RRI compares the detention rate for white youth with the detention rate for youth of a specific minority group. (See Appendix O)

Re-entry: A broad term used to refer to the transition from out-of-home committed placement to community supervision. Generally, re-entry involves a plan to ensure youth are connected to school, employment, and continued treatment services when returning to the community.

Resident Advisor: DJS staff member who provides supervision, support, care, and monitoring of youth placed in DJS-operated facilities.

Residential Treatment Center (RTC): A mental health facility for children and adolescents with long-term serious emotional, behavioral, and psychological problems. RTCs provide intensive services and should only be considered when therapeutic services available in the community are insufficient to address a youth's needs. In addition to Maryland RTCs, DJS uses a variety of out-of-state providers, including RTCs funded through Medical Assistance, with rates set by the Maryland Interagency Rates Committee, and facilities that are not RTCs and serve moderate-to-high-risk multi-problem youth. These are youth who may be exhibiting moderate psychiatric symptoms and aggressive behavior, or who have histories of unsuccessful/repeated placements and/or hospitalizations. Treatment models vary depending on the client focus of the program, but all provide individualized treatment plans, are comprehensive in services, highly structured, treatment oriented, and behaviorally focused.

Residential Treatment Service Plan (RTSP): A structured treatment planning tool to guide treatment services for youth placed in DJS-operated committed programs.

Resolved at Intake: A determination by the DJS Intake officer that furthering the case by forwarding it to the State's Attorney's Office for formal processing or informal pre-court supervision would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety.

SafeMeasures: An analytic service provided by the National Council on Crime & Delinquency that provides timely management reports and indicators to DJS case management, supervisory, and executive staff. SafeMeasures pulls data from DJS' existing databases and creates visual indicators and reports to ensure that the agency's requirements for effective facility operations, case management, and supervision are tracked and met.

Safety Planning Initiative (SPI): An intense supervision initiative for youth in DJS care who are witnesses to, or victims of violent acts, or otherwise at risk of violence.

Shelter Care: Temporary, short-term (1-30 days), non-secure housing of youth who are awaiting court disposition. Shelter beds serve as an alternative to detention or other short-term circumstance where family or other housing is not available.

Social History Investigation (SHI - formerly PDI): The written study of a youth and his/her family that is presented to the juvenile court. A SHI emphasizes social and legal histories as well as the domain areas of: family functioning, substance abuse, mental health, somatic health, education, employment, and life skills.

Social Skills Training and Aggression Replacement Techniques (START): Based on Aggression Replacement Training (ART), which is an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral, group intervention for committed youth with chronic aggression, START focuses on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning.

Spotlight on Schools (SOS) Program: An initiative to place case managers on-site at certain key schools across the state. DJS Spotlight workers monitor and respond immediately to attendance issues, referrals for disruptive behavior, suspensions, and drop-out issues for youth under DJS supervision, and offer prevention and early intervention services to other at-risk students. In addition, SOS workers provide immediate, on-site intake services for students involved in delinquent activity.

Staff Secure: Residential programs where youth movement is controlled by staff supervision rather than by restrictive architectural features.

STARR Program: An enhanced version of the CHALLENGE behavior modification program that incorporates PBIS principles and is focused on improving youth behavior. It is used throughout each committed facility, as all staff and youth are involved in the program. The STARR program teaches youth skills to address negative behaviors and emotions and develop positive behaviors through a structured reinforcement system that includes rewards, supports, and levels. Youth are taught to take responsibility for their behavior, and a safe, predictable, and positive environment is maintained within facilities. It accomplishes these goals by focusing on the following positive behaviors that are captured in the STARR acronym: Solve Problems in a Mature and Responsible Manner; Task Focused; Act as a Role Model; Respect Self, Others, Facility Property, and Rules; Responsible for my Behavior.

State Advisory Board: The Board consists of 21 members appointed by the Governor for 3-year terms. By law, it includes at least one youth member who was under the Department's jurisdiction and also a parent or guardian of a youth who was under the Department's supervision. The Board recommends to the Secretary of Juvenile Services policies and programs to improve State juvenile services. The Board helps plan development, use of resources, and helps inform the public of the Department's work.

Trauma, Addictions, Mental Health, and Recovery for Youth (TAMAR-Y): A structured trauma education group that teaches youth to identify stress and trauma triggers. Youth also learn skills such as deep breathing and mindfulness techniques to address their reactions to stress and trauma triggers. TAMAR-Y uses a multimedia approach that includes videos to stimulate discussion, and incorporates expressive arts therapies that involve writing and drawing activities to engage youth and help them develop a better understanding of their experiences. Some of the topics covered in groups include: Understanding Trauma's Impact, Dealing with Triggers, Trauma and Addiction - How We Cope, Keeping It Together - Containment, Who I Am, and Connections.

Trauma-Informed Care (TIC): A service delivery approach that takes into account past trauma and the resulting coping mechanisms when attempting to understand behaviors and provide services. Trauma-informed care is a framework or a lens through which we recognize the prevalence of early adversity in the lives of youth, view presenting problems as symptoms of maladaptive coping, and understand how early trauma shapes a youth's fundamental beliefs about the world and affects his or her behavior. In trauma-informed counseling clinicians apply the principles of trauma informed care by identifying youth's strengths and positive coping strategies in order to assist the youth in managing stress.

Treatment Service Plan (TSP): A written document identifying treatment objectives, services, and service linkages that address the needs of the youth and family. It also examines the safety and appropriateness of the youth's placement, guides DJS' recommendations to the juvenile court for permanency planning (where appropriate), and monitors level of supervision and services required.

Trauma Specific Treatment (TST): Treatment services that address traumatic stress as well as any co-occurring disorders (including substance use and mental disorders) that developed during or after trauma. Trauma Specific Treatments directly address trauma related symptoms and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD). Trauma Focused-Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (TF-CBT) is an example of a trauma specific treatment.

Under 13 (U-13) Initiative: A school-based intervention designed to provide support and services for juvenile offenders ages 12 years and younger through collaborative partnerships between DJS, Baltimore City/Prince George's County Public Schools, the local Department of Social Services, and other child-serving stakeholders.

Un governable: Being beyond the control of parents, guardians, or custodians or being disobedient of parental authority.

Violation of Probation (VOP): A hearing conducted by the court to determine if the conditions of community supervision have been violated. A VOP is considered a technical violation if it does not involve a new delinquent offense.

Youth: The preferred term for individuals under 18 years of age as used by DJS.

Section I: An Overview of the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services



In August 2019, youth from Prince George's County Evening Reporting Center enjoyed a trip to the Smithsonian National Museum of African American History and Culture in Washington, D.C.

Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

The Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) is an executive agency charged with the responsibility of appropriately managing, supervising and treating youth who are involved in the juvenile justice system in Maryland. DJS provides individualized care and treatment to youth who have violated the law or who pose a safety risk to themselves or others. Objective screening and assessment tools are utilized to manage youth with the guidance of the data collected. DJS works with partners in the community to achieve meaningful improvements to the outcomes of the youth served.

OBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT TOOLS

Objective screening and assessment tools guide key decisions. For example, DJS Intake Officers are statutorily empowered to screen juvenile offenses and determine which complaints should be referred to the State’s Attorney’s Office (SAO) for formal court petitioning. This decision is guided by a validated, objective intake decision tool that identifies a youth’s risk of recidivism, strengths, and service needs. Implemented in July 2020, and a part of the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) process, the tool is intended to ensure fair and equitable decisions that are developmentally appropriate, culturally proficient, and tailored to the risks, needs, and strengths of youth.

The Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) evaluates whether a youth should be held in secure detention or placed in a supervised detention alternative while awaiting a hearing on the merits of a juvenile complaint. This tool helps the Department improve its detention practices by taking subjectivity out of the critical detention decision, with a goal of detaining only those youth who pose a risk to public safety, to themselves, or who would not otherwise appear in court.

If a youth has been adjudicated delinquent, the Department utilizes the MCASP Needs Assessment to identify the youth’s treatment and security needs, which serve as the basis for the Treatment Service Plan (TSP) development. Using an objective instrument allows the Department to make better matches between youth and the course of treatment available within the continuum of care. The continuum of care spans in-home probation supervision with services, community-based out-of-home treatment, and state- and privately operated secure programs, all designed to address youth needs and the factors that led the youth to delinquent behavior.

MANAGING WITH DATA

The information developed from assessment tools, as well as information collected by caseworkers, investigators, auditors, and facility staff, is used at all levels of DJS management. Data reports are available to field staff and managers through the Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST), the Maryland Evaluation and Treatment Services (METS), and SafeMeasures reporting systems. Research and Evaluation staff regularly analyze data, generating reports for agency decision makers, the Governor’s Office, and reports to the Maryland General Assembly. This *Data Resource Guide* represents the most comprehensive report of the Department’s aggregated data. County- and program-level data are readily available to all. This is crucial for practitioners because statewide trends could mask local trends. As innovative new ways of examining information are uncovered, these will be incorporated into this publication.

COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS

The Department’s partnerships start in the community. Throughout the State, the Department works with local law enforcement, courts, health departments, attorneys, and other stakeholders to keep Department youth safe and supported. Field staff make referrals to community-based providers who help meet the treatment needs of youth under supervision in the community.

State partners, such as the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), the Maryland Department of Human Services (DHS), and the Maryland Department of Health (MDH), collaborate at many levels to deliver services in the most effective manner. DJS also works closely with the Maryland State Police (MSP) and the Governor’s Office of Crime Prevention, Youth, and Victim Services to ensure information is shared across agencies and public safety goals are met.

EQUITY

The DJS Racial Equity Framework is a series of actions taken to examine and develop solutions to agency policy and practices that may directly or indirectly yield racially disparate outcomes for youth and families of color. DJS actions for race equity include extensive staff capacity-building to engage in race equity dialogue and solutions, routine data collection and reporting on youth of color to help better understand the problem and to monitor progress of implemented equity reforms, use of a specialized equity lens to vet agency policy and practice for specific impact on youth of color, and adoption of model practices that are proven or promoted to be effective for youth and families of color. This work is led by the DJS Office of Equity and Reform, which is a dedicated unit of agency staff who focus on youth outcomes and targeted reforms for specific youth populations distinguished by race, ethnicity, gender, sex, and sexuality.

FAMILY ENGAGEMENT

DJS recognizes that family engagement is critical to positive outcomes for youth involved in the juvenile justice system. Keeping families engaged and informed throughout the legal process is a priority for the Department. The Office of Family Engagement works to ensure family members are knowledgeable about their loved one's detention, court orders, treatment, supervision, and re-entry into their communities and schools. It also promotes the active participation of families in the process to support youth and reduce their risks for recidivism.

DJS Strategic Plan (May, 2017)

MISSION OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES (DJS)

By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and aftercare services.

DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.

Vision

Successful Youth, Strong Leaders, Safer Communities

DJS Goals

1. Improve positive outcomes for justice-involved youth.
2. Only use incarceration when necessary for public safety.
3. Keep committed and detained youth safe while delivering services to meet youth needs.
4. Ensure a continuum of care for justice-involved youth that is age- and developmentally appropriate.
5. Build, value, and retain a diverse, competent, and professional workforce.
6. Enhance the quality, availability, and use of technology to improve services for staff, youth, and families.

DJS Values

- The safety of the citizens of Maryland and the fair, safe, and humane treatment for all youth in our care
- The families, communities, stakeholders, and staff who support positive change in our youth
- The experience, expertise, diversity, and integrity of our staff
- Fairness and cultural competence regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, sexual identity, or religion
- Creating opportunities for youth and families to promote positive growth and development
- A community-based approach with the least restrictive appropriate interventions
- Continuous improvement and learning in all individuals
- Excellence, innovation, and quality practices based on data and research
- Change for the growth opportunities it brings
- Accountability
- Collaboration and teamwork


Re-Entry Strategic Plan Goals

DJS' Strategic Re-Entry Plan was developed and implemented in FY 2016 in collaboration with agency stakeholders. Representatives from the Judiciary, Office of the Public Defender, State's Attorney's Office, service providers, the advocacy community, and many levels of DJS staff were all included. The plan provides a framework of goals, objectives, performance measures, and strategic activities to ensure that youth released from committed placement can successfully transition to life in their home communities. Key plan objectives focus on transition planning, family engagement, school re-enrollment, and connecting youth to community service providers and work opportunities. Many of the planned initiatives have been implemented, including the creation of regional re-entry specialist and family engagement positions, checklists to ensure comprehensive discharge planning, and to collect key performance data both prior to and after release, and performance reports to ensure accountability and measure success.

- Goal 1: Reduce recidivism by providing supervision to all youth returning home from committed care.
- Goal 2: Engage families of committed youth at all key case planning decision points.
- Goal 3: Connect all committed youth needing educational services to local education resources.
- Goal 4: Connect all youth to local employment services and resources.
- Goal 5: Connect all youth in need of behavioral or somatic health services to local resources to provide continuity of care.



For a detailed description of DJS' COVID-19 response and reforms see page vi.

Organizational Chart As of July, 2020



DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2020

During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2020, the Department expended a total of \$270.7 million. The first pie chart reflects the major cost centers for which these funds were expended, and the second shows the expenditures by major object group. See Appendices D and E for additional information on facility costs.



Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution

1800s

- 1830: Maryland Legislature passed “An Act to Establish a House of Refuge for Juvenile Delinquents” that created for the first time an authority to provide “troubled children” with homes, education, and job training.
- 1850: House of Refuge opened.
- 1850-1882: Maryland built four “reform schools” for young people, governed by private boards and segregated by race and sex.
- 1866: Maryland Industrial School for Girls opened, operated under various names, finally as the Montrose School, and closed in 1988.
- 1870: House of Reformation & Instruction for Colored Children opened. It operated under various names, was renamed to the Cheltenham Youth Facility in 1992 and became Cheltenham Youth Detention Center in 2016.
- 1882: Industrial Home for Colored Girls opened. It merged with Montrose School for Girls in 1962.

1910-1918

- House of Refuge (opened in 1850) became Maryland School for Boys (1910) and in 1918 became the Maryland Training School for Boys.

1922

- State Department of Education operated the training schools.

1943

- State Department of Public Welfare, Bureau of Child Welfare, Division of Institutions operated the training schools.

1960s

- 1966-1969: State Department of Juvenile Services became the central coordinating agency for juvenile investigation, probation and aftercare services, and for State juvenile, diagnostic, training, detention, and rehabilitation institutions.
- 1967: State Department of Juvenile Services assumed administrative responsibilities for all State children’s centers and boys’ forestry camps.
- 1968: Patterson House (Group Home for Girls) opened. It closed in 1992.
- 1969: Department of Health and Mental Hygiene organized the Juvenile Services Administration to administer all schools, youth detention centers, forestry camps, and probation/aftercare programs.

1970s

- 1970: Alfred D. Noyes Children’s Center opened in Montgomery County.
- 1972: Group Home for Boys opened; it became the William Donald Schaefer House in 1992.
- 1972: Maryland Youth Residence Center (MYRC) opened.

1980s

- 1982: J. DeWeese Carter Center opened.
- 1985: Maryland Training School for Boys became the Charles H. Hickey Jr. School (CHHS).
- 1987: Juvenile Services Administration became an independent agency.
- 1988: The Montrose School closed.
- 1989: The Department of Juvenile Services became a cabinet-level department.

1990s

- 1992: Victor Cullen Academy, which was a former tuberculosis sanatorium, opened.
- 1992: Boys’ Village of Maryland was renamed to Cheltenham Youth Facility (CYF).
- 1999: In November, DJS replaced its existing mainframe application, Information System for Youth Services (ISYS), with a client-server system referred to as the Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST).

2002

- Victor Cullen Academy closed.
- HB 1081 - Required the establishment of a community detention program.

- HB 1011 - Required the Department to operate the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center (BCJJC) as a centralized regional juvenile justice intake, assessment, court, and detention facility for Baltimore City and specified the powers and duties related to the Center.
- HB 962 - Authorized the juvenile court to adopt a treatment service plan recommended by the Department in making a disposition on a specified petition. It also required DJS to ensure that a treatment service plan adopted by the court was implemented within 25 days after disposition.

2003

- Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center opened in October.
- Under Education Article §22-305, the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) began to assume responsibility for educating youth in DJS facilities.

2004

- Day/Evening Reporting Center in Baltimore City opened in December.
- SB 767 - Required a child discharged from a committed residential placement to receive step-down aftercare according to specified parameters.

2005

- Charles H. Hickey Jr. School Committed and Impact Programs closed in July.
- HB 1339 - Required the Secretary of Juvenile Services to establish a Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program in Baltimore City and County.

2007

- Victor Cullen Center reopened in July.
- Maryland Youth Residence Center closed in October.
- SB 359 - Reorganization and Regionalization required DJS to serve youth with specified programming that delivered services on a regional basis.

2008

- Group Home Reform - Keeping Maryland Youth in Maryland Per Diem Reduction Initiative.
- Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) began in Baltimore City in January and statewide in November.
- Day/Evening Reporting Center opened in Prince George's County in November.
- SB 742 - Provided that all contracted residential child care programs must post a "Residents' Bill of Rights" in the facility and provide residents and their parents/guardians a handbook of the policies of the provider.
- Thomas O'Farrell Youth Center closed in December.

2009

- Collaboration with Operation Safe Kids (OSK) to serve VPI youth in Baltimore City and Prince George's County.
- Silver Oak Academy opened as a private provider serving only DJS youth.

2010

- Child Safety Net Dashboard launched in February.
- HB 1382 - Allowed DJS to share juvenile information and collaborate with juvenile justice agencies in the District of Columbia and Virginia.

2011

- SB 62 - Authorized the State Department of Education and the Department of Juvenile Services to share educational records when necessary to ensure the appropriate delivery of services.
- HB 1190 - Expanded the Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program to include Cecil, Montgomery, and Prince George's Counties.
- The committed female population moved from Thomas J.S. Waxter Facility to J. DeWeese Carter Center in November.
- CHALLENGE behavioral management program was implemented at Carter Center in November.

Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution (continued)

2012

- SB 245 - Allowed DJS to begin to develop a continuum of care by granting the agency the ability to move youth between committed placements.
- Central Review Committee was formed to implement the terms of SB 245 and commenced its operation in July.
- CHALLENGE was implemented at Victor Cullen Center in March, the Youth Centers in August, and William Donald Schaefer House in October.
- The Tier I Human Sex Trafficking Screening Tool was adopted at the Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center in March to screen for victims of human sex trafficking and was expanded to the Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center in December.

2013

- HB 245 - Expanded DJS' ability to share juvenile information and collaborate with juvenile justice agencies in Delaware, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.
- Silver Oak Academy received approval to increase their treatment capacity from 48 beds to 96 beds.
- MSDE completed assumption of responsibility for educating youth in all DJS facilities.
- Under-13 (U-13) Initiative commenced in Baltimore City in May.
- From March to May, over 56 training sessions were held where approximately 1,100 Department employees learned to recognize the signs of possible human sex trafficking victimization among youth involved in the juvenile justice system and apply appropriate responses to support possible victims.

2014

- The Department began screening for victims of human sex trafficking at the Charles H. Hickey Jr. School in March.
- The U-13 Initiative expanded to Prince George's County in May.

2015

- Crossover Youth Practice Model (CYPM) Initiative began in Prince George's County in April with the intention of expanding statewide over a multi-year implementation.
- Accountability Incentives Management (AIM) - DJS Graduated Responses Initiative was implemented statewide in July.
- SB 172 - Required eligible youth who were charged as adults and required detention to be held in juvenile detention facilities.
- Seven of the thirteen DJS-operated facilities were audited and earned 100% compliance with federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards.

2016

- DJS Re-entry Strategic Plan was approved in January.
- Offices of Family Engagement and Equity and Systems Reform was created in February.
- William Donald Schaefer House was closed on May 26, 2016.
- SB 245 - Continuum of Care Legislation expired in June.
- VPI ended at the close of FY 2016.
- On November 17, 2016 the new Cheltenham Youth Detention Center opened with a capacity of 72 beds, replacing the 115-bed Cheltenham Youth Facility.
- The remaining six DJS-operated facilities not audited in 2015 were audited and earned 100% compliance with federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards.

2017

- Behavioral Health Diversion Initiative (BHDI) pilot was implemented in Baltimore City and Wicomico County.

2018

- Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) was implemented in DJS committed facilities in January. The CHALLENGE behavioral management program was modified to be consistent with PBIS principles and renamed to STARR.

- Family Peer Support Program was implemented in September in Baltimore City and Prince George’s, Baltimore, Anne Arundel, and Wicomico Counties to link youth and families involved in the juvenile justice system to community resources.
- After several months of construction, Savage Mountain Youth Center reopened as a hardware secure facility with enhanced educational and therapeutic programming.
- DJS began screening for victims of human sex trafficking at Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center and Cheltenham Youth Detention Center.


2019

- American Correctional Association (ACA) national accreditation was awarded to Victor Cullen Center on January 12 and J. DeWeese Carter Center on August 3.
- HB 606 - Established the Juvenile Justice Reform Council for two years.
- SB 704 - Added DJS data and research and evaluation opportunities to the Maryland Longitudinal Data System.

2020

- J. DeWeese Carter Youth Facility for females was closed on June 30, 2020. The population was moved to the new Mountain View program for females on the grounds of Backbone Mountain Youth Center. Mountain View officially opened on June 19, 2020.
- Meadow Mountain Youth Center closed on June 30, 2020.
- Savage Mountain Youth Center was renamed Garrett Children’s Center June 3, 2020.
- COVID-19 Overview (see pages vi-vii for additional COVID information):
 - Governor Hogan declared a state of emergency and the existence of a catastrophic health emergency on March 3, 2020.
 - Governor Hogan moved Maryland to Elevated Level 2 pandemic response, which included telework for state employees on March 12, 2020.
 - On March 14, 2020, Savage Mountain Youth Center closed, youth were moved to Victor Cullen Center, and staff were reassigned to Victor Cullen and other youth centers. Savage Mountain Youth Center reopened in June under new name (noted above).
 - On March 16, 2020, Maryland courts suspended normal operations, with courthouses closed to the public and juvenile court operations limited to detention hearings and certain emergency matters.
 - On March 20, 2020 J. DeWeese Carter Center temporarily closed, and youth were moved to the Lower Eastern Shore Children’s Center. Carter Center was reopened in May (prior to its permanent closure on June 30, 2020 as noted above).
 - On June 5, 2020, the courts entered the first phase of a gradual return to full operations. This initial step added arrangements, hearings without witnesses, treatment plan reviews, and non-contested hearings to the list of authorized juvenile court matters. Contested matters including adjudications and transfer/waiver hearings resumed on July 20, with the juvenile court reaching fully operational status on August 31.

Flow of FY 2020 Case Referrals*




In FY 2020:


- There were a total of 14,913 juvenile complaints processed by DJS.
 - 38.9% (5,806) of those juvenile complaints were referred to the State’s Attorney for the authorization of a formal petition (as opposed to being resolved at intake or youth receiving pre-court supervision).
- Of the 5,806 juvenile complaints referred to the State’s Attorney, 86.8% (5,039) were petitioned and resulted in a court disposition. The remaining 13.2% includes 8.8% not petitioned (509), 3.7% denied by State’s Attorney (216), 0.7% initial petition withdrawn (39), and 0.1% non est (3).
- Of the 5,039 court dispositions:
 - 22.1% (1,114) were pending disposition as of November 2020.
 - 26.3% (1,323) received probation and 10.0% (505) were committed to DJS.
 - The remaining 41.6% (2,097) included stet, jurisdiction waived to adult court, dismissed, closed, nolle prosequi, services not ordered, committed to other agency or “other”. (See *Intake & Community Supervision, Case Forwarding Decision and Court Action table* for more detail.)
- Of the 505 committed court dispositions, there were 74.1% (374) committed admissions (248 distinct youth). The remaining 25.9% (131) include community commitments and youth who had already been in a committed placement prior to the new intake.
 - Of the 374 committed admissions, 48.4% (181) were admissions to DJS-operated facilities, while 51.6% (193) were admissions to non-DJS operated facilities. Of the 193 committed admissions to non-DJS operated facilities:
 - 42.5% (82) were group home admissions (including ICFA); 38.3% (74) were RTC admissions; and 9.8% (19) were Silver Oak Academy admissions.
 - The remaining 9.3% (18) were out-of-state, foster care, or independent living program admissions.

* Many FY 2020 cases were pending court action at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*.

Department of Juvenile Services Historical Evolution Highlights Since 2009



Maryland Juvenile Justice System Process Flowchart




STEPS IN THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM (SEE PROCESS FLOWCHART)

1. Youth can be referred to DJS by law enforcement, schools, citizens, and parents. Some police departments run diversion programs, and only those youth who fail out of the program would be referred to DJS. Youth may also be apprehended by law enforcement agencies (on a writ or warrant) for failing to appear in court or violating the conditions of supervision while in an alternative to detention program, for example.
2. If requested by law enforcement, DJS makes an emergency detention decision to determine if a youth requires secure detention until the next court day. This decision is guided by a Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI).
3. The intake complaint is assessed by an intake officer, who has statutory authority to determine how the case should be handled. The options are:
 - Disapprove as legally insufficient - refer to services as appropriate;
 - Resolve, when it is determined that furthering the case would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety. Referrals to services as appropriate;
 - Enter into a pre-court supervision agreement where the family agrees to certain conditions, including participation in treatment services as appropriate, without court involvement. This is the main DJS court diversion program; or
 - Formally authorize the State's Attorney to petition the juvenile court for a hearing.
4. At the detention hearing, the juvenile court determines if detention is required until the adjudicatory or dispositional hearing. Youth may also be detained directly by the juvenile court in cases where the youth is presented during court hours, either on a new charge, a writ or warrant, or due to a violation or sanction of a supervision order (probation, community detention, drug court, etc.). DJS operates all secure juvenile detention centers.
5. Youth who present a lower risk may also be supervised in programs providing alternatives to secure detention. These programs ensure that the youth is available to attend the adjudicatory hearing. Youth must comply with certain restrictions, which include house arrest, electronic monitoring, or day and/or evening reporting centers. Structured shelter is also used for cases where the youth cannot return home after arrest but otherwise represents a lower risk.
6. DJS formally authorizes the State's Attorney to petition the juvenile court. The State's Attorney then reviews the complaint and may dismiss it or file a petition to the juvenile court.
7. At the adjudicatory hearing (a fact-finding hearing), the juvenile court determines the outcome of the petitioned charges, which can be sustained or not sustained.
8. While a youth is awaiting disposition and/or supervision or placement, DJS conducts a series of assessments and investigations which will guide the DJS recommendation to the court on how the case should be handled.
9. For cases where a charge is sustained, a disposition hearing is held to determine if the youth is delinquent. If the youth is found delinquent, the court determines whether the youth requires DJS supervision and treatment under a probation order or a commitment order. A commitment order usually indicates an out-of-home placement.
10. Youth with a court-ordered disposition of probation receive supervision and services while they reside at home. Supervision intensity varies depending on the risk level of youth. Standard community supervision levels include low, moderate, high, and intensive supervision.
11. Youth with a court-ordered disposition of commitment who require placement in an out-of-home program may continue to wait in detention (pending placement) until an appropriate placement becomes available.
12. Youth who are committed to an out-of-home placement may be placed in a broad variety of programs (state-run or private, secure or non-secure) depending on the risk level and treatment needs of the youth.
13. DJS has committed diversion programs for youth who might otherwise be placed out of home. These in-home programs provide services and treatment to the youth and family, and include Functional Family Therapy (FFT), Multisystemic Therapy (MST), and Family Centered Treatment (FCT).
14. Youth returning home from a committed placement are supervised on aftercare by DJS case managers who, along with regional re-entry specialists, ensure that youth are connected in the community with required services including education, employment, and health services.

Professional Training and Education Unit (PTEU)

The PTEU supports DJS by providing opportunities for staff to enhance their skill so that they are well equipped to effectively deliver services to youth in juvenile justice settings. The PTEU contributes to the agency’s goal to build, value, and retain a diverse, competent, and professional workforce. PTEU delivers training for staff at all levels of the agency, for both PREA-mandated direct care staff and others, including Entry Level Training (ELT) for its newest employees, professional development for existing staff, and supervisor and management training for its emerging leaders. Training programs are designed to provide learning environments that encourage upward mobility, assisting staff to climb the ladder.




The **PTEU Certification Unit** is specialized in and familiar with the various certification processes under the Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC), and has a comprehensive understanding of the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) and the American Correctional Association (ACA) training practices and performance-based standards. The Certification Unit ensures that all mandated DJS employees acquire and retain required certifications, tracks instructor certifications, maintains training records, and plays a critical role in the audit process to ensure the Department’s compliance with COMAR, MPCTC, and ACA requirements.

During FY 2020, PTEU contributed to DJS’ goal to enhance the quality, availability, and use of technology to improve services for staff, youth, and families by introducing the delivery of virtual “live” and self-paced online training to the Department. PTEU collaborated with various units within DJS to analyze, design, develop, implement, and evaluate numerous online training sessions designed for both mandated and non-mandated staff. As a result, online training is being added to the modes of training delivery offered by PTEU moving forward.

Training highlights during FY 2020:

- Collaborating with the Mental Health Association of MD to offer a 3-year certification course for Youth Mental Health First Aid (YMHFA) and Adult Mental Health First Aid (AMHFA), which prepares staff to be the first responders of mental health crises in DJS facilities and community offices. DJS began training all direct care staff on YMHFA in 2015. To determine the effectiveness of this initiative, DJS staff were surveyed in 2017. Staff who responded to the survey noted using these techniques in a situation that was growing into a crisis 56% of the time as well as in crisis situations 25% of the time. Many staff noted using YMHFA in their personal lives as well as in work including responding to individuals who were actively suicidal. The results of the survey were published in 2020 in the *Juvenile and Family Court Journal* 71, No. 1.
- Partnering with the American Federation of State, County & Municipal Employees (AFSCME) to deliver “Workplace Violence Prevention: Elements of a Comprehensive Workplace Violence Prevention Plan” training to DJS’ leadership, instructors, and staff. This training is designed to raise awareness of known hazards and risk factors as they relate to workplace violence, teach about the five core elements of a comprehensive workplace violence prevention plan, and provide information on conducting a worksite analysis as well as hazard prevention and control.
- Collaborating with the Behavioral Health Unit to bring cutting edge behavioral management programs to DJS such as Trauma Informed Care (TIC) and Positive Behavioral Interventions & Supports (PBIS), CHALLENGE and STARR training. PTEU assisted in the planning for implementation of the National Child Traumatic Stress Network’s (NCTSN) Think Trauma curriculum, Positive Youth Development (PYD), and the Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT) training programs.



A person wearing a maroon hoodie is seen from behind, looking towards Nationals Park stadium. The stadium's scoreboard and seating are visible in the background under a clear blue sky.

Section II: Intake and Community Supervision

OTO Whom it may concern.
I thank you for the experience
to see the Nationals. I enjoyed
seeing all of the Nats pride. There
were many events I enjoyed, such
as batting, pitching, and visiting
the Nats ~~club~~ club house. Lastly
I was truly pleased to see
three National players up close.
I hope that if I keep doing
well, I will get more opportunities
like this. Thank you.

Youth on community supervision from the Metro and Baltimore City Regions earned incentives to attend Winterfest at Nationals Park in Washington, D.C. thanks to tickets donated by Most Valuable Kids-DC. Youth were able to meet players, tour the facility, and use batting cages to pitch and bat with the athletes.

Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision

The Department provides a continuum of services to youth in the community across multiple stages of the juvenile justice system. Youth enter the system at the point of intake. At this stage, DJS intake officers receive complaints from persons or agencies (e.g. private citizens, schools, victims, or law enforcement agencies) and assess whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is warranted. Community Services staff supervise DJS-involved youth who have been placed on Informal (or Pre-Court) supervision, Community Detention and electronic monitoring (CD/EM), probation, and aftercare supervision. Case supervision and management tasks will be described in more detail below.

Pre-Adjudication Services

The DJS Pre-Adjudication Services unit oversees statewide Intake and Community Detention Operations. The Intake unit is responsible for managing and monitoring a uniform statewide approach for the review of intake complaints, as well as ensuring that appropriate assessments and reviews are conducted and that service referrals are made to promote the best interest of the child and public safety. Community Detention Operations oversees programs that serve as alternatives to secure detention for youth who have allegedly committed a new offense and are pending adjudication. These alternatives to detention (ATD) programs include:

- Community Detention/Electronic Monitoring (CD/EM),
- GPS Monitoring,
- Baltimore City Evening Reporting Center, and
- Prince George’s Evening Reporting Center.

Reform Efforts

Intake decisions must be fair and equitable, acknowledging that systemic racism, biases, and prejudices can influence which youth are referred to DJS intake. In 2017, the Department began revising its policies and procedures to integrate best practices in the juvenile justice field in conjunction with lessons learned based on data analysis and the experiences of youth who present at DJS intake. Specifically, the Department partnered with the University of Maryland, School of Social Work to develop a validated, objective intake decision tool that would identify a youth’s risk of recidivism, strengths, and service needs. This new tool, implemented in July 2020, is intended to ensure fair and equitable decisions that are developmentally appropriate, culturally proficient, and tailored to the risks, needs, and strengths of youth. Throughout the intake process, the focus is on positive youth development (recognizing and building on the strengths and assets of youth) and family engagement (actively involving the youth and family voice in all aspects of case planning) – both of which promote successful outcomes. Supported by the tool, the Department aims

to divert youth from court involvement when possible and refer the youth and family to services when needed, including high-risk youth who may be safely supervised by DJS with interventions that reduce the risk of recidivism.

Intake

Intake officers review all delinquent and Child in Need of Supervision (CINS) complaints, citations, referrals for service, and peace order requests. Intake officers are directed to make a determination within 25 days as to whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction, and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public or the child. DJS intake officers are authorized to either: (a) disapprove a complaint as legally insufficient; (b) resolve the matter at intake with or without services; (c) propose an informal adjustment period (also called pre-court supervision); or (d) authorize the filing of a petition by the State’s Attorney’s Office. DJS is required to forward all felony

Pre-Adjudication Services Reform Principles:

- Divert youth from court involvement when possible;
- Hold youth accountable for harm to victims and communities;
- Increase access to services and supports that address risk and needs;
- Increase opportunities for positive outcomes in school, workforce, and community;
- Ensure developmentally appropriate decisions;
- Prioritize restorative approaches;
- Foster positive youth development and resiliency;
- Engage youth and family in case planning; and
- Identify trauma and understand the impact of traumatic experiences.



Youth on supervision from the Metro Region earned the opportunity to watch the DC Defenders play an XFL game.

and handgun violation complaints to the State's Attorney's Office for review. The intake decision-making process may involve an interview with the youth, parent and/or guardian, and where applicable, the victim(s).

The intake decision is guided by the new Intake Decision Tool (see Appendix M). This tool includes a newly validated version of the MCASP Intake Risk Screen, the tool used by the Department to guide intake decisions between 2010 and 2019. The validated risk screen incorporated into the new Intake Decision Tool generates a risk score which measures the risk of reoffending. Importantly, the new Intake Decision Tool collects detailed information on social history factors, e.g., school, use of free time, employment, relationships in the community, and family that is used to identify service needs and prompt the appropriate service referrals. The new tool also requires that the intake officer consider any aggravating and mitigating factors as well as any service access considerations that may be a barrier to obtaining services.

In addition to assessing the merit of complaints received at intake and making the case forwarding decision, DJS intake officers are responsible for determining whether youth who have been taken into custody require secure detention or may be released to a parent, guardian, or other responsible adult and, if so, under what conditions. Some youth are released to a caregiver without any additional restrictions or conditions. Other youth are released to a caregiver and required to participate in an alternative to detention (ATD) program such as Community Detention, ERC, or a Shelter pending their court hearing on the next court date.

Intake officers are directed by statute (Md. Code, Courts and Judicial Proceedings, section 3-8A-15) to authorize detention if detention is deemed necessary to protect the youth or others, or if the youth is deemed likely to leave the jurisdiction of the court. Shelter care may be utilized for youth requiring care, but not in a secure setting. Additionally, shelter care can be utilized if a parent, guardian, or custodian is not available to provide supervision and care until the youth returns to court.

The decision to authorize detention is guided by a decision-making tool called the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI). The DRAI is designed to provide an objective assessment of the probability that a youth will reoffend or fail to appear for future court dates. The DRAI was most recently validated in FY 2017 and implemented in July 2017 (see Appendix F).

Intake services are provided by the DJS regions during normal working hours (i.e., Monday-Friday, 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.). The Baltimore City Region provides 24/7 intake services and houses a centralized intake unit at the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center that provides statewide intake services during non-traditional work hours and/or holidays for all regions across the state.

Pre-Adjudication Services – Pre-Court Supervision (Informal Adjustment)

As discussed in the previous section, one option for a case received at DJS intake is to handle the case informally without involving the juvenile court through an informal adjustment option called Pre-Court supervision. This is conducted under an agreement executed by the DJS intake officer that stipulates conditions of the supervision period, and requires consent by the youth, parent/guardian, and victim (where applicable). Approval by the State's Attorney's Office is required if the case involves a felony offense. Pre-Court agreements are tailored to the individual circumstances of the case, and may include the payment of restitution, the completion of community service hours,

as well as participation in specialized community-based services and counseling or treatment programs such as substance abuse treatment. Agreements are generally in effect for a period of 90 days, however, in some circumstances the period may be extended to 180 days to allow for the youth to participate in a substance abuse or mental health treatment program. If a youth fails to meet the conditions of the agreement, the DJS intake officer may elect to forward the case to the State’s Attorney’s Office for review.

Pre-Adjudication Services – Community Detention

DJS provides regional Community Detention services, with or without electronic monitoring, typically for youth whose cases have been forwarded to the juvenile court at intake. The program operates 24/7 and serves as the primary ATD. Community Detention allows youth to continue participating in community activities such as school or work, and helps maintain community ties and support systems.

Community Detention supervision includes face-to-face and telephone contacts, as well as random unannounced visits at home, school, and work. The level of supervision depends on the assessed supervision needs of each youth and the court-ordered release conditions. Community Detention Officers (CDOs) are trained to monitor violations of court-ordered release conditions and to report any changes in a youth’s home or school situation. CDO’s work closely with the youth and family to ensure compliance with the program and intervene in accordance with the Departments AIM guidelines when addressing Community Detention violations. Youth who violate the conditions of release may be withdrawn from the program and placed in secure detention.

The electronic monitoring component provides an additional layer of supervision. Compliance with the program is monitored electronically using an ankle bracelet worn by the youth and a receiver located in the youth’s home. All electronic notifications related to the youth’s schedule and equipment functionality are received in real time. The Command Center and assigned CDO carefully review the information to ensure that supervision violations receive proper intervention and follow-up. The Command Center is located in Baltimore City. This centrally-operated command center post provides 24/7 staffing.

Post-Adjudication Services

The DJS Community Supervision unit consists of 30 local offices throughout the state that oversees the case management operations for youth under court-ordered probation and aftercare services. Community Services also includes the Resource Units, which assist in facilitating in-home and out-of-home committed treatment services

for youth. After a youth is adjudicated, efforts continue to be made to divert youth from the deep end of the system by focusing on community-based treatment rather than out-of-home committed care. When youth are being evaluated for services as part of a pre-disposition social history investigation, or by a Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST), a community treatment plan is part of the review to ensure that only the most at-risk youth receive residential treatment. After disposition, DJS case managers will regularly review youth’s progress and length of stay on probation, in placement, or on aftercare to ensure services are being delivered and are successful in moving the youth towards successful closure. DJS is moving towards a system of continuous case management, where - to the extent possible- a youth’s case will be assigned to and managed by the same case manager for the duration of supervision.

MAST is a specialized diagnostic team responsible for assessing youth detained pending court disposition and at risk of placement. The MAST includes a psychologist, social worker or professional counselor, substance abuse counselor, community case manager, detention facility case manager supervisor, resource specialist, and a Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) representative. Following completion of assessments, a MAST or community staffing meeting is held which includes the participation of youth and family. An in-depth review of the case information is completed during the meeting, and the team develops and submits a report for the juvenile court to consider at disposition, which includes both security and treatment recommendations.



Youth from DJS’ Eastern Baltimore County office giving back by participating in a community cleanup.



Youth completing community service hours while taking part in the “Day of Caring and Sharing” in Allegany County.

Probation

Youth who are adjudicated and found delinquent by the juvenile court may be placed on probation under the supervision of a DJS case management specialist (CMS). Probation is the most frequently used juvenile court disposition. A probation term requires youth to abide by general supervision conditions, as well as any special conditions imposed by the court. At the start of probation supervision (or in some instances, prior to disposition if ordered by the juvenile court), a Social History Investigation and report are completed by the assigned CMS. This report describes the social adjustment and circumstances of the youth and their family. The CMS also completes the MCASP Needs Assessment that includes components of the MCASP Intake Risk Screen, but provides a much broader and more comprehensive assessment of individual risk, needs, and strengths. The MCASP Needs Assessment categorizes youth as having either low, moderate, or high need on each of the following treatment domains (which have been shown to influence risk for reoffending): (a) School; (b) Use of Free Time; (c) Employment; (d) Community Relationships; (e) Family;

(f) Alcohol and Drugs; (g) Mental Health; and (h) Attitudes and Aggression. See Appendix N for a list of MCASP Needs Assessment items.

The CMS uses the Social History Investigation and MCASP Needs Assessment to develop recommendations to the juvenile court and to create a Treatment Service Plan (TSP). A TSP is completed for each youth under court-ordered supervision and includes the recommended supervision level for the youth, specific goals for the youth and family to meet, and a statement of services to be provided to the youth and family. In developing the TSP, input from youth, parents and/or guardians, and service providers (as appropriate) is also solicited.

Aftercare and Re-entry

Aftercare supervision is similar to probation supervision in that the CMS performs many of the same supervisory tasks and relies on the same decision-making tools (e.g., the MCASP Needs Assessment). Aftercare services are provided for youth who have been committed to or released from the custody of DJS for placement outside the home, or for the receipt of in-home services in some jurisdictions. A CMS is assigned to the case upon commitment. Aftercare planning is initiated at commitment, before the youth is placed in a residential program. Plans are guided by risk and needs assessments, are updated according to youth’s progress in residential care, and also include identification and monitoring of services needed upon release. Building on services provided in the residential placement, the CMS is also responsible for managing the re-entry process so youth and family are linked to services, and possible continuation of treatment in the community. Youth’s adjustment and compliance with any court directives is monitored. Additionally, a variety of programs is available to assist youth returning to the community; including independent living programs, transitional educational services, and employment programs (e.g., job readiness training, career exploration, and vocational training). As a step-down to community supervision, some youth are also monitored with GPS supervision. GPS may also be ordered by the court, or as a sanction by a case manager for high-risk cases, and for youth on home passes from secure placement.

Accountability Incentives Management (AIM)

Instituted in 2015, the AIM initiative gives DJS case managers a structured system of graduated responses to deter negative behaviors and encourage positive behaviors of youth under court-ordered community supervision. AIM seeks to reduce technical violations of Community Detention, probation, or aftercare supervision by ensuring certain, fair, and immediate responses to youth behavior that promote successful completion of supervision.

Interstate Compact (IC) Unit

The IC unit provides for the cooperative supervision of probation and aftercare youth who move from state to state as well as the return of runaways, absconders, and escapees. A new compact which includes all 50 states was enacted in 2014 forming the Interstate Commission for Juveniles (ICJ). This Commission governs each member state as to the provision of proper supervision or return of delinquent youth and status offenders on probation or aftercare who have absconded, escaped, or run away and, in so doing, have endangered their own safety or the safety of others. It is the responsibility of each member state to provide supervision and services to ICJ cases using the same standards that prevail for its own youth placed on probation or aftercare supervision.


Note to Readers:







Starting in the 2017 DRG, the table “Court Action for Formaled Cases” is organized as follows:

1. Like actions are grouped together. For example: “Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, and Non Est” all represent cases that did not proceed to court, and “Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros” cases went to court, but did not make it to an adjudicatory decision.
2. In cases with more than one result (e.g. Continued then Dismissed), the ultimate action is now shown for all cases, (as of the time of data extraction.) Previously, the first action was shown for most cases, except for Continued/Stet.

For a detailed description of DJS’ COVID-19 response and reforms see page vi.

DJS Offices by County and Region



 Region I Baltimore City	 Region II Central	 Region III Western	 Region IV Eastern	 Region V Southern	 Region VI Metro
HQ - MD State DJS	5 - Dundalk/E ssex	11 - Allegany	15 - Denton	23 - Annapolis	28 - Rockville
1 - Central	6 - Parkville	12 - Frederick	16 - Cambridge	24 - Glen Burnie	29 - Largo
2 - Southern	7 - Westminster	13 - Oakland	17 - Centreville	25 - Prince Frederick	30 - Upper Marlboro
3 - Plaza	8 - Catonsville	14 - Hagerstown	18 - E aston	26 - La Plata	
4 - MYRC	9 - Bel Air		19 - Snow Hill	27 - Leonardtown	
	10 - Ellicott City		20 - Elkton		
			21 - Princess Anne		
			22 - Salisbury		

Total Statewide Activity

There are six regions across the state that encompass 24 jurisdictions.

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	2.0%	1.8%	1.8%
Citizen	2.2%	2.1%	1.9%
Police*	91.3%	92.4%	92.7%
Violation of Probation**	4.6%	3.6%	3.6%
Total Complaints	19,669	18,904	14,913

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	39.7%	45.2%	47.7%
Informed	15.2%	15.8%	13.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	45.1%	39.0%	38.9%
Total Complaints	19,669	18,904	14,913

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	11.5%	11.1%	13.2%
Stet	8.7%	9.0%	10.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.9%	0.8%	0.4%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	27.5%	29.4%	23.0%
Pending Disposition*	6.3%	7.3%	19.2%
Services Not Ordered	1.6%	2.0%	1.3%
Probation	29.2%	28.4%	22.8%
Committed to DJS	13.4%	11.3%	8.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Other**	0.7%	0.7%	1.3%
Total Formal Complaints	8,866	7,371	5,806

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	3,605.3	3,281.3	2,704.8
Pre-Court	735.6	723.0	583.7
Probation	2,202.2	1,963.4	1,605.9
Aftercare	667.5	595.0	515.2
Committed-Aftercare	411.7	395.4	314.4

* Average daily active cases

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:*
 - Between July 2015 and July 2019, the statewide population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.3% (from 530,081 to 528,468).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):*
 - 38.9% of complaints were formaled, while 13.4% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):*
 - Total complaints decreased 24.2%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 45.1% to 38.9%.
 - *Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 42.2% were High, 27.8% were Moderate, and 30.0% were Low.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 31.4 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.4 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 78.1 days.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 10.7% to 12.6%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 8.2% to 8.6%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 67.4% to 69.7%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 30.6% (364) to 26.5% (134).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.1%	61.7%	62.7%
White	29.2%	29.4%	28.3%
Hispanic/Other	8.7%	8.8%	9.0%
Sex			
Male	73.7%	70.9%	71.0%
Female	26.3%	29.1%	29.0%
Age			
11 and under	3.9%	4.3%	4.8%
12	4.7%	5.6%	5.0%
13	8.4%	9.6%	9.5%
14	12.9%	12.8%	14.2%
15	18.9%	19.1%	18.8%
16	22.1%	21.3%	21.7%
17	25.9%	24.7%	23.2%
18-20	3.2%	2.6%	2.8%
Total Complaints	19,669	18,904	14,913

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	46.3%	37.3%	48.7%
Assault (Felony)	1.6%	0.8%	2.1%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	19.9%	17.4%	15.1%
Carjacking	0.6%	0.7%	4.9%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Handgun Violation	0.9%	2.0%	4.4%
Harassment	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	1.0%
Other Person ⁺	14.2%	5.7%	5.0%
Robbery	4.5%	5.3%	12.3%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.5%	1.4%	2.2%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	1.5%	0.6%
Property Offenses	34.6%	42.0%	36.2%
Arson	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.8%	2.2%	1.9%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.4%	4.3%	5.2%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.7%	9.4%	3.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.8%	4.6%	4.4%
Other Property ⁺	3.8%	1.6%	1.8%
Theft (Felony)	2.5%	1.4%	4.6%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	12.9%	18.1%	15.4%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.2%	3.1%	1.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.2%	1.2%	0.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.0%	1.9%	1.2%
Citations	5.5%	1.5%	0.6%
Alcohol Violation	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.1%	0.8%	0.6%
Vaporizer Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.4%	15.8%	12.6%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.6%	8.3%	7.8%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.5%	3.3%	0.9%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.7%	0.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.9%	3.4%	3.0%
Total Offenses	25,655	1,786	677

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	12.6%	13.7%	30.1%
Felony	8.6%	13.7%	15.4%
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	1.6%	2.4%
- Property	5.3%	4.6%	5.3%
- Drugs	1.8%	1.6%	0.8%
- Unspecified	0.3%	5.9%	6.9%
Misdemeanor	69.7%	71.1%	54.3%
- Person-to-Person	42.1%	36.1%	27.3%
- Property	24.0%	28.2%	22.4%
- Drugs	1.8%	2.5%	1.6%
- Unspecified	1.9%	4.3%	3.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%
Citations	6.9%	1.4%	0.2%
CINS	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/Dispositions	14,913	1,323	505

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Female Statewide Activity

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.4%	0.5%	0.5%
Citizen	3.6%	3.8%	3.0%
Police*	93.2%	93.4%	94.3%
Violation of Probation**	2.8%	2.3%	2.1%
Total Complaints	5,181	5,492	4,332

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	53.3%	56.1%	60.8%
Informaled	16.5%	18.7%	14.0%
Authorized Formal Petition	30.2%	25.2%	25.2%
Total Complaints	5,181	5,492	4,332

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	13.7%	12.5%	14.2%
Stet	12.9%	11.5%	12.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	27.3%	32.0%	23.8%
Pending Disposition*	6.6%	8.0%	19.7%
Services Not Ordered	1.5%	2.6%	0.6%
Probation	28.6%	25.7%	23.2%
Committed to DJS	7.9%	7.1%	4.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Other**	0.8%	0.3%	1.2%
Total Formal Complaints	1,564	1,386	1,092

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	679.2	664.1	549.3
Pre-Court	203.2	234.7	179.4
Probation	384.7	353.4	300.8
Aftercare	91.3	76.0	69.2
Committed-Aftercare	57.9	50.9	43.3

* Average daily active cases

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:*
 - Between July 2015 and July 2019, the female population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.2% (from 259,840 to 259,327).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):*
 - 25.2% of complaints were formaled, while 14.0% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):*
 - Total complaints decreased 16.4%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 30.2% to 25.2%.
 - *Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 41.2% were High, 22.6% were Moderate, and 36.2% were Low.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 29.0 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.8 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 91.2 days.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 4.5% to 5.2%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 4.0% to 5.0%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 76.7% to 79.3%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 37.9% (47) to 33.3% (18).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	60.5%	61.8%	63.6%
White	32.4%	31.2%	29.4%
Hispanic/Other	7.1%	6.9%	7.0%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	3.4%	4.4%	4.2%
12	5.6%	6.9%	6.2%
13	10.4%	11.8%	10.2%
14	14.3%	14.1%	15.3%
15	18.8%	18.1%	19.8%
16	21.7%	19.6%	21.0%
17	23.7%	23.1%	21.4%
18-20	2.0%	1.9%	1.9%
Total Complaints	5,181	5,492	4,332

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	54.3%	46.0%	59.7%
Assault (Felony)	1.1%	1.3%	2.8%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	26.7%	26.2%	27.8%
Carjacking	0.3%	0.0%	1.4%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.8%	0.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	4.2%
Other Person ⁺	21.7%	13.3%	16.7%
Robbery	1.6%	2.6%	6.9%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Property Offenses	28.4%	34.0%	29.2%
Arson	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.1%	2.6%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.8%	8.4%	8.3%
Other Property ⁺	3.1%	1.0%	5.6%
Theft (Felony)	1.5%	1.6%	5.6%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.7%	20.4%	9.7%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.4%	1.9%	1.4%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.4%	0.3%	1.4%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.1%	1.6%	0.0%
Citations	5.4%	0.6%	1.4%
Alcohol Violation	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.9%	0.6%	1.4%
Vaporizer Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.5%	17.2%	8.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.1%	9.7%	5.6%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.2%	4.5%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	1.0%	1.4%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.9%	1.9%	1.4%
Total Offenses	6,792	309	72

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	5.2%	5.1%	16.7%
Felony	5.0%	7.5%	13.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	0.0%	3.7%
- Property	3.5%	2.4%	3.7%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.4%	1.9%
- Unspecified	0.1%	4.7%	3.7%
Misdemeanor	79.3%	86.6%	68.5%
- Person-to-Person	53.2%	53.0%	44.4%
- Property	23.4%	28.1%	22.2%
- Drugs	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.7%	3.6%	1.9%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Citations	6.6%	0.4%	1.9%
CINS	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>4,332</i>	<i>253</i>	<i>54</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>29.0%</i>	<i>19.1%</i>	<i>10.7%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Statewide Activity for Youth Under Age 13

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	2.3%	2.9%	2.2%
Police*	97.5%	96.9%	97.6%
Violation of Probation**	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Total Complaints	1,700	1,881	1,469

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES⁺, FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	54.2%	55.1%	57.1%
Informaled	21.5%	20.9%	17.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	24.3%	24.0%	25.5%
Total Complaints	1,700	1,881	1,469
Court Action for Formal Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	16.2%	12.9%	13.9%
Stet	13.8%	11.5%	14.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	30.5%	33.0%	21.1%
Pending Disposition*	6.8%	9.3%	25.9%
Services Not Ordered	1.7%	1.8%	0.8%
Probation	24.7%	26.8%	21.7%
Committed to DJS	4.4%	2.4%	1.6%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Other**	1.9%	1.8%	0.8%
Total Formal Complaints	413	451	374

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.
* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG
** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	216.7	194.2	172.3
Pre-Court	88.7	90.2	69.5
Probation	95.5	77.1	80.2
Aftercare	32.5	26.9	22.5
Committed-Aftercare	2.7	1.5	0.5

* Average daily active cases

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:*
 - Between July 2015 and July 2019, the youth population (ages 11-12) increased 2.5% (from 149,188 to 152,980).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):*
 - 25.5% of complaints were formalized, while 17.4% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):*
 - Total complaints decreased 13.6%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 24.3% to 25.5%.
 - *Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 27.6% were High, 44.8% were Moderate, and 27.6% were Low.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 27.1 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.3 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 90.8 days.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 8.8% to 10.3%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 3.1% to 3.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors did not change.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 11.1% (2) to 50.0% (3).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS*, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	66.2%	66.1%	71.5%
White	28.6%	27.9%	25.3%
Hispanic/Other	5.1%	6.1%	3.1%
Sex			
Male	72.4%	66.9%	69.4%
Female	27.6%	33.1%	30.6%
Age			
8 and under	73	76	54
9	114	86	93
10	194	202	177
11	393	450	399
12	926	1,067	746
Total Complaints	1,700	1,881	1,469

* Data for age are not presented in percentages due to the small size of some categories.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	61.2%	25.8%	33.3%
Assault (Felony)	1.9%	0.5%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	30.4%	12.9%	16.7%
Carjacking	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%	3.8%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.0%	16.7%
Harassment	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	17.9%	2.7%	0.0%
Robbery	2.4%	3.8%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	2.4%	1.6%	0.0%
Property Offenses	31.1%	67.2%	66.7%
Arson	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.3%	41.9%	33.3%
Malicious Destruction	9.3%	8.1%	16.7%
Other Property ⁺	3.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.3%	15.6%	16.7%
Drug-Related Offenses	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	4.5%	7.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	7.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	2,351	186	6

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	10.3%	12.3%	0.0%
Felony	3.2%	9.9%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.1%	9.9%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	82.8%	77.8%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	55.0%	30.9%	33.3%
- Property	26.1%	46.9%	66.7%
- Drugs	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,469</i>	<i>81</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>9.9%</i>	<i>6.1%</i>	<i>1.2%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Statewide Activity for Youth of Color

The data shown on these pages provide additional detail for youth of color (non-white youth).

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	2.5%	2.3%	2.2%
Citizen	2.3%	2.5%	2.2%
Police*	90.0%	90.8%	91.5%
Violation of Probation**	5.1%	4.4%	4.1%
Total Complaints	13,927	13,343	10,691

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES⁺, FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	36.8%	42.7%	45.8%
Informed	13.5%	13.7%	11.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	49.7%	43.6%	42.4%
Total Complaints	13,927	13,343	10,691

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	11.3%	10.3%	12.6%
Stet	8.1%	8.2%	9.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.0%	0.9%	0.3%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	28.4%	30.9%	24.0%
Pending Disposition*	6.2%	7.5%	20.2%
Services Not Ordered	1.4%	2.1%	1.3%
Probation	28.8%	27.5%	22.3%
Committed to DJS	14.0%	11.9%	8.2%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Other**	0.7%	0.7%	1.4%
Total Formal Complaints	6,924	5,821	4,528

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	2,708.0	2,466.8	2,054.0
Pre-Court	467.4	453.6	376.9
Probation	1,684.1	1,516.0	1,245.3
Aftercare	556.5	497.2	431.8
Committed-Aftercare	323.1	316.6	243.2

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the youth of color population (ages 11-17) increased 5.8% (from 284,678 to 301,280).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 42.4% of complaints were formaled, while 11.8% were informed.

Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints decreased 23.2%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 49.7% to 42.4%.
- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 44.3% were High, 27.3% were Moderate, and 28.3% were Low.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 32.1 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.3 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 77.8 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 13.0% to 14.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies did not change from 9.4% to 9.4%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 69.3% to 70.0%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 29.9% (290) to 26.1% (97).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	87.6%	87.5%	87.5%
White	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	12.4%	12.5%	12.5%
Sex			
Male	74.9%	71.7%	71.4%
Female	25.1%	28.3%	28.6%
Age			
11 and under	4.1%	4.4%	4.9%
12	4.6%	5.8%	5.3%
13	8.1%	9.8%	9.7%
14	13.3%	12.7%	14.0%
15	19.6%	19.1%	19.2%
16	22.6%	21.8%	22.1%
17	24.5%	23.8%	21.8%
18-20	3.2%	2.6%	2.9%
Total Complaints	13,927	13,343	10,691

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	48.6%	39.4%	50.7%
Assault (Felony)	1.8%	0.9%	1.8%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	19.9%	17.5%	15.6%
Carjacking	0.8%	0.9%	6.3%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	2.5%	1.0%
Handgun Violation	1.1%	2.3%	5.1%
Harassment	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.8%
Other Person ⁺	15.2%	6.3%	4.6%
Robbery	5.7%	6.7%	13.7%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.2%	0.7%	1.4%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	1.0%	0.2%
Property Offenses	35.0%	40.7%	31.9%
Arson	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.2%	2.0%	1.8%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.2%	2.6%	4.4%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.7%	9.8%	2.6%
Malicious Destruction	5.1%	4.2%	2.4%
Other Property ⁺	3.8%	1.3%	2.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.8%	1.4%	4.6%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.7%	19.2%	14.3%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.9%	2.6%	1.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.2%	1.2%	0.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.7%	1.5%	0.8%
Citations	3.6%	1.5%	0.8%
Alcohol Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.4%	15.8%	15.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.9%	9.6%	9.5%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.2%	2.6%	1.2%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.7%	1.2%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.9%	2.8%	3.2%
Total Offenses	19,167	1,371	505

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	14.6%	14.8%	31.7%
Felony	9.4%	13.2%	16.9%
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	0.8%	0.8%
- Property	6.1%	4.2%	5.9%
- Drugs	1.9%	1.6%	0.8%
- Unspecified	0.4%	6.6%	9.4%
Misdemeanor	70.0%	70.4%	51.1%
- Person-to-Person	42.4%	35.7%	28.8%
- Property	24.4%	28.8%	19.4%
- Drugs	1.4%	1.9%	1.1%
- Unspecified	1.8%	4.1%	1.9%
Ordinance Offenses	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%
Citations	4.3%	1.5%	0.3%
CINS	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>10,691</i>	<i>1,011</i>	<i>372</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>71.7%</i>	<i>76.4%</i>	<i>73.7%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region I-Baltimore City Summary

Acting Regional Director: Scott Beal

300 North Gay Street, Baltimore, MD 21202 (ph) 443-263-8871; 6502 Reisterstown Rd, Baltimore, MD 21215 (ph) 410-362-4400;
530 N. Hilton Street, Baltimore, MD 21229 (ph) 410-585-2100

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	10.0%	8.2%	6.7%
Citizen	6.8%	7.4%	5.0%
Police*	75.4%	78.2%	80.5%
Violation of Probation**	7.8%	6.3%	7.7%
Total Complaints	1,782	1,384	1,012

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	15.9%	17.7%	13.2%
Informed	5.9%	8.1%	5.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	78.1%	74.2%	80.9%
Total Complaints	1,782	1,384	1,012

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	8.6%	8.7%	5.4%
Stet	0.4%	0.3%	0.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	41.8%	49.4%	40.4%
Pending Disposition*	8.5%	10.8%	28.4%
Services Not Ordered	2.7%	1.9%	1.0%
Probation	19.3%	16.9%	14.4%
Committed to DJS	17.9%	11.7%	9.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Other**	0.7%	0.3%	0.4%
Total Formal Complaints	1,392	1,027	819

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	695.8	583.8	468.6
Pre-Court	31.5	31.5	19.3
Probation	508.3	393.4	314.7
Aftercare	156.1	158.9	134.6
Committed-Aftercare	97.1	88.2	60.0

* Average daily active cases

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:*
 - Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Baltimore City population (ages 11-17) decreased 4.8% (from 48,267 to 45,965).
 - Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):
 - 80.9% of complaints were formaled, while 5.8% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):*
 - Total complaints decreased 43.2%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 78.1% to 80.9%.
 - *Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 53.8% were High, 27.4% were Moderate, and 18.7% were Low.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 21.3 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 7.9 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 61.2 days.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 29.9% to 37.4%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 27.1% to 25.0%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 42.1% to 36.6%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 18.9% (47) to 16.0% (13).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	94.1%	94.4%	95.6%
White	4.0%	3.3%	2.4%
Hispanic/Other	2.0%	2.4%	2.1%
Sex			
Male	83.9%	80.5%	83.7%
Female	16.1%	19.5%	16.3%
Age			
11 and under	2.0%	1.9%	2.4%
12	2.0%	4.1%	3.5%
13	5.7%	9.5%	7.0%
14	11.6%	12.6%	14.8%
15	21.5%	22.1%	24.5%
16	25.9%	22.0%	20.8%
17	27.1%	24.1%	23.7%
18-20	4.2%	3.6%	3.3%
Total Complaints	1,782	1,384	1,012

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	50.0%	28.6%	62.0%
Assault (Felony)	4.1%	0.0%	0.9%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	14.2%	7.8%	6.5%
Carjacking	4.6%	1.3%	13.0%
Child Abuse	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	1.9%	0.9%
Handgun Violation	3.9%	9.7%	16.7%
Harassment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	3.1%	1.3%	1.9%
Robbery	14.8%	5.2%	19.4%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.0%	0.0%	2.8%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	1.3%	0.0%
Property Offenses	31.5%	28.6%	9.3%
Arson	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	9.2%	1.3%	0.9%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.1%	0.0%	3.7%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.7%	0.6%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	4.4%	1.9%	1.9%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.6%	23.4%	2.8%
Drug-Related Offenses	8.6%	7.8%	3.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	5.0%	2.6%	0.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.6%	5.2%	2.8%
Citations	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	1.4%	0.6%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.7%	33.8%	25.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	4.2%	30.5%	17.6%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	1.9%	5.6%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.9%	1.3%	1.9%
Total Offenses	2,130	154	108

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	37.4%	9.3%	42.0%
Felony	25.0%	34.7%	27.2%
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	1.7%	2.5%
- Property	14.0%	4.2%	2.5%
- Drugs	9.5%	3.4%	1.2%
- Unspecified	0.7%	25.4%	21.0%
Misdemeanor	36.6%	54.2%	30.9%
- Person-to-Person	22.4%	22.9%	23.5%
- Property	10.0%	24.6%	2.5%
- Drugs	2.7%	5.9%	3.7%
- Unspecified	1.5%	0.8%	1.2%
Ordinance Offenses	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%
Citations	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,012</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>81</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>6.8%</i>	<i>8.9%</i>	<i>16.0%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region II-Central Summary

9700 Old Harford Road, Bldg 22, Parkville, MD 21031 (ph) 410-663-7695

Regional Director: Matthew Fonseca
Counties of Baltimore, Carroll, Harford, and Howard

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	1.2%	1.3%	1.7%
Citizen	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
Police*	93.6%	94.5%	94.7%
Violation of Probation**	5.0%	3.9%	3.4%
Total Complaints	5,426	4,924	4,397

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALIZED CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.0%	48.6%	50.1%
Informed	11.5%	10.5%	9.1%
Authorized Formal Petition	48.5%	40.9%	40.8%
Total Complaints	5,426	4,924	4,397

Court Action for Formalized Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	6.8%	4.3%	9.4%
Stet	14.6%	13.9%	14.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.9%	1.3%	0.3%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	28.0%	31.5%	18.9%
Pending Disposition*	4.3%	4.7%	18.8%
Services Not Ordered	1.1%	1.2%	0.9%
Probation	32.3%	32.9%	28.3%
Committed to DJS	10.6%	9.1%	7.4%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	1.3%	1.1%	1.3%
Total Formal Complaints	2,631	2,015	1,795

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	773.6	687.1	557.7
Pre-Court	155.4	133.6	124.1
Probation	516.3	446.3	340.9
Aftercare	102.0	107.2	92.7
Committed-Aftercare	70.1	64.9	46.3

* Average daily active cases

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:*
 - Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Central Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.4% (from 142,058 to 141,463).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):*
 - 40.8% of complaints were formalized, while 9.1% were informalized.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):*
 - Total complaints decreased 19.0%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 48.5% to 40.8%.
 - *Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 46.5% were High, 27.9% were Moderate, and 25.6% were Low.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 30.2 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.0 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 87.7 days.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 10.3% to 13.2%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 9.6% to 9.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 75.7% to 75.1%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 33.9% (95) to 27.3% (36).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	68.8%	71.9%	70.0%
White	26.6%	23.0%	24.5%
Hispanic/Other	4.6%	5.1%	5.5%
Sex			
Male	72.4%	69.5%	70.6%
Female	27.6%	30.5%	29.4%
Age			
11 and under	3.6%	3.5%	4.1%
12	5.1%	4.8%	5.5%
13	9.6%	10.6%	9.4%
14	13.4%	12.9%	15.1%
15	19.2%	20.6%	18.7%
16	21.7%	21.3%	23.1%
17	24.7%	24.1%	21.5%
18-20	2.7%	2.3%	2.6%
Total Complaints	5,426	4,924	4,397

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	41.7%	31.3%	54.2%
Assault (Felony)	1.7%	0.9%	1.8%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	20.9%	15.8%	21.7%
Carjacking	0.7%	1.2%	7.2%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	1.9%	2.4%
Handgun Violation	0.8%	1.1%	1.2%
Harassment	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	1.8%
Other Person ⁺	9.3%	4.6%	1.2%
Robbery	4.7%	3.9%	14.5%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.0%	1.1%	2.4%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.7%	0.0%
Property Offenses	46.3%	55.7%	35.5%
Arson	0.6%	0.1%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.6%	1.7%	1.2%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.4%	7.2%	9.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	5.6%	17.3%	3.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.7%	5.0%	5.4%
Other Property ⁺	5.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Theft (Felony)	2.8%	1.6%	1.2%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	17.1%	20.5%	14.5%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.7%	2.8%	1.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.2%	0.9%	0.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.5%	1.9%	0.6%
Citations	2.7%	2.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.3%	8.1%	9.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	2.0%	3.6%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.8%	1.9%	1.8%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.0%	3.9%	3.6%
Total Offenses	7,095	745	166

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	13.2%	16.7%	37.1%
Felony	9.2%	8.9%	6.1%
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	1.0%	1.5%
- Property	6.5%	4.7%	2.3%
- Drugs	1.7%	1.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.1%	1.8%	2.3%
Misdemeanor	75.1%	71.9%	56.8%
- Person-to-Person	39.3%	31.5%	28.8%
- Property	33.6%	35.0%	24.2%
- Drugs	0.8%	2.8%	0.8%
- Unspecified	1.5%	2.6%	3.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Citations	2.3%	2.4%	0.0%
CINS	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>4,397</i>	<i>508</i>	<i>132</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>29.5%</i>	<i>38.4%</i>	<i>26.1%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Baltimore County

431 Eastern Blvd., Ste. 100, Baltimore, MD 21221 (ph) 410-780-1200; 1 Rolling Cross Road, Catonsville, MD 21228 (ph) 410-341-1200; 9700 Old Harford Road, Bldg 22, Parkville, MD 21031 (ph) 410-663-7695

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	1.7%	1.5%	2.0%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	92.9%	94.3%	94.2%
Violation of Probation**	5.4%	4.2%	3.8%
Total Complaints	3,560	3,470	3,117

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	38.1%	49.5%	52.2%
Informed	9.3%	7.2%	5.7%
Authorized Formal Petition	52.6%	43.3%	42.2%
Total Complaints	3,560	3,470	3,117

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	5.1%	3.9%	9.5%
Stet	19.5%	17.7%	15.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	26.2%	30.2%	18.4%
Pending Disposition*	4.2%	4.2%	19.3%
Services Not Ordered	1.5%	1.7%	1.2%
Probation	33.3%	34.6%	29.2%
Committed to DJS	8.5%	6.1%	6.2%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.7%	0.5%	0.4%
Total Formal Complaints	1,871	1,502	1,314

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	469.5	406.4	339.2
Pre-Court	80.5	64.1	63.8
Probation	338.3	292.2	236.6
Aftercare	50.8	50.2	38.8
Committed-Aftercare	32.9	28.3	19.8

* Average daily active cases

• U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Baltimore County population (ages 11-17) increased 1.4% (from 71,011 to 71,971).

• Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 42.2% of complaints were formaled, while 5.7% were informed.

• Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints decreased 12.4%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 52.6% to 42.2%.
- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 46.3% were High, 27.4% were Moderate, and 26.3% were Low.

• Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 28.1 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.0 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 84.4 days.

• Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 11.6% to 14.4%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 10.7% to 9.8%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 75.4% to 74.5%.

• Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 34.0% (54) to 24.7% (20).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	76.4%	78.2%	75.6%
White	19.6%	17.4%	19.6%
Hispanic/Other	4.0%	4.4%	4.8%
Sex			
Male	72.6%	70.1%	69.1%
Female	27.4%	29.9%	30.9%
Age			
11 and under	4.2%	3.0%	3.9%
12	5.2%	4.6%	5.8%
13	10.0%	10.8%	10.2%
14	13.6%	12.6%	15.0%
15	19.5%	21.6%	19.4%
16	21.5%	21.4%	22.7%
17	23.0%	23.8%	20.3%
18-20	2.9%	2.2%	2.8%
Total Complaints	3,560	3,470	3,117

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	42.0%	29.4%	50.5%
Assault (Felony)	1.9%	1.2%	2.9%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	20.2%	14.5%	8.7%
Carjacking	1.0%	1.6%	11.7%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	1.1%	1.9%
Handgun Violation	0.9%	1.1%	1.9%
Harassment	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	9.5%	4.6%	1.9%
Robbery	5.3%	4.2%	20.4%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.6%	0.5%	1.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%
Property Offenses	48.0%	60.2%	44.7%
Arson	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	4.2%	1.6%	1.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.5%	8.8%	11.7%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	5.8%	19.6%	2.9%
Malicious Destruction	7.5%	3.9%	7.8%
Other Property ⁺	5.3%	1.6%	1.9%
Theft (Felony)	3.2%	2.1%	1.9%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	18.1%	22.6%	17.5%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.7%	2.5%	1.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.3%	0.7%	1.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.4%	1.8%	0.0%
Citations	1.5%	2.1%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.6%	0.2%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.5%	5.6%	3.9%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	1.6%	1.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.1%	1.6%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.9%	2.3%	1.9%
Total Offenses	5,156	571	103


¹ Alleged offenses
² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.
⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.
^{**} Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020

Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	14.4%	19.8%	49.4%
Felony	9.8%	7.6%	4.9%
- Person-to-Person	0.5%	0.3%	2.5%
- Property	7.3%	5.2%	2.5%
- Drugs	1.9%	1.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	74.5%	69.8%	45.7%
- Person-to-Person	37.8%	30.7%	14.8%
- Property	34.7%	35.2%	30.9%
- Drugs	0.7%	2.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Citations	1.2%	2.6%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>3,117</i>	<i>384</i>	<i>81</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>20.9%</i>	<i>29.0%</i>	<i>16.0%</i>


* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.
¹ Alleged offenses
² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Carroll County

101 N. Court St., Westminster, MD 21157 (ph) 410-871-3600

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	0.0%	1.6%
Citizen	1.6%	2.7%	1.9%
Police*	95.1%	94.6%	95.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.0%	2.7%	1.6%
Total Complaints	366	259	317

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES⁺, FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	26.8%	29.0%	34.7%
Informed	38.0%	49.0%	36.0%
Authorized Formal Petition	35.2%	22.0%	29.3%
Total Complaints	366	259	317

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	13.2%	10.5%	9.7%
Stet	5.4%	3.5%	12.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	3.5%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	26.4%	22.8%	14.0%
Pending Disposition*	2.3%	3.5%	24.7%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	35.7%	33.3%	29.0%
Committed to DJS	17.1%	22.8%	9.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	129	57	93

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	63.0	60.3	45.8
Pre-Court	31.4	33.3	28.3
Probation	22.5	18.2	12.7
Aftercare	9.2	8.8	4.7
Committed-Aftercare	7.7	4.3	2.6

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Carroll County population (ages 11-17) decreased 9.4% (from 16,446 to 14,902).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 29.3% of complaints were formaled, while 36.0% were informed.

Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints decreased 13.4%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 35.2% to 29.3%.
- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 53.8% were High, 15.4% were Moderate, and 30.8% were Low.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 26.9 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 82.9 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 3.9% to 5.7%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 6.2% to 6.9%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 71.4% to 81.1%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 31.8% (7) to 11.1% (1).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	17.5%	11.6%	14.5%
White	77.9%	82.6%	79.2%
Hispanic/Other	4.6%	5.8%	6.3%
Sex			
Male	79.0%	70.7%	69.1%
Female	21.0%	29.3%	30.9%
Age			
11 and under	1.4%	5.4%	5.7%
12	6.0%	2.7%	4.7%
13	9.6%	8.9%	6.3%
14	12.3%	15.4%	14.8%
15	16.7%	19.3%	18.0%
16	22.4%	21.6%	27.4%
17	29.2%	25.1%	21.1%
18-20	2.5%	1.5%	1.9%
Total Complaints	366	259	317

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	36.0%	26.5%	50.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.9%	11.8%	10.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	0.0%	10.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	2.9%	0.0%
Harassment	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	1.0%	0.0%	30.0%
Other Person ⁺	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.5%	11.8%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	39.5%	38.2%	20.0%
Arson	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.9%	5.9%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.3%	0.0%	10.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	12.8%	14.7%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	6.6%	5.9%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.5%	11.8%	10.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.9%	8.8%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.3%	8.8%	0.0%
Citations	6.8%	5.9%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.5%	5.9%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.4%	20.6%	30.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	2.9%	10.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.9%	2.9%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.8%	14.7%	20.0%
Total Offenses	483	34	10

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	5.7%	0.0%	44.4%
Felony	6.9%	18.5%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	11.1%	0.0%
- Property	4.4%	7.4%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	81.1%	77.8%	55.6%
- Person-to-Person	42.0%	22.2%	22.2%
- Property	34.1%	25.9%	11.1%
- Drugs	1.9%	11.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.2%	18.5%	22.2%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.1%	3.7%	0.0%
CINS	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>317</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.1%</i>	<i>2.0%</i>	<i>1.8%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Harford County

2 South Bond Street, Bel Air, MD 21014 (ph) 410-836-4680

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	1.4%	0.4%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	95.1%	94.5%	96.5%
Violation of Probation**	4.9%	4.1%	3.1%
Total Complaints	823	583	455

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES⁺, FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	57.7%	56.3%	55.2%
Informaled	2.8%	9.6%	11.0%
Authorized Formal Petition	39.5%	34.1%	33.8%
Total Complaints	823	583	455

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	9.5%	4.0%	6.5%
Stet	2.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.2%	1.0%	1.9%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	24.9%	32.2%	16.2%
Pending Disposition*	4.3%	5.5%	21.4%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	32.9%	29.6%	32.5%
Committed to DJS	18.2%	20.1%	10.4%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	6.8%	7.0%	11.0%
Total Formal Complaints	325	199	154

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	115.1	108.2	86.2
Pre-Court	9.8	13.0	12.9
Probation	87.8	73.9	48.2
Aftercare	17.5	21.2	25.1
Committed-Aftercare	14.1	21.2	15.8

* Average daily active cases

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:*
 - Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Harford County population (ages 11-17) decreased 2.3% (from 23,384 to 22,847).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):*
 - 33.8% of complaints were formalized, while 11.0% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):*
 - Total complaints decreased 44.7%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 39.5% to 33.8%.
 - *Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 19.4% were High, 41.9% were Moderate, and 38.7% were Low.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 56.5 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.0 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 88.4 days.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 6.3% to 7.0%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 6.3% to 10.1%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 78.4% to 75.8%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 30.5% (18) to 43.8% (7).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	58.2%	57.6%	62.2%
White	38.5%	39.1%	34.1%
Hispanic/Other	3.3%	3.3%	3.7%
Sex			
Male	69.1%	66.7%	70.3%
Female	30.9%	33.3%	29.7%
Age			
11 and under	2.6%	6.5%	4.0%
12	6.3%	7.5%	5.1%
13	8.6%	11.7%	10.5%
14	13.1%	8.9%	16.5%
15	18.3%	17.0%	16.9%
16	22.4%	20.2%	19.8%
17	26.9%	24.9%	23.7%
18-20	1.8%	3.3%	3.5%
Total Complaints	823	583	455

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	56.9%	42.0%	66.7%
Assault (Felony)	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	33.4%	22.7%	52.4%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	9.1%	4.8%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	1.1%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	11.8%	5.7%	0.0%
Robbery	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	4.2%	1.1%	9.5%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	2.9%	2.3%	0.0%
Property Offenses	28.0%	36.4%	14.3%
Arson	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.2%	1.1%	4.8%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.9%	3.4%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.0%	8.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.4%	9.1%	4.8%
Other Property ⁺	5.5%	5.7%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	6.9%	9.1%	4.8%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.1%	4.5%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	3.4%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Citations	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.7%	17.0%	19.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.0%	3.4%	14.3%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.8%	12.5%	4.8%
Total Offenses	578	88	21

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	7.0%	6.0%	6.2%
Felony	10.1%	12.0%	6.2%
- Person-to-Person	3.3%	2.0%	0.0%
- Property	5.5%	2.0%	6.2%
- Drugs	1.3%	6.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	75.8%	82.0%	87.5%
- Person-to-Person	54.7%	50.0%	68.8%
- Property	18.2%	26.0%	12.5%
- Drugs	0.7%	2.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.2%	4.0%	6.2%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>455</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.1%</i>	<i>3.8%</i>	<i>3.2%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Howard County

3451 Court House Dr., Ellicott City, MD 21043 (ph) 410-480-7878

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.7%	0.3%	0.8%
Citizen	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Police*	94.4%	95.6%	96.1%
Violation of Probation**	3.8%	2.8%	2.4%
Total Complaints	677	612	508

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALIZED CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	35.6%	44.0%	42.5%
Informed	19.2%	14.1%	11.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	45.2%	42.0%	46.1%
Total Complaints	677	612	508

Court Action for Formalized Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	11.1%	5.8%	10.3%
Stet	2.0%	4.3%	19.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.7%	1.9%	1.3%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	42.5%	40.9%	25.2%
Pending Disposition*	6.2%	7.0%	11.5%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	24.5%	24.9%	20.1%
Committed to DJS	13.1%	14.8%	11.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.4%	0.9%
Total Formal Complaints	306	257	234

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	126.0	112.1	86.6
Pre-Court	33.8	23.1	19.1
Probation	67.7	62.0	43.3
Aftercare	24.5	27.0	24.2
Committed-Aftercare	15.3	11.1	8.1

* Average daily active cases

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:*
 - Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Howard County population (ages 11-17) increased 1.7% (from 31,217 to 31,743).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):*
 - 46.1% of complaints were formalized, while 11.4% were informalized.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):*
 - Total complaints decreased 25.0%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 45.2% to 46.1%.
 - *Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 66.7% were High, 23.1% were Moderate, and 10.3% were Low.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 21.5 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.0 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 106.5 days.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 9.3% to 15.7%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 7.7% to 6.1%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 76.5% to 74.6%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 40.0% (16) to 30.8% (8).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	69.1%	75.8%	77.0%
White	21.3%	14.1%	12.2%
Hispanic/Other	9.6%	10.1%	10.8%
Sex			
Male	71.2%	68.3%	80.5%
Female	28.8%	31.7%	19.5%
Age			
11 and under	3.0%	2.6%	4.9%
12	3.1%	3.9%	4.7%
13	8.4%	9.0%	5.7%
14	13.1%	17.6%	14.8%
15	20.2%	18.8%	16.3%
16	21.1%	21.7%	25.8%
17	28.5%	24.3%	27.2%
18-20	2.5%	2.0%	0.6%
Total Complaints	677	612	508

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	32.9%	36.5%	59.4%
Assault (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	16.3%	21.2%	46.9%
Carjacking	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	7.9%	5.8%	0.0%
Robbery	6.2%	9.6%	9.4%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	3.1%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	52.2%	50.0%	25.0%
Arson	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.6%	1.9%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.5%	1.9%	6.2%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	8.2%	19.2%	6.2%
Malicious Destruction	7.1%	3.8%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	22.1%	23.1%	12.5%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.3%	0.0%	3.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.3%	0.0%	3.1%
Citations	5.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.8%	1.9%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.4%	11.5%	12.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	9.6%	9.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.9%	0.0%	3.1%
Total Offenses	878	52	32

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	15.7%	12.8%	15.4%
Felony	6.1%	10.6%	11.5%
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.3%	2.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	8.5%	11.5%
Misdemeanor	74.6%	74.5%	73.1%
- Person-to-Person	33.3%	23.4%	50.0%
- Property	40.2%	48.9%	15.4%
- Drugs	0.4%	0.0%	3.8%
- Unspecified	0.8%	2.1%	3.8%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	3.5%	2.1%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>508</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>26</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.4%</i>	<i>3.6%</i>	<i>5.1%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region III-Western Summary

1 James Day Drive, Cumberland, MD 21502 (ph) 301-722-1600

Regional Director: Derek Getic
Counties of Allegany, Frederick, Garrett, and Washington

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	1.0%	0.4%	0.3%
Citizen	4.5%	2.5%	3.6%
Police*	85.2%	90.7%	90.8%
Violation of Probation**	9.3%	6.4%	5.3%
Total Complaints	1,970	2,282	1,701

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES⁺, FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	33.0%	37.5%	42.3%
Informaled	18.2%	22.7%	21.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	48.8%	39.8%	36.0%
Total Complaints	1,970	2,282	1,701

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	16.6%	23.2%	24.5%
Stet	5.3%	7.6%	5.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.2%	0.6%	0.7%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	22.3%	21.7%	25.3%
Pending Disposition*	2.3%	2.2%	4.4%
Services Not Ordered	0.5%	1.2%	0.0%
Probation	33.4%	28.3%	28.8%
Committed to DJS	17.7%	15.1%	10.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Other**	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%
Total Formal Complaints	962	908	612

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.
* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG
** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	296.4	297.5	254.6
Pre-Court	89.4	111.8	96.9
Probation	144.6	146.2	124.0
Aftercare	62.3	39.5	33.7
Committed-Aftercare	40.4	36.1	34.1

* Average daily active cases

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:*
 - Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Western Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.4% (from 45,061 to 44,871).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):*
 - 36.0% of complaints were formalized, while 21.8% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):*
 - Total complaints decreased 13.7%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 48.8% to 36.0%.
 - *Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 26.5% were High, 34.2% were Moderate, and 39.3% were Low.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 21.2 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.4 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 71.8 days.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 4.6% to 5.3%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 5.1% to 5.6%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 67.6% to 75.2%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 42.9% (73) to 26.6% (17).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	44.6%	40.1%	39.8%
White	49.0%	52.6%	53.6%
Hispanic/Other	6.4%	7.2%	6.6%
Sex			
Male	72.5%	68.8%	68.2%
Female	27.5%	31.2%	31.8%
Age			
11 and under	4.2%	4.2%	7.3%
12	4.7%	6.6%	6.5%
13	10.5%	11.1%	10.3%
14	13.6%	14.5%	15.2%
15	18.8%	17.8%	18.5%
16	22.5%	22.1%	19.0%
17	23.6%	21.8%	20.3%
18-20	2.3%	1.8%	2.9%
Total Complaints	1,970	2,282	1,701

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	44.7%	32.9%	42.4%
Assault (Felony)	0.8%	0.0%	3.5%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	22.7%	18.2%	18.8%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	1.3%	1.2%
Harassment	0.7%	0.9%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	16.7%	8.0%	14.1%
Robbery	0.7%	1.8%	1.2%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	1.8%	2.4%
Property Offenses	30.8%	37.3%	42.4%
Arson	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.5%	3.1%	2.4%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.7%	4.9%	3.5%
Malicious Destruction	7.6%	8.9%	7.1%
Other Property ⁺	4.1%	2.2%	3.5%
Theft (Felony)	1.3%	1.3%	3.5%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.3%	15.1%	22.4%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.2%	1.8%	3.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.4%	1.3%	3.5%
Citations	7.1%	3.6%	3.5%
Alcohol Violation	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.4%	3.6%	3.5%
Vaporizer Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.9%	24.4%	8.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.6%	10.7%	5.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.1%	6.7%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.7%	7.1%	2.4%
Total Offenses	2,677	225	85

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	5.3%	3.4%	3.1%
Felony	5.6%	10.2%	14.1%
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	0.6%	4.7%
- Property	3.8%	5.7%	7.8%
- Drugs	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	3.4%	1.6%
Misdemeanor	75.2%	83.5%	82.8%
- Person-to-Person	48.9%	42.6%	32.8%
- Property	22.0%	30.7%	37.5%
- Drugs	2.5%	1.7%	4.7%
- Unspecified	1.8%	8.5%	7.8%
Ordinance Offenses	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	6.3%	2.8%	0.0%
CINS	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,701</i>	<i>176</i>	<i>64</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>11.4%</i>	<i>13.3%</i>	<i>12.7%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Allegany County

1 James Day Dr., Cumberland, MD 21502 (ph) 301-722-1663

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	2.6%	1.3%	0.9%
Police*	96.2%	96.5%	97.4%
Violation of Probation**	1.2%	2.3%	1.7%
Total Complaints	421	399	468

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES⁺, FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	48.9%	56.6%	52.8%
Informaled	15.7%	14.8%	15.0%
Authorized Formal Petition	35.4%	28.6%	32.3%
Total Complaints	421	399	468

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	13.4%	14.0%	16.6%
Stet	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	34.9%	34.2%	28.5%
Pending Disposition*	0.7%	0.9%	0.7%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	27.5%	28.9%	37.1%
Committed to DJS	20.8%	21.9%	15.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	2.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Total Formal Complaints	149	114	151

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	71.6	58.6	62.2
Pre-Court	14.1	8.1	16.7
Probation	44.2	42.3	36.0
Aftercare	13.3	8.1	9.6
Committed-Aftercare	7.4	11.1	11.4

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Allegany County population (ages 11-17) decreased 4.7% (from 5,859 to 5,583).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 32.3% of complaints were formalized, while 15.0% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints increased 11.2%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 35.4% to 32.3%.
- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 24.2% were High, 33.3% were Moderate, and 42.4% were Low.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 9.6 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.0 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 56.9 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 1.0% to 7.3%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 7.3% to 6.4%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 65.9% to 71.2%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 12.9% (4) to 25.0% (6).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	21.1%	32.1%	30.8%
White	76.5%	63.4%	66.5%
Hispanic/Other	2.4%	4.5%	2.8%
Sex			
Male	73.9%	71.2%	66.9%
Female	26.1%	28.8%	33.1%
Age			
11 and under	5.9%	4.0%	8.8%
12	6.9%	6.5%	5.6%
13	12.4%	15.3%	11.3%
14	13.8%	14.8%	20.9%
15	19.0%	15.8%	19.2%
16	20.7%	25.8%	16.2%
17	21.1%	17.5%	17.1%
18-20	0.2%	0.3%	0.9%
Total Complaints	421	399	468

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	38.2%	27.5%	41.4%
Assault (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	6.9%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.9%	15.9%	17.2%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	1.4%	0.0%
Harassment	0.5%	1.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	12.4%	2.9%	13.8%
Robbery	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.6%	5.8%	3.4%
Property Offenses	33.9%	46.4%	37.9%
Arson	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.3%	7.2%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.1%	7.2%	10.3%
Malicious Destruction	8.5%	14.5%	6.9%
Other Property ⁺	5.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	8.7%	15.9%	20.7%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.6%	2.9%	6.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.8%	2.9%	6.9%
Citations	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.0%	23.2%	13.8%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.8%	13.0%	13.8%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	7.4%	10.1%	0.0%
Total Offenses	772	69	29

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	6.4%	10.7%	8.3%
- Person-to-Person	0.6%	0.0%	8.3%
- Property	4.5%	8.9%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	71.2%	89.3%	91.7%
- Person-to-Person	43.8%	30.4%	33.3%
- Property	23.1%	42.9%	33.3%
- Drugs	3.0%	3.6%	8.3%
- Unspecified	1.3%	12.5%	16.7%
Ordinance Offenses	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>468</i>	<i>56</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.1%</i>	<i>4.2%</i>	<i>4.8%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Frederick County

801 N. East St., Suite 3, Frederick, MD 21701 (ph) 240-629-3030

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	2.0%	0.5%	0.8%
Citizen	1.8%	0.8%	1.4%
Police*	86.7%	90.4%	91.2%
Violation of Probation**	9.5%	8.3%	6.6%
Total Complaints	610	757	513

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	37.2%	39.9%	40.4%
Informed	14.6%	20.3%	21.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	48.2%	39.8%	37.8%
Total Complaints	610	757	513

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	6.1%	14.0%	14.9%
Stet	11.9%	13.6%	8.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.4%	1.3%	2.1%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	21.1%	17.3%	21.6%
Pending Disposition*	1.7%	2.0%	8.2%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	37.8%	34.2%	35.6%
Committed to DJS	20.1%	17.6%	8.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	294	301	194

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	97.5	103.0	89.5
Pre-Court	26.1	35.2	32.4
Probation	50.4	52.6	47.8
Aftercare	21.0	15.2	9.4
Committed-Aftercare	16.4	14.0	13.8

* Average daily active cases

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Frederick County population (ages 11-17) increased 2.0% (from 23,769 to 24,245).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 37.8% of complaints were formaled, while 21.8% were informed.

Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints decreased 15.9%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 48.2% to 37.8%.
- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 23.7% were High, 31.6% were Moderate, and 44.7% were Low.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 34.5 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.2 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 81.4 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 5.3% to 6.8%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 6.2% to 7.4%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 62.6% to 68.8%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 33.9% (20) to 17.6% (3).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	52.1%	41.7%	44.2%
White	37.2%	44.9%	41.9%
Hispanic/Other	10.7%	13.3%	13.8%
Sex			
Male	73.6%	70.3%	70.6%
Female	26.4%	29.7%	29.4%
Age			
11 and under	1.3%	1.7%	3.1%
12	1.5%	4.4%	3.3%
13	7.0%	5.7%	5.8%
14	8.9%	10.4%	8.8%
15	19.3%	19.8%	17.7%
16	26.2%	26.6%	25.7%
17	31.5%	27.7%	29.4%
18-20	4.3%	3.7%	6.0%
Total Complaints	610	757	513

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	40.7%	38.4%	26.9%
Assault (Felony)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	19.1%	17.4%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	1.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	1.2%	0.0%
Harassment	0.6%	1.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	14.9%	12.8%	19.2%
Robbery	1.5%	4.7%	3.8%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	33.4%	26.7%	50.0%
Arson	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.9%	1.2%	7.7%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.6%	1.2%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.9%	5.8%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.4%	1.2%	15.4%
Other Property ⁺	4.7%	2.3%	11.5%
Theft (Felony)	2.1%	2.3%	11.5%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.5%	11.6%	3.8%
Drug-Related Offenses	5.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.8%	1.2%	0.0%
Citations	7.4%	2.3%	11.5%
Alcohol Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	3.0%	2.3%	11.5%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.2%	30.2%	11.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.6%	15.1%	3.8%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.7%	15.1%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.9%	0.0%	7.7%
Total Offenses	794	86	26

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	6.8%	7.2%	5.9%
Felony	7.4%	13.0%	41.2%
- Person-to-Person	0.6%	0.0%	5.9%
- Property	5.3%	5.8%	29.4%
- Drugs	1.2%	1.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	5.8%	5.9%
Misdemeanor	68.8%	79.7%	52.9%
- Person-to-Person	40.5%	56.5%	17.6%
- Property	23.4%	18.8%	29.4%
- Drugs	3.9%	1.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	2.9%	5.9%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	9.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>69</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.4%</i>	<i>5.2%</i>	<i>3.4%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Garrett County

7000 Thayer Center, Oakland, MD 21550 (ph) 301-334-8608

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	18.3%	5.4%	7.7%
Police*	74.5%	94.1%	91.5%
Violation of Probation**	7.2%	0.5%	0.9%
Total Complaints	153	222	117

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES⁺, FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	37.3%	41.9%	59.8%
Informaled	32.0%	41.4%	25.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	30.7%	16.7%	14.5%
Total Complaints	153	222	117

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	14.9%	27.0%	23.5%
Stet	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	4.3%	10.8%	11.8%
Pending Disposition*	6.4%	5.4%	0.0%
Services Not Ordered	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	55.3%	45.9%	41.2%
Committed to DJS	17.0%	10.8%	17.6%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%
Total Formal Complaints	47	37	17

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	38.7	43.9	29.9
Pre-Court	14.2	19.4	8.5
Probation	18.3	19.3	17.8
Aftercare	6.2	5.2	3.6
Committed-Aftercare	1.5	1.7	2.4

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Garrett County population (ages 11-17) decreased 9.0% (from 2,370 to 2,157).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 14.5% of complaints were formalized, while 25.6% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints decreased 23.5%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 30.7% to 14.5%.
- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 0.0% were High, 0.0% were Moderate, and 100.0% were Low.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 15.4 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.2 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 34.8 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 4.5% to 1.7%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 3.2% to 8.5%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 40.5% to 65.8%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 12.5% (1) to 0.0% (0).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	15.0%	11.3%	15.4%
White	81.7%	86.9%	82.9%
Hispanic/Other	3.3%	1.8%	1.7%
Sex			
Male	69.3%	59.9%	75.2%
Female	30.7%	40.1%	24.8%
Age			
11 and under	6.5%	4.5%	5.1%
12	3.3%	5.4%	5.1%
13	15.7%	13.1%	12.0%
14	9.8%	20.3%	8.5%
15	22.2%	14.0%	21.4%
16	26.8%	22.1%	19.7%
17	15.7%	20.7%	27.4%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
Total Complaints	153	222	117

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	49.0%	71.4%	50.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.3%	0.0%	25.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	24.5%	57.1%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	11.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.6%	14.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	5.2%	0.0%	25.0%
Property Offenses	16.1%	14.3%	25.0%
Arson	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	3.9%	14.3%	25.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.5%	0.0%	25.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.6%	0.0%	25.0%
Citations	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	11.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.7%	14.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.2%	14.3%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	155	7	4

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	1.7%	0.0%	33.3%
Felony	8.5%	14.3%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	4.3%	14.3%	0.0%
- Property	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	65.8%	85.7%	66.7%
- Person-to-Person	48.7%	71.4%	0.0%
- Property	13.7%	14.3%	33.3%
- Drugs	2.6%	0.0%	33.3%
- Unspecified	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	14.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>117</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Washington County

44 N. Potomac St., Suite 300, Hagerstown, MD 21740 (ph) 301-791-7171

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.9%	0.6%	0.2%
Citizen	5.0%	3.9%	6.8%
Police*	80.2%	87.5%	85.1%
Violation of Probation**	14.0%	8.1%	8.0%
Total Complaints	786	904	603

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALIZED CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	20.4%	26.0%	32.3%
Informaled	19.6%	23.6%	26.2%
Authorized Formal Petition	60.1%	50.4%	41.5%
Total Complaints	786	904	603

Court Action for Formalized Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	24.4%	31.4%	36.8%
Stet	3.4%	6.1%	6.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.7%	0.2%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	21.0%	22.4%	27.2%
Pending Disposition*	2.8%	2.4%	4.0%
Services Not Ordered	0.8%	2.4%	0.0%
Probation	30.3%	22.8%	17.6%
Committed to DJS	15.3%	12.1%	8.0%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Other**	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	472	456	250

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	88.5	92.0	72.9
Pre-Court	34.9	49.0	39.3
Probation	31.7	32.0	22.5
Aftercare	21.9	11.0	11.1
Committed-Aftercare	15.2	9.4	6.5

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Washington County population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.4% (from 13,064 to 12,886).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 41.5% of complaints were formalized, while 26.2% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints decreased 23.3%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 60.1% to 41.5%.
- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 31.8% were High, 38.6% were Moderate, and 29.5% were Low.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 20.1 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.0 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 77.6 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 5.8% to 3.3%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 3.7% to 3.0%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 79.1% to 85.6%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 66.7% (48) to 40.0% (8).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	57.0%	49.4%	47.8%
White	37.2%	45.9%	47.9%
Hispanic/Other	5.9%	4.6%	4.3%
Sex			
Male	71.6%	68.7%	65.8%
Female	28.4%	31.3%	34.2%
Age			
11 and under	5.0%	6.3%	10.3%
12	6.2%	8.8%	10.1%
13	11.1%	13.4%	12.9%
14	17.8%	16.5%	17.6%
15	17.7%	17.9%	18.1%
16	19.7%	16.8%	15.3%
17	20.2%	18.9%	13.6%
18-20	2.3%	1.3%	2.2%
Total Complaints	786	904	603

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	52.5%	27.0%	57.7%
Assault (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	26.2%	17.5%	42.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	1.6%	3.8%
Harassment	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	22.5%	7.9%	11.5%
Robbery	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	28.5%	44.4%	42.3%
Arson	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	1.6%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.0%	3.2%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.9%	1.6%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.6%	14.3%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	2.8%	3.2%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.8%	1.6%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.0%	19.0%	42.3%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.4%	9.5%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.5%	9.5%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.1%	19.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.4%	3.2%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.5%	14.3%	0.0%
Total Offenses	956	63	26

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	3.3%	2.3%	0.0%
Felony	3.0%	4.5%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.2%	2.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	85.6%	81.8%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	59.9%	31.8%	50.0%
- Property	21.7%	36.4%	50.0%
- Drugs	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.0%	13.6%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.8%	11.4%	0.0%
CINS	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>603</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>4.0%</i>	<i>3.3%</i>	<i>4.0%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region IV-Eastern Shore Summary

301 Bay Street, Suite 201, Easton, MD 21601 (ph) 410-822-5010

Regional Director: Cory Fink

Counties of: Caroline, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Anne's, Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico, and Worcester

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.4%	0.5%	0.5%
Citizen	1.5%	1.1%	0.7%
Police*	96.0%	96.9%	96.5%
Violation of Probation**	2.1%	1.5%	2.3%
Total Complaints	2,857	3,146	2,572

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	52.5%	56.6%	60.8%
Informed	14.8%	14.7%	9.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	32.7%	28.7%	29.4%
Total Complaints	2,857	3,146	2,572

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	12.1%	14.8%	11.8%
Stet	14.9%	13.5%	13.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	2.7%	1.3%	1.3%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	25.6%	27.2%	26.3%
Pending Disposition*	4.6%	6.4%	21.0%
Services Not Ordered	1.4%	2.2%	1.2%
Probation	29.5%	25.1%	18.4%
Committed to DJS	8.6%	8.6%	5.3%
Committed to Other Agency	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%
Other**	0.4%	0.4%	1.1%
Total Formal Complaints	935	903	756

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	420.3	372.4	308.9
Pre-Court	103.1	112.2	74.6
Probation	250.2	210.5	184.4
Aftercare	67.0	49.7	50.0
Committed-Aftercare	30.8	36.2	28.6

* Average daily active cases

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:*
 - Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Eastern Shore Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.6% (from 40,229 to 39,980).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):*
 - 29.4% of complaints were formaled, while 9.8% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):*
 - Total complaints decreased 10.0%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 32.7% to 29.4%.
 - Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 44.0% were High, 28.9% were Moderate, and 27.0% were Low.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 27.9 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.4 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 76.1 days.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence did not change.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 5.1% to 4.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 64.8% to 73.7%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 27.5% (22) to 55.0% (22).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	52.5%	52.4%	58.2%
White	42.1%	42.6%	37.3%
Hispanic/Other	5.4%	5.0%	4.5%
Sex			
Male	71.6%	67.2%	66.3%
Female	28.4%	32.8%	33.7%
Age			
11 and under	7.1%	7.6%	9.1%
12	6.5%	7.6%	5.8%
13	8.9%	10.3%	11.2%
14	13.2%	13.5%	13.9%
15	16.1%	16.0%	15.8%
16	18.5%	17.5%	17.6%
17	26.6%	25.6%	24.7%
18-20	3.2%	2.0%	1.8%
Total Complaints	2,857	3,146	2,572

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	53.7%	46.7%	41.7%
Assault (Felony)	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	20.8%	22.2%	14.6%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%	4.2%	2.1%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Harassment	1.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	26.8%	14.4%	14.6%
Robbery	0.6%	0.0%	4.2%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.6%	3.0%	4.2%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	1.2%	2.1%
Property Offenses	24.6%	39.5%	39.6%
Arson	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.7%	4.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.8%	3.6%	6.2%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.9%	4.8%	14.6%
Malicious Destruction	5.7%	5.4%	6.2%
Other Property ⁺	3.9%	3.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.7%	0.6%	4.2%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	8.2%	16.8%	8.3%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.4%	3.6%	2.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.6%	1.2%	2.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.8%	2.4%	0.0%
Citations	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.8%	2.4%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.6%	7.8%	16.7%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.7%	1.2%	8.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%	3.6%	4.2%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.6%	1.8%	4.2%
Total Offenses	4,573	167	48

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	4.7%	3.6%	15.0%
Felony	4.2%	11.5%	7.5%
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	2.2%	2.5%
- Property	1.7%	5.8%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.0%	1.4%	2.5%
- Unspecified	0.1%	2.2%	2.5%
Misdemeanor	73.7%	84.9%	77.5%
- Person-to-Person	50.2%	51.8%	40.0%
- Property	20.2%	27.3%	27.5%
- Drugs	1.7%	2.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.6%	2.9%	10.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	12.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>2,572</i>	<i>139</i>	<i>40</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>17.2%</i>	<i>10.5%</i>	<i>7.9%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Caroline County

317 Carter Ave., Suite 105, Denton, MD 21629 (ph) 410-819-6556

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	1.2%	0.0%	1.1%
Police*	95.1%	98.1%	96.2%
Violation of Probation**	3.7%	1.9%	2.7%
Total Complaints	245	208	186

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES⁺, FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	59.2%	64.4%	66.1%
Informaled	10.2%	10.6%	5.9%
Authorized Formal Petition	30.6%	25.0%	28.0%
Total Complaints	245	208	186

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	13.3%	23.1%	1.9%
Stet	0.0%	1.9%	30.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	37.3%	32.7%	28.8%
Pending Disposition*	1.3%	5.8%	5.8%
Services Not Ordered	2.7%	1.9%	0.0%
Probation	38.7%	25.0%	23.1%
Committed to DJS	4.0%	7.7%	9.6%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	1.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	75	52	52

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	22.9	21.4	18.0
Pre-Court	5.4	5.9	3.6
Probation	13.4	12.5	11.6
Aftercare	4.1	3.0	2.9
Committed-Aftercare	1.9	2.0	1.7

* Average daily active cases

• U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

• Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Caroline County population (ages 11-17) increased 1.1% (from 3,026 to 3,058).

• Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

• 28.0% of complaints were formalized, while 5.9% were informaled.

• Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

• Total complaints decreased 24.1%.

• The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 30.6% to 28.0%.

• Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

• For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 58.3% were High, 33.3% were Moderate, and 8.3% were Low.

• Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

• Time from offense to intake referral date was 25.0 days.

• Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.3 days.

• Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 94.5 days.

• Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

• The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 2.9% to 4.3%.

• The percentage of Felonies decreased from 12.5% to 3.8%.

• The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 64.9% to 71.5%.

• Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

• The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 66.7% (2) to 40.0% (2).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	36.7%	38.9%	46.8%
White	58.4%	54.3%	48.9%
Hispanic/Other	4.9%	6.7%	4.3%
Sex			
Male	74.3%	77.4%	71.5%
Female	25.7%	22.6%	28.5%
Age			
11 and under	4.5%	7.2%	7.0%
12	6.1%	14.4%	5.4%
13	6.5%	13.9%	15.1%
14	18.0%	12.0%	20.4%
15	24.1%	13.5%	12.4%
16	20.0%	17.3%	18.3%
17	18.8%	19.2%	20.4%
18-20	2.0%	2.4%	1.1%
Total Complaints	245	208	186

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	46.4%	41.2%	12.5%
Assault (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	28.0%	41.2%	12.5%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	13.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	29.1%	58.8%	87.5%
Arson	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.4%	5.9%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.1%	11.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	6.6%	0.0%	50.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.6%	0.0%	12.5%
Other Property ⁺	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	6.2%	41.2%	25.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	289	17	8

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	4.3%	16.7%	0.0%
Felony	3.8%	8.3%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	3.2%	8.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	71.5%	75.0%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	53.2%	41.7%	20.0%
- Property	16.7%	33.3%	80.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>186</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.2%</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>1.0%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Cecil County

106 E. Main St., Suite 102, Elkton, MD 21921 (ph) 410-996-2800

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.9%	1.1%	0.6%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	98.3%	98.4%	98.2%
Violation of Probation**	0.9%	0.4%	1.2%
Total Complaints	350	445	330

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	56.0%	56.6%	51.5%
Informaled	8.3%	13.3%	14.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	35.7%	30.1%	33.9%
Total Complaints	350	445	330

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	7.2%	10.4%	8.0%
Stet	15.2%	18.7%	14.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.8%	0.0%	4.5%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	27.2%	26.9%	9.8%
Pending Disposition*	7.2%	8.2%	42.9%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	36.0%	29.1%	17.0%
Committed to DJS	4.8%	6.0%	2.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	1.6%	0.7%	0.9%
Total Formal Complaints	125	134	112

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	89.7	60.4	50.1
Pre-Court	5.9	14.2	12.8
Probation	72.8	37.9	31.7
Aftercare	11.0	8.4	5.6
Committed-Aftercare	4.9	4.4	2.6

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Cecil County population (ages 11-17) decreased 4.7% (from 9,794 to 9,334).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 33.9% of complaints were formalized, while 14.5% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints decreased 5.7%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 35.7% to 33.9%.
- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 27.3% were High, 31.8% were Moderate, and 40.9% were Low.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 30.5 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 95.1 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 8.3% to 6.7%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 4.7% to 5.8%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 57.3% to 76.7%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 16.7% (1) to 100.0% (3).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	34.0%	28.3%	33.6%
White	62.3%	69.9%	63.6%
Hispanic/Other	3.7%	1.8%	2.7%
Sex			
Male	79.7%	77.5%	75.2%
Female	20.3%	22.5%	24.8%
Age			
11 and under	6.0%	5.8%	7.9%
12	6.0%	5.2%	6.1%
13	12.9%	9.7%	8.2%
14	13.4%	19.3%	14.8%
15	15.4%	19.6%	18.2%
16	18.6%	20.9%	18.8%
17	25.7%	17.8%	25.8%
18-20	2.0%	1.8%	0.3%
Total Complaints	350	445	330

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	47.3%	26.9%	33.3%
Assault (Felony)	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	28.1%	19.2%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	10.1%	3.8%	33.3%
Robbery	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	2.1%	3.8%	0.0%
Property Offenses	31.9%	46.2%	0.0%
Arson	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.7%	19.2%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.1%	3.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	10.7%	3.8%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	4.2%	7.7%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.7%	11.5%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.0%	3.8%	33.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.3%	0.0%	33.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.7%	3.8%	0.0%
Citations	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.7%	7.7%	33.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.1%	3.8%	33.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.5%	3.8%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	524	26	3

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	6.7%	5.3%	0.0%
Felony	5.8%	31.6%	33.3%
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.4%	26.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.8%	0.0%	33.3%
- Unspecified	0.3%	5.3%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	76.7%	63.2%	66.7%
- Person-to-Person	50.9%	36.8%	33.3%
- Property	22.4%	21.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.7%	5.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	0.0%	33.3%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>330</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.2%</i>	<i>1.4%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Dorchester County

310 Gay St., Cambridge, MD 21613 (ph) 410-228-6452

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%
Citizen	0.4%	0.3%	0.8%
Police*	98.5%	98.4%	98.7%
Violation of Probation**	0.9%	1.4%	0.3%
Total Complaints	455	365	389

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.7%	38.9%	50.9%
Informaled	19.1%	16.2%	12.9%
Authorized Formal Petition	40.2%	44.9%	36.2%
Total Complaints	455	365	389

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	12.6%	18.9%	16.3%
Stet	13.7%	11.6%	13.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	22.4%	33.5%	38.3%
Pending Disposition*	6.0%	6.7%	17.7%
Services Not Ordered	3.3%	7.3%	2.1%
Probation	32.8%	13.4%	11.3%
Committed to DJS	8.7%	6.7%	0.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	183	164	141

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	62.1	54.3	37.6
Pre-Court	20.1	16.0	11.3
Probation	38.3	35.2	21.2
Aftercare	3.8	3.1	5.2
Committed-Aftercare	3.2	5.0	2.8

* Average daily active cases

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Dorchester County population (ages 11-17) increased 5.7% (from 2,465 to 2,605).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 36.2% of complaints were formalized, while 12.9% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints decreased 14.5%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 40.2% to 36.2%.
- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 64.3% were High, 28.6% were Moderate, and 7.1% were Low.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 13.6 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 85.3 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 7.1% to 6.2%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 5.2% to 1.8%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 80.0% to 86.1%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 18.8% (3) to 0.0% (0).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	69.5%	71.5%	74.0%
White	27.0%	27.9%	22.9%
Hispanic/Other	3.5%	0.5%	3.1%
Sex			
Male	74.5%	69.0%	64.8%
Female	25.5%	31.0%	35.2%
Age			
11 and under	15.8%	20.8%	18.3%
12	9.7%	9.3%	7.7%
13	9.9%	14.0%	12.3%
14	14.9%	10.7%	14.4%
15	14.3%	16.4%	14.4%
16	15.4%	14.0%	20.8%
17	18.5%	14.2%	11.8%
18-20	1.5%	0.5%	0.3%
Total Complaints	455	365	389

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	53.9%	36.8%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.1%	21.1%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	5.3%	0.0%
Harassment	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	27.3%	10.5%	0.0%
Robbery	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	34.0%	52.6%	100.0%
Arson	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.5%	5.3%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	6.1%	15.8%	100.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.6%	15.8%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.4%	15.8%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.9%	10.5%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%	5.3%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.9%	5.3%	0.0%
Total Offenses	735	19	1

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	86.1%	100.0%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	58.4%	43.8%	0.0%
- Property	25.2%	50.0%	100.0%
- Drugs	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.8%	6.2%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>389</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.6%</i>	<i>1.2%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Kent County

120 Broadway, Suite 9, Centreville, MD 21620 (ph) 410-819-4180

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	1.4%	1.7%	1.4%
Police*	98.6%	98.3%	97.3%
Violation of Probation**	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
Total Complaints	70	117	73

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	61.4%	66.7%	71.2%
Informed	18.6%	21.4%	17.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	20.0%	12.0%	11.0%
Total Complaints	70	117	73

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	35.7%	42.9%	25.0%
Stet	42.9%	0.0%	25.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	14.3%	35.7%	25.0%
Pending Disposition*	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	7.1%	21.4%	0.0%
Committed to DJS	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	14	14	8

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	14.7	14.5	8.8
Pre-Court	3.1	5.8	4.7
Probation	7.4	5.5	1.9
Aftercare	4.2	3.1	2.2
Committed-Aftercare	0.3	0.0	0.4

* Average daily active cases

• U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

• Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Kent County population (ages 11-17) decreased 4.3% (from 1,582 to 1,514).

• Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

• 11.0% of complaints were formaled, while 17.8% were informed.

• Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

• Total complaints increased 4.3%.

• The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 20.0% to 11.0%.

• Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

• There were no Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI.

• Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

• Time from offense to intake referral date was 13.1 days.

• Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 14.3 days.

• Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 0.0 days.

• Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

• The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 0.0% to 4.1%.

• The percentage of Felonies increased from 0.0% to 4.1%.

• The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 82.1% to 60.3%.

• Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

• There were no commitments resulting from VOP in either year.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	52.9%	55.6%	38.4%
White	44.3%	36.8%	58.9%
Hispanic/Other	2.9%	7.7%	2.7%
Sex			
Male	58.6%	63.2%	63.0%
Female	41.4%	36.8%	37.0%
Age			
11 and under	7.1%	10.3%	8.2%
12	1.4%	9.4%	5.5%
13	12.9%	10.3%	12.3%
14	15.7%	12.8%	17.8%
15	5.7%	29.9%	24.7%
16	22.9%	10.3%	11.0%
17	34.3%	15.4%	20.5%
18-20	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
Total Complaints	70	117	73

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	52.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	24.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	24.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	16.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	16.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.1%	0.0%	100.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	98	0	1

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	4.1%	0.0%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Misdemeanor	60.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	53.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	20.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>73</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Queen Anne's County

120 Broadway, Suite 9, Centreville, MD 21617 (ph) 410-819-4180

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Citizen	5.9%	0.6%	0.0%
Police*	90.4%	98.2%	96.8%
Violation of Probation**	3.7%	1.2%	2.1%
Total Complaints	136	164	95

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES⁺, FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	47.1%	67.7%	61.1%
Informaled	24.3%	19.5%	17.9%
Authorized Formal Petition	28.7%	12.8%	21.1%
Total Complaints	136	164	95

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	10.3%	4.8%	10.0%
Stet	0.0%	23.8%	15.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	30.8%	23.8%	30.0%
Pending Disposition*	5.1%	0.0%	5.0%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	30.8%	23.8%	30.0%
Committed to DJS	23.1%	14.3%	10.0%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	39	21	20

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.
* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG
** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	22.0	23.1	13.7
Pre-Court	8.2	9.5	5.3
Probation	10.4	8.3	5.1
Aftercare	3.4	5.3	3.3
Committed-Aftercare	2.6	2.2	1.3

* Average daily active cases

- U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:
 - Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Queen Anne's County population (ages 11-17) increased 0.5% (from 4,462 to 4,484).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):
 - 21.1% of complaints were formalized, while 17.9% were informaled.
- Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):
 - Total complaints decreased 30.1%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 28.7% to 21.1%.
 - Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.
- Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):
 - For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 100.0% were Moderate risk.
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 16.6 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 13.8 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 48.5 days.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 1.2% to 0.0%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 2.4% to 4.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 36.6% to 65.3%.
- Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 44.4% (4) to 50.0% (1).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	16.9%	12.2%	36.8%
White	71.3%	76.8%	53.7%
Hispanic/Other	11.8%	11.0%	9.5%
Sex			
Male	86.0%	69.5%	74.7%
Female	14.0%	30.5%	25.3%
Age			
11 and under	0.7%	3.0%	6.3%
12	2.2%	3.7%	2.1%
13	2.2%	5.5%	6.3%
14	13.2%	12.8%	14.7%
15	18.4%	20.1%	6.3%
16	22.1%	20.1%	24.2%
17	39.0%	34.1%	38.9%
18-20	2.2%	0.6%	1.1%
Total Complaints	136	164	95

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	32.1%	50.0%	100.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	15.3%	16.7%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	16.7%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	14.5%	0.0%	50.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	19.8%	16.7%	0.0%
Arson	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.1%	16.7%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	11.5%	16.7%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	8.4%	16.7%	0.0%
Citations	24.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.4%	16.7%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	6.1%	16.7%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	131	6	2

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	4.2%	0.0%	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
- Property	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	65.3%	100.0%	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	36.8%	66.7%	50.0%
- Property	22.1%	16.7%	0.0%
- Drugs	5.3%	16.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	25.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Somerset County

12155 Elm St., Suite B, Princess Anne, MD 21853 (ph) 410-845-4680

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%
Citizen	7.3%	5.6%	2.3%
Police*	92.1%	92.3%	95.6%
Violation of Probation**	0.6%	1.8%	1.7%
Total Complaints	178	339	298

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	38.2%	50.1%	54.0%
Informaled	25.3%	16.5%	11.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	36.5%	33.3%	34.6%
Total Complaints	178	339	298

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	20.0%	27.4%	34.0%
Stet	9.2%	9.7%	11.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	29.2%	18.6%	24.3%
Pending Disposition*	1.5%	6.2%	2.9%
Services Not Ordered	3.1%	1.8%	2.9%
Probation	30.8%	28.3%	18.4%
Committed to DJS	6.2%	7.1%	3.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
Total Formal Complaints	65	113	103

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	25.2	38.3	37.7
Pre-Court	13.9	11.7	9.3
Probation	9.8	21.4	22.3
Aftercare	1.5	5.1	6.1
Committed-Aftercare	3.0	3.6	3.3

* Average daily active cases

• U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

• Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Somerset County population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.1% (from 2,145 to 2,121).

• Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

• 34.6% of complaints were formal, while 11.4% were informal.

• Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

• Total complaints increased 67.4%.

• The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 36.5% to 34.6%.

• Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

• For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 43.8% were High, 31.3% were Moderate, and 25.0% were Low.

• Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

• Time from offense to intake referral date was 13.9 days.

• Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.3 days.

• Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 76.2 days.

• Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

• The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 4.1% to 6.0%.

• The percentage of Felonies increased from 4.1% to 4.4%.

• The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 76.7% to 83.2%.

• Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

• The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 0.0% (0) to 100.0% (4).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	75.3%	79.4%	75.5%
White	21.3%	16.8%	21.1%
Hispanic/Other	3.4%	3.8%	3.4%
Sex			
Male	62.4%	64.0%	72.1%
Female	37.6%	36.0%	27.9%
Age			
11 and under	1.1%	14.7%	24.2%
12	3.4%	9.4%	5.7%
13	14.6%	10.3%	13.4%
14	19.1%	18.9%	14.1%
15	24.2%	14.5%	18.1%
16	21.3%	15.9%	11.4%
17	16.3%	15.6%	12.8%
18-20	0.0%	0.6%	0.3%
Total Complaints	178	339	298

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	66.8%	52.6%	57.1%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	23.0%	5.3%	57.1%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	38.1%	36.8%	0.0%
Robbery	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	5.3%	0.0%
Property Offenses	20.4%	47.4%	14.3%
Arson	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.6%	21.1%	14.3%
Malicious Destruction	4.7%	5.3%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	6.0%	15.8%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.0%	0.0%	28.6%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	0.0%	28.6%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	687	19	7

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	6.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Felony	4.4%	5.3%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.7%	5.3%	0.0%
- Property	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	83.2%	89.5%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	61.7%	47.4%	50.0%
- Property	16.1%	42.1%	25.0%
- Drugs	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	4.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>298</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.0%</i>	<i>1.4%</i>	<i>0.8%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Talbot County

301 Bay St., Suite 201, Easton, MD 21601 (ph) 410-822-5010

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
Citizen	1.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Police*	98.7%	99.4%	97.5%
Violation of Probation**	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
Total Complaints	153	156	119

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	56.9%	57.1%	57.1%
Informed	17.6%	17.3%	13.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	25.5%	25.6%	29.4%
Total Complaints	153	156	119

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Court Action	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	12.8%	15.0%	5.7%
Stet	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	35.9%	32.5%	45.7%
Pending Disposition*	0.0%	2.5%	2.9%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%
Probation	43.6%	42.5%	42.9%
Committed to DJS	7.7%	2.5%	0.0%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
Total Formal Complaints	39	40	35

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.
* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG
** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	13.9	15.9	12.4
Pre-Court	4.9	6.7	5.9
Probation	7.2	8.2	6.4
Aftercare	1.8	1.1	0.2
Committed-Aftercare	0.4	1.4	0.8

* Average daily active cases

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:*
 - Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Talbot County population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.8% (from 2,721 to 2,699).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):*
 - 29.4% of complaints were formaled, while 13.4% were informed.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):*
 - Total complaints decreased 22.2%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 25.5% to 29.4%.
 - *Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 25.0% were High, 50.0% were Moderate, and 25.0% were Low.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 33.2 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.2 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 51.3 days.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 2.6% to 10.1%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 9.0% to 9.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 66.0% to 52.1%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):*
 - There were no commitments resulting from VOP in either year.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	47.1%	39.7%	38.7%
White	44.4%	47.4%	43.7%
Hispanic/Other	8.5%	12.8%	17.6%
Sex			
Male	74.5%	61.5%	79.0%
Female	25.5%	38.5%	21.0%
Age			
11 and under	5.9%	10.3%	7.6%
12	3.3%	11.5%	5.0%
13	3.9%	8.3%	6.7%
14	10.5%	12.2%	7.6%
15	16.3%	11.5%	13.4%
16	21.6%	18.6%	14.3%
17	34.0%	25.6%	42.9%
18-20	4.6%	1.9%	2.5%
Total Complaints	153	156	119

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	31.0%	26.9%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	11.0%	15.4%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	5.7%	11.5%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	38.6%	65.4%	0.0%
Arson	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.4%	3.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	6.2%	7.7%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.9%	3.8%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.7%	7.7%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	3.3%	3.8%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.4%	3.8%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	13.8%	34.6%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.4%	7.7%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.5%	7.7%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	17.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	11.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	210	26	0

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	10.1%	6.7%	0.0%
Felony	9.2%	33.3%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.5%	6.7%	0.0%
- Property	5.9%	13.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.8%	13.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	52.1%	60.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	28.6%	20.0%	0.0%
- Property	21.0%	40.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	25.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>1.1%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Wicomico County

201 Baptist St., Room 1134, Suite 21, Salisbury, MD 21801 (ph) 410-713-3800

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	0.7%	0.3%
Citizen	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%
Police*	94.5%	96.2%	94.5%
Violation of Probation**	4.4%	2.8%	5.2%
Total Complaints	766	759	697

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	52.5%	57.4%	66.0%
Informaled	9.9%	9.7%	3.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	37.6%	32.8%	30.7%
Total Complaints	766	759	697

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	11.5%	7.2%	5.1%
Stet	26.7%	24.1%	13.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	4.9%	1.6%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	21.2%	23.3%	23.8%
Pending Disposition*	5.2%	6.8%	29.9%
Services Not Ordered	1.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Probation	16.0%	23.3%	16.8%
Committed to DJS	12.5%	13.3%	8.4%
Committed to Other Agency	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%
Other**	0.3%	0.0%	1.9%
Total Formal Complaints	288	249	214

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	116.3	91.8	85.8
Pre-Court	20.2	17.8	7.6
Probation	67.3	58.0	60.6
Aftercare	28.8	16.0	17.6
Committed-Aftercare	12.3	15.1	11.6

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Wicomico County population (ages 11-17) increased 1.9% (from 10,347 to 10,540).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 30.7% of complaints were formalized, while 3.3% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints decreased 9.0%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 37.6% to 30.7%.
- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 49.3% were High, 26.8% were Moderate, and 23.9% were Low.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 42.6 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.3 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 66.4 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 5.5% to 3.3%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 4.5% to 4.2%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 77.7% to 81.6%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 33.3% (12) to 50.0% (9).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	73.0%	77.5%	78.0%
White	22.5%	17.3%	18.7%
Hispanic/Other	4.6%	5.3%	3.3%
Sex			
Male	64.2%	58.6%	57.4%
Female	35.8%	41.4%	42.6%
Age			
11 and under	9.4%	3.8%	3.7%
12	10.4%	10.3%	7.3%
13	11.1%	12.9%	14.1%
14	13.2%	17.0%	16.1%
15	15.3%	17.7%	18.4%
16	17.5%	17.9%	17.1%
17	19.2%	19.1%	20.7%
18-20	3.9%	1.3%	2.7%
Total Complaints	766	759	697

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	65.4%	73.0%	50.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.3%	29.7%	10.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	10.8%	5.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	39.3%	32.4%	25.0%
Robbery	0.6%	0.0%	10.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	19.5%	13.5%	35.0%
Arson	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.7%	0.0%	5.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.0%	2.7%	10.0%
Other Property ⁺	3.3%	5.4%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.4%	0.0%	10.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.9%	5.4%	10.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.3%	13.5%	15.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.2%	2.7%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%	8.1%	5.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.5%	2.7%	10.0%
Total Offenses	1,371	37	20

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	3.3%	0.0%	11.1%
Felony	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	81.6%	100.0%	88.9%
- Person-to-Person	56.5%	80.6%	50.0%
- Property	22.5%	13.9%	27.8%
- Drugs	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	5.6%	11.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>697</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>4.7%</i>	<i>2.7%</i>	<i>3.6%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Worcester County

203 River St., Snow Hill, MD 21863 (ph) 410-632-0206

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.8%	0.8%	1.0%
Citizen	1.8%	1.5%	1.6%
Police*	96.4%	96.5%	96.6%
Violation of Probation**	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Total Complaints	504	593	385

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	61.5%	62.1%	71.2%
Informed	17.3%	18.4%	10.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	21.2%	19.6%	18.4%
Total Complaints	504	593	385

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	10.3%	12.9%	5.6%
Stet	5.6%	0.9%	8.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	8.4%	4.3%	7.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	26.2%	31.0%	26.8%
Pending Disposition*	3.7%	6.9%	18.3%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	2.6%	2.8%
Probation	43.0%	32.8%	22.5%
Committed to DJS	2.8%	8.6%	8.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	107	116	71

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	53.6	52.8	44.7
Pre-Court	21.5	24.7	14.2
Probation	23.5	23.5	23.8
Aftercare	8.6	4.5	6.8
Committed-Aftercare	2.2	2.5	4.1

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Worcester County population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.7% (from 3,687 to 3,623).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 18.4% of complaints were formaled, while 10.4% were informed.

Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints decreased 23.6%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 21.2% to 18.4%.
- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 26.3% were High, 21.1% were Moderate, and 52.6% were Low.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 30.0 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.4 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 75.1 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 2.7% to 2.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 5.1% to 3.9%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 41.7% to 49.4%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 0.0% (0) to 50.0% (3).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	29.8%	29.8%	34.5%
White	62.3%	64.4%	59.7%
Hispanic/Other	7.9%	5.7%	5.7%
Sex			
Male	73.4%	69.1%	63.6%
Female	26.6%	30.9%	36.4%
Age			
11 and under	2.2%	1.5%	1.6%
12	2.2%	1.0%	2.3%
13	3.6%	5.6%	6.5%
14	7.3%	4.4%	6.5%
15	13.5%	9.8%	11.7%
16	18.5%	18.2%	19.5%
17	46.6%	54.3%	47.3%
18-20	6.2%	5.2%	4.7%
Total Complaints	504	593	385

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	30.7%	58.8%	33.3%
Assault (Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	9.5%	23.5%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	11.8%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.3%	5.9%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	12.9%	11.8%	0.0%
Robbery	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	3.6%	5.9%	16.7%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.5%	0.0%	16.7%
Property Offenses	18.2%	11.8%	50.0%
Arson	0.2%	5.9%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	50.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.4%	5.9%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.4%	11.8%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.8%	11.8%	0.0%
Citations	30.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	19.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.1%	17.6%	16.7%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.8%	0.0%	16.7%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.9%	11.8%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.4%	5.9%	0.0%
Total Offenses	528	17	6

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	2.6%	0.0%	66.7%
Felony	3.9%	18.8%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.3%	6.2%	0.0%
- Property	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	12.5%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	49.4%	81.2%	33.3%
- Person-to-Person	28.8%	50.0%	33.3%
- Property	15.6%	12.5%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.9%	12.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.1%	6.2%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	36.9%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>385</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.6%</i>	<i>1.2%</i>	<i>1.2%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region V-Southern Summary

49 Old Solomons Island Rd., Suite 300, Annapolis, MD 21401 (ph) 410-295-5740

Regional Director: Douglas Mohler
Counties of Anne Arundel, Calvert, Charles, and St. Mary's

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.8%	0.9%	1.2%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	97.6%	97.5%	97.3%
Violation of Probation**	1.6%	1.6%	1.4%
Total Complaints	4,261	4,036	2,841

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	57.1%	58.5%	58.4%
Informaled	15.5%	14.3%	14.1%
Authorized Formal Petition	27.5%	27.2%	27.5%
Total Complaints	4,261	4,036	2,841

Court Action for Formal Cases

Court Action	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	13.7%	12.0%	17.3%
Stet	13.3%	10.7%	10.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.5%	0.1%	0.1%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	18.2%	18.9%	14.5%
Pending Disposition*	9.5%	11.0%	22.8%
Services Not Ordered	0.5%	0.2%	0.1%
Probation	31.1%	35.1%	22.4%
Committed to DJS	12.0%	11.6%	12.2%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Other**	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%
Total Formal Complaints	1,171	1,098	781

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	597.5	542.0	493.8
Pre-Court	143.7	135.5	109.3
Probation	340.8	319.2	304.5
Aftercare	113.0	87.3	79.9
Committed-Aftercare	76.2	65.8	67.2

* Average daily active cases

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:*
 - Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Southern Region population (ages 11-17) increased 0.7% (from 84,051 to 84,655).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):*
 - 27.5% of complaints were formalized, while 14.1% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):*
 - Total complaints decreased 33.3%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition remained the same.
 - *Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 32.2% were High, 35.0% were Moderate, and 32.9% were Low.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 29.4 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.1 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 86.0 days.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence did not change.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 4.4% to 5.9%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 73.4% to 74.5%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 25.7% (36) to 16.8% (16).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	55.5%	56.0%	56.6%
White	38.9%	37.5%	35.0%
Hispanic/Other	5.7%	6.5%	8.4%
Sex			
Male	70.6%	69.6%	66.9%
Female	29.4%	30.4%	33.1%
Age			
11 and under	4.9%	5.4%	4.4%
12	5.9%	6.9%	5.3%
13	10.1%	10.1%	10.7%
14	13.5%	13.4%	14.4%
15	19.2%	18.4%	18.9%
16	19.7%	21.8%	21.9%
17	24.7%	22.4%	22.6%
18-20	1.9%	1.7%	1.7%
Total Complaints	4,261	4,036	2,841

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	51.3%	52.3%	41.2%
Assault (Felony)	1.5%	1.4%	5.1%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	23.5%	30.2%	14.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.2%	2.3%	0.7%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	0.9%	5.1%
Harassment	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	1.5%
Other Person ⁺	18.5%	8.1%	2.9%
Robbery	1.8%	2.7%	9.6%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.7%	2.7%	1.5%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.3%	3.2%	0.7%
Property Offenses	27.1%	26.6%	46.3%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.4%	0.9%	5.1%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.6%	1.4%	2.9%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.2%	5.9%	3.7%
Malicious Destruction	5.2%	3.2%	8.8%
Other Property ⁺	3.1%	1.4%	2.9%
Theft (Felony)	1.8%	0.9%	11.8%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.0%	13.1%	11.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.8%	5.0%	2.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.7%	3.2%	1.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Citations	8.1%	0.9%	0.7%
Alcohol Violation	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.3%	0.9%	0.7%
Vaporizer Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.3%	15.3%	9.6%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.7%	5.9%	4.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.2%	6.8%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.2%	2.7%	5.1%
Total Offenses	4,572	222	136

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	7.3%	7.4%	23.2%
Felony	5.9%	10.9%	26.3%
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	2.9%	2.1%
- Property	3.4%	2.3%	16.8%
- Drugs	1.0%	4.0%	2.1%
- Unspecified	0.2%	1.7%	5.3%
Misdemeanor	74.5%	81.1%	49.5%
- Person-to-Person	48.9%	47.4%	26.3%
- Property	20.8%	25.1%	22.1%
- Drugs	1.9%	2.3%	1.1%
- Unspecified	2.9%	6.3%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	10.4%	0.6%	1.1%
CINS	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>2,841</i>	<i>175</i>	<i>95</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>19.1%</i>	<i>13.2%</i>	<i>18.8%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Anne Arundel County

49 Old Solomons Island Rd., Suite 300, Annapolis, MD 21401(ph) 410-295-5740;
7500 Ritchie Hwy., Suite 306, Glen Burnie, MD 21061 (ph) 410-412-7115

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	1.0%	1.3%	1.6%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	97.1%	96.4%	96.1%
Violation of Probation**	1.9%	2.3%	2.3%
Total Complaints	2,559	2,290	1,702

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES⁺, FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	59.8%	53.8%	54.8%
Informaled	13.6%	13.9%	13.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	26.5%	32.3%	31.7%
Total Complaints	2,559	2,290	1,702

Court Action for Formal Cases

Court Action	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	9.6%	7.2%	14.3%
Stet	9.9%	6.8%	8.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	22.5%	21.2%	16.1%
Pending Disposition*	14.4%	13.9%	27.4%
Services Not Ordered	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%
Probation	29.7%	37.3%	19.1%
Committed to DJS	13.1%	13.2%	13.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Other**	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%
Total Formal Complaints	679	740	540

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.
* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG
** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	315.0	325.5	325.3
Pre-Court	82.0	77.9	66.2
Probation	166.1	190.2	202.2
Aftercare	66.9	57.3	56.9
Committed-Aftercare	44.6	44.3	46.3

* Average daily active cases

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:*
 - Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Anne Arundel County population (ages 11-17) increased 2.1% (from 48,182 to 49,178).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):*
 - 31.7% of complaints were formalized, while 13.5% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):*
 - Total complaints decreased 33.5%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 26.5% to 31.7%.
 - *Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 32.5% were High, 39.8% were Moderate, and 27.7% were Low.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 23.8 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.9 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 89.0 days.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence did not change.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 5.4% to 7.3%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 77.4% to 76.9%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 31.5% (28) to 21.3% (16).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	53.3%	54.4%	56.3%
White	39.5%	36.8%	32.7%
Hispanic/Other	7.2%	8.9%	11.0%
Sex			
Male	70.0%	69.8%	67.0%
Female	30.0%	30.2%	33.0%
Age			
11 and under	5.2%	5.7%	3.6%
12	6.3%	6.9%	5.3%
13	10.1%	9.9%	10.1%
14	13.1%	14.4%	14.7%
15	20.4%	19.3%	20.6%
16	20.3%	21.4%	21.7%
17	22.9%	20.6%	22.8%
18-20	1.7%	1.8%	1.2%
Total Complaints	2,559	2,290	1,702

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	52.2%	58.7%	38.9%
Assault (Felony)	1.7%	1.4%	5.6%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	23.8%	38.4%	12.0%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	3.6%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	0.7%	6.5%
Harassment	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	18.1%	2.9%	0.9%
Robbery	2.4%	3.6%	12.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.6%	2.9%	1.9%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.6%	5.1%	0.0%
Property Offenses	29.7%	24.6%	46.3%
Arson	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.8%	0.0%	6.5%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.0%	1.4%	1.9%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.3%	5.1%	3.7%
Malicious Destruction	4.7%	1.4%	8.3%
Other Property ⁺	3.4%	2.2%	3.7%
Theft (Felony)	2.4%	0.7%	14.8%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	12.6%	13.8%	7.4%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.1%	3.6%	2.8%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.8%	2.2%	1.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.4%	1.4%	0.9%
Citations	5.3%	0.0%	0.9%
Alcohol Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%
Vaporizer Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.4%	13.0%	11.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.4%	3.6%	4.6%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.1%	7.2%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.8%	2.2%	6.5%
Total Offenses	2,865	138	108

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	8.9%	8.7%	25.3%
Felony	7.3%	10.7%	32.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	3.9%	2.7%
- Property	4.5%	1.0%	21.3%
- Drugs	1.2%	2.9%	2.7%
- Unspecified	0.3%	2.9%	5.3%
Misdemeanor	76.9%	80.6%	41.3%
- Person-to-Person	48.9%	50.5%	26.7%
- Property	22.8%	24.3%	13.3%
- Drugs	2.1%	1.9%	1.3%
- Unspecified	3.1%	3.9%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	6.7%	0.0%	1.3%
CINS	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,702</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>75</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>11.4%</i>	<i>7.8%</i>	<i>14.9%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Calvert County

200 Duke St., Suite 2800, Prince Frederick, MD 20678 (ph) 443-550-6275

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.8%	0.0%	0.3%
Citizen	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Police*	99.2%	99.8%	99.7%
Violation of Probation**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	380	456	360

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	54.2%	57.0%	67.2%
Informaled	18.4%	21.9%	18.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	27.4%	21.1%	14.4%
Total Complaints	380	456	360

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	26.9%	16.7%	23.1%
Stet	15.4%	25.0%	19.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	13.5%	19.8%	15.4%
Pending Disposition*	0.0%	0.0%	9.6%
Services Not Ordered	4.8%	1.0%	0.0%
Probation	28.8%	36.5%	23.1%
Committed to DJS	8.7%	1.0%	7.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	1.9%	0.0%	1.9%
Total Formal Complaints	104	96	52

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	60.4	63.5	53.2
Pre-Court	14.3	26.6	19.1
Probation	40.2	33.0	30.1
Aftercare	6.0	3.9	4.0
Committed-Aftercare	5.4	4.0	4.2

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Calvert County population (ages 11-17) decreased 4.7% (from 9,270 to 8,836).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 14.4% of complaints were formalized, while 18.3% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints decreased 5.3%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 27.4% to 14.4%.
- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 25.0% were High, 25.0% were Moderate, and 50.0% were Low.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 19.8 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 34.5 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 73.6 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 3.7% to 2.8%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 4.4% to 3.1%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 54.2% to 51.9%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- There were no commitments resulting from VOP in either year.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	25.3%	30.7%	33.6%
White	71.3%	65.6%	63.1%
Hispanic/Other	3.4%	3.7%	3.3%
Sex			
Male	68.2%	67.8%	70.0%
Female	31.8%	32.2%	30.0%
Age			
11 and under	2.6%	3.9%	3.6%
12	4.5%	5.5%	5.0%
13	5.5%	9.9%	7.5%
14	10.3%	12.1%	10.8%
15	21.6%	14.9%	14.7%
16	23.4%	22.6%	23.9%
17	31.1%	29.8%	32.8%
18-20	1.1%	1.3%	1.7%
Total Complaints	380	456	360

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	33.5%	25.0%	60.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	18.0%	16.7%	40.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.4%	8.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	11.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.2%	0.0%	20.0%
Property Offenses	18.6%	16.7%	40.0%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.5%	8.3%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.2%	0.0%	20.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	5.0%	8.3%	20.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.8%	25.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.6%	25.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	25.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	19.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	10.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.9%	33.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.8%	16.7%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.5%	16.7%	0.0%
Total Offenses	462	12	5

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	2.8%	8.3%	0.0%
Felony	3.1%	25.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.8%	25.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	51.9%	66.7%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	36.9%	41.7%	50.0%
- Property	9.2%	8.3%	50.0%
- Drugs	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.9%	16.7%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	12.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>360</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.4%</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>0.8%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Charles County

200 Kent Ave., La Plata, MD 20646 (ph) 301-392-6900

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.4%	0.8%	1.9%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	99.2%	99.0%	98.1%
Violation of Probation**	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%
Total Complaints	768	720	376

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	64.3%	76.8%	72.9%
Informaled	4.8%	1.7%	3.2%
Authorized Formal Petition	30.9%	21.5%	23.9%
Total Complaints	768	720	376

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	16.0%	22.6%	15.6%
Stet	17.3%	15.5%	8.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	5.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	11.4%	7.1%	5.6%
Pending Disposition*	4.6%	9.0%	17.8%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	36.7%	33.5%	43.3%
Committed to DJS	8.4%	11.0%	7.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.6%	1.1%
Total Formal Complaints	237	155	90

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	100.3	61.7	54.8
Pre-Court	7.6	0.7	3.1
Probation	66.1	46.3	40.7
Aftercare	26.6	14.7	11.0
Committed-Aftercare	14.9	7.7	9.3

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Charles County population (ages 11-17) increased 0.1% (from 15,746 to 15,759).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 23.9% of complaints were formalized, while 3.2% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints decreased 51.0%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 30.9% to 23.9%.
- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 44.8% were High, 17.2% were Moderate, and 37.9% were Low.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 59.5 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 24.2 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 87.3 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 8.1% to 8.5%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 3.1% to 3.2%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 80.0% to 81.6%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 10.0% (2) to 0.0% (0).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	81.6%	82.1%	79.8%
White	13.8%	14.0%	14.1%
Hispanic/Other	4.6%	3.9%	6.1%
Sex			
Male	74.5%	71.1%	73.1%
Female	25.5%	28.9%	26.9%
Age			
11 and under	3.5%	6.0%	4.0%
12	4.7%	5.4%	3.7%
13	11.6%	9.7%	12.8%
14	13.8%	10.8%	16.8%
15	17.6%	16.2%	16.0%
16	18.9%	26.0%	22.3%
17	27.1%	23.9%	21.3%
18-20	2.9%	1.9%	3.2%
Total Complaints	768	720	376

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	57.8%	44.0%	71.4%
Assault (Felony)	1.6%	2.0%	7.1%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	20.4%	18.0%	21.4%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	3.8%	0.0%	7.1%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	2.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	2.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	14.3%
Other Person ⁺	25.7%	14.0%	21.4%
Robbery	1.4%	2.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	3.1%	4.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	25.9%	34.0%	21.4%
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.2%	4.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.6%	0.0%	14.3%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.0%	12.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.8%	6.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.6%	2.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.1%	10.0%	7.1%
Drug-Related Offenses	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.4%	22.0%	7.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	3.0%	16.0%	7.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%	4.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.9%	2.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	637	50	14

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	8.5%	7.7%	42.9%
Felony	3.2%	10.3%	14.3%
- Person-to-Person	2.4%	2.6%	0.0%
- Property	0.8%	7.7%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%
Misdemeanor	81.6%	82.1%	42.9%
- Person-to-Person	53.2%	38.5%	28.6%
- Property	25.5%	30.8%	14.3%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.9%	12.8%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>376</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.5%</i>	<i>2.9%</i>	<i>1.4%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

St. Mary's County

23110 Leonard Hall Dr., Suite 1040, Leonardtown, MD 20650 (ph) 301-880-2850

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	96.8%	97.9%	99.5%
Violation of Probation**	3.1%	1.8%	0.5%
Total Complaints	554	570	403

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALIZED CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	36.1%	55.8%	52.4%
Informaled	36.6%	25.4%	23.1%
Authorized Formal Petition	27.3%	18.8%	24.6%
Total Complaints	554	570	403

Court Action for Formalized Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	19.2%	26.2%	32.3%
Stet	21.2%	17.8%	15.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	12.6%	19.6%	13.1%
Pending Disposition*	1.3%	3.7%	9.1%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	29.8%	20.6%	21.2%
Committed to DJS	14.6%	10.3%	9.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	151	107	99

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	121.7	91.3	60.4
Pre-Court	39.8	30.2	20.9
Probation	68.4	49.7	31.5
Aftercare	13.5	11.4	8.0
Committed-Aftercare	11.4	9.8	7.5

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the St. Mary's County population (ages 11-17) increased 0.3% (from 10,852 to 10,882).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 24.6% of complaints were formalized, while 23.1% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints decreased 27.3%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 27.3% to 24.6%.
- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 17.4% were High, 43.5% were Moderate, and 39.1% were Low.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 33.7 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.1 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 50.7 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 2.6% to 3.5%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 2.5% to 5.2%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 64.7% to 77.9%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 27.3% (6) to 0.0% (0).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	50.0%	49.8%	56.6%
White	48.4%	47.4%	39.5%
Hispanic/Other	1.6%	2.8%	4.0%
Sex			
Male	69.7%	68.2%	58.3%
Female	30.3%	31.8%	41.7%
Age			
11 and under	7.0%	4.4%	8.9%
12	6.7%	9.6%	7.2%
13	11.6%	11.6%	14.1%
14	17.5%	13.3%	14.1%
15	14.1%	20.2%	18.6%
16	15.5%	17.4%	20.8%
17	25.5%	22.1%	13.9%
18-20	2.2%	1.4%	2.2%
Total Complaints	554	570	403

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	53.8%	45.5%	11.1%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	29.4%	13.6%	11.1%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	18.1%	31.8%	0.0%
Robbery	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	22.2%	27.3%	88.9%
Arson	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.6%	9.1%	33.3%
Other Property ⁺	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	8.1%	18.2%	55.6%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.3%	13.6%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.8%	4.5%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.5%	9.1%	0.0%
Citations	10.7%	9.1%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.5%	9.1%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.9%	4.5%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.6%	4.5%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	608	22	9

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	5.2%	4.8%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.2%	4.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	77.9%	90.5%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	55.6%	52.4%	11.1%
- Property	18.6%	28.6%	88.9%
- Drugs	0.7%	9.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	12.2%	4.8%	0.0%
CINS	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>403</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.7%</i>	<i>1.6%</i>	<i>1.8%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region VI-Metro Summary

14735 Main Street, Suite M0400, Upper Marlboro, MD 20772 (ph) 301-952-2580

Regional Director: Delmonica Hawkins
Counties of Montgomery and Prince George's

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	2.5%	3.0%	2.9%
Citizen	4.6%	5.9%	5.7%
Police*	87.7%	85.8%	86.2%
Violation of Probation**	5.2%	5.3%	5.2%
Total Complaints	3,373	3,132	2,390

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	23.2%	28.9%	34.6%
Informaled	24.2%	25.8%	21.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	52.6%	45.3%	43.6%
Total Complaints	3,373	3,132	2,390

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	16.2%	11.5%	17.4%
Stet	2.2%	4.9%	10.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.1%	1.0%	0.2%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	25.7%	26.4%	18.8%
Pending Disposition*	8.5%	9.4%	17.3%
Services Not Ordered	3.0%	5.1%	4.0%
Probation	28.6%	27.3%	19.8%
Committed to DJS	15.2%	13.4%	8.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%
Other**	0.5%	1.1%	3.3%
Total Formal Complaints	1,775	1,420	1,043

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	821.7	798.6	621.2
Pre-Court	212.6	198.5	159.5
Probation	442.0	447.7	337.5
Aftercare	167.1	152.4	124.3
Committed-Aftercare	97.1	104.2	78.3

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Metro Region population (ages 11-17) increased 0.7% (from 170,415 to 171,535).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 43.6% of complaints were formalized, while 21.8% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints decreased 29.1%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 52.6% to 43.6%.
- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 36.6% were High, 21.5% were Moderate, and 41.9% were Low.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 51.8 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 28.1 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 72.2 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 18.0% to 20.8%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 7.8% to 10.4%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 60.5% to 59.7%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 33.7% (91) to 32.3% (30).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	61.0%	63.8%	63.9%
White	11.9%	10.6%	10.6%
Hispanic/Other	27.1%	25.6%	25.4%
Sex			
Male	76.6%	76.1%	78.0%
Female	23.4%	23.9%	22.0%
Age			
11 and under	1.4%	2.0%	1.3%
12	2.4%	3.5%	2.5%
13	4.2%	5.6%	6.7%
14	11.4%	10.2%	11.7%
15	19.1%	20.5%	19.7%
16	26.7%	23.3%	25.3%
17	29.3%	30.0%	27.4%
18-20	5.5%	4.8%	5.5%
Total Complaints	3,373	3,132	2,390

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	40.4%	44.3%	45.5%
Assault (Felony)	1.4%	1.5%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	15.1%	13.2%	12.7%
Carjacking	0.3%	0.7%	5.2%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.1%	2.6%	1.5%
Harassment	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	1.5%
Other Person ⁺	8.6%	2.2%	5.2%
Robbery	8.2%	17.6%	16.4%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.3%	1.8%	3.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Property Offenses	37.6%	30.4%	43.3%
Arson	0.5%	1.8%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.9%	2.9%	0.7%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.7%	3.7%	6.7%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.4%	2.6%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	3.2%	0.0%	2.2%
Theft (Felony)	4.2%	1.5%	4.5%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	15.3%	15.8%	29.1%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.7%	0.4%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.9%	0.4%	0.0%
Citations	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	12.7%	24.9%	11.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	4.9%	17.6%	9.7%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%	3.3%	0.7%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.7%	2.2%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.8%	1.8%	0.7%
Total Offenses	4,608	273	134

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	20.8%	29.5%	41.9%
Felony	10.4%	20.3%	11.8%
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	2.4%	2.2%
- Property	6.8%	4.8%	1.1%
- Drugs	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	13.0%	8.6%
Misdemeanor	59.7%	50.2%	46.2%
- Person-to-Person	33.6%	29.0%	20.4%
- Property	21.5%	14.5%	24.7%
- Drugs	2.7%	0.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.9%	6.3%	1.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>2,390</i>	<i>207</i>	<i>93</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>16.0%</i>	<i>15.6%</i>	<i>18.4%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Montgomery County

7300 Calhoun Pl., Suite 500, Rockville, MD 20855 (ph) 301- 610-8500

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	0.8%	1.7%	1.2%
Citizen	0.9%	1.6%	1.3%
Police*	94.2%	92.7%	91.7%
Violation of Probation**	4.2%	4.0%	5.8%
Total Complaints	2,325	2,055	1,360

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES⁺, FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	21.9%	27.0%	31.4%
Informaled	26.5%	29.3%	27.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	51.6%	43.7%	41.3%
Total Complaints	2,325	2,055	1,360

Court Action for Formal Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	18.1%	10.0%	14.2%
Stet	1.8%	3.8%	10.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.1%	0.9%	0.4%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	28.3%	27.6%	23.0%
Pending Disposition*	7.6%	7.3%	8.9%
Services Not Ordered	4.4%	8.0%	7.5%
Probation	26.0%	27.7%	22.6%
Committed to DJS	13.5%	13.9%	11.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Other**	0.2%	0.7%	1.2%
Total Formal Complaints	1,199	898	562

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	411.6	388.0	311.3
Pre-Court	142.5	139.8	103.7
Probation	202.4	190.1	156.4
Aftercare	66.7	58.0	51.1
Committed-Aftercare	49.8	55.9	44.1

* Average daily active cases

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Montgomery County population (ages 11-17) increased 2.1% (from 91,698 to 93,621).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 41.3% of complaints were formalized, while 27.3% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints decreased 41.5%.

- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 51.6% to 41.3%.

- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 45.5% were High, 27.3% were Moderate, and 27.3% were Low.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 49.5 days.

- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 30.6 days.

- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 77.8 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 13.9% to 15.5%.

- The percentage of Felonies increased from 6.7% to 9.9%.

- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 59.7% to 59.9%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 38.3% (62) to 34.3% (23).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	52.6%	54.5%	51.8%
White	15.5%	13.4%	16.4%
Hispanic/Other	32.0%	32.1%	31.8%
Sex			
Male	76.9%	75.9%	78.4%
Female	23.1%	24.1%	21.6%
Age			
11 and under	0.8%	1.8%	1.3%
12	2.4%	3.1%	2.1%
13	3.8%	5.0%	4.6%
14	11.2%	9.9%	11.2%
15	18.2%	19.5%	19.0%
16	25.8%	24.7%	26.8%
17	31.8%	31.3%	29.6%
18-20	6.0%	4.9%	5.5%
Total Complaints	2,325	2,055	1,360

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	32.4%	31.6%	39.2%
Assault (Felony)	0.8%	2.3%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	12.7%	9.4%	14.7%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.0%	6.9%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Harassment	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	7.9%	1.8%	3.9%
Robbery	5.6%	12.3%	10.8%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.0%	2.3%	2.9%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	1.2%	0.0%
Property Offenses	39.0%	31.0%	49.0%
Arson	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.7%	3.5%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.0%	0.6%	8.8%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	5.7%	2.3%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.6%	2.3%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	2.7%	0.0%	2.9%
Theft (Felony)	3.6%	2.3%	5.9%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	16.5%	18.7%	31.4%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.7%	0.6%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.6%	0.6%	0.0%
Citations	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	15.4%	36.8%	11.8%
Cons. to Commit Offense	7.9%	27.5%	10.8%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.8%	4.7%	1.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	2.3%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.1%	2.3%	0.0%
Total Offenses	2,349	171	102

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	15.5%	20.5%	37.3%
Felony	9.9%	27.6%	13.4%
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	3.1%	3.0%
- Property	5.7%	5.5%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.2%	18.9%	10.4%
Misdemeanor	59.9%	52.0%	49.3%
- Person-to-Person	31.0%	25.2%	23.9%
- Property	24.0%	16.5%	23.9%
- Drugs	3.3%	0.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.7%	9.4%	1.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	12.9%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,360</i>	<i>127</i>	<i>67</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>9.1%</i>	<i>9.6%</i>	<i>13.3%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Prince George's County

14735 Main St., Suite M0400, Upper Marlboro, MD 20772 (ph) 301-952-2580; 1100 Mercantile Lane, Suite 120, Upper Marlboro, MD 20774 (ph) 301-386-6240

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	6.3%	5.7%	5.1%
Citizen	12.9%	14.1%	11.6%
Police*	73.4%	72.4%	78.9%
Violation of Probation**	7.4%	7.8%	4.4%
Total Complaints	1,048	1,077	1,030

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAL CASES[†], FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	25.9%	32.6%	38.8%
Informaled	19.2%	18.9%	14.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	55.0%	48.5%	46.7%
Total Complaints	1,048	1,077	1,030

Court Action for Formal Cases

Court Action	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	12.3%	14.0%	21.0%
Stet	3.0%	6.7%	10.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	20.5%	24.3%	13.9%
Pending Disposition*	10.4%	12.8%	27.0%
Services Not Ordered	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	33.9%	26.4%	16.6%
Committed to DJS	18.8%	12.5%	5.4%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%
Other**	1.0%	1.7%	5.6%
Total Formal Complaints	576	522	481

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court. See note on page 25.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2018-2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	410.1	410.6	310.0
Pre-Court	70.1	58.6	55.7
Probation	239.6	257.5	181.1
Aftercare	100.4	94.4	73.1
Committed-Aftercare	47.3	48.2	34.2

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimated Data:

- Between July 2015 and July 2019, the Prince George's County population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.0% (from 78,717 to 77,914).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2020):

- 46.7% of complaints were formalized, while 14.5% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2018-2020):

- Total complaints decreased 1.7%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 55.0% to 46.7%.
- Note: Many 2020 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 32.8% were High, 19.1% were Moderate, and 48.1% were Low.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2020):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 54.9 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 24.7 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 57.1 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 25.8% to 27.8%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 10.0% to 11.2%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 62.0% to 59.3%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2018-2020):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP did not change from 26.9% (29) to 26.9% (7).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	79.8%	81.4%	80.0%
White	3.8%	5.3%	3.0%
Hispanic/Other	16.4%	13.3%	17.0%
Sex			
Male	76.1%	76.4%	77.6%
Female	23.9%	23.6%	22.4%
Age			
11 and under	2.8%	2.6%	1.3%
12	2.4%	4.4%	3.0%
13	5.1%	6.8%	9.4%
14	11.8%	11.0%	12.2%
15	21.1%	22.4%	20.7%
16	28.7%	20.7%	23.3%
17	23.8%	27.5%	24.7%
18-20	4.4%	4.7%	5.4%
Total Complaints	1,048	1,077	1,030

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	48.8%	65.7%	65.6%
Assault (Felony)	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	17.5%	19.6%	6.2%
Carjacking	0.4%	2.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	2.9%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.1%	5.9%	6.2%
Harassment	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	6.2%
Other Person ⁺	9.3%	2.9%	9.4%
Robbery	10.8%	26.5%	34.4%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.7%	1.0%	3.1%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.2%	3.9%	0.0%
Property Offenses	36.3%	29.4%	25.0%
Arson	0.8%	2.9%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.2%	2.0%	3.1%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.4%	8.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.1%	2.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.3%	2.9%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	14.0%	10.8%	21.9%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.9%	4.9%	9.4%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.7%	1.0%	6.2%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.7%	1.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.9%	2.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.6%	1.0%	3.1%
Total Offenses	2,259	102	32

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 252.

** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	27.8%	43.8%	53.8%
Felony	11.2%	8.8%	7.7%
- Person-to-Person	1.8%	1.2%	0.0%
- Property	8.2%	3.8%	3.8%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	3.8%	3.8%
Misdemeanor	59.3%	47.5%	38.5%
- Person-to-Person	37.1%	35.0%	11.5%
- Property	18.3%	11.2%	26.9%
- Drugs	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>1,030</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>26</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>6.9%</i>	<i>6.0%</i>	<i>5.1%</i>

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses


² Adjudicated offenses from FY2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

AVERAGE LOS, FY 2020 RELEASES



¹ ALOS for releases from committed programs

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Pre-Court Supervision

Pre-court supervision in Maryland is a statewide program that serves to divert youth from formal juvenile court processing. Also referred to as “informal adjustment,” it is a way of holding youth accountable for their actions while avoiding formal processing in the courts. Pre-court supervision can be proposed by a DJS intake officer in a case when it is determined that the juvenile court has jurisdiction, but it would be in the best interests of the public and the youth to handle the complaint without judicial action. Youth referred for less serious offenses and with a lower risk of recidivism are those more likely to be handled informally. A pre-court supervision agreement is a contract unique to the case that outlines the purpose, proposed length, conditions of behavior, and services to be accessed. The parties agree to participate and intake staff provides support to ensure that youth and families can access services where applicable (approval by the State’s Attorney is required for a felony offense). Conditions may include short-term participation in an Evening Reporting Center (ERC) program (for first-time, serious offenders), participation in Multi-Systems Therapy (MST) or Functional Family Therapy (FFT), referrals to Maryland Coalition of Families (MCF) to work with a family peer support specialist, Neighborhood Youth Panels, teen court, paying restitution, completing community service, or specialized substance abuse/mental health counseling or treatment programs.

The pre-court supervision period is usually less than 90 days, but may be extended to 180 days if a youth is required to participate in a program. The victim, the youth, and the youth’s parent/guardian must all consent to the arrangement. If the victim does not consent, the pre-court supervision term cannot proceed. The case may then be closed or forwarded to the State’s Attorney’s Office for formal processing; victims may appeal to the State’s Attorney if the case is closed. Parties may also withdraw support for the pre-court agreement at any time, though this is rare. If the conditions of the agreement are met, the case is generally closed. If terms are not successfully met, the case may be sent to the State’s Attorney for formal processing or closed. All parties to the agreement and the arresting officer are informed about the failure to complete the terms, and the subsequent decision about the case, and all have a right to appeal.

COMPLAINTS PLACED ON PRE-COURT SUPERVISION BY REGION, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	5.4%	6.7%	5.5%
Reg-II - Central	19.6%	17.0%	19.0%
Reg-III - Western	12.0%	16.2%	17.2%
Reg-IV - Eastern	13.7%	15.5%	12.3%
Reg-V - Southern	20.5%	17.5%	18.4%
Reg-VI - Metro	28.8%	27.0%	27.6%
Total Cases	3,070	3,026	2,089

CASES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	53.4%	52.5%	53.8%
White	35.3%	36.4%	34.9%
Hispanic/Other	11.3%	11.1%	11.2%
Sex			
Male	72.0%	67.6%	71.0%
Female	28.0%	32.4%	29.0%
Age			
11 and under	5.2%	5.2%	5.7%
12	6.5%	7.7%	6.1%
13	9.6%	11.4%	11.2%
14	14.9%	14.6%	14.9%
15	18.3%	18.8%	19.4%
16	20.3%	19.5%	20.4%
17	23.0%	21.2%	20.4%
18-20	2.2%	1.7%	1.8%
Total Cases	3,070	3,026	2,089

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Overall placements to pre-court supervision decreased 32.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 31.0% from FY 2019 to FY 2020.
 - The Metro Region comprised over one quarter of placements to pre-court supervision in all three reported fiscal years.
- **Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 6.6% to 9.4%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 7.2% to 8.9%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 71.2% to 73.0%.
 - Misdemeanors comprised nearly three-quarters of all alleged offenses for youth placed on pre-court supervision.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Completion Status:**
 - Statewide, over three-quarters of youth placed on pre-court supervision completed the terms of their agreement.
 - The percentage of successful completions ranged from 42.1% to 100% for counties having more than 10 releases.
- **Length of Stay (FY 2020):**
 - More than half of youth released from pre-court supervision had a LOS of 61-90 days.

For a detailed description of DJS’ COVID-19 response and reforms see page vi.

CASES BY OFFENSE, FY 2020

Most Serious Alleged Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.7%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.5%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.7%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%
Disturbing the Peace	2.2%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.6%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.1%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	3.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	7.6%
Other/Missing ¹	7.6%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%
Robbery	4.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	33.0%
Sex Offense	1.8%
Theft Felony	1.6%
Theft Misdemeanor	11.6%
Trespassing	2.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.2%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	9.4%
Felony	8.9%
- Person-to-Person	1.3%
- Property	4.4%
- Drugs	3.0%
- Unspecified	0.1%
Misdemeanor	73.0%
- Person-to-Person	43.5%
- Property	26.0%
- Drugs	2.2%
- Unspecified	1.2%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%
Citations	6.4%
CINS	2.2%
Total Cases	2,089

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Possession on School Premises, Alcoholic Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Device Possession, False Alarm, False Report, False Statement, Fireworks Violation, Forgery/Counterfeit, Fraud-Misdemeanor, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Truant, Ungovernable, Unlawful Visual Surveillance of Private Place, Unspecified Felony, Vaporizer Violation (Electronic Nicotine Delivery System), Violation of Local Ordinance, and Violation of Natural Resources Article.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

RELEASES AND COMPLETION STATUS BY COUNTY/REGION, FY 2020

County/Region	Releases	Successful	Unsuccessful [^]
R-I (Balt. City)	133	42.1%	57.9%
Baltimore City	133	42.1%	57.9%
R-II (Central)	494	81.6%	18.4%
Baltimore Co.	230	74.8%	25.2%
Carroll	129	89.9%	10.1%
Harford	56	85.7%	14.3%
Howard	79	84.8%	15.2%
R-III (Western)	446	83.6%	16.4%
Allegany	66	92.4%	7.6%
Frederick	150	80.0%	20.0%
Garrett	45	97.8%	2.2%
Washington	185	80.0%	20.0%
R-IV (Eastern)	352	85.5%	14.5%
Caroline	17	76.5%	23.5%
Cecil	64	92.2%	7.8%
Dorchester	58	86.2%	13.8%
Kent	22	95.5%	4.5%
Queen Anne's	24	100.0%	0.0%
Somerset	44	79.5%	20.5%
Talbot	24	75.0%	25.0%
Wicomico	41	82.9%	17.1%
Worcester	58	81.0%	19.0%
R-V (Southern)	490	79.0%	21.0%
Anne Arundel	307	77.2%	22.8%
Calvert	87	64.4%	35.6%
Charles	2	0.0%	100.0%
St. Mary's	94	100.0%	0.0%
R-VI (Metro)	757	66.1%	33.9%
Montgomery	530	61.9%	38.1%
Prince George's	227	75.8%	24.2%
Statewide	2,672	75.6%	24.4%

[^] Includes withdraw of consent by a third party as well as SAO overrides

PRE-COURT SUPERVISION LOS (DAYS), FY 2020 RELEASES*



* Basic agreement is 90 days but can be extended by a court to 180 days.

PRE-COURT SERVICE OPTIONS, FY 2020

Region	Service	Location
Baltimore City	Anger Management	Baltimore City
	Choice	Baltimore City
	DJS Evening Reporting Center (ERC)	Baltimore City
	Fresh Start	Baltimore City
	Maryland Coalition of Families (MCF)	Baltimore City
	Mentor MD	Baltimore City
	PACT Evening Reporting Center (ERC)	Baltimore City
	Teen Court	Baltimore City
	Victim Awareness	Baltimore City
	Central	Anger Management
Choice		All Counties
Functional Family Therapy (FFT)		All Counties
Multisystemic Therapy (MST)		All Counties
Shoplifting Abatement		All Counties
Victim Awareness		All Counties
Maryland Coalition of Families (MCF)		Baltimore Co.
Family Engagement		Carroll
DJS Evening Reporting Ctr. (ERC)		East. Balt. Co.
Teen Court		Harford
Western	Anger Management	All Counties
	Functional Family Therapy (FFT)	All Counties
	Maryland Coalition of Families (MCF)	All Counties
	Shoplifting Abatement	All Counties
	Victim Awareness and Education Program (VAEP)	All Counties
	Youthful Offender Program	All Counties
	Multisystemic Therapy (MST)	Fred./Wash.
	Truancy Court*	Frederick

* Scheduled to begin for start of next school year

Region	Service	Location
Eastern Shore	Community Conferencing	All Counties
	Functional Family Therapy (FFT)	All Counties
	Mentor MD	All Counties
	Mediation	Cecil
	Mobile Crisis	Cecil
	Neighborhood Youth Panel	Cecil
	Wraparound MD	Cecil/Wicomico
	Adventure Diversion	Dorchester
	Teen Court (Diversion)	Talbot/Caroline
	Anger Management	Wicomico
	Choice	Wicomico
	Evening Reporting Center (ERC)	Wicomico
	Kumon Learning	Wicomico
	Mentoring	Wicomico
Tri County Mediation	Wicomico	
Maryland Coalition of Families (MCF)	Wicomico**	
Southern	Anger Management	All Counties
	Functional Family Therapy (FFT)	All Counties
	Maryland Coalition of Families (MCF)	All Counties
	Mentor MD	All Counties
	Victim Awareness	All Counties
Metro	Anger Management	Both Counties
	Functional Family Therapy (FFT)	Both Counties
	Maryland Coalition of Families (MCF)	Both Counties
	Mentor MD	Both Counties
	Multisystemic Therapy (MST)	Both Counties
	Victim Awareness	Both Counties
	Evening Reporting Center Lead for Life	Montgomery
	Choice	Prince George's
	Department of Family Services/ CINS	Prince George's
	PG Evening Reporting Center (ERC)	Prince George's

** Available for all counties through Local Management Boards

Regional Summary Trends

Youth from out-of-state with complaints in Maryland are listed by the Maryland jurisdiction where they are charged or supervised, except for youth in detention or committed placement, who are listed by their region of residence/jurisdiction.

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), AND POST-DISPOSITIONAL PENDING PLACEMENT (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2018-2020

Region	Intake Complaints			Pre-D Detention						Post-D Pending Placement					
	Complaints			Placements			ADP			Placements*			ADP*		
	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY20
R-I-Balt. City	1,782	1,384	1,012	751	648	444	38.3	33.3	25.9	218	176	101	19.9	18.6	13.5
R-II-Central	5,426	4,924	4,397	412	330	289	25.2	19.6	16.7	135	131	89	10.4	10.7	8.1
R-III-Western	1,970	2,282	1,701	183	202	141	11.2	12.2	11.2	66	56	45	3.8	2.6	2.6
R-IV-Eastern	2,857	3,146	2,572	253	258	171	14.8	16.0	11.9	69	76	38	5.4	6.5	4.5
R-V-Southern	4,261	4,036	2,841	233	208	185	10.6	8.7	11.9	128	104	93	8.7	8.4	10.5
R-VI-Metro	3,373	3,132	2,390	437	366	293	22.7	22.8	15.0	160	160	101	12.5	12.8	10.0
Out-of-State**	N/A	N/A	N/A	91	102	77	2.5	4.3	3.0	7	13	4	0.6	1.7	0.6
Statewide	19,669	18,904	14,913	2,360	2,114	1,600	125.3	117.0	95.6	783	716	471	61.2	61.3	49.7

* Post-ejection detention placements are included in the total number of placements and ADP. ** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction

POST-EJECTION DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) AND ADULT COURT (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) FY 2018-2020; CASES WITH PROBATION DISPOSITIONS FY 2018-2019 - BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION

Region	Post-Ejection Detention						Adult Court						Cases with Probation Dispositions*	
	Placements			ADP			Placements			ADP			FY18	FY19
	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19
R-I-Balt. City	26	43	15	3.0	5.3	2.2	137	120	96	56.5	42.5	37.5	269	174
R-II-Central	42	38	20	4.2	3.8	2.0	55	68	49	17.9	20.0	19.8	851	662
R-III-Western	16	6	5	1.4	0.7	0.3	17	19	19	9.1	3.5	8.9	321	257
R-IV-Eastern	22	18	6	2.0	2.6	1.1	12	12	14	3.9	2.9	4.9	276	227
R-V-Southern	27	23	15	2.6	2.3	2.6	13	34	30	4.3	10.5	9.7	364	385
R-VI-Metro	38	39	20	3.8	3.1	2.3	84	87	87	18.4	17.0	21.4	507	387
Out-of-State**	2	0	2	0.2	0.2	0.0	16	23	15	2.8	6.1	5.4	N/A	N/A
Statewide	173	167	83	17.1	18.0	10.5	334	363	310	112.9	102.5	107.6	2,588	2,092

* Dispositions shown are for cases referred during the FY. FY 2020 data are not shown due to many cases pending disposition. **N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction.

AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD FY 2018-2020; CASES WITH COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS AND VOPs RESULTING IN COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS FY 2018-2019; COMMITTED PLACEMENTS AND ADP FY 2018-2020 - BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION

Region	Average Supervised Caseload*			Cases with Commit. Dispositions**		VOPs Resulting in Commit. Dispositions**		Committed					
	Caseload*			Dispositions**		Commit. Dispositions**		Placements***			ADP***		
	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY18	FY19	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY20
R-I-Balt. City	696	584	469	249	120	47	18	211	166	102	94.7	86.5	56.9
R-II-Central	774	687	558	280	183	95	55	154	143	90	72.9	67.4	49.5
R-III-Western	296	297	255	170	137	73	74	80	72	54	40.7	35.5	32.8
R-IV-Eastern	420	372	309	80	78	22	24	79	84	47	29.0	35.5	28.6
R-V-Southern	597	542	494	140	127	36	27	134	110	113	73.1	63.4	66.0
R-VI-Metro	822	799	621	270	190	91	61	155	173	98	92.8	94.3	70.6
Out-of-State ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	13	20	9	8.5	12.8	10.1
Statewide	3,605	3,281	2,705	1,189	835	364	259	826	768	513	411.7	395.4	314.4

* Includes all pre-court, probation and community aftercare; ** Dispositions shown are for cases referred during the FY. FY 2020 data are not shown due to many cases pending disposition. ***"Placements" and "ADP" reflect annual activity, and don't reflect just cases referred in FY, many of which also may not be placed. ¹N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

County/Regional Trends

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), AND POST-DISPOSITIONAL PENDING PLACEMENT (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2018-2020⁺

County / Region	Intake Complaints			Pre-D Detention						Post-D Pending Placement					
				Placements*			ADP			Placements*			ADP		
	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY20
R-I-Balt. City	1,782	1,384	1,012	751	648	444	38.3	33.3	25.9	218	176	101	19.9	18.6	13.5
Baltimore City	1,782	1,384	1,012	751	648	444	38.3	33.3	25.9	218	176	101	19.9	18.6	13.5
R-II-Central	5,426	4,924	4,397	412	330	289	25.2	19.6	16.7	135	131	89	10.4	10.7	8.1
Baltimore Co.	3,560	3,470	3,117	263	204	199	17.9	11.5	12.1	70	55	54	5.1	5.4	4.8
Carroll	366	259	317	23	14	14	1.0	0.7	1.5	7	6	3	0.7	0.2	0.4
Harford	823	583	455	57	58	33	3.4	4.9	1.5	29	45	18	2.1	3.7	1.6
Howard	677	612	508	69	54	43	2.8	2.4	1.6	29	25	14	2.6	1.5	1.2
R-III-Western	1,970	2,282	1,701	183	202	141	11.2	12.2	11.2	66	56	45	3.8	2.6	2.6
Allegany	421	399	468	34	26	33	2.3	2.7	3.3	13	15	19	0.7	0.9	1.3
Frederick	610	757	513	48	75	48	3.8	5.2	4.8	28	22	18	1.9	1.0	0.9
Garrett	153	222	117	9	7	3	0.5	0.8	0.3	1	4	4	0.0	0.1	0.1
Washington	786	904	603	92	94	57	4.6	3.5	2.8	24	15	4	1.3	0.7	0.3
R-IV-Eastern	2,857	3,146	2,572	253	258	171	14.8	16.0	11.9	69	76	38	5.4	6.5	4.5
Caroline	245	208	186	8	6	13	0.2	0.4	0.8	2	3	5	0.2	0.1	0.3
Cecil	350	445	330	53	36	22	3.5	2.8	1.5	10	13	3	1.3	0.8	0.4
Dorchester	455	365	389	27	28	16	1.6	1.3	1.7	10	6	4	0.4	0.5	0.5
Kent	70	117	73	6	0	0	0.4	0.0	0.0	1	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	136	164	95	12	11	1	0.5	0.5	0.0	8	3	2	0.4	0.2	0.2
Somerset	178	339	298	16	28	18	1.2	1.3	1.4	6	7	3	0.4	1.1	0.3
Talbot	153	156	119	6	7	4	0.3	0.7	0.4	6	3	1	0.2	0.2	0.2
Wicomico	766	759	697	103	109	76	5.9	7.3	5.1	22	36	14	2.2	3.3	2.0
Worcester	504	593	385	22	33	21	1.2	1.6	1.0	4	5	5	0.2	0.3	0.5
R-V-Southern	4,261	4,036	2,841	233	208	185	10.6	8.7	11.9	128	104	93	8.7	8.4	10.5
Anne Arundel	2,559	2,290	1,702	96	109	118	4.5	5.1	8.8	82	76	62	6.6	6.3	6.4
Calvert	380	456	360	29	15	12	1.3	0.3	0.5	3	5	4	0.1	0.5	0.2
Charles	768	720	376	57	45	29	2.4	1.7	1.3	22	9	18	1.3	0.7	2.3
St. Mary's	554	570	403	51	39	26	2.3	1.6	1.3	21	14	9	0.7	0.8	1.5
R-VI-Metro	3,373	3,132	2,390	437	366	293	22.7	22.8	15.0	160	160	101	12.5	12.8	10.0
Montgomery	2,325	2,055	1,360	202	159	121	14.3	12.8	7.9	83	88	48	5.3	6.4	4.8
Prince George's	1,048	1,077	1,030	235	207	172	8.4	10.0	7.1	77	72	53	7.2	6.5	5.3
Out-of-State**	N/A	N/A	N/A	91	102	77	2.5	4.3	3.0	7	13	4	0.6	1.7	0.6
Statewide	19,669	18,904	14,913	2,360	2,114	1,600	125.3	117.0	95.6	783	716	471	61.2	61.3	49.7

* Post-ejection detention placements are included in the total number of placements and ADP. ** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction; ⁺ If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

- Between FY 2018 and FY 2020:
 - Intake Complaints decreased 24.2%.
 - Pre-Dispositional Detention Placements decreased 32.2% and ADP decreased 23.7%.
 - Post-Dispositional Pending Placements decreased 39.8% and ADP decreased 18.7%.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

POST-EJECTION DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) AND ADULT COURT (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) FY 2018-2020; CASES WITH PROBATION DISPOSITIONS FY 2018-2019⁺ - BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION

County/ Region	Post-Ejection Detention						Adult Court						Cases with Probation	
	Placements			ADP			Placements			ADP			Dispositions*	
	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19
R-I-Balt. City	26	43	15	3.0	5.3	2.2	137	120	96	56.5	42.5	37.5	269	174
Baltimore City	26	43	15	3.0	5.3	2.2	137	120	96	56.5	42.5	37.5	269	174
R-II-Central	42	38	20	4.2	3.8	2.0	55	68	49	17.9	20.0	19.8	851	662
Baltimore Co.	19	20	9	1.7	2.3	1.0	48	53	41	16.0	16.3	15.3	623	520
Carroll	3	1	0	0.5	0.1	0.0	0	1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	46	19
Harford	7	12	6	0.5	0.9	0.5	3	9	1	1.4	2.8	0.6	107	59
Howard	13	5	5	1.5	0.5	0.5	4	5	7	0.6	0.9	4.0	75	64
R-III-Western	16	6	5	1.4	0.7	0.3	17	19	19	9.1	3.5	8.9	321	257
Allegany	2	1	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	2	6	1	0.4	1.0	0.3	41	33
Frederick	8	4	4	0.8	0.5	0.3	8	7	5	5.4	1.1	3.5	111	103
Garrett	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.7	26	17
Washington	6	1	0	0.3	0.1	0.0	7	6	12	3.3	1.3	4.3	143	104
R-IV-Eastern	22	18	6	2.0	2.6	1.1	12	12	14	3.9	2.9	4.9	276	227
Caroline	1	0	1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29	13
Cecil	5	2	1	0.9	0.1	0.3	0	1	1	0.0	0.1	0.7	45	39
Dorchester	2	0	1	0.2	0.0	0.2	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60	22
Kent	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	1	1	0.0	0.0	0.5	1	3
Queen Anne's	4	1	0	0.3	0.1	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12	5
Somerset	1	2	0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0	2	2	0.0	0.6	0.2	20	32
Talbot	3	1	1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	17	17
Wicomico	6	12	2	0.4	1.7	0.4	12	6	9	3.7	1.6	3.4	46	58
Worcester	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	2	0	0.2	0.5	0.0	46	38
R-V-Southern	27	23	15	2.6	2.3	2.6	13	34	30	4.3	10.5	9.7	364	385
Anne Arundel	19	17	9	2.1	1.9	1.4	9	21	19	2.8	6.7	6.7	202	276
Calvert	1	1	0	0.1	0.1	0.0	1	0	1	1.0	0.0	0.0	30	35
Charles	3	1	4	0.3	0.0	0.4	2	10	6	0.5	2.7	1.7	87	52
St. Mary's	4	4	2	0.2	0.2	0.8	1	3	4	0.0	1.1	1.3	45	22
R-VI-Metro	38	39	20	3.8	3.1	2.3	84	87	87	18.4	17.0	21.4	507	387
Montgomery	18	14	6	1.7	1.1	0.7	25	35	30	4.9	8.0	8.6	312	249
Prince George's	20	25	14	2.1	2.0	1.6	59	52	57	13.6	9.0	12.7	195	138
Out-of-State**	2	0	2	0.2	0.2	0.0	16	23	15	2.8	6.1	5.4	N/A	N/A
Statewide	173	167	83	17.1	18.0	10.5	334	363	310	112.9	102.5	107.6	2,588	2,092

* Dispositions shown are for cases referred during the FY. FY 2020 data are not shown due to many cases pending disposition. **N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction. * If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

• Between FY 2018 and FY 2020

- Post-Ejection Detention Placements decreased 52.0% and ADP decreased 38.9%.
- Adult Court Placements decreased 7.2% and ADP decreased 4.7%.

• Between FY 2018 and FY 2019, Probation Dispositions decreased 19.2%.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent Youth under juvenile court jurisdiction except adult court tables (placement and ADP).

County/Regional Trends (cont.)

AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD FY 2018-2020; CASES WITH COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS AND VOPs RESULTING IN COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS FY 2018-2019; COMMITTED PLACEMENTS AND ADP FY 2018-2020 - BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION⁺

County / Region	Average Supervised Caseload*			Cases with Commit. Dispositions**		VOPs Resulting in Commit Dispositions**		Committed					
	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY18	FY19	Placements***			ADP***		
	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY18	FY19	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY18	FY19	FY20
R-I-Balt. City	696	584	469	249	120	47	18	211	166	102	94.7	86.5	56.9
Baltimore City	696	584	469	249	120	47	18	211	166	102	94.7	86.5	56.9
R-II-Central	774	687	558	280	183	95	55	154	143	90	72.9	67.4	49.5
Baltimore Co.	470	406	339	159	92	54	32	73	67	48	38.0	31.4	22.5
Carroll	63	60	46	22	13	7	2	15	9	4	8.9	4.8	2.6
Harford	115	108	86	59	40	18	12	28	42	22	12.0	20.3	16.1
Howard	126	112	87	40	38	16	9	38	25	16	14.1	10.9	8.3
R-III-Western	296	297	255	170	137	73	74	80	72	54	40.7	35.5	32.8
Allegany	72	59	62	31	25	4	7	16	21	20	7.1	11.1	11.4
Frederick	98	103	90	59	53	20	28	30	23	19	16.4	11.9	12.4
Garrett	39	44	30	8	4	1	0	3	6	4	1.7	2.0	2.4
Washington	89	92	73	72	55	48	39	31	22	11	15.5	10.5	6.5
R-IV-Eastern	420	372	309	80	78	22	24	79	84	47	29.0	35.5	28.6
Caroline	23	21	18	3	4	2	2	1	3	5	1.9	2.0	1.7
Cecil	90	60	50	6	8	1	2	13	11	4	3.9	4.1	2.6
Dorchester	62	54	38	16	11	3	4	13	9	5	3.2	5.3	3.4
Kent	15	14	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.3	0.0	0.4
Queen Anne's	22	23	14	9	3	4	2	12	5	3	2.6	2.2	1.3
Somerset	25	38	38	4	8	0	1	6	10	5	3.0	3.6	3.3
Talbot	14	16	12	3	1	0	0	2	5	0	0.4	1.4	0.8
Wicomico	116	92	86	36	33	12	7	28	34	19	11.5	14.4	11.6
Worcester	54	53	45	3	10	0	6	3	7	5	2.2	2.5	3.5
R-V-Southern	597	542	494	140	127	36	27	134	110	113	73.1	63.4	66.0
Anne Arundel	315	326	325	89	98	28	25	81	82	73	42.9	42.4	45.3
Calvert	60	64	53	9	1	0	0	6	5	4	4.5	3.4	4.2
Charles	100	62	55	20	17	2	0	27	12	24	14.4	7.8	8.8
St. Mary's	122	91	60	22	11	6	2	20	11	12	11.4	9.8	7.7
R-VI-Metro	822	799	621	270	190	91	61	155	173	98	92.8	94.3	70.6
Montgomery	412	388	311	162	125	62	39	78	100	51	45.5	52.0	42.0
Prince George's	410	411	310	108	65	29	22	77	73	47	47.4	42.3	28.6
Out-of-State⁺⁺	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	13	20	9	8.5	12.8	10.1
Statewide	3,605	3,281	2,705	1,189	835	364	259	826	768	513	411.7	395.4	314.4

* Includes all pre-court, probation, and community aftercare. **Dispositions shown are for cases referred during the FY. FY 2020 data are not shown due to many cases pending disposition. *** "Placements" and "ADP" reflect annual activity, and don't reflect just cases referred in FY, many of which also may not be placed. ++ N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction. + If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

- Between FY 2018 and FY 2020, Committed Placements decreased from 826 to 513 and ADP decreased from 411.7 to 314.4.
- Between FY 2018 and FY 2019, Cases with Committed Dispositions decreased from 1,189 to 835 and VOPs resulting in Committed Dispositions decreased from 364 to 259.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Change in Percentage for Trends By Region/County of Residence/ Jurisdiction

INTAKE COMPLAINTS CHANGES FROM FY 2018-2020, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I-Balt. City	-43.2%	-770
R-V-Southern	-33.3%	-1,420
R-VI-Metro	-29.1%	-983
R-II-Central	-19.0%	-1,029
R-III-Western	-13.7%	-269
R-IV-Eastern	-10.0%	-285
Statewide	-24.2%	-4,756

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Charles	-51.0%	-392
Harford	-44.7%	-368
Baltimore City	-43.2%	-770
Montgomery	-41.5%	-965
Anne Arundel	-33.5%	-857
Queen Anne's	-30.1%	-41
St. Mary's	-27.3%	-151
Howard	-25.0%	-169
Caroline	-24.1%	-59
Worcester	-23.6%	-119
Garrett	-23.5%	-36
Washington	-23.3%	-183
Talbot	-22.2%	-34
Frederick	-15.9%	-97
Dorchester	-14.5%	-66
Carroll	-13.4%	-49
Baltimore County	-12.4%	-443
Wicomico	-9.0%	-69
Cecil	-5.7%	-20
Calvert	-5.3%	-20
Prince George's	-1.7%	-18
Kent	4.3%	3
Allegany	11.2%	47
Somerset	67.4%	120

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION PLACEMENT CHANGES FROM FY 2018-2020, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I-Balt. City	-40.9%	-307
R-VI-Metro	-33.0%	-144
R-IV-Eastern	-32.4%	-82
R-II-Central	-29.9%	-123
R-III-Western	-23.0%	-42
R-V-Southern	-20.6%	-48
Statewide[^]	-32.2%	-760

[^]Includes out-of-state data

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Kent	-100.0%	-6
Queen Anne's	-91.7%	-11
Garrett	-66.7%	-6
Calvert	-58.6%	-17
Cecil	-58.5%	-31
Charles	-49.1%	-28
St. Mary's	-49.0%	-25
Harford	-42.1%	-24
Baltimore City	-40.9%	-307
Dorchester	-40.7%	-11
Montgomery	-40.1%	-81
Carroll	-39.1%	-9
Washington	-38.0%	-35
Howard	-37.7%	-26
Talbot	-33.3%	-2
Prince George's	-26.8%	-63
Wicomico	-26.2%	-27
Baltimore County	-24.3%	-64
Worcester	-4.5%	-1
Allegany	-2.9%	-1
Frederick	0.0%	0
Somerset	12.5%	2
Anne Arundel	22.9%	22
Caroline	62.5%	5

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Change in Percentage for Trends By Region/County of Residence/ Jurisdiction (cont.)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION ADP CHANGES FROM FY 2018-2020, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-VI-Metro	-34.11%	-8
R-II-Central	-33.56%	-8
R-I-Balt. City	-32.33%	-12
R-IV-Eastern	-19.65%	-3
R-III-Western	-0.22%	0
R-V-Southern	12.38%	1
Statewide[^]	-23.75%	-30

[^] Includes out-of-state data

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Queen Anne's	-99.40%	0
Kent	-90.99%	0
Calvert	-66.49%	-1
Cecil	-58.45%	-2
Harford	-56.00%	-2
Charles	-45.83%	-1
Howard	-45.02%	-1
Montgomery	-44.73%	-6
St. Mary's	-44.66%	-1
Washington	-39.75%	-2
Garrett	-35.81%	0
Baltimore City	-32.33%	-12
Baltimore County	-32.10%	-6
Prince George's	-16.18%	-1
Wicomico	-14.44%	-1
Worcester	-12.33%	0
Talbot	7.97%	0
Dorchester	8.29%	0
Somerset	23.25%	0
Frederick	26.94%	1
Allegany	42.83%	1
Carroll	50.41%	1
Anne Arundel	97.80%	4
Caroline	>100%	1

POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION PLACEMENT^{1*} CHANGES FROM FY 2018-2020, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I-Balt. City	-53.7%	-117
R-IV-Eastern	-44.9%	-31
R-VI-Metro	-36.9%	-59
R-II-Central	-34.1%	-46
R-III-Western	-31.8%	-21
R-V-Southern	-27.3%	-35
Statewide[^]	-39.8%	-312

[^] Includes out-of-state data

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Talbot	-83.3%	-5
Washington	-83.3%	-20
Queen Anne's	-75.0%	-6
Cecil	-70.0%	-7
Dorchester	-60.0%	-6
Carroll	-57.1%	-4
St. Mary's	-57.1%	-12
Baltimore City	-53.7%	-117
Howard	-51.7%	-15
Somerset	-50.0%	-3
Montgomery	-42.2%	-35
Harford	-37.9%	-11
Wicomico	-36.4%	-8
Frederick	-35.7%	-10
Prince George's	-31.2%	-24
Anne Arundel	-24.4%	-20
Baltimore County	-22.9%	-16
Charles	-18.2%	-4
Kent	0.0%	0
Worcester	25.0%	1
Calvert	33.3%	1
Allegany	46.2%	6
Caroline	>100%	3
Garrett	>100%	3

* Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

¹ Includes post-ejection detention placements.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION ADP^{1*} CHANGES FROM FY 2018-2020, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-III-Western	-33.28%	-1
R-I-Balt. City	-31.76%	-6
R-II-Central	-22.66%	-2
R-VI-Metro	-19.33%	-2
R-IV-Eastern	-16.79%	-1
R-V-Southern	21.33%	2
Statewide[^]	-18.71%	-11

[^] Includes out-of-state data

POST-EJECTION DETENTION PLACEMENT* CHANGES FROM FY 2018-2020, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-IV-Eastern	-72.7%	-16
R-III-Western	-68.8%	-11
R-II-Central	-52.4%	-22
R-VI-Metro	-47.4%	-18
R-V-Southern	-44.4%	-12
R-I-Balt. City	-42.3%	-11
Statewide[^]	-52.0%	-90

[^] Includes out-of-state data

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Washington	-77.58%	-1
Cecil	-66.69%	-1
Queen Anne`s	-59.68%	0
Frederick	-52.39%	-1
Howard	-52.19%	-1
Carroll	-43.54%	0
Baltimore City	-31.76%	-6
Prince George`s	-26.48%	-2
Harford	-23.69%	0
Talbot	-21.05%	0
Somerset	-19.58%	0
Wicomico	-11.23%	0
Montgomery	-9.66%	-1
Baltimore County	-4.37%	0
Anne Arundel	-1.98%	0
Kent	24.66%	0
Dorchester	44.46%	0
Caroline	74.15%	0
Charles	75.65%	1
Allegany	94.84%	1
Calvert	96.43%	0
Garrett	>100%	0
St. Mary`s	>100%	1
Worcester	>100%	0

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Calvert	-100.0%	-1
Carroll	-100.0%	-3
Queen Anne`s	-100.0%	-4
Somerset	-100.0%	-1
Washington	-100.0%	-6
Cecil	-80.0%	-4
Montgomery	-66.7%	-12
Talbot	-66.7%	-2
Wicomico	-66.7%	-4
Howard	-61.5%	-8
Anne Arundel	-52.6%	-10
Baltimore County	-52.6%	-10
Allegany	-50.0%	-1
Dorchester	-50.0%	-1
Frederick	-50.0%	-4
St. Mary`s	-50.0%	-2
Baltimore City	-42.3%	-11
Prince George`s	-30.0%	-6
Harford	-14.3%	-1
Caroline	0.0%	0
Charles	33.3%	1
Garrett	N/A	0
Kent	N/A	0
Worcester	N/A	0

¹ Includes post-ejection detention placements. * Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Change in Percentage for Trends By Region/County of Residence/ Jurisdiction (cont.)

**POST-EJECTION DETENTION ADP* CHANGES FROM
FY 2018-2020, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE**

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-III-Western	-75.02%	-1
R-II-Central	-51.42%	-2
R-IV-Eastern	-47.11%	-1
R-VI-Metro	-38.33%	-2
R-I-Balt. City	-26.98%	-1
R-V-Southern	-3.17%	0
Statewide[^]	-38.89%	-7

[^] Includes out-of-state data

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Calvert	-100.00%	0
Carroll	-100.00%	-1
Queen Anne`s	-100.00%	0
Washington	-100.00%	0
Allegany	-72.23%	0
Frederick	-65.88%	-1
Cecil	-65.17%	-1
Howard	-64.57%	-1
Montgomery	-55.66%	-1
Baltimore County	-37.77%	-1
Anne Arundel	-34.65%	-1
Caroline	-28.77%	0
Baltimore City	-26.98%	-1
Prince George`s	-24.82%	-1
Wicomico	-16.38%	0
Harford	-7.52%	0
Dorchester	3.11%	0
Talbot	7.25%	0
Charles	49.08%	0
St. Mary`s	>100%	1
Garrett	N/A	0
Kent	N/A	0
Somerset	N/A	0
Worcester	N/A	0

* Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

**ADULT COURT PLACEMENT* CHANGES FROM FY
2018-2020, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE**

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I-Balt. City	-29.9%	-41
R-II-Central	-10.9%	-6
R-VI-Metro	3.6%	3
R-III-Western	11.8%	2
R-IV-Eastern	16.7%	2
R-V-Southern	>100.0%	17
Statewide[^]	-7.2%	-24

[^] Includes out-of-state data

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Harford	-66.7%	-2
Allegany	-50.0%	-1
Frederick	-37.5%	-3
Baltimore City	-29.9%	-41
Wicomico	-25.0%	-3
Baltimore County	-14.6%	-7
Prince George`s	-3.4%	-2
Calvert	0.0%	0
Montgomery	20.0%	5
Washington	71.4%	5
Howard	75.0%	3
Anne Arundel	>100%	10
Charles	>100%	4
St. Mary`s	>100%	3
Caroline	N/A	0
Carroll	N/A	0
Cecil	N/A	1
Dorchester	N/A	0
Garrett	N/A	1
Kent	N/A	1
Queen Anne`s	N/A	0
Somerset	N/A	2
Talbot	N/A	1
Worcester	N/A	0

ADULT COURT ADP* CHANGES FROM FY 2018-2020, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I-Balt. City	-33.64%	-19
R-III-Western	-2.25%	0
R-II-Central	10.73%	2
R-VI-Metro	16.01%	3
R-IV-Eastern	25.76%	1
R-V-Southern	>100%	5
Statewide[^]	-4.74%	-5

[^] Includes out-of-state data

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Calvert	-97.29%	-1
Worcester	-77.40%	0
Harford	-57.40%	-1
Frederick	-34.24%	-2
Baltimore City	-33.64%	-19
Allegany	-15.46%	0
Wicomico	-7.56%	0
Prince George's	-6.06%	-1
Baltimore County	-4.38%	-1
Washington	29.69%	1
Montgomery	77.57%	4
Anne Arundel	>100%	4
Charles	>100%	1
Howard	>100%	3
St. Mary's	>100%	1
Caroline	N/A	0
Carroll	N/A	0
Cecil	N/A	1
Dorchester	N/A	0
Garrett	N/A	1
Kent	N/A	1
Queen Anne's	N/A	0
Somerset	N/A	0
Talbot	N/A	0

* Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

CASES WITH PROBATION DISPOSITION¹ CHANGES FROM FY 2018-2019, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I-Balt. City	-35.3%	-95
R-VI-Metro	-23.7%	-120
R-II-Central	-22.2%	-189
R-III-Western	-19.9%	-64
R-IV-Eastern	-17.8%	-49
R-V-Southern	5.8%	21
Statewide	-19.2%	-496

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Dorchester	-63.3%	-38
Carroll	-58.7%	-27
Queen Anne's	-58.3%	-7
Caroline	-55.2%	-16
St. Mary's	-51.1%	-23
Harford	-44.9%	-48
Charles	-40.2%	-35
Baltimore City	-35.3%	-95
Garrett	-34.6%	-9
Prince George's	-29.2%	-57
Washington	-27.3%	-39
Montgomery	-20.2%	-63
Allegany	-19.5%	-8
Worcester	-17.4%	-8
Baltimore County	-16.5%	-103
Howard	-14.7%	-11
Cecil	-13.3%	-6
Frederick	-7.2%	-8
Talbot	0.0%	0
Calvert	16.7%	5
Wicomico	26.1%	12
Anne Arundel	36.6%	74
Somerset	60.0%	12
Kent	>100%	2

¹ Includes cases referred during the FY. FY 20 data are not shown due to many cases pending disposition.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent Youth under juvenile court jurisdiction except adult court tables (placement and ADP).

Change in Percentage for Trends By Region/County of Residence/ Jurisdiction (cont.)

**AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD CHANGES FROM
FY 2018-2020, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE**

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I-Balt. City	-32.7%	-227
R-II-Central	-27.9%	-216
R-IV-Eastern	-26.5%	-111
R-VI-Metro	-24.4%	-200
R-V-Southern	-17.4%	-104
R-III-Western	-14.1%	-42
Statewide	-25.0%	-901

**CASES WITH COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS^{1*} CHANGES FROM
FY 2018-2019, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE**

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I-Balt. City	-51.8%	-129
R-II-Central	-34.6%	-97
R-VI-Metro	-29.6%	-80
R-III-Western	-19.4%	-33
R-V-Southern	-9.3%	-13
R-IV-Eastern	-2.5%	-2
Statewide	-29.8%	-354

County	Percent Change	# Difference
St. Mary's	-50.4%	-61
Charles	-45.3%	-45
Cecil	-44.1%	-40
Kent	-40.0%	-6
Dorchester	-39.3%	-24
Queen Anne's	-37.6%	-8
Baltimore City	-32.7%	-227
Howard	-31.3%	-39
Baltimore County	-27.8%	-130
Carroll	-27.4%	-17
Wicomico	-26.2%	-31
Harford	-25.1%	-29
Prince George's	-24.4%	-100
Montgomery	-24.4%	-100
Garrett	-22.7%	-9
Caroline	-21.1%	-5
Washington	-17.7%	-16
Worcester	-16.6%	-9
Allegany	-13.0%	-9
Calvert	-11.9%	-7
Talbot	-10.4%	-1
Frederick	-8.2%	-8
Anne Arundel	3.3%	10
Somerset	49.5%	12

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Calvert	-88.9%	-8
Queen Anne's	-66.7%	-6
Talbot	-66.7%	-2
Baltimore City	-51.8%	-129
Garrett	-50.0%	-4
St. Mary's	-50.0%	-11
Baltimore County	-42.1%	-67
Carroll	-40.9%	-9
Prince George's	-39.8%	-43
Harford	-32.2%	-19
Dorchester	-31.3%	-5
Washington	-23.6%	-17
Montgomery	-22.8%	-37
Allegany	-19.4%	-6
Charles	-15.0%	-3
Frederick	-10.2%	-6
Wicomico	-8.3%	-3
Howard	-5.0%	-2
Anne Arundel	10.1%	9
Caroline	33.3%	1
Cecil	33.3%	2
Somerset	100.0%	4
Worcester	>100%	7
Kent	N/A	0

¹ Includes cases referred during the FY. FY 20 data are not shown due to many cases pending disposition; *Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

VOPs RESULTING IN COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS^{1*} CHANGES FROM FY 2018-2019, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I-Balt. City	-61.7%	-29
R-II-Central	-42.1%	-40
R-VI-Metro	-33.0%	-30
R-V-Southern	-25.0%	-9
R-III-Western	1.4%	1
R-IV-Eastern	9.1%	2
Statewide	-28.8%	-105

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Charles	-100.0%	-2
Garrett	-100.0%	-1
Carroll	-71.4%	-5
St. Mary's	-66.7%	-4
Baltimore City	-61.7%	-29
Queen Anne's	-50.0%	-2
Howard	-43.8%	-7
Wicomico	-41.7%	-5
Baltimore County	-40.7%	-22
Montgomery	-37.1%	-23
Harford	-33.3%	-6
Prince George's	-24.1%	-7
Washington	-18.8%	-9
Anne Arundel	-10.7%	-3
Caroline	0.0%	0
Dorchester	33.3%	1
Frederick	40.0%	8
Allegany	75.0%	3
Cecil	100.0%	1
Calvert	N/A	0
Kent	N/A	0
Somerset	N/A	1
Talbot	N/A	0
Worcester	N/A	6

¹ Includes cases referred during the FY. FY 20 data are not shown due to many cases pending disposition; * Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0. ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

COMMITTED PLACEMENT⁺ CHANGES FROM FY 2018-2020, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I-Balt. City	-51.7%	-109
R-II-Central	-41.6%	-64
R-IV-Eastern	-40.5%	-32
R-VI-Metro	-36.8%	-57
R-III-Western	-32.5%	-26
R-V-Southern	-15.7%	-21
Statewide[^]	-37.9%	-313

[^] Includes out-of-state data

County	Percent Change	# Difference
Talbot	-100.0%	-2
Queen Anne's	-75.0%	-9
Carroll	-73.3%	-11
Cecil	-69.2%	-9
Washington	-64.5%	-20
Dorchester	-61.5%	-8
Howard	-57.9%	-22
Baltimore City	-51.7%	-109
St. Mary's	-40.0%	-8
Prince George's	-39.0%	-30
Frederick	-36.7%	-11
Montgomery	-34.6%	-27
Baltimore County	-34.2%	-25
Calvert	-33.3%	-2
Wicomico	-32.1%	-9
Harford	-21.4%	-6
Somerset	-16.7%	-1
Charles	-11.1%	-3
Anne Arundel	-9.9%	-8
Kent	0.0%	0
Allegany	25.0%	4
Garrett	33.3%	1
Worcester	66.7%	2
Caroline	>100%	4

⁺ "Placements" reflects annual activity, and not just cases referred in FY, many of which also may not be placed

Change in Percentage for Trends By Region/County of Residence/ Jurisdiction (cont.)

COMMITTED ADP⁺ CHANGES FROM FY 2018-2020,
ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	Percent Change	# Difference
R-I-Balt. City	-39.94%	-38
R-II-Central	-32.13%	-23
R-VI-Metro	-24.00%	-22
R-III-Western	-19.57%	-8
R-V-Southern	-9.65%	-7
R-IV-Eastern	-1.14%	0
Statewide[^]	-23.63%	-97

[^] Includes out-of-state data


County	Percent Change	# Difference
Carroll	-70.59%	-6
Washington	-58.16%	-9
Queen Anne`s	-51.40%	-1
Howard	-40.96%	-6
Baltimore County	-40.89%	-16
Baltimore City	-39.94%	-38
Prince George`s	-39.72%	-19
Charles	-38.53%	-6
Cecil	-34.26%	-1
St. Mary`s	-32.23%	-4
Frederick	-24.04%	-4
Caroline	-11.59%	0
Montgomery	-7.64%	-3
Calvert	-6.70%	0
Wicomico	1.09%	0
Anne Arundel	5.73%	2
Dorchester	7.49%	0
Somerset	10.61%	0
Kent	26.70%	0
Harford	34.72%	4
Garrett	40.25%	1
Allegany	60.14%	4
Worcester	62.50%	1
Talbot	>100%	0

⁺ "Placements" reflects annual activity, and not just cases referred in FY, many of which also may not be placed.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.


Long-Term Trends

JUVENILE COMPLAINTS TOTAL AND BY SEX FY 2011-2020




- Juvenile complaints decreased 58.4% between FY 2011 and FY 2020. Both sexes saw a decrease between FY 2011 and FY 2020 and the percent reduction was similar for males and females (59.8% for males and 54.7% for females).

JUVENILE COMPLAINTS BY RACE/ETHNICITY FY 2011-2020



- Between FY 2011 and FY 2020, juvenile complaints decreased for all races/ethnicities: Blacks (55.6%), Whites (66.2%), and youth of other races/ethnicities including Hispanic youth (41.4%).

DJS CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS FY 2011-2020



- Authorized formal petitions comprised the highest number of case forwarding decisions until FY 2019. Between FY 2011 and FY 2020, authorized formal petitions decreased 63.9%, the number of cases being resolved or disapproved decreased 48.0%, and informals decreased 67.2%.

Data Sources: Data prior to FY 2018 will reflect previously reported values unless there was a methodological change.

Long-Term Trends (cont.)


ALOS FOR PROBATION RELEASES, PRE-D PLACEMENTS AND COMMITTED PLACEMENTS FY 2011-2020

ALOS (Days)	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Probation Releases	427.3	406.0	391.9	387.8	396.8	407.4	430.9	437.5	450.6	458.5
Pre-D Placements	16.0	16.0	14.1	15.8	16.3	15.9	18.3	18.3	19.7	21.0
Committed Placements*	203.4	198.9	192.8	216.8	229.2	210.8	195.3	169.4	185.2	201.6

* ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer.

- Between FY 2011 and FY 2020, ALOS for probation releases increased 7.3%, pre-D detention ALOS increased 31.1%, and committed ALOS remained relatively stable (decreased 0.9%).


CASES WITH COMMITTED DISPOSITION AND VOPs RESULTING IN COMMITTED DISPOSITION, FY 2011-2019*



* Many 2020 cases are still pending disposition; therefore, data for FY 2020 are not presented here.

- Between FY 2011 and FY 2019, cases with committed dispositions decreased 60.7%, and VOPs resulting in a committed disposition decreased 51.1%.

PERCENTAGE OF COMMITTED DISPOSITION FOR VOP FY 2011-2019*




* Many 2020 cases are still pending disposition; therefore, data for FY 2020 are not presented here.

- Between FY 2011 and FY 2019, the percentage of committed dispositions for VOP increased from 24.9% to 31.0%. Since its peak in FY 2015, the percentage of committed dispositions for VOP decreased from 36.0% to 31.0%.


Data Sources: Data prior to FY 2018 will reflect previously reported values unless there was a methodological change.

DETAINED AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (ADP) FY 2011-2020




- Between FY 2011 and FY 2020, ADP for the total detained population decreased 44.3%. Pre-Disposition ADP has consistently been higher than Pending Placement ADP and both groups saw a decrease between FY 2011 and FY 2020 (62.7% decrease for Pre-Disposition and 74.9% for Pending Placement). The population of youth charged as adults began being tracked in FY 2014 and ADP increased 191.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2020.

COMMITTED RESIDENTIAL AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (ADP) FY 2011-2020



- Between FY 2011 and FY 2020, ADP for the total committed population decreased 66.3%. ADP decreased 70.4% for Private In-State facilities and 56.1% for DJS-Operated facilities, while ADP for Private Out-of-State facilities decreased 64.8%.

DJS SUPERVISED YOUTH FY 2011-2020




- Between FY 2011 and FY 2020, total caseload decreased 64.6%, informal cases decreased 65.3%, aftercare cases decreased 66.9%, and probation cases decreased 62.9%.

Data Sources: Data prior to FY 2018 will reflect previously reported values unless there was a methodological change.

Long-Term Trends (cont.)

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL¹ FY 2011-2020




¹ Includes placements with a post-validation MCASP.

*The Very High category was added in FY 2020 after the MCASP Needs Assessment was validated. Pre-validation data (FY 2011-FY 2019) are not directly comparable to FY 2020 due to the reorganization of all categories.

- For committed placements with a completed MCASP, the percentage with a low risk decreased from 14.3% in FY 2011 to 10.4% in FY 2019. During the same period, the percentage with a high risk increased from 49.2% to 65.6%.

Section III: Detention



In October 2019, three youth from Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center painted a beautiful mural of a sunset seascape with design and outline created by a Residential Advisor.

Introduction to Detention

DJS operates seven detention facilities across the State to provide temporary and secure custody of youth subject to court jurisdiction. Youth may be detained at various points in the juvenile justice system. The juvenile court may order detention for youth pending a court hearing (pre- or post-disposition), pending initial placement in a committed program, or pending placement in a committed program post-ejection. Youth are most commonly detained for new complaints alleging delinquent behavior, writs and warrants issued by the court, or violations of alternative to detention (ATD) program conditions. Note that youth pending adult criminal charges may also be held in DJS detention facilities while the transfer of jurisdiction from adult to juvenile court is being considered (see “Youth Charged as Adults Pending Transfer” on page 126).

DETENTION PROCESS

The decision whether to detain a youth is driven primarily by the juvenile court. However, juvenile detention may be authorized by DJS intake officers on a temporary basis at the request of a law enforcement officer or community detention officer. DJS is empowered by statute to detain a youth who either poses a clear risk to themselves/others, or is deemed likely to leave court jurisdiction. This emergent decision is guided by the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) (see Appendix F) and is subject to court review on the next court day. The DRAI objectively informs detention decisions by taking into account three factors:

- (1) the assessed risk that a youth will reoffend or fail to appear for a court hearing, based on known risk factors in the youth’s history;
- (2) the seriousness of the current alleged offense; and
- (3) other factors that require DJS to temporarily detain a youth regardless of risk or offense seriousness, including an outstanding writ, warrant, or interstate hold.

Based on these three factors, the DRAI recommends either release, placement in an ATD, or detention. The DRAI is a key tool for the Department to ensure fair and evidence-based decision making regarding the use of secure detention.

DETENTION ADMISSIONS


Each detention facility operates an admissions area 24 hours a day where all youth admitted to detention are processed. An



Lower Eastern Shore Children’s Center celebrated fall with Spirit Week, which included pumpkin and Halloween decoration contests, mask making, and trick-or-treating.

admissions officer interviews youth to collect and verify basic identifying information. The admissions officer also performs a search of the DJS information system, ASSIST, to obtain available information on a youth’s delinquency and placement history. A photograph of each youth is taken and included in the case record for identification purposes. The rules of the detention facility, including the grievance procedure, are provided to each youth in the facility handbook. Youth are issued clothing to wear in the facility. Personal clothing is cleaned, catalogued, packaged, and placed in secure storage.

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2018-2020



*The capacity at Noyes changed from 57 to 29 on March 27, 2020. This change is not included in the graph above.

Total ADP has consistently been below capacity.

The admissions process includes an initial health screening. It is performed by admissions nursing staff and consists of a review of physical health, mental health, and substance abuse needs. A full medical history and physical examination is conducted by a licensed physician or nurse practitioner within seven days of admission. In addition, youth receive preventative care by a dentist or dental trained personnel within 14 calendar days of admission. (See page vi for a description of the Department’s response to the COVID-19 crisis, which involves further screening and special processing.)

DETENTION CASE MANAGEMENT

Youth who are detained by the juvenile court are assigned a DJS community case manager and a facility case manager. The community case manager visits the youth in detention, interviews the youth and family, and completes required investigations and/or assessments, e.g., a Social History Investigation or MCASP Needs Assessment (see Appendix N). The facility case manager acts as a liaison with the court, family, community case manager, and treatment service providers while the youth is in detention. The facility case manager also assists youth with understanding facility rules and procedures and the behavior management system upon admission to the facility. They facilitate individual meetings, weekly groups, activities and answer any questions the youth may have. They monitor youth progress and compliance with the behavior management system and may provide information and input for the Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team meetings.

Services Provided in Detention Facilities

BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES

DJS Behavioral Health Services (BHS) is responsible for establishing and implementing policies governing the delivery of mental health, substance abuse, and evidence-based treatment services to youth under DJS supervision. BHS maintains a staff of licensed, certified counselors and



Youth at the Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School spent quality time with their loved ones and enjoyed a night of painting.

contractors to provide complete assessments and necessary services. BHS provides integrated mental health and substance use disorder screening, assessments, and short-term crisis services in DJS detention facilities.

Upon admission to a detention center, each youth is screened by a qualified mental health professional. The screening consists of an interview, the administration of tests as appropriate, and

the review of any prior assessments or records (e.g., psychosocial or clinical assessments). If the initial mental health screen reveals mental health concerns, recommendations are made for more in-depth assessments or additional services. These assessments, conducted by psychiatrists or licensed psychologists,

CAPACITY, ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND UTILIZATION, FY 2020*

Facility	Capacity	Admissions	ADP	Utilization
Alfred D. Noyes	57 ⁺	217	27.2	54.6% ⁺
Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Ctr	120	519	69.7	58.1%
Charles H. Hickey School	72	426	49.7	69.1%
Cheltenham Youth Facility	72	464	50.4	70.0%
Lower Eastern Shore Children's Ctr	24	189	14.4	59.8%
Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Ctr	42	236	22.3	53.1%
Western MD Children's Center	24	166	19.1	79.7%
Total	411[^]	2,010^{**}	252.9[^]	61.5%[^]

⁺Includes Adult Court; ^{**}Total represents placements not admissions; [^]Data represents July 1, 2019-March 26, 2020. The capacity was reduced to 29 on March 27, 2020. From March 27, 2020-June 30, 2020, the utilization rate was 56.6%.
[^]Includes data for Noyes for the full fiscal year.

examine the emotional, adaptive, and cognitive functioning of detained youth with greater mental health issues.

Additionally, certified addictions counselors screen and assess youth in detention and determine appropriate levels of substance abuse treatment needs. This information assists case managers in linking youth with appropriate community and residential services. Addictions counselors work closely with the Department's medical director for those youth requiring medication management as a result of their drug addiction.

EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

The Maryland State Department of Education's (MSDE) Juvenile Services Education System (JSES) provides educational programming to youth in DJS detention facilities consistent with the requirements of the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR), Title 13A. The program includes instruction in core content subjects, Career and Technology Education, library/media services, life skills, and special education services. Students are pre-tested upon entry to the JSES program to assess baseline academic skills. Teachers use the results to design learning plans for each student which form the basis of daily instruction. After each 30-day period of enrollment, the student is retested to determine academic gains.

Students in a JSES program can earn credit toward the Maryland High School Diploma which they may receive upon return to their home high school. Alternately, some students are enrolled in classes designed to prepare them to take their GED examination. DJS educational Transition Specialists assist youth who are detained for more than five days with re-enrollment in their local school. They obtain records from the facility, and forward them to the local school's Pupil Personnel Worker (PPW), Registrar and to the assigned DJS community case manager. In some counties, the case manager and Education Liaison assist with the process. The Transition Specialist then follows up with the assigned school for the youth's enrollment date.

RECREATIONAL SERVICES

Structured recreation programs are available to all youth in detention. The recreation program provides a variety of activities promoting physical health and wellness. Youth are required to participate in recreational activities for a minimum of one hour per day.

Pending Placement

PENDING PLACEMENT (POST-DISPOSITION)

Youth who have been committed to the custody of the Department for residential placement may be placed in detention on a temporary basis



Youth at the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center learn hands-on CPR from the Baltimore City Fire Department.


by the juvenile court. Youth detained post-disposition are considered to be 'pending placement' as they are waiting to be admitted or placed into an out-of-home committed treatment program.

Youth who are pending placement receive the same core services as youth detained prior to disposition, including educational services, screening, evaluation, and treatment for substance abuse, mental health, and somatic health (including dietary and dental).

Youth receive biweekly visits from their community case manager. Each facility also allows family visitation during the week or on weekends (the visitation schedule varies by facility).

A review hearing is held in accordance with Md. Code and Judicial Proceedings, 3-8A-15(k) for any youth in detention 25 days after the court has made a disposition. At the hearing, DJS is required to explain the reasons for continued detention. The court will continue to conduct a hearing every 25 days thereafter until a youth has been placed.

JUVENILE DETENTION POPULATION ADP*, HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED**, FY 2014-2023



*ADP includes all youth in pre-disposition and post-disposition (pending placement) status, and does not include the youth charged as adults pending transfer population as that is presented separately at the bottom of this page.

** Projected values were generated by the average of an ARIMA (Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) model and an exponential smoothing model.

ADP is projected to decrease 37.9% between FY 2020 and FY 2023.

During the pending placement period, the community case manager maintains contact with the youth's family and is also responsible for reporting to the juvenile court regarding the youth's placement status. In addition to court review, pending placement cases are reviewed by the Department on a weekly basis at facility management meetings. Regional-level meetings are held on a monthly basis to review placement statistics and identify barriers to placement and ways to expedite the process.

PENDING PLACEMENT AFTER EJECTION FROM A COMMITTED PROGRAM

Some youth committed to the custody of the Department cannot be safely maintained in their program and must be ejected. Youth ejected from a committed program who require a new committed placement are often placed in detention. Those with a new offense would be considered Pre-Disposition (Pre-D).

While detained pending a new placement, the Department's Central Review Committee (CRC) re-evaluates the youth's case and works to secure acceptance to the most appropriate alternative program. Youth ultimately placed in a DJS-operated program are transferred within 7 days of the CRC decision, provided there is available bed space.


Population Projections

Each year DJS produces a projection of Maryland's detention population using a set of statistical techniques known as time-series forecasting. Time-series forecasting assumes that there is a pattern in the historical values that can be identified. The goal is to define the pattern, understand the short-term and long-term trends, and pinpoint any seasonal fluctuations. Time-series forecasting then utilizes the pattern, trend, and seasonal variation identified in the historical data to project future values. Unless a future change is explicitly accounted

for, such models implicitly assume that current policies and practices will continue into the future. Future changes in policies, practices, or other critical factors can impact the future population and often cannot be anticipated. Many factors can have an impact on the number of youth admitted to the State's detention facilities and, thus, on the size of the detention population. Demographic shifts, trends in crime rates, the volume and patterns of arrests, and the number and types of incidents referred to the juvenile justice system each contribute to changes in the number of youth who are detained.

The projections were generated from all historical data available at the time that they were produced. Projection models were selected

YOUTH CHARGED AS ADULTS PENDING TRANSFER DETENTION POPULATION ADP, HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED*, FY 2016-2023



* Projected values were generated by the average of an ARIMA (Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) model and an exponential smoothing model.

ADP is projected to decrease 2.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2023.


based on rigorous statistical testing and the best fit to the historical data. The information is designed to be a tool for policymakers and practitioners, and can be useful for discussions pertaining to budgeting, operations, capacity planning, and development of services, including alternatives to detention for Maryland's youth.

A Note to Readers:

Placements versus Admissions: A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

For a detailed description of DJS' COVID-19 response and reforms see page vi.

DJS Secure Detention Facilities by Region




Total Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Total Capacity: 411 beds


DJS operates seven detention facilities across Maryland. Each facility accommodates youth awaiting juvenile court disposition (Pre-D) and youth committed to DJS by the juvenile court and pending placement in a residential program (Post-D). The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing and who need a new committed placement. Youth with pending adult criminal charges may also be held in DJS detention facilities (see pages 126-127 for more detail). These youth are included in the data presented except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2018-2020



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Ejection, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020



YOUTH PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Youth Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	77.5%	77.6%	74.8%
White	15.0%	15.0%	16.0%
Hispanic/Other	7.5%	7.4%	9.2%
Sex			
Male	83.6%	84.7%	83.3%
Female	16.4%	15.3%	16.7%
Age			
11 and under	0.3%	0.5%	0.5%
12	0.7%	1.6%	1.6%
13	4.0%	5.2%	7.0%
14	12.6%	11.0%	12.0%
15	21.1%	21.3%	20.3%
16	24.9%	22.3%	21.9%
17	24.2%	24.8%	25.2%
18-20	12.3%	13.2%	11.5%
Total Placements from Juv. Court	2,663	2,404	1,752

Source of Placements (FY 2020):

- 95.4% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 70 were from Washington, D.C.; 8 were from Pennsylvania; 6 were from Virginia; 2 were from Massachusetts; and 1 each was from Delaware, Florida, Kentucky, North Carolina, Ohio, and Texas.

Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 31.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 25.7% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Pre-D placements decreased 32.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 24.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Post-D placements decreased 36.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 29.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Ejection placements decreased 52.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 50.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Adult Court placements decreased 7.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 14.6% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI - See Appendix F) FY2020:

- 81.6% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 14.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 6.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Post-D increased 37.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 24.6% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Ejections increased 20.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 7.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Adult Court decreased 15.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 2.7% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2018-2020:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 30.8% to 33.3%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 21.0% to 18.2%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 43.1% to 43.6%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 15.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 9.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

YOUTH PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2020*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	7.9%	2.1%	6.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	7.8%	4.1%	3.6%
Carjacking	4.1%	7.2%	7.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	7.0%	6.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	2.3%	2.4%
Disturbing the Peace	1.9%	1.8%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	8.9%	3.1%	2.4%
Handgun Violation	2.4%	5.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.7%	4.4%	7.2%
Manslaughter	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	2.1%	1.2%
Murder	0.8%	1.0%	2.4%
Narcotics Distribution	4.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.5%	2.3%	2.4%
Other/Missing ¹	6.8%	2.1%	2.4%
Resisting Arrest	1.8%	1.3%	2.4%
Robbery	12.4%	12.9%	19.3%
Second Degree Assault	16.8%	19.1%	24.1%
Sex Offense	1.9%	4.4%	3.6%
Theft Felony	4.2%	1.8%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.6%	10.8%	7.2%
Trespassing	1.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.8%	1.8%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	33.3%	28.4%	34.9%
Felony	18.2%	15.5%	14.5%
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	3.4%	3.6%
- Property	12.2%	3.9%	6.0%
- Drugs	4.2%	1.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	7.0%	4.8%
Misdemeanor	43.6%	54.9%	49.4%
- Person-to-Person	26.8%	30.9%	31.3%
- Property	14.2%	19.1%	14.5%
- Drugs	1.8%	2.3%	2.4%
- Unspecified	0.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Ordinance Offenses	0.6%	0.3%	1.2%
Citations	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Placement	1,600	388	83


* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained. Data does not include Adult Court.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, False Statement, Forgery/Counterfeit, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.


ADP* BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2018-2020



* ADP includes the entire fiscal year for Noyes.


** Capacity for Noyes was reduced from 57 to 29 on 3/27/2020; capacity shown reflects 57 for Noyes since this was in effect for the majority of the fiscal year.

PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2018-2020



*81.6% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.


ALOS BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2018-2020



Female Statewide Activity for Detention Centers


DJS operates three detention facilities that serve girls: Alfred D. Noyes Children’s Center (co-ed), Lower Eastern Shore Children’s Center (co-ed), and Thomas J.S. Waxter Children’s Center. Some youth are detained awaiting disposition (Pre-D), and other youth are post-disposition (Post-D) awaiting placement in a committed program. The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 126-127 for more detail), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2018-2020



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Ejection, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020



YOUTH PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Youth Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	68.5%	68.8%	69.9%
White	23.1%	22.0%	21.2%
Hispanic/Other	8.4%	9.2%	8.9%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%
12	1.1%	3.0%	1.4%
13	4.3%	9.2%	9.2%
14	14.6%	12.7%	14.7%
15	23.5%	18.7%	22.6%
16	22.1%	23.6%	21.9%
17	22.1%	23.0%	21.6%
18-20	11.6%	9.5%	8.2%
Total Placements from Juv. Court	438	369	292

• Source of Placements (FY 2020):

- 96.5% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 5 were from Washington, D.C.; and 1 each was from Florida, Massachusetts, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, and Virginia.

• Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 32.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 19.6% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Pre-D placements decreased 34.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 22.2% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Post-D placements decreased 14.9% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 37.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Ejection placements did not change between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 21.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Adult Court placements decreased 13.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 9.1% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI - See Appendix F) FY2020:

- 82.8% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility’s page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 20.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 3.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Post-D increased 47.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 18.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Ejections decreased 14.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 21.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Adult Court increased 20.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 5.2% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2018-2020:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 24.1% to 25.5%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 11.3% to 12.4%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 53.7% to 53.6%.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP did not change between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 13.6% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

YOUTH PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2020*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	2.6%	5.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	5.3%	9.1%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.0%	8.8%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	6.4%	1.8%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.9%	5.3%	9.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.7%	7.0%	0.0%
Murder	1.1%	1.8%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.5%	1.8%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	12.0%	3.5%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.6%	3.5%	0.0%
Robbery	11.2%	10.5%	27.3%
Second Degree Assault	24.7%	26.3%	45.5%
Sex Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.0%	3.5%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.6%	14.0%	9.1%
Trespassing	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	25.5%	17.5%	27.3%
Felony	12.4%	12.3%	9.1%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
- Property	10.9%	3.5%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.5%	1.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	5.3%	9.1%
Misdemeanor	53.6%	64.9%	63.6%
- Person-to-Person	34.8%	40.4%	45.5%
- Property	18.0%	24.6%	18.2%
- Drugs	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.1%	5.3%	0.0%
CINS	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>4.9%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	267	57	11


* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained. Data does not include Adult Court.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, False Statement, Forgery/Counterfeit, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.


ADP* BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2018-2020



* ADP includes the entire fiscal year for Noyes.


** Capacity for Noyes was reduced from 57 to 29 on 3/27/2020; capacity shown reflects 57 for Noyes since this was in effect for the majority of the fiscal year.

PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2018-2020



*82.8% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.


ALOS BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2018-2020



Under Age 13 Statewide Activity for Detention Centers


Youth under 13 who are detained by the court represent very few of the youth in DJS detention centers. Rather than placing these youth in a detention facility, DJS most frequently uses an alternative to detention (ATD) with placement at home or in a shelter. However, if a youth must be in a detention center they are housed with youth closest in age and size. If deemed highly vulnerable they may be placed in the infirmary, with one-on-one staff supervision or sent to a smaller facility with a lower population. Wherever placed, youth under 13 receive all the same services as other detained youth. *Note: youth under age 13 are not eligible to be charged in adult court.*

PLACEMENTS, FY 2018-2020



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020



YOUTH PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Youth Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	66.7%	76.0%	83.8%
White	33.3%	16.0%	8.1%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	8.0%	8.1%
Sex			
Male	74.1%	76.0%	86.5%
Female	25.9%	24.0%	13.5%
Age*			
8 and under	0	0	0
9	0	1	0
10	1	4	2
11	8	6	7
12	18	39	28
Total Placements from Juv. Court	27	50	37

*Due to the small number of youth in each age category, actual numbers are presented instead of percentages.

• Source of Placements (FY 2020):

- 97.3% of placements were from regions within Maryland. The 1 from out of state was from Pennsylvania.

• Placement Trends:

- Total placements increased 37.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 26.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Pre-D placements increased 42.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 22.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Post-D placements decreased 50.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 66.7% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Ejection placements did not change between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 100.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI - See Appendix F) FY2020:

- 78.4% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D decreased 26.9% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 13.2% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Post-D decreased 95.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 96.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Ejections did not change between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 100.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2018-2020:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 69.2% to 48.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 3.8% to 2.7%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 26.9% to 45.9%.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 12.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 7.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

YOUTH PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2020*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	21.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	8.1%	100.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	48.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	45.9%	100.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	18.9%	100.0%	0.0%
- Property	24.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>2.7%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	37	1	0


* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, False Statement, Forgery/Counterfeit, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

ADP* BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2018-2020




* ADP includes the entire fiscal year for Noyes.

PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2018-2020



*78.4% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.


ALOS BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2018-2020



Youth of Color Statewide Activity for Detention Centers


The data shown in this section provide additional detail for youth of color (non-white youth). Although each summary page in the Data Resource Guide Detention Section provides an overall race/ethnicity breakdown for detention placements in the Demographics table, the tables in this section show more detailed information on placements and ADP by type and Region, offense type and severity, and length of stay for youth of color as a whole. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 126-127 for more detail), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2018-2020



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Ejection, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020



YOUTH PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Youth Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	91.2%	91.3%	89.0%
White	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	8.8%	8.7%	11.0%
Sex			
Male	85.1%	85.9%	84.4%
Female	14.9%	14.1%	15.6%
Age			
11 and under	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%
12	0.5%	1.6%	1.8%
13	3.8%	5.1%	7.1%
14	13.1%	10.9%	12.4%
15	21.6%	21.1%	20.0%
16	25.0%	22.8%	20.8%
17	23.8%	24.5%	25.2%
18-20	11.9%	13.5%	12.2%
Total Placements from Juv. Court	2,264	2,043	1,472

Source of Placements (FY 2020):

- 95.0% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 70 were from Washington, D.C.; 6 were from Pennsylvania; 5 were from Virginia; and 1 each was from Delaware, Florida, Kentucky, North Carolina, and Texas.

Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 31.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 26.1% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Pre-D placements decreased 32.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 25.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Post-D placements decreased 41.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 30.5% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Ejection placements decreased 52.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 52.8% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Adult Court placements decreased 5.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 13.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI - See Appendix F) FY2020:

- 81.2% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 11.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 5.7% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Post-D increased 39.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 26.7% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Ejections increased 19.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 6.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Adult Court decreased 16.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 4.2% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2018-2020:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 32.7% to 35.7%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 22.6% to 18.2%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 41.0% to 41.3%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 17.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 10.6% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

YOUTH PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2020*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	7.4%	2.7%	3.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	8.0%	4.0%	4.5%
Carjacking	4.8%	8.3%	9.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	7.6%	7.5%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	3.0%	3.0%
Disturbing the Peace	1.8%	1.7%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	8.8%	2.0%	1.5%
Handgun Violation	2.4%	5.6%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.4%	2.3%	6.0%
Manslaughter	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%	1.7%	1.5%
Murder	0.7%	1.3%	3.0%
Narcotics Distribution	4.6%	1.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.4%	2.0%	1.5%
Other/Missing ¹	6.3%	1.7%	3.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.8%	1.3%	1.5%
Robbery	14.2%	15.9%	22.4%
Second Degree Assault	16.0%	17.9%	23.9%
Sex Offense	1.3%	3.0%	1.5%
Theft Felony	4.4%	1.7%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.3%	10.6%	7.5%
Trespassing	1.8%	1.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.5%	2.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	35.7%	33.2%	41.8%
Felony	18.2%	15.3%	9.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	1.7%	0.0%
- Property	11.9%	4.3%	3.0%
- Drugs	4.6%	1.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.1%	8.3%	6.0%
Misdemeanor	41.3%	50.5%	47.8%
- Person-to-Person	25.6%	29.9%	31.3%
- Property	13.5%	16.3%	13.4%
- Drugs	1.7%	2.0%	1.5%
- Unspecified	0.5%	2.3%	1.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.6%	0.3%	1.5%
Citations	0.9%	0.7%	0.0%
CINS	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Offenses by Placement</i>	1,356	301	67


* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained. Data does not include Adult Court.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, False Statement, Forgery/Counterfeit, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

ADP* BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2018-2020




* ADP includes the entire fiscal year for Noyes.

PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2018-2020



*81.2% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.

ALOS BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2018-2020



Youth Charged as Adults Pending Transfer

Youth ages 17 and under who are charged with a crime excluded from juvenile court jurisdiction may be held in adult detention centers pending trial. If ordered by the court, youth charged as adults who are eligible to file for transfer of charges to juvenile court may be held in a secure juvenile detention facility pending a transfer hearing to determine if jurisdiction should remain in the adult court or be transferred to the juvenile court. (Md. Code, Criminal Procedure, §4-202). Although DJS had previously entered into agreements with local criminal justice agencies to house such youth, the statute was amended effective October 1, 2015 requiring a youth charged as adult who is eligible for transfer to the juvenile court to be held in a secure juvenile detention facility pending that transfer decision unless: (1) the youth is released; (2) there is no capacity in the secure juvenile facility; or (3) the court finds that detention in a secure juvenile facility would pose a risk of harm to the child or others, and states the reasons for the finding on the record. Data in this section represent those youth held in DJS detention facilities for the adult court pending a transfer hearing. This section also provides information on youth whose complaints were transferred to the juvenile court, though they may or may not have been detained during the report year.

PLACEMENTS FOR FY 2019-2020 AND ADP, ALOS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020 (LEFT SIDE). CASES TRANSFERRED TO THE JUVENILE COURT WITH COURT DISPOSITIONS BY REGION OF JURISDICTION, FY 2020 (RIGHT SIDE).

Region/County	Placements		FY 2020		FY 2020 Complaints			
	FY 2019	FY 2020	ADP	ALOS	Transferred to Juvenile Court	Committed	Probation	Dismissed/Other
R-I- Balt. City	120	96	37.5	129.2	68	41.2%	36.8%	22.1%
Baltimore City	120	96	37.5	129.2	68	41.2%	36.8%	22.1%
R-II- Central	68	49	19.8	119.0	74	27.0%	56.8%	16.2%
Baltimore Co.	53	41	15.3	114.5	63	23.8%	58.7%	17.5%
Carroll	1	0	0.0	0.0	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%
Harford	9	1	0.6	0.0	2	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Howard	5	7	4.0	184.2	4	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%
R-III- Western	19	19	8.9	121.6	5	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%
Allegany	6	1	0.3	102.8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Frederick	7	5	3.5	163.1	4	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Garrett	0	1	0.7	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Washington	6	12	4.3	95.2	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
R-IV- Eastern	12	14	4.9	101.3	13	7.7%	69.2%	23.1%
Caroline	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cecil	1	1	0.7	0.0	2	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Dorchester	0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Kent	1	1	0.5	113.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Queen Anne's	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Somerset	2	2	0.2	37.3	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Talbot	0	1	0.0	0.6	2	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Wicomico	6	9	3.4	143.5	2	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Worcester	2	0	0.0	109.2	4	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%
R-V- Southern	34	30	9.7	93.4	35	60.0%	28.6%	11.4%
Anne Arundel	21	19	6.7	94.6	27	70.4%	14.8%	14.8%
Calvert	0	1	0.0	10.3	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Charles	10	6	1.7	100.4	7	28.6%	71.4%	0.0%
St. Mary's	3	4	1.3	92.9	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
R-VI- Metro	87	87	21.4	72.1	69	20.3%	49.3%	30.4%
Montgomery	35	30	8.6	80.2	16	12.5%	81.3%	6.3%
Prince George's	52	57	12.7	68.2	53	22.6%	39.6%	37.7%
Out-of-State	23	15	5.4	88.1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Statewide	363	310	107.6	105.3	264	86	123	55

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2020

Most Serious Alleged Juvenile Offense of Transferred Cases	FY 2020
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.4%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	2.3%
Carjacking	8.3%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.8%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%
First Degree Assault-Felony	22.0%
Handgun Violation	20.8%
Kidnapping	0.4%
Malicious Destruction	0.4%
Manslaughter	0.4%
Murder	4.2%
Narcotics Distribution	0.8%
Narcotics Possession	0.8%
Other/Missing	0.8%
Robbery	26.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	1.1%
Sex Offense	8.0%
Theft - Misdemeanor	0.4%
Total Transferred Cases	264

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020

Crime of Violence*	75.8%
Felony	3.8%
- Person-to-Person	1.5%
- Property	0.4%
- Drugs	0.8%
- Unspecified	1.1%
Misdemeanor	20.5%
- Person-to-Person	18.9%
- Property	0.8%
- Drugs	0.8%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
Missing	0.0%
Total Transferred Cases	264

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

Note: The population data for youth detained by the adult court are also included in the data presented in the rest of the Detention section, with the exception of demographics, offense and risk level tables/charts. It is important to note that the offense data shown here reflect the resulting juvenile court offense for those cases that were transferred down from adult court jurisdiction, and do not reflect the original adult charge.

YOUTH CHARGED AS ADULTS PENDING TRANSFER DEMOGRAPHICS BY DETENTION FACILITY, FY 2020

Demographics	Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center	Balt. City Juvenile Justice Center	Charles H. Hickey Jr. School	Cheltenham Youth Detention Center	Lower East Shore Children's Center	Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center	Western Maryland Children's Center	Statewide*
Race								
Black	64.4%	91.7%	91.7%	72.0%	81.8%	53.3%	50.0%	78.1%
White	2.2%	2.8%	1.7%	0.0%	18.2%	20.0%	40.0%	5.2%
Hispanic/Other	33.3%	5.6%	6.7%	28.0%	0.0%	26.7%	10.0%	16.8%
Sex								
Male	86.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	95.0%	93.5%
Female	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.0%	6.5%
Age								
14	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
15	4.4%	1.9%	1.7%	3.2%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%
16	42.2%	35.2%	46.7%	38.7%	18.2%	46.7%	20.0%	39.7%
17	46.7%	53.7%	46.7%	52.7%	72.7%	46.7%	75.0%	52.6%
18-20	6.7%	8.3%	5.0%	4.3%	0.0%	6.7%	5.0%	4.8%
Total Admissions	45	108	60	93	11	15	20	310
ADP	11.1	37.6	22.9	20.9	2.4	5.1	7.6	107.6
ALOS	65.8	109.6	118.4	76.0	59.3	83.4	92.4	105.3

* Statewide admissions exclude facility transfers

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center

Superintendent: Antonia Reason


Region VI: Metro

9925 Blackwell Road
Rockville, MD 20850
301-315-1610

Rated Capacity: 29 (males and females) as of March 27, 2020; the previous rated capacity was 57.


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 126-127 for more detail), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2018-2020



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Ejection, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020



YOUTH ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Youth Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	66.2%	67.6%	57.7%
White	12.8%	10.3%	13.7%
Hispanic/Other	21.0%	22.1%	28.6%
Sex			
Male	71.3%	72.4%	74.3%
Female	28.7%	27.6%	25.7%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.3%	0.6%
12	0.8%	2.2%	0.6%
13	3.8%	5.8%	6.9%
14	9.5%	9.3%	12.6%
15	22.1%	21.8%	18.3%
16	29.2%	33.0%	28.0%
17	25.9%	18.9%	21.7%
18-20	8.7%	8.7%	11.4%
Total Admissions from Juv. Court	390	312	175

Source of Admissions (FY 2020):

- 83.9% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 32 were from Washington, D.C.; and 1 each was from North Carolina, Pennsylvania, and Virginia.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 51.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 40.2% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 52.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 42.6% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Post-D admissions decreased 45.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 45.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Ejection admissions decreased 66.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 60.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Adult Court admissions decreased 25.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 15.1% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI - See Appendix F) FY2020:

- 57.9% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- Statewide pages show overall ALOS including transfers between facilities. Facility pages show ALOS only at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 32.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 7.7% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Post-D increased 63.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 47.6% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Ejections increased 64.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 1.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Adult Court increased 26.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 8.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2018-2020:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 33.2% to 33.3%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 13.9% to 17.6%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 43.0% to 42.1%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 17.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 16.2% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- FY 2020 Pre-D ADP for males was 8.7 and for females was 1.9.
- FY 2020 Post-D ADP for males was 3.0 and for females was 1.7.
- FY 2020 Ejection ADP for males was 0.7 and for females was 0.1.
- FY 2020 Adult Court ADP for males was 9.7 and for females was 1.5.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

YOUTH ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2020*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	11.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.9%	15.4%	12.5%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.6%	3.8%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	8.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.1%	3.8%	12.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%	3.8%	12.5%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.8%	1.9%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.9%	1.9%	12.5%
Robbery	15.1%	11.5%	12.5%
Second Degree Assault	17.6%	19.2%	25.0%
Sex Offense	3.8%	7.7%	0.0%
Theft Felony	6.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.8%	13.5%	12.5%
Trespassing	1.9%	3.8%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	33.3%	21.2%	12.5%
Felony	17.6%	19.2%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.9%	3.8%	0.0%
- Property	13.8%	1.9%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.9%	13.5%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	42.1%	55.8%	87.5%
- Person-to-Person	23.3%	28.8%	50.0%
- Property	18.2%	23.1%	25.0%
- Drugs	0.6%	1.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	1.9%	12.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	3.8%	3.8%	0.0%
CINS	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>2.5%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	159	52	8


* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained. Data does not include Adult Court.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, False Statement, Forgery/Counterfeit, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance

² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.


ADP* BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018-2020



* ADP represents the entire fiscal year.


** Capacity for Noyes was reduced from 57 to 29 on 3/27/2020; capacity shown reflects 57 for Noyes since this was in effect for the majority of the fiscal year.

PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2018-2020



*The DRAI is completed at initial placement, and not at subsequent facility admissions/transfers. 57.9% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI in FY 2020.

ALOS BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018-2020



Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center

Superintendent: Andre Smith


Rated Capacity: 120 Males

Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 126-127 for more detail), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

Region I: Baltimore City


300 North Gay Street
Baltimore, MD 21202
443-263-8998

ADMISSIONS, FY 2018-2020



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Ejection, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020



*Includes out-of-state youth

YOUTH ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Youth Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	95.1%	96.1%	93.3%
White	2.8%	1.9%	2.1%
Hispanic/Other	2.1%	2.0%	4.5%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
12	0.0%	1.5%	1.4%
13	3.7%	5.1%	6.2%
14	11.3%	10.9%	12.2%
15	21.7%	21.2%	27.4%
16	25.5%	17.4%	16.9%
17	25.8%	27.0%	22.2%
18-20	11.6%	16.4%	13.6%
Total Admissions from Juv. Court	760	688	419

Source of Admissions (FY 2020):

- 100.0% of placements were from regions within Maryland.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 39.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 34.5% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 42.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 33.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Post-D admissions decreased 56.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 31.7% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Ejection admissions decreased 44.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 64.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Adult Court admissions decreased 19.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 16.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI - See Appendix F) FY2020:

- 86.4% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- Statewide pages show overall ALOS including transfers between facilities. Facility pages show ALOS only at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 11.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 19.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Post-D increased 36.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 5.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Ejections increased 56.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 36.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Adult Court decreased 19.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 2.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2018-2020:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 31.3% to 41.1%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 37.2% to 24.9%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 30.2% to 32.7%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 29.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 15.7% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

YOUTH ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2020*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	8.1%	3.7%	10.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	6.3%	2.4%	15.0%
Carjacking	8.4%	22.0%	20.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	7.3%	5.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	4.9%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	8.6%	1.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	6.0%	14.6%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	1.6%	1.2%	10.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.8%	1.2%	0.0%
Murder	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	10.5%	2.4%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.4%	1.2%	5.0%
Other/Missing ¹	3.1%	4.9%	10.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	17.0%	12.2%	5.0%
Second Degree Assault	10.7%	3.7%	15.0%
Sex Offense	1.6%	3.7%	0.0%
Theft Felony	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	3.9%	7.3%	5.0%
Trespassing	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.0%	4.9%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	41.1%	40.2%	40.0%
Felony	24.9%	20.7%	15.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.1%	3.7%	0.0%
- Property	12.3%	3.7%	10.0%
- Drugs	10.5%	2.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	11.0%	5.0%
Misdemeanor	32.7%	36.6%	40.0%
- Person-to-Person	21.5%	20.7%	20.0%
- Property	7.9%	9.8%	15.0%
- Drugs	2.4%	1.2%	5.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	4.9%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	1.3%	1.2%	5.0%
Citations	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	382	82	20


* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained. Data does not include Adult Court.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, False Statement, Forgery/Counterfeit, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance


² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018-2020




PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2018-2020



*The DRAI is completed at initial placement, and not at subsequent facility admissions/transfers. 86.4% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI in FY 2020.

ALOS BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018-2020



Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School

Superintendent: Danjuma Gaskins

Rated Capacity: 72 Males

Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 126-127 for more detail), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.


Region II: Central

9700 Old Harford Road

Parkville, MD 21234


410-663-7700

ADMISSIONS, FY 2018-2020



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Ejection, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020



*Includes out-of-state youth

YOUTH ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Youth Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	77.2%	76.2%	74.2%
White	19.5%	16.8%	20.5%
Hispanic/Other	3.3%	7.0%	5.3%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.4%	0.0%	1.3%
12	0.8%	0.0%	2.4%
13	2.5%	4.7%	5.3%
14	12.8%	9.4%	11.3%
15	20.4%	22.9%	15.3%
16	24.9%	19.3%	24.2%
17	23.7%	30.7%	28.9%
18-20	14.4%	13.0%	11.3%
Total Admissions from Juv. Court	514	446	380

• Source of Admissions (FY 2020):

- 98.4% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 3 were from Pennsylvania; 2 were from Washington, D.C.; and 1 each was from Delaware and Massachusetts.

• Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 25.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 17.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 21.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 10.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Post-D admissions decreased 32.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 30.6% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Ejection admissions decreased 58.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 43.8% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Adult Court admissions decreased 13.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 25.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI - See Appendix F) FY2020:

- 84.1% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- Statewide pages show overall ALOS including transfers between facilities. Facility pages show ALOS only at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D decreased 1.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 2.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Post-D increased 29.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 40.8% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Ejections decreased 17.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 2.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Adult Court increased 17.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 5.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2018-2020:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 28.1% to 35.9%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 25.1% to 19.7%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 44.1% to 42.0%.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 16.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 5.5% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

YOUTH ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2020*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	9.9%	1.3%	5.6%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	11.3%	6.7%	5.6%
Carjacking	5.8%	10.7%	5.6%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	4.0%	5.6%
Disturbing the Peace	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	11.3%	2.7%	11.1%
Handgun Violation	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.9%	2.7%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.7%	2.7%	0.0%
Murder	0.9%	0.0%	11.1%
Narcotics Distribution	4.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	3.5%	2.7%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	0.0%	5.6%
Robbery	11.6%	14.7%	11.1%
Second Degree Assault	16.2%	30.7%	27.8%
Sex Offense	1.2%	5.3%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.6%	1.3%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.1%	9.3%	11.1%
Trespassing	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	35.9%	34.7%	38.9%
Felony	19.7%	10.7%	11.1%
- Person-to-Person	2.6%	4.0%	5.6%
- Property	12.8%	2.7%	5.6%
- Drugs	4.1%	1.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	2.7%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	42.0%	54.7%	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	24.9%	41.3%	38.9%
- Property	16.2%	13.3%	11.1%
- Drugs	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	345	75	18


* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained. Data does not include Adult Court.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, False Statement, Forgery/Counterfeit, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance


² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018-2020




PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2018-2020



*The DRAI is completed at initial placement, and not at subsequent facility admissions/transfers. 84.1% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI in FY 2020.

ALOS BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018-2020



Cheltenham Youth Detention Center

Superintendent: Andre Mullings

Rated Capacity: 72 Males

Region VI: Metro


11003 Frank Tippet Road

Cheltenham, MD 20623

301-782-2400


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 126-127 for more detail), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2018-2020



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Ejection, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020



YOUTH ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Youth Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	74.4%	75.9%	73.5%
White	15.7%	17.2%	14.1%
Hispanic/Other	9.9%	7.0%	12.3%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	1.2%	1.3%
13	3.6%	2.0%	7.7%
14	12.4%	10.2%	7.7%
15	19.1%	20.7%	18.8%
16	26.8%	24.3%	23.4%
17	24.1%	25.4%	27.8%
18-20	13.8%	16.2%	13.4%
Total Admissions from Juv. Court	523	489	389

Source of Admissions (FY 2020):

- 90.9% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 36 were from Washington, D.C.; 5 were from Virginia; and 1 was from Kentucky.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 21.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 19.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 22.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 16.7% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Post-D admissions decreased 32.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 16.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Ejection admissions decreased 39.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 37.5% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Adult Court admissions increased 13.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 7.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI - See Appendix F) FY2020:

- 79.7% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- Statewide pages show overall ALOS including transfers between facilities. Facility pages show ALOS only at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 24.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 0.6% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Post-D ALOS increased 53.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 15.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for ejections increased 10.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 40.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for adults decreased 10.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 4.8% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2018-2020:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 43.8% to 37.3%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 12.0% to 17.0%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 41.2% to 38.8%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP increased 4.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 6.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

YOUTH ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2020*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	6.7%	3.9%	4.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	6.1%	1.9%	4.0%
Carjacking	2.4%	1.9%	4.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	8.7%	8.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%	2.9%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	9.4%	5.8%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.4%	3.9%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.1%	4.9%	8.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	1.2%	2.9%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.4%	1.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.0%	3.9%	8.0%
Other/Missing ¹	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.6%	1.0%	0.0%
Robbery	14.5%	20.4%	28.0%
Second Degree Assault	17.0%	20.4%	20.0%
Sex Offense	2.7%	3.9%	8.0%
Theft Felony	7.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.7%	9.7%	8.0%
Trespassing	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	37.3%	34.0%	40.0%
Felony	17.0%	17.5%	16.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.3%	1.9%	4.0%
- Property	13.9%	5.8%	4.0%
- Drugs	2.4%	1.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	8.7%	8.0%
Misdemeanor	38.8%	48.5%	44.0%
- Person-to-Person	23.6%	29.1%	20.0%
- Property	10.9%	15.5%	16.0%
- Drugs	2.7%	3.9%	8.0%
- Unspecified	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Placement	330	103	25


* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained. Data does not include Adult Court.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, False Statement, Forgery/Counterfeit, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance


² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018-2020




PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2018-2020



*The DRAI is completed at initial placement, and not at subsequent facility admissions/transfers. 79.7% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI in FY 2020.

ALOS BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018-2020



Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center

Superintendent: Derrick Witherspoon

Rated Capacity: 18 Males and 6 Females

Region IV: Eastern Shore


405 Naylor Mill Road

Salisbury, MD 21801

443-523-1526


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 126-127 for more detail), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2018-2020



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Ejection, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020



*Includes out-of-state youth

YOUTH ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Youth Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	76.2%	73.8%	73.6%
White	19.9%	22.0%	24.2%
Hispanic/Other	3.8%	4.3%	2.2%
Sex			
Male	79.3%	75.5%	68.0%
Female	20.7%	24.5%	32.0%
Age			
11 and under	1.5%	2.1%	2.8%
12	1.9%	5.0%	2.8%
13	4.2%	8.2%	13.5%
14	12.6%	12.4%	20.2%
15	19.2%	22.7%	20.2%
16	27.2%	20.9%	15.7%
17	24.1%	22.3%	18.0%
18-20	9.2%	6.4%	6.7%
Total Admissions from Juv. Court	261	282	178

Source of Admissions (FY 2020):

- 97.4% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 3 were from Pennsylvania; and 1 each was from Florida and Ohio.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 33.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 36.6% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 27.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 33.7% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Post-D admissions decreased 37.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 36.5% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Ejection admissions decreased 64.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 68.8% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Adult Court admissions decreased 52.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 35.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI - See Appendix F) FY2020:

- 90.2% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- Statewide pages show overall ALOS including transfers between facilities. Facility pages show ALOS only at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 21.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 21.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Post-D increased 41.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 61.5% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Ejections increased 59.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 11.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Adult Court decreased 4.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 9.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2018-2020:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 16.9% to 14.7%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 12.4% to 11.7%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 61.3% to 66.9%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 21.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 19.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- FY 2020 Pre-D ADP for males was 6.5 and for females was 2.2.
- FY 2020 Post-D ADP for males was 2.1 and for females was 0.6.
- FY 2020 Ejection ADP for males was 0.3 and for females was 0.2.
- FY 2020 Adult Court ADP for males was 2.4 and for females was 0.0.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

YOUTH ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2020*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	4.9%	3.0%	40.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	4.3%	12.1%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.6%	6.1%	20.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.6%	6.1%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.5%	6.1%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.8%	3.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.4%	21.2%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.2%	3.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	16.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	4.9%	3.0%	0.0%
Robbery	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	27.6%	9.1%	20.0%
Sex Offense	2.5%	9.1%	20.0%
Theft Felony	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	3.7%	9.1%	0.0%
Trespassing	1.2%	3.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.8%	3.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	14.7%	9.1%	0.0%
Felony	11.7%	12.1%	80.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.8%	3.0%	20.0%
- Property	7.4%	3.0%	40.0%
- Drugs	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	6.1%	20.0%
Misdemeanor	66.9%	78.8%	20.0%
- Person-to-Person	47.2%	33.3%	20.0%
- Property	17.2%	42.4%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.8%	3.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Placement	163	33	5


* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained. Data does not include Adult Court.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, False Statement, Forgery/Counterfeit, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance


² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018-2020




PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2018-2020



*The DRAI is completed at initial placement, and not at subsequent facility admissions/transfers. 90.2% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI in FY 2020.

ALOS BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018-2020



Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center

Superintendent: Lisa Steeple

Rated Capacity: 42 Females

Region V: Southern


375 Red Clay Road, S.W.

Laurel, MD 20724

301-362-6160


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 126-127 for more detail), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2018-2020



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Ejection, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020



*Includes out-of-state youth

YOUTH ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Youth Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	74.2%	70.3%	73.0%
White	18.3%	21.6%	19.8%
Hispanic/Other	7.5%	8.2%	7.2%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	99.5%
Age			
11 and under	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%
12	0.6%	1.5%	1.4%
13	3.0%	6.3%	6.8%
14	15.3%	11.2%	13.5%
15	23.4%	20.8%	23.4%
16	22.2%	21.6%	24.8%
17	21.6%	27.1%	21.2%
18-20	13.5%	11.2%	9.0%
Total Admissions from Juv. Court	333	269	222

Source of Admissions (FY 2020):

- 99.2% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 1 each was from Massachusetts and Texas.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 32.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 16.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 34.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 18.8% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Post-D admissions decreased 10.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 32.8% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Ejection admissions decreased 22.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 36.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Adult Court admissions decreased 21.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 7.1% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI - See Appendix F) FY2020:

- 84.9% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- Statewide pages show overall ALOS including transfers between facilities. Facility pages show ALOS only at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 18.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 8.8% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Post-D increased 57.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 6.2% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Ejections decreased 9.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 6.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Adult Court increased 62.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 11.5% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2018-2020:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 26.7% to 27.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 10.9% to 13.1%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 53.8% to 52.3%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP increased 6.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 9.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

YOUTH ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2020*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	3.5%	4.4%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.0%	8.9%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	6.5%	2.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.5%	2.2%	14.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.0%	4.4%	0.0%
Murder	1.5%	2.2%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	10.6%	4.4%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.0%	4.4%	0.0%
Robbery	11.6%	11.1%	28.6%
Second Degree Assault	23.1%	24.4%	57.1%
Sex Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.0%	4.4%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.0%	17.8%	0.0%
Trespassing	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	27.6%	17.8%	28.6%
Felony	13.1%	13.3%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
- Property	11.1%	4.4%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.0%	2.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	52.3%	66.7%	71.4%
- Person-to-Person	32.7%	40.0%	57.1%
- Property	18.6%	26.7%	14.3%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.0%	2.2%	0.0%
CINS	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>3.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	199	45	7


* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained. Data does not include Adult Court.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, False Statement, Forgery/Counterfeit, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance


² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018-2020




PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2018-2020



*The DRAI is completed at initial placement, and not at subsequent facility admissions/transfers. 84.9% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI in FY 2020.

ALOS BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018-2020



Western Maryland Children's Center

Superintendent: Mark Bishop


Rated Capacity: 24 Males

Region III: Western

18420 Roxbury Road
Hagerstown, MD 21740
301-745-6071


Note: The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed residential program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 126-127 for more detail), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2018-2020



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Ejection, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020



*Includes out-of-state youth

YOUTH ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Youth Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	59.8%	51.6%	48.7%
White	31.0%	35.7%	34.7%
Hispanic/Other	9.2%	12.7%	16.7%
Sex			
Male	97.9%	97.3%	99.3%
Female	2.1%	2.7%	0.7%
Age			
11 and under	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
12	2.1%	0.9%	0.0%
13	7.9%	6.3%	6.0%
14	14.2%	12.7%	14.7%
15	14.2%	14.0%	15.3%
16	23.4%	28.5%	23.3%
17	28.5%	25.8%	32.0%
18-20	9.2%	11.8%	8.7%
Total Admissions from Juv. Court	239	221	150

Source of Admissions (FY 2020):

- 97.6% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 3 were from Washington, D.C.; and 1 was from Pennsylvania.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 36.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 32.5% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 29.9% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 29.5% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Post-D admissions decreased 34.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 28.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Ejection admissions decreased 71.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 63.6% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Adult Court admissions decreased 23.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 20.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI - See Appendix F) FY2020:

- 77.9% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- Statewide pages show overall ALOS including transfers between facilities. Facility pages show ALOS only at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 30.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 9.8% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Post-D increased 68.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 123.6% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Ejections decreased 59.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 63.5% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- ALOS for Adult Court decreased 3.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 48.2% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2018-2020:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 19.1% to 25.7%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 16.0% to 13.2%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 57.7% to 55.1%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 6.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 14.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

YOUTH ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2020*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	9.6%	0.0%	12.5%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	10.3%	6.2%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	12.5%	25.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	11.0%	3.1%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	3.7%	6.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.1%	6.2%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	4.4%	12.5%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	4.4%	3.1%	0.0%
Robbery	5.9%	9.4%	37.5%
Second Degree Assault	21.3%	15.6%	12.5%
Sex Offense	1.5%	6.2%	12.5%
Theft Felony	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.4%	12.5%	0.0%
Trespassing	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	25.7%	12.5%	37.5%
Felony	13.2%	12.5%	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	3.7%	3.1%	12.5%
- Property	9.6%	3.1%	12.5%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	6.2%	25.0%
Misdemeanor	55.1%	75.0%	12.5%
- Person-to-Person	33.1%	28.1%	12.5%
- Property	17.6%	25.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	4.4%	12.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	9.4%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.5%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	136	32	8


* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained. Data does not include Adult Court.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcohol Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Escape, False Alarm, False Report, False Statement, Forgery/Counterfeit, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, and Violation of Local Ordinance


² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018-2020




PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2018-2020



*The DRAI is completed at initial placement, and not at subsequent facility admissions/transfers. 77.9% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI in FY 2020.

ALOS BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2018-2020



Alternatives to Detention (ATD)

DJS has a variety of programs designed to safely supervise youth in the community as an alternative to detention. ATDs include Community Detention (CD) - with or without Electronic/GPS Monitoring (EM), and Evening Reporting Centers (ERC) both serving females and males. In addition, DJS collaborates with private programs to provide additional ERC programming. These ERC programs include the Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Transition Center (PACT) for Baltimore City males, and Hearts and Homes for Youth, Inc., for males in Montgomery County. Shelter beds may also serve as an ATD in circumstances where release-eligible youth are unable to return home. ATD programs are used either by DJS intake staff for medium-risk youth presented for detention (placements must be reviewed by the juvenile court the next court day) or by the juvenile court directly. These alternatives allow youth to continue with school or work and maintain community ties, support systems, or alternative care. Youth on ATD supervision are expected to appear for scheduled hearings and not have any new arrests.

ADMISSIONS (ADM), ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM TYPE AND REGION, FY 2020⁺

Region of Residence	ADM	ADP	ALOS*
R-I - Baltimore City	696	55.4	28.3
CD	124	4.9	14.5
CD/EM	380	35.1	31.9
ERC	95	7.3	27.8
PACT	60	6.8	45.4
Shelter Care	37	1.2	11.6
R-II - Central	298	31.2	34.8
CD	38	1.2	8.4
CD/EM	248	28.6	39.1
ERC	7	0.8	22.5
Shelter Care	5	0.6	22.7
R-III - Western	133	13.5	35.3
CD	1	0.1	54.2
CD/EM	120	11.5	33.3
Shelter Care	12	1.9	53.7
R-IV - Eastern	233	24.3	36.0
CD	21	1.0	16.5
CD/EM	207	22.0	37.4
Shelter Care (Seasonal)	5	1.2	61.5
R-V - Southern	190	25.4	40.3
CD	6	0.9	38.6
CD/EM	178	24.3	41.1
ERC	1	0.0	4.8
Shelter Care	5	0.2	19.1
R-VI - Metro	253	34.9	44.1
CD	13	0.6	15.4
CD/EM	145	19.3	45.5
ERC	75	13.0	50.5
Shelter Care	20	2.0	32.3
Out-of-State	18	1.9	38.4
Total CD**	203	8.7	14.8
Total CD/EM**	1,294	142.6	37.2
Total ERC	178	21.1	36.8
Total PACT	60	6.8	45.4
Total Shelter Care**	86	7.3	26.5

⁺Youth can be in multiple ATDs simultaneously

*ALOS is measured in days

**Totals include out-of-state youth (see page 145 for breakdown)

- *Region of Residence (FY 2020):*
 - Over half (51.2%) of ATD program youth came from Baltimore City and Central Regions combined.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) FY 2018-FY 2020:*
 - CD decreased from 19.0 days to 14.8 days.
 - CD/EM increased from 33.9 days to 37.2 days.
 - ERC decreased from 45.7 days to 36.8 days.
 - PACT increased from 41.2 days to 45.4 days.
 - Shelter Care increased from 25.6 days to 26.5 days.
- *Average Daily Population (ADP) FY 2018-FY 2020:*
 - CD decreased 50.9%.
 - CD/EM decreased 17.0%.
 - ERC decreased 12.1%.
 - PACT decreased 31.7%.
 - Shelter Care decreased 54.9%.
- *ATD Program Youth:*
 - The total number of ATD youth decreased 26.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 17.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- *Most Serious Offense Trends FY 2018-2020:*
 - CD and CD/EM: the percentage of crimes of violence increased from 30.7% to 33.9%; the percentage of felonies decreased from 20.6% to 18.4%.
 - ERC: the percentage of crimes of violence increased from 36.8% to 46.6%; the percentage of felonies decreased from 30.8% to 25.3%.
 - PACT: the percentage of crimes of violence increased from 21.3% to 35.0%; the percentage of felonies decreased from 46.8% to 31.7%.
 - Shelter Care: the percentage of crimes of violence increased from 26.4% to 32.6%; the percentage of felonies increased from 21.8% to 22.1%.
- See pages 144 and 145 for specific program names and locations as well as Admissions, ADP, and ALOS data by Program Type and County for FY 2020.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE TYPE*, FY 2020


Most Serious Alleged Offense	CD & CD/EM**	ERC	PACT	Shelter Care
Arson	0.2%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	7.9%	10.7%	11.7%	5.8%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	8.1%	9.0%	1.7%	3.5%
Carjacking	4.8%	3.4%	6.7%	1.2%
Child Abuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%	2.3%
Disturbing the Peace	2.1%	0.6%	0.0%	2.3%
First Degree Assault-Felony	8.5%	9.6%	8.3%	5.8%
Handgun Violation	4.0%	4.5%	6.7%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.4%	0.6%	0.0%	3.5%
Manslaughter	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%
Murder	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Narcotics Distribution	5.5%	5.6%	15.0%	9.3%
Narcotics Possession	2.2%	0.6%	6.7%	4.7%
Other ¹	4.8%	1.1%	1.7%	1.2%
Resisting Arrest	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Robbery	12.7%	24.2%	18.3%	15.1%
Second Degree Assault-Misd	15.6%	10.1%	6.7%	16.3%
Sex Offense	1.9%	1.7%	0.0%	4.7%
Theft	10.5%	14.0%	15.0%	14.0%
Trespassing	1.1%	0.6%	0.0%	1.2%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdem.	1.3%	0.6%	1.7%	1.2%
Total Admissions	1,408	178	60	86

* A single admission may involve multiple offenses.

¹ "Other" includes Affray, Alcoholic Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Destructive Device Possession, Escape 2nd Degree-Remove Monitoring Device, False Alarm, False Statement, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Kidnapping, Motor Vehicle Tampering, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Threat of Mass Violence, Tobacco Violation, Truant, Ungovernable, and Violation of Local Ordinance

** Admissions counts are for CD/EM program as a whole, including CD alone and CD with EM.

YOUTH COUNT BY REGION OF RESIDENCE*, FY 2020



* Includes CD, CD/EM, ERC, PACT, and Shelter Care

ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE CATEGORY*, FY 2020

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Alleged Offense)	CD & CD/EM**	ERC	PACT	Shelter Care
Crime of Violence⁺	33.9%	46.6%	35.0%	32.6%
Felony	18.4%	25.3%	31.7%	22.1%
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	0.0%	1.7%	2.3%
- Property	11.2%	19.1%	15.0%	10.5%
- Drugs	5.5%	5.6%	15.0%	9.3%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	44.5%	27.5%	31.7%	43.0%
- Person-to-Person	26.9%	15.2%	11.7%	25.6%
- Property	14.9%	11.2%	11.7%	14.0%
- Drugs	1.3%	0.6%	6.7%	2.3%
- Unspecified	1.3%	0.6%	1.7%	1.2%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.6%	1.7%	0.0%
Citations	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
CINS	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	1,408	178	60	86

* A single admission may involve multiple offenses.

⁺ See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

** Admissions counts are for CD/EM program as a whole, including both CD alone and CD with EM.

YOUTH COUNT BY DEMOGRAPHICS*, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	78.0%	79.1%	76.5%
White	16.5%	14.9%	15.0%
Hispanic/Other	5.5%	6.0%	8.5%
Sex			
Male	84.1%	84.9%	84.0%
Female	15.9%	15.1%	16.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.4%	0.9%	1.2%
12	1.0%	2.1%	2.1%
13	4.8%	6.3%	7.7%
14	12.5%	10.5%	12.9%
15	21.0%	21.5%	20.9%
16	25.4%	24.1%	22.5%
17	26.3%	26.5%	24.9%
18-20	8.4%	8.1%	7.8%
Total Youth¹	1,556	1,389	1,140

* Includes CD, CD/EM, ERC, PACT, and Shelter Care

¹ Total represents a count of youth served, since youth may be served by multiple ATD programs.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION AND SHELTER CARE BY REGION, FY 2020

Region I - Baltimore City	Location
Baltimore City Community Detention	Baltimore City
Baltimore City Electronic Monitoring	Baltimore City
Baltimore City Evening Reporting Center	Baltimore City
Baltimore City PACT Center	Baltimore City
Region II - Central	
Baltimore County Community Detention	Baltimore Co.
Baltimore County Electronic Monitoring	Baltimore Co.
Carroll County Community Detention	Carroll
Carroll County Electronic Monitoring	Carroll
Children's Home (Group Home)*	Baltimore Co.
Harford County Community Detention	Harford
Harford County Electronic Monitoring	Harford
Howard County Community Detention	Howard
Howard County Electronic Monitoring	Howard
MAGIC- Unity Home for Girls (Group Home)*	Baltimore Co.
The Board of Child Care - Sh. Term High Inten. GH*	Baltimore Co.
Region III - Western	
Allegany County Community Detention	Allegany
Allegany County Electronic Monitoring	Allegany
Frederick County Community Detention	Frederick
Frederick County Electronic Monitoring	Frederick
Garrett County Community Detention	Garrett
Garrett County Electronic Monitoring	Garrett
Washington County Community Detention	Washington
Washington County Electronic Monitoring	Washington

*This facility, which is a group home, is used for short-term or shelter placements as needed and based on bed availability.

Region IV - Eastern Shore	Location
Caroline County Community Detention	Caroline
Caroline County Electronic Monitoring	Caroline
Cecil County Community Detention	Cecil
Cecil County Electronic Monitoring	Cecil
DJS Assessment Unit - Eastern Shore	Worcester
Dorchester County Community Detention	Dorchester
Dorchester County Electronic Monitoring	Dorchester
Kent County Community Detention	Kent
Kent County Electronic Monitoring	Kent
Queen Anne's County Community Detention	Qn. Anne's
Queen Anne's County Electronic Monitoring	Qn. Anne's
Somerset County Community Detention	Somerset
Somerset County Electronic Monitoring	Somerset
Talbot County Community Detention	Talbot
Talbot County Electronic Monitoring	Talbot
Wicomico County Community Detention	Wicomico
Wicomico County Electronic Monitoring	Wicomico
Worcester County Community Detention	Worcester
Worcester County Electronic Monitoring	Worcester
Region V - Southern	
Anne Arundel County Community Detention	An. Arundel
Anne Arundel County Electronic Monitoring	An. Arundel
Calvert County Community Detention	Calvert
Calvert County Electronic Monitoring	Calvert
Charles County Community Detention	Charles
Charles County Electronic Monitoring	Charles
St. Mary's County Community Detention	St. Mary's
St. Mary's County Electronic Monitoring	St. Mary's
Region VI - Metro	
Hearts & Homes for Youth, Inc (Kemp Mill GH)*ERC	Montgomery
Montgomery County Community Detention	Montgomery
Montgomery County Electronic Monitoring	Montgomery
Montgomery County Evening Reporting Center	Montgomery
Prince George's County Community Detention	Pr. George's
Prince George's County Electronic Monitoring	Pr. George's
Prince George's County Evening Reporting Center	Pr. George's

ADMISSIONS (ADM), ADP*, AND ALOS FOR ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION BY REGION/COUNTY, FY 2020

Region/ County	CD ¹			EM ¹			ERC			PACT			Shelter		
	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS
R-I- Balt. City	124	4.9	14.5	380	35.1	31.9	95	7.3	27.8	60	6.8	45.4	37	1.2	11.6
Baltimore City	124	4.9	14.5	380	35.1	31.9	95	7.3	27.8	60	6.8	45.4	37	1.2	11.6
R-II- Central	38	1.2	8.4	248	28.6	39.1	7	0.8	22.5	0	0.0	0.0	5	0.6	22.7
Baltimore Co.	33	0.9	6.1	153	17.4	39.3	7	0.8	22.5	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.1	36.2
Carroll	0	0.0	0.0	15	1.9	38.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Harford	3	0.2	27.8	28	3.3	41.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.4	26.0
Howard	2	0.1	15.2	52	6.0	37.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.1	14.3
R-III- Western	1	0.1	54.2	120	11.5	33.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	12	1.9	53.7
Allegany	0	0.0	0.0	25	2.2	32.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.7	80.7
Frederick	0	0.0	0.0	60	5.6	32.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.7	69.6
Garrett	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.3	20.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Washington	1	0.1	54.2	33	3.5	35.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	6	0.5	29.7
R-IV- Eastern	21	1.0	16.5	207	22.0	37.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	5	1.2	61.5
Caroline	1	0.0	4.6	17	1.5	29.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.3	63.9
Cecil	3	0.2	19.1	13	1.6	34.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.4	0.0
Dorchester	1	0.0	2.5	32	3.5	35.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Kent	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.2	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Somerset	3	0.1	14.4	17	3.0	67.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Talbot	0	0.0	0.0	5	0.8	57.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.2	0.0
Wicomico	13	0.7	18.4	100	9.0	33.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.2	59.2
Worcester	0	0.0	0.0	22	2.5	40.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	0.0
R-V- Southern	6	0.9	38.6	178	24.3	41.1	1	0.0	4.8	0	0.0	0.0	5	0.2	19.1
Anne Arundel	4	0.4	11.1	98	13.7	36.2	1	0.0	4.8	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.1	24.1
Calvert	0	0.0	0.0	25	2.6	36.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Charles	1	0.0	3.0	28	4.8	58.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.1	18.1
St. Mary's	1	0.5	78.0	27	3.2	42.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	10.0
R-VI- Metro	13	0.6	15.4	145	19.3	45.5	75	13.0	50.5	0	0.0	0.0	20	2.0	32.3
Montgomery	4	0.2	20.7	68	10.2	45.6	15	3.1	62.7	0	0.0	0.0	10	1.0	38.6
Prince George's	9	0.3	12.8	77	9.1	45.3	60	9.9	47.1	0	0.0	0.0	10	1.0	26.1
Out-of-State	0	0.0	0.0	16	1.7	39.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.2	28.2
Statewide	203	8.7	14.8	1,294	142.6	37.2	178	21.1	36.8	60	6.8	45.4	86	7.3	26.5

*ADP may not add to total due to rounding.

¹The offense tables on the other ATD pages report CD/EM combined, so if a youth is transferred from CD to EM or vice versa, the youth is counted as one admission. This table separates out CD from EM, so the sum of this total will be slightly higher than the offense table totals presented on the other ATD pages.

Section IV: Committed Programs



During the fall 2019, youth from Green Ridge, Backbone Mountain, and Meadow Mountain Youth Centers participated in a lego derby race where they constructed their own lego cars and competed in several rounds.

Introduction to Committed Programs

DJS' Community Operations Division is responsible for selecting the most appropriate out-of-home placement for youth who have been committed to the custody of DJS by the juvenile court. The Court makes a determination regarding the appropriate level of care for each youth but does not determine the specific program to which the youth is referred. Program placements vary based on the treatment services provided, as well as by security level.

DJS has made significant reform efforts, which include an increased focus on several key principles to guide decision making regarding out-of-home placements. These principles are:

- Utilize community-based treatment whenever possible;
- Utilize lengths of stay that maximize treatment benefits;
- Utilize evidence-based programs and programming;
- Prioritize out-of-home placements in Qualified Residential Treatment Programs (QRTP);
- Identify trauma, and provide trauma responsive care and treatment;
- Reduce racial and other systemic biases;
- Utilize a restorative approach - seek options to repair harm to victims and communities; and
- Foster positive youth development and resiliency.

PLACEMENT PROCESS

The DJS placement process is designed to select the most appropriate program and treatment services for youth. The process begins after adjudication with a comprehensive case review of each youth. For youth in the community at the time of adjudication, the staffing is conducted in the local DJS office. For detained youth, a MAST staffing takes place in the detention center (see description below).

Community Staffing: A community staffing meeting is held to bring together key parties responsible for treatment service planning to include parents and/or guardians. At the staffing meeting, all pertinent information is considered, including the

Risk Levels Updated

On July 1, 2019, DJS rolled out an updated MCASP Risk and Needs assessment tool. Based on a validation study conducted by the Institute for Innovation and Implementation, at the University of Maryland (<https://theinstitute.umaryland.edu>), the updated tool better identifies those youth with higher risk of recidivating. Risk is now also categorized into four levels, with a "very high" level added to designate youth at the highest risk, and with the greatest need for intervention.

CAPACITY, ADP, AND SECURITY TYPE FOR DJS-OPERATED COMMITTED PROGRAMS¹, FY 2020²

Program	Rated Capacity	ADP	Security Type
Backbone MountainYC*	48	26.0	Staff
Garrett Children's Center (formerly Savage MountainYC)	8 [^]	6.4	Hardware
Green Ridge Mountain Quest	10	3.6	Staff
Green Ridge YC	30	15.0	Staff
J. DeWeese Carter Center**	14	6.4	Hardware
Meadow MountainYC***	40	19.4	Staff
Victor Cullen Center	48	20.6	Hardware
<i>Total</i>	<i>198[^]</i>	<i>97.4⁺</i>	<i>N/A</i>

¹ This table does not include contracted committed programs.

² See page vi for detailed information on DJS' COVID-19 response and reforms.

* Backbone MountainYC capacity was reduced from 48 to 24 on 6/20/2020.

** J. DeWeese Carter Center closed 6/30/2020. Youth moved to the new Mountain View program at Backbone Mountain Youth Center on June 19, 2020.

*** Meadow MountainYC closed on 6/30/2020. Youth moved to Green RidgeYC.

[^] Garrett's Rated Capacity is 8. When ongoing renovations are complete, capacity will be 24.

⁺ Averages may not add to totals due to rounding.

The utilization rate for state-operated programs was 49.2% in FY 2020.

current offense(s), delinquency history, social history, MCASP recommended supervision level, MCASP assessment of treatment needs, educational records, any behavioral health and medical assessments, and the involvement of any other state agency.


Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST): MAST is a specialized diagnostic team responsible for assessing youth who are detained pending court disposition and are at risk of out-of-home placement. The MAST includes a psychologist, social worker or professional counselor, substance abuse counselor, community case manager, detention facility case manager supervisor, resource specialist, and a Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) representative. Youth who require more specialized assessments (e.g., a neurological, psycho-sexual, or medical assessment) are referred for evaluation, and the results are presented during a MAST staffing.

Referral for Services: Each staffing meeting culminates with recommendations to the juvenile court for program participation and/or treatment services tailored to the circumstances of each youth. After court disposition, DJS refers each case to the recommended program(s) for consideration.

DJS contracts with an array of programs, both in and out-of-state, to provide services to committed youth. Programs may either accept or reject an applicant based on program eligibility criteria or capacity.

The full range of DJS placement options include: (a) Foster Care Homes (traditional and treatment foster care); (b) Group Homes (general service and therapeutic); (c) Independent Living Programs/Alternative Living Units (often used as a step-down from a more restrictive program placement); (d) Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs) and non-Medicaid Residential Treatment Facilities (out-of-state); (e) DJS-operated and out-of-state staff secure behavioral programs; and (g) hardware-secure treatment programs, both DJS-operated and privately contracted out-of-state.

The Department has established three levels of residential program placements based largely on the level of program restrictiveness (see the figure below). Level I includes all programs where youth reside in a community setting and attend community schools. Level II includes programs where education is provided on-grounds and youth movement is restricted primarily by staff monitoring and supervision. Level III programs provide the highest level of security by augmenting staff supervision with physical attributes of the facility, i.e., locked areas and fences.



Case Reviews: DJS’ case management team conducts monthly reviews of all youth under the supervision of the Department to ensure that youth are receiving recommended services and appropriate supervision. Beginning in March 2020, DJS implemented a monthly executive-level case review to further ensure that youth are progressing in programs, receiving needed services while in a program, and being evaluated for court in a timely manner. This is in addition to the already established Central Review Committee process.

Central Review Committee (CRC): The initial committed program placement may not be successful for a number of reasons. Some youth may run away from their placement or are ejected due to misbehavior. Additionally, the behavioral, emotional, and/or medical needs of the youth may change. To handle these cases, DJS established the CRC. Chaired by the Director of Behavioral Health, the CRC conducts weekly case reviews of youth who are at risk of removal from a committed residential placement; directs changes in the provision of services; and makes placement transfer recommendations. The CRC was originally established by DJS in 2012, after legislation was passed granting DJS the authority to transfer youth to a higher security level without first requesting the court to modify the commitment order. That legislation expired in 2016; however, the CRC continues to meet regularly to manage the department’s ejection cases, and requests for court order modification are made in those cases requiring increased security.

SERVICES PROVIDED IN DJS-OPERATED COMMITTED PROGRAMS


Youth served in a DJS-operated committed program receive a multitude of services, including behavioral health, somatic health, and educational services.

BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES

DJS Behavioral Health Services (BHS) provides integrated mental health and substance abuse treatment in DJS-operated, committed programs. Behavioral Health Services include: substance abuse services, suicide assessment and prevention, crisis intervention and stabilization, medication evaluation and monitoring, individual and group therapy, and family therapy when appropriate. In addition, all youth receive TAMAR-Y (Trauma, Addiction, Mental Health, and Recovery – Youth), which combines psychoeducation with cognitive behavioral interventions and expressive art therapy. START (Social Skills Training and Aggression Replacement Techniques) is also provided in all committed programs. Based on Aggressive Replacement Training (ART®), START is an evidence-based cognitive behavioral program.

Trauma Informed Care (TIC): TIC has been implemented in DJS committed programs since March 2017. The National Association for the States of Mental Health Program Directors (NASMHPD) trained all DJS committed program staff in a TIC model that was originally developed for the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), a nationally recognized leader in Trauma Informed Care. TIC teaches staff to recognize the signs and symptoms of trauma, as well as common reactions to trauma. It also teaches staff about the impact of trauma on the developing brain, and how it impacts the behaviors, reactions, and lives of youth. Through training with the NASMHPD trauma trainers, DJS staff have developed and implemented specific strategies to help youth address

COMMITTED POPULATION ADP*, HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED, FY 2014-2023**



*ADP includes all state-operated committed programs as well as in- and out-of-state private committed programs.

** Projected values were generated by the average of an ARIMA (Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) model and an exponential smoothing model.

ADP is projected to decrease 30.9% between FY 2020 and FY 2023.

their reactions to trauma triggers, and programs have developed more trauma-informed environments.

Substance Abuse Services: All DJS committed programs offer Seven Challenges substance abuse treatment, an evidence-based program implemented in 2009. Seven Challenges adopts a decision-making model, encouraging youth to make well-informed decisions by weighing the costs (e.g., physical, psychological, and social harm) and benefits of drug use. All programs provide substance abuse services to youth at the needed American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) level ranging from early intervention to clinically managed medium intensity residential services. DJS is in the process of seeking accreditation through the Council on Accreditation (COA) for all the committed programs.

Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS): Implemented in all committed, DJS-operated programs (see table on page 149) since January 2018, PBIS is an evidence-based framework that is designed to facilitate the development of pro-social youth behavior and maintain safety and security in committed programs. For DJS, the PBIS framework includes a behavior modification program, STARR, which is grounded in the principles of positive reinforcement and modeling. The STARR program helps youth learn problem-solving and leadership skills, as well as accept responsibility for their behavior. Program staff are trained to teach and model problem-solving and social skills.

SOMATIC HEALTH

The Somatic Health Services Unit provides comprehensive, quality health care and nutritional services to youth at DJS residential programs. Its mission is to protect, promote, and advance the health of all youth in the care of the Department.

Medical, dental, optometry, and dietary services are provided in an interdisciplinary fashion that is developmentally appropriate for youth.

These services are delivered in accordance with standards set forth by the National Commission on Correctional Health Care, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the Centers for Disease Control, and the Food and Nutrition Board of the National Academy of Sciences.

Youth admitted to DJS residential programs require ongoing, routine preventative health care similar to other children their age. In some cases, youth may have unmet or chronic health care needs upon admission, or may develop an acute health condition that needs to be addressed. DJS ensures that each program has licensed nurses, physicians, dietitians, dental providers, laboratory and pharmacy services to meet the health care needs



Youth is participating in the “Mountain Madness” obstacle course in honor of the Wounded Warrior Project. The challenge is modeled after the “Tough Mudder” endurance challenge.

of the youth. For specialty, surgical, emergency, or in-patient care that may be required, youth are transported to community providers as needed.

Each youth must have a current nursing assessment, history and physical examination, vision screening, and dental screening upon admission or soon thereafter. Youth are also screened for tuberculosis, anemia, and sexually transmitted infections. Girls are provided comprehensive gynecological care either on-site or in the community in order to meet individual needs.

Vaccine records are requested on all youth, and DJS makes every effort to fully immunize youth who are not up to date on recommended and required vaccinations. DJS participates in the Federal Vaccines for Children Program.

Youth are seen at regularly scheduled clinic times and as needed for “sick call” requests. In addition, they are seen at least monthly by nursing staff to check on their overall health status and weight.

EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

Educational services are provided to youth by the Maryland State Department of Education, Juvenile Services Education System (JSES). JSES provides youth in all of the department’s residential programs with the ability to earn a high school diploma via the earning of credits or passage of the GED. In addition to academics, the educational programs provide opportunities for each youth to develop career and technology skills that will further assist them when they transition back to the community.

The JSES curriculum is based on the Maryland State College and Career Readiness Standards (CCRS). The curriculum includes grade-level instruction in English, Social Studies, Mathematics, and Science. Middle school coursework and remediation for high school students are also offered in reading, written language, and math as appropriate.

Youth who have a current Individualized Education Program (IEP) are provided with the required services listed in their IEP. Additionally, eligible youth participate in the mandated Maryland Comprehensive Assessment Program (MCAP).

DJS YOUTH CENTERS - CAREER & TECHNOLOGY AND THE GARRETT COLLEGE PROGRAMS

The DJS Youth Centers offer on-site Career and Technology programming to all participants. The two primary goals of this programming are high school completion and job skill development. Certificates are awarded for successful completion of the program, signifying employment competency in fields such as HVAC, plumbing, and commercial food preparation/sanitation. In addition, all youth have opportunities to participate in community projects that employ their acquired skills.

Backbone Mountain Youth Center and Mountain View at Backbone also offer an innovative program that provides youth with the unique opportunity to be simultaneously enrolled in high school classes and introductory college courses through Garrett College of Maryland. Youth are screened through a referral, record review, and interview process for acceptance into the program. The Garrett College initiative has been operational at Backbone Mountain since September 2006.

CLASSIFICATION

All DJS-operated committed programs utilize the Housing Classification Assessment, an objective internal classification system to assess a youth’s potential vulnerability and supervision needs (see Appendix G). The results of the classification assessment are used to guide appropriate housing decisions and room assignments. The classification assessment is implemented for all youth upon admission to the program, and it allows for reclassification in response to circumstances or special needs that may require modification of housing or room assignment. All DJS committed programs have been audited by the Department of Justice and found to be fully compliant with juvenile facility standards.

RE-ENTRY PROCESS

DJS recognizes the importance of effectively transitioning youth from placement back to the community. Youth who are released from commitment face a number of challenges in returning to daily life such as re-enrolling in school or accessing needed somatic or behavioral health services. DJS has implemented focused efforts to assist with this transition as part of a re-entry strategic plan.



Youth from the Woodshop Program have an opportunity to create tables and pictures using wood, canvas, paint, and epoxy.

The re-entry process is managed by a team of regional re-entry specialists; under the direction of the Executive Director of Community Supervision, the specialists oversee each youth's return to the community. A re-entry staffing, similar to MAST, is held 45 days prior to release during which the youth's housing plan, educational/occupational needs, ongoing behavioral/somatic health service requirements, and family relationships are reviewed. A re-entry checklist guides and captures the results of this process. Families of committed youth are invited and encouraged to participate in the re-entry planning process, which is a key area for family engagement.

After the youth has been in the community for 30 days, a DJS re-entry specialist follows up to ensure that the youth has accessed all needed services, has successfully re-enrolled in their local public school when appropriate, and has remained in stable and suitable housing. (See page 5 for the re-entry strategic plan goals, and Appendix U for the re-entry checklists).


A Note to Readers

Placement versus Admission: A placement is based on a decision made by the Department or a judge to place a youth into a committed program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a program either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions, and these counts will not match.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) Data: is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfers.

For a detailed description of DJS' COVID-19 response and reforms see page vi.

DJS State-Operated Committed Programs by Region




Statewide Total

The juvenile court may commit a youth to the care and custody of DJS, thereby transferring legal custody to the Department. A range of out-of-home program options have been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement programs. DJS operated seven committed programs in Maryland during FY 2020. DJS also contracts with other programs both in state and out of state.

Note: Community-Based Family Therapy Programs are presented within this section (pages 188-189) because one of their primary goals is to divert youth from out-of-home committed placement. These data are not included on the statewide tables, which only include youth in committed out-of-home placements.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2018-2020



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	72.5%	70.7%	68.4%
White	18.9%	21.0%	22.2%
Hispanic/Other	8.6%	8.3%	9.4%
Sex			
Male	88.7%	86.3%	86.5%
Female	11.3%	13.7%	13.5%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.2%	0.3%	0.6%
13	2.5%	2.7%	3.7%
14	9.6%	7.8%	10.5%
15	17.9%	17.1%	15.4%
16	29.7%	30.2%	25.0%
17	28.2%	29.6%	29.6%
18-20	11.9%	12.4%	15.2%
Total Placements	826	768	513

• Trends for Committed Placements:

- Committed placements decreased 37.9% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 33.2% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• First-Time Placements (FY 2020):

- Of the 513 committed placements, 57.9% were first-time placements. (Due to a methodology change, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2018).

• Region of Residence (FY 2020):

- 98.2% of placements were Maryland residents. Of those from out of state, 7 were from Washington, D.C.; 1 was from Pennsylvania; and 1 was from Virginia.

• MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For the 84% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 50.1% were Very High, 27.6% were High, 15.3% were Moderate, and 7.0% were Low.

• Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 26.4% to 28.1%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 15.1% to 15.6%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 58.2% to 55.2%.
- See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population (FY 2019-2020):

- ADP for all committed placements decreased 20.5% from 395.4 to 314.4.

• Average Length of Stay (FY 2019-2020):

- The average LOS for all committed placements increased 8.8% from 185.2 to 201.6.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	25.5%	21.6%	19.9%
Reg-II - Central	18.6%	18.6%	17.5%
Reg-III - Western	9.7%	9.4%	10.5%
Reg-IV - Eastern	9.6%	10.9%	9.2%
Reg-V - Southern	16.2%	14.3%	22.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	18.8%	22.5%	19.1%
Out-of-State	1.6%	2.6%	1.8%
Total Placements	826	768	513

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.4%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.7%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	4.9%
Carjacking	6.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	8.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%
Disturbing the Peace	1.6%
First Degree Assault-Felony	3.1%
Handgun Violation	4.5%
Malicious Destruction	4.7%
Manslaughter	1.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%
Murder	1.2%
Narcotics Distribution	1.2%
Narcotics Possession	2.1%
Other/Missing ¹	3.7%
Resisting Arrest	1.2%
Robbery	12.7%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	19.1%
Sex Offense	4.3%
Theft Felony	1.8%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.2%
Trespassing	0.8%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.3%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	28.1%
Felony	15.6%
- Person-to-Person	2.3%
- Property	4.7%
- Drugs	1.2%
- Unspecified	7.4%
Misdemeanor	55.2%
- Person-to-Person	31.6%
- Property	17.9%
- Drugs	2.1%
- Unspecified	3.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.6%
Citations	0.6%
CINS	0.0%
Total Placements	513

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.

¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2018-2020, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2020

	Placements*			FY2020	
	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	31	20	10	8.7	344.1
Group Home**	203	151	146	67.9	169.2
Indep. Living	12	6	7	6.0	323.9
RTC	157	158	115	68.1	214.3
State Operated	357	344	204	97.4	146.7
<i>Staff Secure</i>	283	253	127	64.0	146.5
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	74	91	77	33.4	147.1
Silver Oak	43	48	31	25.2	246.3
Out-of-State	74	84	55	41.2	247.1
<i>RTC</i>	6	12	16	7.2	157.5
<i>Staff Secure</i>	53	53	27	21.8	224.2
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	17	20	13	11.9	299.6
<i>Independ. Liv.</i>	0	1	0	0.3	125.0
Total	826	768	513	314.4	201.6


⁺ Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category; for example, "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

¹ ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer.

* All data exclude transfers within and between programs/program types and therefore may not add up to the totals presented. Placement data may not be comparable to DRGs prior to FY 2019.

** Data previously reported under the separate category of Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA) were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for Group Home cannot be compared to DRGs prior to FY 2019.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020




¹ Youth released home or to a step-down program

* Youth transferred to another program

Statewide Females Only

Female youth represented approximately 14% of the population of youth committed to the care and custody of the Department during FY 2020. DJS offers the same continuum of placement options to both girls and boys, with the exception of a hardware-secure program. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. During FY 2020, placements in non-community settings include Residential Treatment Centers (RTC) and the J. DeWeese Carter Center, a hardware secure, DJS-operated committed program for girls. This program was closed June 30, 2020, and the population was moved to Mountain View, a new staff secure female-only program on the grounds of Backbone Mountain Youth Center. Out-of-state contracted programs are also available.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2018-2020



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	59.1%	61.0%	56.5%
White	31.2%	33.3%	31.9%
Hispanic/Other	9.7%	5.7%	11.6%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	1.1%	1.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	3.8%	4.3%
14	8.6%	10.5%	20.3%
15	21.5%	16.2%	21.7%
16	22.6%	36.2%	18.8%
17	32.3%	19.0%	23.2%
18-20	14.0%	13.3%	11.6%
Total Placements	93	105	69

• Trends for Committed Placements:

- Committed placements decreased 25.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 34.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• First-Time Placements (FY 2020):

- Of the 69 committed placements, 63.8% were first-time placements. (Due to a methodology change, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2018).

• Region of Residence (FY 2020):

- 100% of placements were Maryland residents. There were no youth from out of state.

• MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For the 87.0% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 48.3% were Very High, 26.7% were High, 18.3% were Moderate, and 6.7% were Low.

• Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 12.4% to 21.7%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 12.4% to 13.0%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 74.3% to 62.3%.
- See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population (FY 2019-2020):

- ADP for all committed placements decreased 15.0% from 50.9 to 43.3.

• Average Length of Stay (FY 2019-2020):

- The average LOS for all committed placements increased 9.3% from 189.3 to 207.0.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	12.9%	16.2%	17.4%
Reg-II - Central	21.5%	19.0%	18.8%
Reg-III - Western	25.8%	13.3%	18.8%
Reg-IV - Eastern	7.5%	18.1%	15.9%
Reg-V - Southern	18.3%	17.1%	14.5%
Reg-VI - Metro	12.9%	12.4%	14.5%
Out-of-State	1.1%	3.8%	0.0%
Total Placements	93	105	69

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	1.4%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.4%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.0%
Carjacking	4.3%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	5.8%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.3%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.2%
Manslaughter	2.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.3%
Murder	1.4%
Narcotics Distribution	1.4%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	5.8%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	13.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	31.9%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	4.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.7%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.4%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	21.7%
Felony	13.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	5.8%
- Drugs	1.4%
- Unspecified	5.8%
Misdemeanor	62.3%
- Person-to-Person	42.0%
- Property	18.8%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.4%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	2.9%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>69</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.

¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

FEMALE COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2018-2020, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2020

	Placements*			FY2020	
	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	14	13	5	3.6	171.1
Group Home**	32	24	23	9.7	155.9
Indep. Living	5	0	0	1.0	698.0
RTC	38	39	24	9.9	151.7
State Operated	14	20	14	6.4	144.5
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>6.4</i>	<i>144.5</i>
Out-of-State	8	20	11	12.5	248.3
<i>RTC</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2.1</i>	<i>206.7</i>
<i>Staff Secure</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>8.9</i>	<i>256.1</i>
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1.5</i>	<i>272.7</i>
<i>Independ. Liv.</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0.0</i>
Total	93	105	69	43.3	207.0


⁺ Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category; for example, "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

¹ ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer.

* All data exclude transfers within and between programs/program types and therefore may not add up to the totals presented. Placement data may not be comparable to DRGs prior to FY 2019.

** Data previously reported under the separate category of Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA) were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for Group Home cannot be compared to DRGs prior to FY 2019.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020




¹ Youth released home or to a step-down program

* Youth transferred to another program

Statewide Under Age 13 Only

Although juvenile court jurisdiction includes youth ages 7-17, youth under age 13 are rarely committed to an out-of-home placement. Because of the needs of youth under age 13, they are most frequently placed in residential treatment centers, group homes, or therapeutic group homes. State-owned and operated committed programs are designed for youth ages 13 years and older. Wherever placed, youth under age 13 receive the same services that other committed youth receive.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2018-2020



- *Trends for Committed Placements:*
 - Committed placements increased 50.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 50.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- *First-Time Placements (FY 2020):*
 - Of the 3 committed placements, 100% were first-time placements. (Due to a methodology change, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2018).
- *Region of Residence (FY 2020):*
 - 100% of placements were Maryland residents. There were no youth from out of state.
- *MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For the 66.7% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 100% were High.
- *Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 0% to 33.3%.
 - The percentage of Felonies did not change.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 100% to 66.7%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population (FY 2019-2020):*
 - ADP for all committed placements decreased 65.6% from 1.5 to 0.5.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The average LOS for all committed placements decreased 77.2% from 676.3 to 154.0.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	50.0%	50.0%	33.3%
White	50.0%	50.0%	66.7%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
Female	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Age*			
8 and under	0	0	0
9	0	0	0
10	0	0	0
11	0	0	0
12	2	2	3
Total Placements	2	2	3

*Due to the small number of youth in each age category, actual numbers are presented instead of percentages.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-II - Central	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Reg-III - Western	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	50.0%	0.0%	66.7%
Reg-V - Southern	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	2	2	3

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	33.3%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	33.3%
Sex Offense	33.3%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	33.3%
Felony	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Misdemeanor	66.7%
- Person-to-Person	66.7%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
Total Placements	3

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.

¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

UNDER 13 COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2018-2020, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2020*

	Placements**			FY2020	
	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	1	0	0	0.0	0.0
Group Home	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Indep. Living	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
RTC	1	2	3	0.5	154.0
State Operated	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Staff Secure	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Hardware Sec.	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Silver Oak	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Out-of-State	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
RTC	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Staff Secure	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Hardware Sec.	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	2	2	3	0.5	154.0


⁺ Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category; for example, "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

¹ ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer.

* ADP and ALOS reflect youth who were released in FY 2020 and were under 13 years of age at the time of admission. Total ALOS excludes transfers within and between programs and/or program types; therefore, it may not be compared to the ALOS by program type provided in the table.

** All data exclude transfers within and between programs/program types and therefore may not add up to the totals presented. Placement data may not be comparable to DRGs prior to FY 2019.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020



¹ Youth released home or to a step-down program


* Youth transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Statewide Youth of Color Only

The data shown in this section provide additional detail for youth of color (non-white youth). Although each summary page in the Data Resource Guide Committed Section provides an overall race/ethnicity breakdown for committed placements in the Demographics table, the tables in this section provide more detailed information on placements and ADP by type and region, offense type and severity, and length of stay for youth of color as a whole.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2018-2020



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	89.4%	89.5%	88.0%
White	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	10.6%	10.5%	12.0%
Sex			
Male	90.4%	88.5%	88.2%
Female	9.6%	11.5%	11.8%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%
13	2.1%	2.5%	3.3%
14	10.3%	7.4%	10.3%
15	17.5%	18.1%	15.3%
16	30.7%	30.6%	23.1%
17	28.2%	29.2%	31.8%
18-20	11.0%	12.0%	16.0%
Total Placements	670	607	399

- *Trends for Committed Placements:*
 - Committed placements decreased 40.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 34.3% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- *First-Time Placements (FY 2020):*
 - Of the 399 committed placements, 56.6% were first-time placements. (Due to a methodology change, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2018).
- *Region of Residence (FY 2020):*
 - 97.7% of placements were Maryland residents. Of those from out of state, 7 were from Washington, D.C.; 1 was from Pennsylvania; and 1 was from Virginia.
- *MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For the 84.2% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 50.6% were Very High, 27.7% were High, 13.7% were Moderate, and 8.0% were Low.
- *Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 30.6% to 32.6%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 15.8% to 16.0%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 53.2% to 50.4%.
 - See the *Terms and Concepts* pages in the introduction section for an explanation of *Crime of Violence*, *Felony*, and *Misdemeanor*, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population (FY 2019-2020):*
 - ADP for all committed placements decreased 23.2% from 316.6 to 243.2.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The average LOS for all committed placements increased 10.7% from 181.4 to 200.7.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	30.3%	26.0%	25.1%
Reg-II - Central	16.7%	17.8%	15.8%
Reg-III - Western	7.6%	5.4%	5.8%
Reg-IV - Eastern	8.5%	9.6%	7.5%
Reg-V - Southern	13.1%	10.4%	20.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	21.9%	27.5%	23.3%
Out-of-State	1.8%	3.3%	2.3%
Total Placements	670	607	399

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.3%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.5%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	4.8%
Carjacking	7.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	9.3%
Deadly Weapon	2.5%
Disturbing the Peace	1.3%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.8%
Handgun Violation	4.3%
Malicious Destruction	3.0%
Manslaughter	1.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%
Murder	1.5%
Narcotics Distribution	1.3%
Narcotics Possession	1.5%
Other/Missing ¹	3.5%
Resisting Arrest	1.0%
Robbery	15.5%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	18.0%
Sex Offense	3.3%
Theft Felony	1.8%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.3%
Trespassing	1.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.3%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	32.6%
Felony	16.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.5%
- Property	4.3%
- Drugs	1.3%
- Unspecified	9.0%
Misdemeanor	50.4%
- Person-to-Person	29.6%
- Property	16.0%
- Drugs	1.5%
- Unspecified	3.3%
Ordinance Offenses	0.8%
Citations	0.3%
CINS	0.0%
Total Placements	399

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.

¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

YOUTH OF COLOR COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2018-2020, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2020

	Placements*			FY2020	
	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	23	10	8	7.1	358.8
Group Home**	152	111	111	53.8	166.8
Indep. Living	9	5	7	6.0	323.9
RTC	112	107	77	43.6	205.3
State Operated	304	288	165	78.3	146.8
<i>Staff Secure</i>	240	212	98	50.7	149.6
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	64	76	67	27.6	141.5
Silver Oak	41	45	29	23.3	239.1
Out-of-State	67	70	45	31.2	245.4
<i>RTC</i>	6	10	14	6.0	155.3
<i>Staff Secure</i>	49	44	21	15.9	220.2
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	13	17	11	9.0	290.0
<i>Independ. Liv.</i>	0	1	0	0.3	125.0
Total	670	607	399	243.2	200.7


⁺ Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category; for example, "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care.

¹ ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer.

*All data exclude transfers within and between programs/program types and therefore may not add up to the totals presented. Placement data may not be comparable to DRGs prior to FY 2019.

** Data previously reported under the separate category of Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA) were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for Group Home cannot be compared to DRGs prior to FY 2019.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020



¹ Youth released home or to a step-down program

* Youth transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Foster Care

Traditional Foster Care is continuous 24-hour care with supportive services provided to a youth in a DJS-approved family home. The foster family serves low-risk youth who cannot be managed in their own homes. Youth served may be experiencing behavioral problems and need a respite from family/neighborhood issues. Foster Care also serves youth who need long-term placement, primarily because it may not be appropriate for youth to return home. Treatment Foster Care (TFC) is continuous 24-hour care with intensive support services operated by a licensed child placement agency or local Department of Social Services (DSS) in a family setting for children with serious emotional, behavioral, medical, and/or psychological conditions. The behaviors of the youth served are not so severe that removal from the community is necessary.

ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM[^], FY 2020

Treatment Foster Care	County	Adm.	ADP	ALOS
Arrow Child & Fam. Ministries	Balt. Co.	1	0.1	38.4
Board of Child Care ⁺	Balt. Co.	0	1.0	0.0*
Board of Child Care-Denton	Caroline	1	0.5	185.0
Children's Choice	Balt. City	3	0.7	95.7
Children's Home	Balt. Co.	1	1.0	6.7
Greenleaf ⁺	Mont.	0	0.3	368.9
Hearts & Homes - Family Ties	Mont.	1	1.0	156.5
Ment. MD - Balt Teens in Trans	Balt. Co.	2	2.3	713.5
Ment. MD - Salisbury Teens ⁺	Wicomico	0	0.1	91.7
Pressley Ridge	Allegany	0	0.0	636.1**
PSI Services III	Balt. Co.	1	0.2	60.2
Woodbourne Center	Balt. City	1	1.3	614.6
Traditional Foster Care				
Individual Families ¹	N/A	0	0.0	0.0
Total Admissions	All	11	8.7	344.1


[^] All programs served both females and males in FY 2020 with the exception of Woodbourne Center which served only males.

* No youth were released.

⁺ Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2020, ADP reflects continuing placements from prior FYs.

** ALOS represents one youth admitted into the program during FY 2018.

¹ Although there were no admissions and 0.0 ADP for this program in FY 2020, it remains on the table since DJS has an active contract for services.



Treatment Foster Care operated by Hearts and Homes

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
 - Between FY 2018 and FY 2020, overall placements to Foster Care decreased 67.7%.
- *Program Admissions (FY 2020):*
 - Children's Choice had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2020):*
 - 90.0% of placements were Maryland residents. The 1 from out of state was from Washington, D.C.
- *MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For the 100% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 20.0% were Very High, 60.0% were High, and 20.0% were Moderate.
- *Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence did not change.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 15.0% to 20.0%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 85.0% to 80.0%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population:*
 - ADP decreased 63.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 40.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
 - The average LOS increased 26.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 99.5% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	19.4%	0.0%	10.0%
Reg-II - Central	9.7%	15.0%	20.0%
Reg-III - Western	29.0%	20.0%	10.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	9.7%	30.0%	20.0%
Reg-V - Southern	16.1%	35.0%	30.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	16.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%
Total Placements	31	20	10

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	20.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	10.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	50.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	20.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	0.0%
Felony	20.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	20.0%
Misdemeanor	80.0%
- Person-to-Person	60.0%
- Property	20.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>10</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	61.3%	50.0%	80.0%
White	25.8%	50.0%	20.0%
Hispanic/Other	12.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	54.8%	35.0%	50.0%
Female	45.2%	65.0%	50.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
13	6.5%	10.0%	0.0%
14	6.5%	10.0%	10.0%
15	22.6%	10.0%	40.0%
16	22.6%	15.0%	10.0%
17	16.1%	25.0%	30.0%
18-20	22.6%	30.0%	10.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>10</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2018-2020



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020



¹Youth released home or to a step-down program; *Youth transferred to another program
 Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Group Homes

General group homes are non-profit residential programs for youth in placements licensed by DHS, DJS, or the Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ), which is part of the Maryland Department of Health (MDH). They provide out-of-home care for four or more youth who are moderate to high risk and need more structure and supervision than a relative, foster parent, or treatment foster care program could offer. General group homes also provide a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services. Therapeutic group homes (TGH) are residential programs for youth in out-of-home care that are licensed by OHCQ, and must be non-profit organizations. TGHs provide access to a range of diagnostic and therapeutic mental health services to youth who are moderate to high risk and have an emotional or developmental disability. Those TGHs that provide educational programs on their grounds are considered to be staff secure programs.

ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM, FY 2020

Group Homes	County	Adm.	ADP	ALOS
ARC of Washington Co.	Washington	1	1.2	451.4
Board of Child Care ⁺	Balt. Co.	0	0.1	139.9
Catoctin Summit Adol. Prog [^]	Balt. Co.	2	0.3	68.7
Cedar Ridge	Washington	2	3.6	461.6
Children's Home (GH & Tran) [^]	Balt. Co.	14	5.4	130.3
Hearts and Homes [^]	Mont/Pr. G	6	2.9	145.8
MAGIC ^{^^}	Balt. Co.	4	0.6	52.5
Morning Star Youth Academy	Dorchester	30	14.3	176.4
Oak Hill House	Washington	15	6.0	158.3
One Love	Balt. City	16	6.3	149.8
Our House	Mont.	15	3.5	181.3
Salem Trust [^]	Garrett	7	5.1	244.5
St. Ann's Infant & Maternity Pr. ⁺⁺⁺	Pr. George's	0	0.5	209.2
Therapeutic Group Homes				
Avis Birely [*]	Mont.	10	4.2	176.4
Board of Child Care [^]	Balt Co/Car.	7	3.3	96.5
Cedar Ridge	Washington	13	9.3	202.9
Mary's Mount Manor ^{^^}	An. Arund	6	1.0	58.3
Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFAs)				
MTC-Mountain Manor [^]	Balt. City	4	0.3	29.0
Total Admissions[†]	All	152	67.9	169.2

^{*}Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2020, ADP reflects continuing placements from prior FYs.

[^]Program served both females and males in FY 2020.

^{^^}Program served females only in FY 2020.

^{*}Operated by Hearts and Homes (Avis Birely was previously named Redl House)

[†]Includes ICFA data and therefore may not be comparable to DRGs prior to FY 2019.



Youth swimming during down time at Children's Home in Catonsville.

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
 - Between FY 2018 and FY 2020, overall placements to Group Homes including TGH and ICFA decreased 28.1%.
- *Program Admissions (FY 2020):*
 - Morning Star Youth Academy had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2020):*
 - 99.3% of placements were Maryland residents. The 1 from out of state was from Arkansas.
- *MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For the the 82.2% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 43.3% were Very High, 29.2% were High, 20.0% were Moderate, and 7.5% were Low.
- *Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 17.9% to 20.5%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 19.2% to 15.1%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 62.9% to 61.6%.
 - See the *Terms and Concepts* pages in the introduction section for an explanation of *Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor*, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population:*
 - ADP decreased 26.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 10.7% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
 - The average LOS increased 7.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 2.1% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Data previously reported under a separate category of ICFA were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for Group Homes are not comparable to DRGs prior to FY 2019.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	23.2%	12.6%	20.5%
Reg-II - Central	23.2%	23.2%	19.9%
Reg-III - Western	10.8%	13.2%	14.4%
Reg-IV - Eastern	6.9%	11.3%	6.2%
Reg-V - Southern	11.3%	13.9%	16.4%
Reg-VI - Metro	21.7%	21.9%	21.9%
Out-of-State	3.0%	4.0%	0.7%
Total Placements	203	151	146

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.7%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.7%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	5.5%
Carjacking	2.1%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	7.5%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%
Disturbing the Peace	1.4%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.7%
Handgun Violation	3.4%
Malicious Destruction	2.7%
Manslaughter	1.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.4%
Murder	1.4%
Narcotics Distribution	1.4%
Narcotics Possession	0.7%
Other/Missing ¹	4.8%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%
Robbery	8.9%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	24.7%
Sex Offense	6.2%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.3%
Trespassing	2.7%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.7%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	20.5%
Felony	15.1%
- Person-to-Person	2.1%
- Property	2.7%
- Drugs	1.4%
- Unspecified	8.9%
Misdemeanor	61.6%
- Person-to-Person	36.3%
- Property	20.5%
- Drugs	0.7%
- Unspecified	4.1%
Ordinance Offenses	1.4%
Citations	1.4%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>146</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.1%	63.6%	66.4%
White	25.1%	26.5%	24.0%
Hispanic/Other	12.8%	9.9%	9.6%
Sex			
Male	84.2%	84.1%	84.2%
Female	15.8%	15.9%	15.8%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	2.5%	2.0%	4.1%
14	6.9%	4.6%	8.2%
15	14.8%	14.6%	15.8%
16	28.1%	31.8%	21.9%
17	31.0%	29.8%	22.6%
18-20	16.7%	17.2%	27.4%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>203</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>146</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2018-2020



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020



¹Youth released home or to a step-down program; *Youth transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Independent Living Programs (Including Alternative Living Units)

Independent Living Programs are operated by DHS-licensed child placement agencies for youth 15 to 21 years of age who need to become self-sufficient and learn responsible living skills, as they are unlikely to return home. Youth reside in either group homes or supervised apartment units and must be enrolled in high school, college, vocational training, or be gainfully employed. During the program, youth learn about interpersonal skills, money management, job readiness, conflict management, positive leisure opportunities, and communication skills. Alternative Living Units are residences owned, leased, or operated by a licensee that: (a) provides residential services for children who, because of a developmental disability, require specialized living arrangements; (b) admits not more than three children; and (c) provides 24-hour care.

ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM[^], FY 2020

Independent Living	County	Adm.	ADP	ALOS
Damamli ¹	Mont.	0	0.0	0.0
Future Bound	Mont.	1	0.3	107.2
Mentor MD - Baltimore	Balt. Co.	2	2.6	0.0*
New Pathways - Independ. Plus	Balt. Co.	4	2.2	288.5
Alternative Living Units				
Arrow Child & Family Ministri. ²	Balt. Co.	0	0.8	717.8
Total Admissions	All	7	6.0	323.9

[^] All programs served both females and males in FY 2020 with the exception of Damamali, which is a female-only program.

¹ Although there were no admissions and 0.0 ADP for this program in FY 2020, it remains on the table since DJS has an active contract for services.

² No youth were released.

^{*} Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2020, ADP reflects continuing placements from prior FYs.



One of the many apartment complexes that Pressley Ridge-New Pathways utilizes for the Independence Plus Program.

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
 - Between FY 2018 and FY 2020, overall placements to Independent Living decreased 41.7%.
- *Program Admissions (FY 2020):*
 - New Pathways-Independence Plus had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2020):*
 - 100% of placements were Maryland residents. There were no youth from out of state.
- *MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For the 42.9% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 33.3% were Very High, 33.3% were Moderate, and 33.3% were Low.
- *Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 50.0% to 28.6%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 33.3% to 0.0%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 16.7% to 71.4%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population:*
 - ADP decreased 48.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 27.5% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
 - The average LOS increased 3.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 19.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	58.3%	50.0%	57.1%
Reg-II - Central	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-III - Western	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-V - Southern	8.3%	33.3%	14.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	0.0%	16.7%	28.6%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	12	6	7

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	14.3%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	14.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	28.6%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	14.3%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.3%
Trespassing	14.3%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	28.6%
Felony	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Misdemeanor	71.4%
- Person-to-Person	14.3%
- Property	57.1%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	7

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	75.0%	66.7%	85.7%
White	25.0%	16.7%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	16.7%	14.3%
Sex			
Male	58.3%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	41.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
16	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
17	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
18-20	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	12	6	7

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2018-2020



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020



¹Youth released home or to a step-down program; *Youth transferred to another program
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Residential Treatment Centers (Includes Psychiatric Hospitals & Diagnostic Units/Clinical Evaluation Units)

Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs) provide intensive psychiatric and psychological treatment services. These centers are required to have psychiatrists, psychologists, and psychiatric nurses on staff to lead treatment. Maryland RTCs are approved for operation through the State Certificate of Need (CON) process and are licensed through the Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ). Some RTCs concentrate on specific youth populations. For example, some staff secure and hardware secure RTCs focus on youth with behavioral problems and/or considered to be potentially harmful to self or others. The RTCs are intended to stabilize the youth's emotional condition, to provide services that increase the youth's ability to manage his/her mental illness as a potentially life-long challenge, to help the youth develop social skills for coping with both daily or difficult situations and interpersonal relationships, and to transition the youth to a less restrictive environment or home.

ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM, FY 2020

Residential Treatment Ctr.	County	Adm.	ADP	ALOS
Jefferson School	Frederick	7	3.0	176.1
New Dir. Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey	Balt. Co.	10	12.6	360.1
RICA - Baltimore RTC [^]	Balt. City	9	5.3	225.0
RICA - Rockville RTC ^{^^}	Mont.	0	0.2	258.9
Right Moves Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey	Balt. Co.	10	9.4	282.0
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN [^]	Balt. Co.	25	16.0	205.9
Woodbourne	Balt. City	19	14.6	327.9
Psychiatric Hospitals ¹				
Brook Lane Hospital ²	Washington	0	0.0	0.0
Clinton T. Perkins Hospital Ctr. ²	Howard	0	0.0	0.0
Eastern Shore Acute Unit ²	Dorchester	0	0.0	0.0
Sheppard Pratt - Ellicott City [^]	Howard	1	0.0	15.6
Sheppard Pratt - Towson ²	Balt. Co.	0	0.0	0.0
Spring Grove Hospital Center [^]	Balt. Co.	24	3.9	57.8
Diagnostic Unit/Clinical Evaluation Unit (CEU) ¹				
Arrow Child & Fam Ministries [^]	Balt. Co.	11	2.4	70.0
Children's Home Diag Center [^]	Balt. Co.	3	0.7	70.4
RICA Rockville ²	Mont.	0	0.0	0.0
Total Admissions	All	119	68.1	214.3

⁺Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2020, ADP reflects continuing placements from prior FYs.

[^]Program served both females and males in FY 2020.

¹ Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Unit/CEUs are included on the RTC table because similar services are provided at these facilities.

² Although there were no admissions and 0.0 ADP for this program in FY 2020, it remains on the table since DJS has an active contract for services.



Aerial view of Sheppard Pratt Towson Campus

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
 - Between FY 2018 and FY 2020, overall placements to Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs) decreased 26.8%.
- *Program Admissions (FY 2020):*
 - Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2020):*
 - 100% of placements were Maryland residents. There were no youth from out of state.
- *MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For the 82.6% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 50.5% were Very High, 29.5% were High, 13.7% were Moderate, and 6.3% were Low.
- *Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 17.7% to 24.3%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 12.0% to 13.9%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 69.6% to 61.7%.
 - See the *Terms and Concepts* pages in the introduction section for an explanation of *Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor*, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population:*
 - ADP decreased 17.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 18.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
 - The average LOS increased 18.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 11.7% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	16.6%	20.9%	11.3%
Reg-II - Central	15.9%	16.5%	18.3%
Reg-III - Western	8.3%	10.8%	11.3%
Reg-IV - Eastern	15.3%	13.9%	15.7%
Reg-V - Southern	29.3%	23.4%	30.4%
Reg-VI - Metro	12.1%	12.7%	13.0%
Out-of-State	2.5%	1.9%	0.0%
Total Placements	157	158	115

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.9%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.7%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	7.0%
Carjacking	0.9%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	5.2%
Deadly Weapon	3.5%
Disturbing the Peace	2.6%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.6%
Handgun Violation	1.7%
Malicious Destruction	7.8%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	1.7%
Narcotics Distribution	0.9%
Narcotics Possession	1.7%
Other/Missing ¹	4.3%
Resisting Arrest	0.9%
Robbery	12.2%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	24.3%
Sex Offense	10.4%
Theft Felony	1.7%
Theft Misdemeanor	5.2%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.6%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	24.3%
Felony	13.9%
- Person-to-Person	3.5%
- Property	4.3%
- Drugs	0.9%
- Unspecified	5.2%
Misdemeanor	61.7%
- Person-to-Person	39.1%
- Property	18.3%
- Drugs	1.7%
- Unspecified	2.6%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>115</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	61.8%	62.0%	59.1%
White	28.7%	32.3%	33.0%
Hispanic/Other	9.6%	5.7%	7.8%
Sex			
Male	75.8%	75.3%	79.1%
Female	24.2%	24.7%	20.9%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.6%	1.3%	2.6%
13	3.2%	6.3%	6.1%
14	13.4%	11.4%	20.9%
15	21.7%	22.8%	14.8%
16	26.8%	27.8%	22.6%
17	25.5%	24.7%	20.0%
18-20	8.9%	5.7%	13.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>157</i>	<i>158</i>	<i>115</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2018-2020



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020



¹Youth released home or to a step-down program; *Youth transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Out-of-State (OOS) Programs

Maryland law prohibits an out-of-state placement for youth unless a court finds the youth's individualized needs cannot be met through in-state resources. Additionally, DJS policy states that youth may not be placed out of state without the approval of the Secretary or designee. The Department adheres to Interstate Compact requirements and agreements with other states regarding requests for permission and notification when youth are placed in another state. Youth placed in out-of-state programs are visited by DJS staff at least quarterly, and parents/guardians are provided with opportunities to visit youth at least once per quarter. OOS programs are licensed by local authorities and not by Maryland agencies. DJS contracts with vendors to provide services in two broad categories: (1) RTC-level specialized mental health services and (2) staff- and hardware-secure programming.

ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM, FY 2020

Hardware Secure RTC	State	Adm.	ADP	ALOS
George Junior Republic ¹	PA	8	3.0	131.2
Kid Link-Coastal Harbor Tr. Ctr ^{+^}	GA	0	0.2	172.9
UHS of Del-Harbor Point Behav. Hlth [^]	VA	2	0.5	165.9
UHS of Del-Laurel Oaks Behav. Hlth [^]	AL	4	2.5	175.9
UHS of Del-Sandy Pines Treat Ctr. [^]	FL	2	1.0	0.0*
Staff Secure				
Canyon State Academy	AZ	2	0.5	161.9
Clarinda Academy	IA	4	1.8	159.0
Drug & Rehab Serv. Manos House ²	PA	0	0.0	0.0
George Junior Republic ¹	PA	1	0.2	87.0
Natchez Trace Youth Academy	TN	3	2.5	154.4
New Outlook Academy ^{^^}	PA	4	2.0	183.5
Sequel-Mingus Mtn. Academy ^{+^^}	AZ	0	3.5	359.9
Summit Academy [^]	PA	8	3.7	159.0
Woodward Academy [^]	IA	8	7.6	249.4
Hardware Secure				
Cornell Abraxas	PA	4	4.3	393.7
Mid-Atlantic Luzerne	PA	3	2.7	233.4
Mid-Atlantic Western	PA	4	1.6	206.5
Wolverine Treat Ctr [^]	MI	4	3.3	292.8
Independent Living				
Cornell Abraxas-Trans. Living Unit [†]	PA	0	0.3	125.0
Total Admissions	All	61	41.2	247.1

¹ George Junior Republic is a program that has several distinct divisions. Therefore, it is listed on the table under both Hardware Secure RTC and Staff Secure.

[†] Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2020, ADP reflects continuing placements from prior FYs.

[^] Program served both females and males in FY 2020.

* No youth were released.

² Although there were no admissions and 0.0 ADP for this program in FY 2020, it remains on the table since DJS has an active contract for services.

^{^^} Program served females only in FY 2020.

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
 - Between FY 2018 and FY 2020, overall placements to Out-of-State (OOS) Programs decreased 25.7%.
- *Program Admissions (FY 2020):*
 - George Junior Republic Hardware Secure, Summit Academy and Woodward Academy had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2020):*
 - 94.5% of placements were Maryland residents. Of those from out of state, 2 were from Washington, D.C.; and 1 was from Pennsylvania.
- *MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):*
 - For the 76.4% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 42.9% were Very High, 26.2% were High, 19.0% were Moderate, and 11.9% were Low.
- *Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 27.4% to 30.9%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 16.7% to 21.8%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 56.0% to 45.5%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population:*
 - ADP decreased 15.9% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 37.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
 - The average LOS decreased 10.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 1.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	41.9%	39.3%	23.6%
Reg-II - Central	16.2%	20.2%	7.3%
Reg-III - Western	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	5.4%	9.5%	10.9%
Reg-V - Southern	10.8%	8.3%	27.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	17.6%	19.0%	25.5%
Out-of-State	2.7%	3.6%	5.5%
Total Placements	74	84	55

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.8%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	1.8%
Carjacking	7.3%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	10.9%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	3.6%
Handgun Violation	1.8%
Malicious Destruction	7.3%
Manslaughter	1.8%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.8%
Narcotics Possession	3.6%
Other/Missing ¹	5.5%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	14.5%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	12.7%
Sex Offense	9.1%
Theft Felony	1.8%
Theft Misdemeanor	12.7%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.8%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	30.9%
Felony	21.8%
- Person-to-Person	7.3%
- Property	3.6%
- Drugs	1.8%
- Unspecified	9.1%
Misdemeanor	45.5%
- Person-to-Person	16.4%
- Property	21.8%
- Drugs	3.6%
- Unspecified	3.6%
Ordinance Offenses	1.8%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>55</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	83.8%	76.2%	69.1%
White	9.5%	16.7%	18.2%
Hispanic/Other	6.8%	7.1%	12.7%
Sex			
Male	89.2%	76.2%	80.0%
Female	10.8%	23.8%	20.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	2.7%	1.2%	0.0%
14	12.2%	7.1%	10.9%
15	17.6%	10.7%	14.5%
16	21.6%	35.7%	23.6%
17	32.4%	31.0%	43.6%
18-20	13.5%	14.3%	7.3%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>84</i>	<i>55</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2018-2020



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020



¹Youth released home or to a step-down program; *Youth transferred to another program
ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Backbone Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Todd Foote


Staff Secure - Region III - Western

124 Camp 4 Road
Swanton, MD 21561
301-359-9190

Rated Capacity: 48 Males (Capacity was reduced to 24 on June 20, 2020)

This Youth Center opened in 1966 as a forestry camp on Backbone Mountain in Garrett County with funds appropriated by the General Assembly and became a youth center in 1977. Programs include the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and STARR, DJS' behavioral modification program. Youth receive a Substance Use Assessment, and a level of care is determined. Backbone is certified to offer Substance Abuse Early Intervention, Outpatient, and Intensive Outpatient Services. Drug treatment focuses on clinically managed low-intensity residential substance abuse treatment services. Youth participate in individual and group interventions. Family counseling is offered to every youth, and psychiatric services are available to all youth. Youth participate in TAMAR-Y, a trauma-focused psychoeducation program, as well as START, an evidence-based cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, and life skills. MSDE also offers OSHA and ServSafe Certification, and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam. The College Program is offered in conjunction with Garrett College of Maryland. Youth are screened for acceptance into the program. Selected youth are admitted for one college semester while in DJS' care and earn a MD High School Diploma, as well as up to 15 college credits.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2018-2020



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	79.0%	74.8%	75.0%
White	13.7%	13.6%	15.0%
Hispanic/Other	7.3%	11.7%	10.0%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	6.5%	2.9%	6.7%
15	18.5%	3.9%	5.0%
16	33.1%	20.4%	18.3%
17	32.3%	51.5%	51.7%
18-20	9.7%	21.4%	18.3%
Total Placements	124	103	60

• Placement Trends:

- Placements decreased 51.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 41.7% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Region of Residence (FY 2020):

- 98.3% of placements were Maryland residents. The 1 from out of state was from Washington, D.C.

• MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For the 88.3% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 43.4% were Very High, 32.1% were High, 11.3% were Moderate, and 13.2% were Low.

• Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 31.1% to 33.3%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 15.5% to 20%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 53.4% to 46.7%.
- See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 17.2% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 24.1% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS increased 36.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 8.7% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Utilization Rate (FY 2019-FY 2020):

- Backbone Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate decreased from 71.4% in FY 2019 to 54.2% in FY 2020. (Calculation based on the program's rated capacity as of June 1, 2020).

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	6.7%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	1.7%
Carjacking	11.7%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	10.0%
Deadly Weapon	3.3%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	10.0%
Malicious Destruction	1.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.3%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.7%
Other/Missing ¹	1.7%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	21.7%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	13.3%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	5.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.7%
Trespassing	1.7%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	33.3%
Felony	20.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	11.7%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	8.3%
Misdemeanor	46.7%
- Person-to-Person	31.7%
- Property	11.7%
- Drugs	1.7%
- Unspecified	1.7%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>60</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	25.8%	20.4%	8.3%
Reg-II - Central	21.0%	15.5%	28.3%
Reg-III - Western	4.0%	7.8%	10.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	6.5%	6.8%	6.7%
Reg-V - Southern	16.9%	15.5%	26.7%
Reg-VI - Metro	25.0%	30.1%	18.3%
Out-of-State	0.8%	3.9%	1.7%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>124</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>60</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2018-2020



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020



¹Youth released home or to a step-down program; *Youth transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Garrett Children’s Center (State-Operated) (Formerly Savage Mountain Youth Center)

164 Freedom Lane
Lonaconing, MD 21539
301-463-2244


Superintendent: Walter Alston

Hardware Secure - Region III - Western

Rated Capacity: 8 Males (when ongoing renovations are complete, capacity will be 24)

The success of the first forestry camp for boys at Green Ridge prompted the General Assembly to appropriate funds for additional facilities in 1956 (Chapter 98, Acts of 1956). The Camp was renamed Savage Mountain Youth Center in 1977. Programming includes STARR (DJS’ behavioral modification program), Seven Challenges (evidence-based drug treatment), START (evidence-based cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth’s social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning), and TAMAR-Y (group intervention to address trauma issues). Behavioral Health staff provide individual, group, and family counseling services. Substance abuse treatment is offered on a continuum from early intervention to clinically managed medium intensity, as appropriate for the individual participant. Family engagement in treatment planning is encouraged. Regular family interaction is encouraged through family visits, phone calls, special family day activities at the facility, and home visits to help youth successfully transition back to the community. While individual cases are assessed on a case-by-case basis, typical placements involve participants who present a high risk of AWOL/escape, demonstrate high aggression, have high mental health needs, have had a previous mental health placement, have an intense substance abuse disorder, or display developmental or maturity factors that are considered best served by a hardware secure placement. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week. (Name changed to Garrett Children’s Center June 3, 2020.)

PLACEMENTS, FY 2018-2020



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	90.9%	92.3%	86.7%
White	9.1%	7.7%	13.3%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	9.1%	15.4%	6.7%
14	18.2%	15.4%	13.3%
15	27.3%	46.2%	40.0%
16	27.3%	23.1%	33.3%
17	9.1%	0.0%	6.7%
18-20	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	11	13	15

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements increased 36.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 15.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2020):**
 - 100% of placements were Maryland residents. There were no youth from out of state.
- **MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):**
 - For the 86.7% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 53.8% were Very High, 38.5% were High, and 7.7% were Moderate.
- **Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 53.8% to 53.3%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 15.4% to 13.3%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 30.8% to 33.3%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP increased 153.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 81.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS increased 159.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 30.1% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2019-FY 2020):**
 - Garrett Children’s Center’s utilization rate increased from 14.7% in FY 2019 to 80.1% in FY 2020 (rated capacity was reduced to 8 pending facility renovations).

This program closed from September of 2017 through December 2018 when it was reopened as a state-operated hardware secure program. Data for FY 2018 and FY 2019 represent only the time when the program was opened; therefore, comparisons to previous years are not possible.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	6.7%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	6.7%
Carjacking	20.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	6.7%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	6.7%
Handgun Violation	6.7%
Malicious Destruction	6.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	6.7%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	6.7%
Robbery	13.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	13.3%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	53.3%
Felony	13.3%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	6.7%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	6.7%
Misdemeanor	33.3%
- Person-to-Person	20.0%
- Property	6.7%
- Drugs	6.7%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>15</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020


Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	81.8%	23.1%	40.0%
Reg-II - Central	9.1%	38.5%	13.3%
Reg-III - Western	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%
Reg-IV - Eastern	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
Reg-V - Southern	0.0%	15.4%	26.7%
Reg-VI - Metro	9.1%	15.4%	13.3%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>15</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2018-2020[^]



[^] Please refer to the note at the bottom of page 174 regarding the temporary closure of the program.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020



¹Youth released home or to a step-down program; *Youth transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Green Ridge Mountain Quest (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Todd Bowman
Rated Capacity: 10 Males


Staff Secure - Region III - Western

10700 Fifteen Mile Creek Road
Flintstone, MD 21530
301-478-2930

Mountain Quest is a 90-day program for committed males ages 13-18 located at Green Ridge Youth Center. Mountain Quest is a first-time commitment program that provides youth time away from their families and communities, giving them the opportunity to change their behavior while learning to take responsibility for their actions. The Mountain Quest program gives youth the opportunity to work on thought patterns and behaviors that will help them be more successful when they return to the community. Family work and interventions are critical at this time to better assess needs and provide an open line of communication.

The program offers individual and group behavioral health interventions, drug education, and telepsychiatry services. Youth participate in TAMAR-Y, a trauma-focused psychoeducation program, as well as START, an evidence-based cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, and life skills. Additionally, MSDE offers individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2018-2020



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	75.0%	62.5%	84.6%
White	25.0%	29.2%	15.4%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	20.8%	12.5%	30.8%
14	25.0%	20.8%	7.7%
15	29.2%	37.5%	15.4%
16	16.7%	16.7%	38.5%
17	8.3%	8.3%	7.7%
18-20	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%
Total Placements	24	24	13

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements decreased 45.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 45.8% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2020):**
 - 100% of placements were Maryland residents. There were no placements from out of state at this program.
- **MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):**
 - For the 100% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 69.2% were Very High and 30.8% were High.
- **Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 8.3% to 30.8%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 8.3% to 7.7%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 83.3% to 53.8%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP decreased 25.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 36.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS increased 16.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 14.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2019-FY 2020):**
 - Green Ridge Mountain Quest's utilization rate decreased from 57.6% in FY 2019 to 36.3% in FY 2020.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.0%
Carjacking	7.7%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	7.7%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	7.7%
First Degree Assault-Felony	15.4%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	7.7%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	7.7%
Robbery	7.7%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	23.1%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.7%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	30.8%
Felony	7.7%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	7.7%
Misdemeanor	53.8%
- Person-to-Person	38.5%
- Property	15.4%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	7.7%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>13</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	4.2%	4.2%	7.7%
Reg-II - Central	25.0%	41.7%	30.8%
Reg-III - Western	25.0%	25.0%	15.4%
Reg-IV - Eastern	12.5%	12.5%	7.7%
Reg-V - Southern	12.5%	8.3%	23.1%
Reg-VI - Metro	20.8%	8.3%	15.4%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>13</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2018-2020



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020



¹Youth released home or to a step-down program; *Youth transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Green Ridge Youth Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Todd Bowman
Rated Capacity: 30 Males

Staff Secure - Region III - Western

10700 Fifteen Mile Creek Road
Flintstone, MD 21530
301-478-2930

Built originally as a Civilian Conservation Corps Camp, Green Ridge Youth Center has had a variety of uses in its 90-year history. Currently, Green Ridge is a treatment center for males ages 13-18. Programming includes the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and STARR, DJS' behavioral modification program. Youth receive a Substance Use Assessment, and a level of care is determined. Green Ridge Youth Center is certified to offer Substance Abuse Early Intervention, Outpatient, and Intensive Outpatient Services. Drug treatment focuses on clinically managed low-intensity residential substance abuse treatment services. Youth participate in individual and group interventions. Family counseling is offered to every youth, and psychiatric services are also available to all. Youth participate in TAMAR-Y, a trauma-focused psychoeducation program, as well as START, an evidence-based cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills. Additionally, MSDE offers OSHA Certification and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2018-2020



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	76.5%	70.6%	67.6%
White	15.3%	14.7%	21.6%
Hispanic/Other	8.2%	14.7%	10.8%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	2.4%	0.0%	5.4%
14	16.5%	19.1%	16.2%
15	16.5%	30.9%	29.7%
16	32.9%	35.3%	35.1%
17	28.2%	13.2%	8.1%
18-20	3.5%	1.5%	5.4%
Total Placements	85	68	37

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements decreased 56.5% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 45.6% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2020):**
 - 97.3% of placements were Maryland residents. The 1 from out of state was from Virginia.
- **MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):**
 - For the 100% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 70.3% were Very High, 16.2% were High, 10.8% were Moderate, and 2.7% were Low.
- **Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 38.2% to 32.4%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 10.3% to 21.6%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 50.0% to 45.9%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP decreased 38.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 34.5% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS increased 42.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 27.2% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2019-FY 2020):**
 - Green Ridge Youth Center's utilization rate decreased from 76.1% in FY 2019 to 49.9% in FY 2020.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	5.4%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.0%
Carjacking	18.9%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	10.8%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.7%
First Degree Assault-Felony	2.7%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.7%
Manslaughter	2.7%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	5.4%
Other/Missing ¹	2.7%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	10.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	16.2%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.7%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.2%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	32.4%
Felony	21.6%
- Person-to-Person	2.7%
- Property	8.1%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	10.8%
Misdemeanor	45.9%
- Person-to-Person	21.6%
- Property	18.9%
- Drugs	5.4%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
Total Placements	37

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	21.2%	20.6%	21.6%
Reg-II - Central	18.8%	17.6%	16.2%
Reg-III - Western	7.1%	8.8%	16.2%
Reg-IV - Eastern	4.7%	5.9%	10.8%
Reg-V - Southern	21.2%	10.3%	16.2%
Reg-VI - Metro	27.1%	33.8%	16.2%
Out-of-State	0.0%	2.9%	2.7%
Total Placements	85	68	37

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2018-2020



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020



¹Youth released home or to a step-down program; *Youth transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

J. DeWeese Carter Center (State-Operated)

Closed June 30, 2020

Hardware Secure - Region IV - Eastern


Rated Capacity: 14 Females (See note below regarding relocation and capacity reduction)

300 Scheeler Road
Chestertown, MD 21620
410-778-6444

J. DeWeese Carter Center opened as a secure residential program in November 2011 to serve females ages 14-18 who were committed to DJS. The Center provided gender-responsive, trauma-informed programming and treatment services. In addition, the Center implemented STARR, a behavioral modification program that establishes structure for an environment of respect and fairness conducive to treatment and rehabilitation. MSDE provided academic and vocational services. The school provided a 12-month schedule that included six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, life skills, as well as individualized GED programs that prepared youth for successful completion of a high school diploma examination.

This program was closed June 30, 2020, and the population was moved to Mountain View on June 19, 2020. Mountain View is a new staff secure female-only program on the grounds of Backbone Mountain Youth Center with a capacity of 6 females.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2018-2020



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	50.0%	65.0%	64.3%
White	42.9%	35.0%	21.4%
Hispanic/Other	7.1%	0.0%	14.3%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%
14	7.1%	10.0%	21.4%
15	28.6%	20.0%	14.3%
16	21.4%	20.0%	28.6%
17	21.4%	25.0%	21.4%
18-20	21.4%	20.0%	14.3%
Total Placements	14	20	14

• Placement Trends:

- Placements did not change between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 30.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Region of Residence (FY 2020):

- 100% of placements were Maryland residents. There were no youth from out of state.

• MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For the 85.7% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 58.3% were Very High, 8.3% were High, 25.0% were Moderate, and 8.3% were Low.

• Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 40.0% to 14.3%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 10.0% to 7.1%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 50.0% to 78.6%.
- See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 20.3% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 10.1% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS decreased 14.1% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 0.5% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Utilization Rate (FY 2019-FY 2020):

- J. DeWeese Carter Center's utilization rate decreased from 51.2% in FY 2019 to 46.0% in FY 2020. (Calculation based on the program's rated capacity as of June 1, 2020).



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.0%
Carjacking	7.1%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	7.1%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	7.1%
Murder	7.1%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	35.7%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	7.1%
Theft Misdemeanor	21.4%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	14.3%
Felony	7.1%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	7.1%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Misdemeanor	78.6%
- Person-to-Person	50.0%
- Property	28.6%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>14</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	14.3%	25.0%	28.6%
Reg-II - Central	14.3%	10.0%	7.1%
Reg-III - Western	42.9%	20.0%	14.3%
Reg-IV - Eastern	14.3%	25.0%	14.3%
Reg-V - Southern	14.3%	5.0%	14.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	0.0%	15.0%	21.4%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>14</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2018-2020



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020



¹Youth released home or to a step-down program; *Youth transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Meadow Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)

Closed June 30, 2020

Rated Capacity: 40 Males


Staff Secure - Region III - Western

234 Recovery Road
Grantsville, MD 21535
301-895-5669

Meadow Mountain Youth Center opened as a forestry camp for boys in 1958 and reopened in 1984 as an intensive outpatient program providing youth with at least nine hours of drug treatment weekly, which included Seven Challenges group therapy. Additionally, Meadow Mountain used STARR, DJS' behavioral modification program, and housed the Reflections Program, which included a high and low ropes challenge course, an aquatic challenge, and different outdoor activities. MSDE provided educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, and life skills.

This program was closed June 30, 2020, and the population was moved to Green Ridge Youth Center.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2018-2020



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	67.8%	69.2%	62.8%
White	20.7%	17.9%	30.2%
Hispanic/Other	11.5%	12.8%	7.0%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
14	10.3%	2.6%	14.0%
15	14.9%	15.4%	11.6%
16	31.0%	38.5%	32.6%
17	35.6%	34.6%	27.9%
18-20	6.9%	9.0%	14.0%
Total Placements	87	78	43

• Placement Trends:

- Placements decreased 50.6% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 44.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Region of Residence (FY 2020):

- 95.3% of placements were Maryland residents. The 2 from out of state were from Washington, D.C.

• MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For the 83.7% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 61.1% were Very High, 25.0% were High, 11.1% were Moderate, and 2.8% were Low.

• Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 17.9% to 18.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 17.9% to 9.3%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 62.8% to 69.8%.
- See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 14.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 29.9% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS increased 41.7% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 6.1% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Utilization Rate (FY 2019-FY 2020):

- Meadow Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate decreased from 69.2% in FY 2019 to 48.5% in FY 2020. (Calculation based on the program's rated capacity as of June 1, 2020).

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	2.3%
Carjacking	4.7%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	9.3%
Deadly Weapon	4.7%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	4.7%
Handgun Violation	2.3%
Malicious Destruction	2.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.3%
Narcotics Possession	9.3%
Other/Missing ¹	7.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	9.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	16.3%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.3%
Trespassing	2.3%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.7%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	18.6%
Felony	9.3%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	2.3%
- Unspecified	7.0%
Misdemeanor	69.8%
- Person-to-Person	30.2%
- Property	23.3%
- Drugs	9.3%
- Unspecified	7.0%
Ordinance Offenses	2.3%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>43</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	17.2%	11.5%	14.0%
Reg-II - Central	16.1%	11.5%	16.3%
Reg-III - Western	9.2%	7.7%	11.6%
Reg-IV - Eastern	13.8%	20.5%	18.6%
Reg-V - Southern	21.8%	11.5%	20.9%
Reg-VI - Metro	21.8%	35.9%	14.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	1.3%	4.7%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>78</i>	<i>43</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2018-2020



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020



¹Youth released home or to a step-down program; *Youth transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Victor Cullen Center (State-Operated)


Superintendent: Troy Stiffler
Rated Capacity: 48 Males

Hardware Secure - Region III - Western

6000 Cullen Drive
Sabillasville, MD 21780
301-739-8122

The Victor Cullen Center (VCC) was originally a sanatorium for tuberculosis patients named after the physician in charge of the institution, Dr. Victor F. Cullen. In July 2007, VCC reopened under state administration as a regional committed treatment center. VCC is a hardware secure program for males, primarily serving youth ages 15-21 in a six-month to nine-month treatment program for mental health and/or substance abuse issues. Programming includes the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and STARR, DJS' behavioral modification program. Youth receive individual and group substance abuse interventions. All youth participate in TAMAR-Y, a trauma-focused psychoeducation program, as well as START, an evidence-based cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. In addition, individual counseling is provided to all youth, and family counseling and psychiatric services are available to all youth. Regular family interaction is encouraged through visits, phone calls, family counseling, and family day activities. Recreational programming includes camping and intramural sports competitions. Specialized programs include Yoga and Mindfulness Training and arts-based programs. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, and life skills. Additionally, MSDE offers individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2018-2020



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	88.3%	79.3%	77.1%
White	6.7%	12.1%	10.4%
Hispanic/Other	5.0%	8.6%	12.5%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	1.7%	1.7%	0.0%
15	18.3%	10.3%	4.2%
16	35.0%	31.0%	29.2%
17	20.0%	34.5%	41.7%
18-20	25.0%	22.4%	25.0%
Total Placements	60	58	48

- **Placement Trends:**
 - Placements decreased 20.0% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 17.2% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- **Region of Residence (FY 2020):**
 - 97.9% of placements were Maryland residents. The 1 from out of state was from Washington, D.C.
- **MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):**
 - For the 72.9% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 37.1% were Very High, 31.4% were High, 20.0% were Moderate, and 11.4% were Low.
- **Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 44.8% to 47.9%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 17.2% to 16.7%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 37.9% to 35.4%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population:**
 - ADP decreased 16.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 10.2% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- **Average Length of Stay:**
 - The average LOS increased 7.4% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 24.7% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- **Utilization Rate (FY 2019-FY 2020):**
 - Victor Cullen Center's utilization rate increased from 38.9% in FY 2019 to 42.8% in FY 2020.



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	6.2%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.3%
Carjacking	6.2%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	10.4%
Deadly Weapon	4.2%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	6.2%
Handgun Violation	4.2%
Malicious Destruction	2.1%
Manslaughter	2.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	6.2%
Narcotics Distribution	2.1%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	2.1%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	18.8%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	14.6%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.2%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	47.9%
Felony	16.7%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	6.2%
- Drugs	2.1%
- Unspecified	8.3%
Misdemeanor	35.4%
- Person-to-Person	22.9%
- Property	10.4%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>48</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	35.0%	27.6%	22.9%
Reg-II - Central	11.7%	22.4%	16.7%
Reg-III - Western	10.0%	12.1%	4.2%
Reg-IV - Eastern	13.3%	0.0%	4.2%
Reg-V - Southern	8.3%	8.6%	25.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	18.3%	25.9%	25.0%
Out-of-State	3.3%	3.4%	2.1%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>58</i>	<i>48</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2018-2020



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020



¹Youth released home or to a step-down program; *Youth transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Silver Oak Academy (Private Provider)


Program Director: Stephen Chop
Rated Capacity: 96 Males

Staff Secure

999 Crouse Mill Road
Keymar, Maryland 21757
410-775-1745

Silver Oak Academy (SOA) is a private residential program owned and operated by Rite of Passage, Inc. SOA is an achievement-based program designed to highlight and develop positive pro-social skills and activities to assist youth in transitioning to the community and being successful. Youth have individualized treatment plans with measured goals that include learning social skills, gaining academic knowledge, vocational-technical competence, independent life skills and family engagement. SOA serves youth ages 14-18. Vocational training services include the following: food service and hospitality management, carpentry/electrical, construction/masonry, cosmetology/barbering, and electronics. SOA has a large campus that includes a year-round high school approved by MSDE, a competition gym (with wrestling and weight rooms), outdoor competition fields, and a running track. During FY 2014, the DJS contracted capacity at SOA increased from 48 to 96.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2018-2020



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	95.3%	89.6%	83.9%
White	4.7%	6.2%	6.5%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	4.2%	9.7%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
15	16.3%	14.6%	19.4%
16	30.2%	35.4%	16.1%
17	41.9%	35.4%	41.9%
18-20	11.6%	12.5%	22.6%
Total Placements	43	48	31

• Placement Trends:

- Placements decreased 27.9% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 35.4% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Region of Residence (FY 2020):

- 96.8% of placements were Maryland residents. The 1 from out of state was from Washington, D.C.

• MCASP Risk Level (FY 2020):

- For the 74.2% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 47.8% were Very High, 21.7% were High, 17.4% were Moderate, and 13.0% were Low.

• Offense Category (FY 2019-2020):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 39.6% to 29.0%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 20.8% to 19.4%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 39.6% to 51.6%.
- See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population:

- ADP decreased 27.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and decreased 10.0% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Average Length of Stay:

- The average LOS increased 7.8% between FY 2018 and FY 2020 and increased 16.6% between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

• Utilization Rate (FY 2019-FY 2020):

- Silver Oak's utilization rate decreased from 29.2% in FY 2019 to 26.2% in FY 2020.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY2020
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	6.5%
Carjacking	6.5%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	9.7%
Deadly Weapon	3.2%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	6.5%
Handgun Violation	19.4%
Malicious Destruction	3.2%
Manslaughter	3.2%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.2%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	3.2%
Robbery	6.5%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	12.9%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.2%
Theft Misdemeanor	3.2%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	9.7%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	29.0%
Felony	19.4%
- Person-to-Person	6.5%
- Property	3.2%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	9.7%
Misdemeanor	51.6%
- Person-to-Person	35.5%
- Property	6.5%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	9.7%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>31</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Harassment, Identity Fraud, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2018-2020

Region	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Reg-I - Baltimore City	39.5%	43.8%	41.9%
Reg-II - Central	34.9%	10.4%	9.7%
Reg-III - Western	11.6%	2.1%	3.2%
Reg-IV - Eastern	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-V - Southern	7.0%	12.5%	12.9%
Reg-VI - Metro	7.0%	27.1%	29.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	4.2%	3.2%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>43</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>31</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2018-2020



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS, FY 2020



¹Youth released home or to a step-down program; *Youth transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Community-Based Family Therapy Programs

DJS uses research-supported, community-based family therapy programs to address the needs of youth, and to reduce further system involvement and out-of-home placements. Evidence-Based Services (EBS) constitute those designated as “model” programs by Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development (Center for the Study and Prevention of Violence at the University of Colorado Boulder) and currently include Functional Family Therapy (FFT) and Multisystemic Therapy (MST). These services are family-based therapeutic models shown to be effective with youth involved with the juvenile justice system. In addition, Family Centered Treatment (FCT), provided by the Institute for Family Centered Services, Inc., is a family preservation model of in-home treatment, which helps families to learn and adopt positive behavioral patterns.

PLACEMENTS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2020

EBS Providers		County/Region	Place.	ADP
FFT	Center for Children, Inc.	Anne Arundel	35	12.3
		Calvert	10	4.2
		Charles	25	6.7
		St. Mary's	20	6
	VisionQuest National	Baltimore Co.	17	5.1
		Carroll	4	1.4
		Harford	8	3.2
		Howard	11	6.4
		East. Shore	41	18.5
		Montgomery	20	6.8
MST	Community Counseling and Mentoring Service, Inc.	Montgomery	3	1.0
		Pr. George's	29	8.7
	Community Solutions, Inc.	Baltimore Co.	12	4.8
	Way Station, Inc.	Frederick	11	3.3
		Washington	9	1.8
FCT	Mentor Maryland	Baltimore City	77	20.0
		Baltimore Co.	46	17.8
		Carroll	15	7.3
		Harford	7	2.1
		Howard	6	1.6
		Allegany	10	4.0
		Frederick	26	7.8
		Garrett	2	1.1
		Washington	19	9.3
		Caroline	1	0.8
		Cecil	1	0.6
		Dorchester	4	1.5
		Somerset	2	1.0
		Talbot	1	0.4
		Wicomico	7	3.7
		Worcester	5	3.4
		Anne Arundel	10	3.6
		Calvert	11	4.2
		Charles	19	7.3
		St. Mary's	10	5.4
Montgomery	38	12.8		
Pr. George's	29	16.4		
Total Placements		<i>All Shown</i>	631	233.4

Community-Based Family Therapy Program Placements (FY 2020):

- 35.0% of placements were to FFT.
- 10.1% of placements were to MST.
- 54.8% of placements were to FCT.

Youth Placed (FY 2020):

- At the time of placement to a community-based family therapy program, 51.2% of youth were under probation, 29.0% were on aftercare supervision, 13.5% were under pre-court supervision, and 6.3% were referred by DJS Intake without a formal supervision status.

Most Common Offense Type (FY 2020):

- FFT was Second Degree Assault (28.5%).
- MST was Second Degree Assault (34.4%).
- FCT was Second Degree Assault (23.7%).

Average Length of Stay (FY 2020):

- ALOS was highest for FCT and lowest for MST.

Average Daily Population (FY 2020):

- ADP was highest for FCT and lowest for MST.

Completion Status According to Program Specific Criteria (FY 2020):

- 86.6% of youth discharged from FFT* completed the program.
- 67.3% of youth discharged from MST* completed the program.
- 57.7% of youth discharged from FCT* completed the program.

*Note that these percentages reflect cases discharged within therapist control, which excludes youth who moved prior to completing the program, those referred to/placed in another program, or discharged for other administrative reasons.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020

	FFT	MST	FCT	ADP
Reg I-Balt. City	0.0%	0.0%	22.3%	20.0
Reg II-Central	18.1%	18.8%	21.4%	49.7
Reg III-Western	0.0%	31.3%	16.5%	27.3
Reg IV-E. Shore	18.6%	0.0%	6.1%	29.9
Reg V-Southern	40.7%	0.0%	14.5%	49.7
Reg VI-Metro	22.6%	50.0%	19.4%	56.9
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0
Total Placements	221	64	346	233.4

PLACEMENTS BY ADJUDICATED OFFENSE⁺, FY 2020

Most Serious Offense	FFT	MST	FCT
Offense Type			
Arson	1.4%	0.0%	0.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	1.8%	1.6%	1.4%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.9%	1.6%	6.6%
Carjacking	0.9%	1.6%	4.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	5.0%	7.8%	7.5%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%	1.6%	2.6%
Disturbing the Peace	4.1%	3.1%	4.9%
First Degree Assault-Felony	0.9%	1.6%	1.7%
Handgun Violation	2.3%	0.0%	2.9%
Malicious Destruction	5.9%	3.1%	5.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%	1.6%	2.3%
Murder	0.9%	1.6%	0.9%
Narcotics Distribution	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%
Narcotics Possession	2.7%	3.1%	2.3%
Other/Missing ¹	4.5%	6.3%	4.6%
Resisting Arrest	0.5%	3.1%	0.0%
Robbery	7.2%	14.1%	9.5%
Second Degree Assault-Misd.	28.5%	34.4%	23.7%
Sex Offense	4.1%	0.0%	1.7%
Theft Felony	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.0%	12.5%	11.3%
Trespassing	1.4%	1.6%	0.3%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.3%	0.0%	3.2%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence²	13.1%	20.3%	20.2%
Felony	10.4%	9.4%	11.6%
- Person-to-Person	2.7%	0.0%	1.7%
- Property	2.3%	1.6%	2.9%
- Drugs	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%
- Unspecified	4.5%	7.8%	6.1%
Misdemeanor	71.9%	70.3%	66.5%
- Person-to-Person	42.1%	45.3%	38.2%
- Property	25.8%	18.8%	22.0%
- Drugs	0.9%	3.1%	1.4%
- Unspecified	2.7%	3.1%	4.9%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Citations	2.3%	0.0%	1.2%
CINS	2.3%	0.0%	0.3%
Interstate Compact Missing	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	221	64	346

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.

¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Cruelty to Animals, False Alarm, False Report, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Threat of Mass Violence, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.


² See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

Note: FFT and MST data come from the Institute for Innovation and Implementation's EBS Database, except for offense data, which comes from ASSIST. The source for all FCT data is ASSIST.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020

Demographics	FFT	MST	FCT
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	57.5%	60.9%	68.2%
White	29.9%	26.6%	20.5%
Hispanic/Other	12.7%	12.5%	11.3%
Sex			
Female	25.8%	25.0%	18.8%
Male	74.2%	75.0%	81.2%
Age			
11 and under	0.9%	0.0%	1.2%
12	3.6%	0.0%	2.6%
13	6.8%	9.4%	5.5%
14	12.7%	9.4%	10.7%
15	23.5%	21.9%	17.9%
16	28.5%	34.4%	24.0%
17	19.5%	25.0%	27.5%
18-20	4.5%	0.0%	10.7%
Total Placements	221	64	346

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2020



SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS AT DISCHARGE*, FY 2020



* Each program has its own measure of completion.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Section V: Recidivism Rates



Family Day fun at Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center in October, 2019.

Measuring Recidivism Rates*

Measuring recidivism for DJS youth is a vital tool for understanding the effectiveness of interventions aimed at reducing future system involvement and for measuring the ultimate public safety impact of services provided. Though other outcomes are also important to study, including future educational attainment, employment, and other non-offense-related outcomes, recidivism remains the primary measure of success. DJS therefore conducts an annual recidivism study and publishes comprehensive recidivism reports as part of the Data Resource Guide. It is important to note that recidivism is not only a measure of the effectiveness of the program/services provided, but it also depends on the quality of re-entry planning, aftercare supervision, availability and quality of supports in the community and/or family to which youth return, local economic opportunities, and other factors. It is also important to account for the assessed risk levels of youth served when analyzing success. All youth assigned to probation or placed in an out-of-home committed program are assessed for risk of future reoffending using the validated MCASP needs assessment. The assessed risk level guides decisions about the level of supervision and intensity of treatment services required for an individual youth. MCASP identifies factors that have been shown to predict future reoffending, such as prior delinquency and criminogenic risk factors related to school, family, substance use, peers, etc. Programs that serve higher-risk youth might expect to see higher recidivism rates than those serving lower-risk youth. Studies have also shown that services designed for higher-risk youth may not be effective, or even prove detrimental if provided to lower-risk youth. For these reasons, these reports include a breakdown of the levels of risk of the recidivism study cohorts.

RECIDIVISM DEFINITION

The juvenile justice community has not reached a consensus on how best to define recidivism with a single measure, so DJS captures and reports several measures. Because youth may age out of juvenile court jurisdiction during the recidivism follow-up period, DJS includes information from the adult criminal justice system. Since many charges are not ultimately sustained by the juvenile court, or found guilty in an adult court, DJS measures not only new arrests, but also resulting juvenile court adjudications or adult convictions, and new juvenile out-of-home commitments or adult incarcerations. Maryland tracks new arrests for up to three years, but publishes rearrest rates after a one-year follow up period, and reconviction and reincarceration with a two-year lag, to account for often lengthy court processing times. For purposes of these recidivism studies, a new arrest includes any new delinquent or criminal felony/misdemeanor offense. Not counted are status offenses, traffic citations, violations of local ordinances, and technical violations of probation which do not include a new delinquent or criminal offense. Only those new adjudications, convictions, commitments, and incarcerations that are the result of a new arrest are included, not those stemming from offenses prior to the probation or commitment episode. All recidivism rates are calculated at the youth level, rather than the case level. Youth who recidivate in both juvenile and adult systems are counted only once by the first offense or arrest date during the follow-up period. It is, however, possible for a youth to appear in both the probation and committed study cohorts.

POST-COMMITMENT RECIDIVISM

Youth released from committed out-of-home placements are a primary focus for measuring recidivism. These data include youth who had been committed to DJS by the Maryland juvenile court for placement in an out-of-home committed program (whether within Maryland or out of state) and who were released within the study year. Youth from outside Maryland are included if they have been committed by a Maryland court. Committed programs ranging from foster placements to programs involving secure confinement are included, and programs may be operated by DJS, or provide services under contract. New offenses that occur on the same day of commitment release while youth are in a committed program are not counted as recidivism. Results are shown by year of new offense, level of recidivism, demographics, county, risk level, program type, and by individual program. In cases where a youth had been served by multiple programs during a commitment spell, transfers are not considered as a release, and rates are attributed to the program representing the final release.

PROBATION RECIDIVISM

This cohort includes all youth with a new juvenile probation disposition during the year. Recidivism events are tracked from the disposition date for up to three years, regardless of how long a youth remains under supervision. Results are shown by year of new offense, level of recidivism, demographics, region, county, and risk level.

Cohort	Definition	Clock Starts	Assigned to	Further Breakdown/ Summary
Probation	Youth with a probation disposition in FY. The first probation disposition is selected if youth have more than one.	Date of probation disposition	Region of jurisdiction of case manager	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, Assessed Risk
Committed	Youth released from committed program in FY who stayed at least 16 days	Date of final release, excluding transfers	Final program from which youth was released	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, Program Type, Program, Assessed Risk

*Prior to 2015, date of the court action/placement was used, and non-delinquent referrals were counted. Also, the measure of probation recidivism was expanded in 2019 to include all probation cases, not just first-time dispositions. This Guide includes a five-year chart using the revised methodology for all years to show the long-term trend.

Recidivism	Definition	Date Used	Excludes	Data Source
Juvenile Arrest	Subsequent offense referred to DJS	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes violations of probation or aftercare not involving a new delinquent offense; CINS referrals, civil citations, local ordinance violations, arrests in other states, arrests for offenses occurring prior to release, and arrests diverted by police and not referred to DJS.	DJS ASSIST
Adult Arrest	Adult arrest in Maryland	Arrest date within follow-up period	Excludes violations of probation or parole, civil citations, arrests outside of Maryland, Federal holds, arrests for offenses that occur on the same day of release from a committed program.	CJIS
Juvenile Adjudication	Sustained delinquent adjudication included in reoffense	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes continued cases	DJS ASSIST
Adult Conviction	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest	Arrest date within follow-up period	Same as adult arrest	CJIS
Juvenile Commitment	Commitment stemming from offense included in reoffense and readjudication	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes commitments not resulting in out-of-home placement	DJS ASSIST
Adult Incarceration	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count resulting in a sentence of incarceration (or possible confinement)	Arrest date within follow-up period	Same as adult arrest	CJIS

For purposes of this *Data Resource Guide*, rates will be combined for the juvenile and adult system into three overall categories using juvenile and/or criminal justice recidivism measures and labeled as:

1. Rearrest
2. Reconviction
3. Reincarceration

DATA SOURCES

Information from two different databases (juvenile and adult) is retrieved, processed, and compiled for each of the selected released cohorts. Data gathering involves the following procedures:

- A list of all youth with a probation disposition, or released from DJS committed residential programs is obtained from the Department's ASSIST management information system.
- Master cohort files are created containing gender, race, date of birth, county of jurisdiction, region of jurisdiction, county of residence, and region of residence. For committed youth, the last program name and program type from which youth was released during the release cohort is also included. Risk level is added using the full MCASP assessment completed or updated closest and prior to the committed release date for committed youth, and closest to the disposition date for probation youth.
- For both committed and probation cohort files, juvenile recidivism events are added from the ASSIST database, including subsequent arrests, adjudications, and commitments. Dates of offense are used to code recidivism events as occurring one, two, and three years after release.
- Adult arrest and court disposition information is obtained from the Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS), using DJS youth name, date of birth, race, and gender as identification or index fields. These records are added to a text file and returned to DJS with the response data. Name match is as follows: Smith, Gregory will match with Smith, Gregory and Smith Greg. Smith, Greg will match with Smith, Greg and Smith, Gr, but not with Smith, Gregory. DJS youth names are given in full and checked for any abbreviation as Gr or Greg for example.
- Youth who recidivate in both systems are counted only once by the first offense or arrest date during the follow-up period.

Overall Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases

6-, 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2017-2019 RELEASES

Follow-up Period	FY2017 (N=870)			FY2018 (N=671)			FY2019 (N=674)		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration
6 Months	32.8%	11.8%	7.9%	31.1%	13.3%	8.0%	33.5%		
12 Months	47.7%	19.5%	12.8%	45.0%	19.2%	11.8%	47.3%		
24 Months	62.3%	31.1%	20.7%	60.5%	27.6%	18.5%			
36 Months	67.0%	34.7%	23.2%						

- Between FY 2017 and FY 2019, the 12-month rearrest rate decreased 0.4 percentage points. Between FY 2017 and FY 2018, the 12-month reconviction rate decreased 0.3 percentage points and the 12-month reincarceration rate decreased 1.0 percentage points.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018 RELEASES

Demographics	FY2018 Releases							
	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Race/Ethnicity								
Black	484	227	46.9%	97	20.0%	60	12.4%	
White	127	50	39.4%	19	15.0%	13	10.2%	
Hispanic/Other	60	25	41.7%	13	21.7%	6	10.0%	
Sex								
Male	564	276	48.9%	120	21.3%	76	13.5%	
Female	107	26	24.3%	9	8.4%	3	2.8%	
Age at Release								
11 and Under	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
12	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	
13	12	9	75.0%	6	50.0%	5	41.7%	
14	55	31	56.4%	12	21.8%	11	20.0%	
15	112	52	46.4%	24	21.4%	15	13.4%	
16	186	98	52.7%	41	22.0%	18	9.7%	
17	211	79	37.4%	33	15.6%	22	10.4%	
18 or older	92	31	33.7%	12	13.0%	7	7.6%	
Total	671	302	45.0%	129	19.2%	79	11.8%	

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table to the left.

- Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures. Black youth had the highest rates for rearrest and reincarcerations and Hispanic/Other youth had the highest reconviction rates.
- Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

Note to Readers:

Throughout this recidivism section, analysis of trends information appearing in bullets is based on the actual data and not the rounded figures presented in the graphs/tables.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR RELEASES¹ BY PROGRAM TYPE SUMMARY, FY 2017 - 2019

Total for Each Program Type	FY 2017				FY 2018				FY 2019			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Foster Care	38	34.2%	5.3%	0.0%	25	28.0%	16.0%	8.0%	19	36.8%		
Group Home*	234	44.9%	20.1%	14.1%	149	32.9%	12.1%	10.1%	135	37.0%		
Independent Living	12	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%	11	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	9	22.2%		
RTC	154	46.1%	17.5%	9.1%	117	51.3%	23.1%	13.7%	113	38.1%		
Out-of-State	49	49.0%	26.5%	16.3%	40	55.0%	30.0%	20.0%	92	53.3%		
State-Operated	383	51.7%	20.9%	14.4%	329	49.5%	20.4%	11.2%	306	54.9%		

¹Totals presented in the table above include each type of facility reported in that broad category. For example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as In-Home Foster Care. State-Operated includes Silver Oak Academy (a privately operated facility in Maryland). * Data previously reported under a separate category of ICFA were merged under group homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for group homes are not comparable to DRGs prior to FY 2019.

- Analyses of trends are presented on the following pages for each specific program type.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.


**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2017-2019 RELEASES,
BY REGION AND COUNTY**

Region/ County	FY2017				FY2018				FY2019			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
R-I-Balt. City	169	59.8%	27.8%	21.3%	149	53.0%	20.8%	14.8%	163	50.9%		
Baltimore City	169	59.8%	27.8%	21.3%	149	53.0%	20.8%	14.8%	163	50.9%		
R-II-Central	124	48.4%	24.2%	21.0%	123	51.2%	22.8%	15.4%	122	50.8%		
Baltimore Co.	55	56.4%	32.7%	29.1%	68	55.9%	27.9%	20.6%	59	52.5%		
Carroll	19	26.3%	5.3%	5.3%	10	20.0%	10.0%	0.0%	13	30.8%		
Harford	26	42.3%	15.4%	15.4%	20	45.0%	20.0%	20.0%	29	48.3%		
Howard	24	54.2%	29.2%	20.8%	25	56.0%	16.0%	4.0%	21	61.9%		
R-III-Western	94	52.1%	25.5%	11.7%	69	44.9%	23.2%	7.2%	53	39.6%		
Allegany	21	47.6%	28.6%	14.3%	12	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%	13	30.8%		
Frederick	33	54.5%	24.2%	9.1%	30	36.7%	26.7%	3.3%	16	43.8%		
Garrett	4	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4	75.0%	50.0%	25.0%	4	25.0%		
Washington	36	58.3%	27.8%	13.9%	23	56.5%	21.7%	8.7%	20	45.0%		
R-IV-Eastern	92	55.4%	16.3%	4.3%	50	40.0%	8.0%	6.0%	72	54.2%		
Caroline	5	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4	50.0%		
Cecil	14	78.6%	28.6%	7.1%	5	80.0%	40.0%	20.0%	9	66.7%		
Dorchester	9	44.4%	11.1%	11.1%	8	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	9	44.4%		
Kent	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Queen Anne's	5	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	6	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6	33.3%		
Somerset	4	75.0%	50.0%	0.0%	3	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	9	44.4%		
Talbot	3	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5	40.0%		
Wicomico	46	50.0%	10.9%	2.2%	21	47.6%	9.5%	9.5%	25	68.0%		
Worcester	5	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5	40.0%		
R-V-Southern	171	35.1%	9.9%	5.8%	119	40.3%	18.5%	10.1%	99	38.4%		
Anne Arundel	84	36.9%	7.1%	3.6%	66	42.4%	19.7%	13.6%	66	33.3%		
Calvert	13	53.8%	30.8%	15.4%	7	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	5	20.0%		
Charles	39	33.3%	7.7%	5.1%	28	32.1%	10.7%	0.0%	13	53.8%		
St. Mary's	35	25.7%	11.4%	8.6%	18	44.4%	22.2%	11.1%	15	53.3%		
R-VI-Metro	204	42.6%	15.7%	9.8%	151	39.1%	18.5%	11.9%	148	48.6%		
Montgomery	86	51.2%	20.9%	10.5%	79	49.4%	27.8%	17.7%	82	54.9%		
Prince George's	118	36.4%	11.9%	9.3%	72	27.8%	8.3%	5.6%	66	40.9%		
Out-of-State	16	43.8%	31.2%	25.0%	10	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17	23.5%		
Statewide	870	47.7%	19.5%	12.8%	671	45.0%	19.2%	11.8%	674	47.3%		

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore, if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.


COMMITTED PROGRAM RELEASES BY RISK LEVEL *, FY 2014-2018 RELEASES



* Percentages for each FY may not add to 100% due to cases with missing or incomplete risk assessments.

- The percentage of youth with a high risk of recidivism has increased 22.7 percentage points between FY 2014 and FY 2018. The highest percentage of youth fall into the high-risk category in each fiscal year. This is especially important when considered in conjunction with the recidivism data presented in the graph below.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY RISK LEVEL *, FY 2018 RELEASES



* There were 4 youth with missing/incomplete MCASP risk levels. Percentages are calculated excluding these youth.

- Youth with a high risk of recidivism had higher recidivism rates for all three measures.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES, FY 2014-2018 RELEASES



- Rearrest and reconviction rates have been remarkably consistent between FY 2014 and FY 2018 despite the increases in the high risk cohorts during the same time period.

Due to methodological changes, recidivism data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015. Risk level data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR FOSTER CARE RELEASES,
FY 2017 - FY 2019***

Treatment Foster Care	FY2017				FY2018				FY2019			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Arrow Child & Fam. Ministries	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1		
Board of Child Care	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Children's Choice - Baltimore	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0		
Children's Choice - Salisbury	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0		
Children's Home	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Greenleaf	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	1	1	0	N/A		
Hearts & Homes for Youth - Family	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1		
Ment. MD-Balt Teens in Trans	10	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	4	2		
Ment. MD-Lanham	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Ment. MD-Salisbury Teens	10	5	1	0	9	3	0	0	4	1		
Pressley Ridge	5	1	0	0	5	3	3	1	1	0		
San Mar	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		
Woodbourne Center	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	2		
Treatment Foster Care Total	36	33.3%	5.6%	0.0%	25	28.0%	16.0%	8.0%	19	36.8%		
In-Home Foster Care												
In-Home Foster	2	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Total Foster Care	38	34.2%	5.3%	0.0%	25	28.0%	16.0%	8.0%	19	36.8%		

For total Foster Care releases (including Treatment and In-Home Foster Care):

- Between FY 2017 and FY 2019, the rearrest rate increased 2.6 percentage points.
- Between FY 2017 and FY 2018:
 - The reconviction rate increased 10.7 percentage points.
 - The reincarceration rate increased from 0.0% to 8.0%.

Note: Throughout this section, programs that had no releases within a fiscal year will have N/A reported for all recidivism measures. For FY 2019, reconviction and reincarceration rates are not available due to court dispositions requiring an additional year to account for lengthy court processing time.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few youth may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES, FY 2017 - FY 2019*

Group Home	FY 2017				FY 2018				FY 2019			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
ARC of Wash	8	3	2	2	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0		
Board of Child Care	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Catocin Summit Adol. Prog	12	4	1	0	13	2	0	0	11	4		
Cedar Ridge	14	6	4	4	10	4	2	2	10	6		
Children's Home (GH & Tran)	8	5	4	4	9	1	0	0	6	2		
Hearts and Homes	19	6	3	3	15	7	3	3	13	1		
MAGIC	4	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0		
Morning Star Youth Academy	34	18	8	2	27	10	6	4	23	11		
Oak Hill House	15	8	2	2	12	9	4	4	10	4		
One Love	4	1	0	0	8	4	1	1	6	2		
Our House	16	8	4	4	6	1	0	0	11	5		
Salem Trust	12	5	1	1	6	1	1	0	7	0		
Shining Tree	11	5	3	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
St. Ann's Infant & Maternity Program	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	1		
Group Home	161	44.7%	20.5%	14.9%	111	36.0%	15.3%	12.6%	102	35.3%		
Therapeutic Group Home (TGH)												
Avis Birely**	12	7	4	2	8	1	0	0	10	4		
Board of Child Care	7	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	2		
Cedar Ridge	14	4	1	1	8	3	0	0	14	6		
Mary's Mount Manor**	6	4	1	1	3	0	0	0	5	2		
TGH Total	39	41.0%	15.4%	10.3%	22	22.7%	0.0%	0.0%	32	43.8%		
Intermediate Care for Addictions Facility (ICFA)												
Lois E. Jackson Unit	29	16	7	5	4	1	0	0	0	N/A		
MTC-Mountain Manor	5	1	1	0	12	3	1	1	1	0		
ICFA Total	34	50.0%	23.5%	14.7%	16	25.0%	6.3%	6.3%	1	0.0%		
Total Group Home, TGH, and ICFA	234	44.9%	20.1%	14.1%	149	32.9%	12.1%	10.1%	135	37.0%		

**Operated by Hearts and Homes; Avis Birely was formerly Redl House

For total Group Home releases including TGH and ICFA:

- Between FY 2017 and FY 2019, the rearrest rate decreased 7.8 percentage points.
- Between FY 2017 and FY 2018, the reconviction rate decreased 8.0 percentage points and the reincarceration rate decreased 4.0 percentage points.

Data previously reported under the separate category of ICFA were merged under group homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for group homes are not comparable to DRGs prior to FY 2019.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few youth may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING RELEASES,
FY 2017 - FY 2019***

Independent Living	FY2017				FY2018				FY2019			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Damamli	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		
Future Bound	2	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Jumoke	2	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	2	0		
Mentor Maryland - Baltimore	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1		
Mentor Maryland-Salisbury	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
New Pathways-Independence Plus	3	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	5	1		
Independent Living Total	12	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%	9	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	9	22.2%		
Alternative Living Units												
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Alternative Living Units	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	N/A		
Total Independent Living & Alternative Living Units	12	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%	11	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	9	22.2%		

For total Independent Living releases including Alternative Living Units:

- Between FY 2017 and FY 2019, the rearrest rate decreased 11.1 percentage points.
- Between FY 2017 and FY 2018, the reconviction rate and the reincarceration rate each remained relatively stable (increased 0.8 percentage points, respectively).

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few youth may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR RTC RELEASES, FY 2017 - FY 2019*

Residential Treatment Centers (RTC)	FY2017				FY2018				FY2019			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Good Shepherd Center Females	8	3	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Good Shepherd Center Males	3	3	2	2	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Jefferson School	7	3	2	0	14	5	2	0	10	2		
New Directions Chesapeake	17	8	3	2	10	4	1	0	10	5		
Potomac Ridge	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
RICA Baltimore	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	2	1	1	6	2		
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	28	12	4	0	33	20	14	10	27	11		
Right Moves Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey	3	3	1	1	7	6	3	2	10	5		
Villa Maria	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A		
Woodbourne	27	13	8	6	12	9	2	1	20	8		
Res. Treat. Total	97	46.4%	20.6%	11.3%	80	58.8%	28.8%	17.5%	83	39.8%		
Psychiatric Hospitals												
Behav. Health Hosp. Rockville	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Brook Lane Hosp.	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Clinton T. Perkins Hospital Center	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0		
East. Shore Acute	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0		
Sheppard Pratt Ell. City & Towson	5	1	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Spring Grove	30	13	2	1	18	3	1	1	17	5		
Springfield Adult Hospital Center	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Psych. Hosp. Total	39	35.9%	7.7%	2.6%	18	16.7%	5.6%	5.6%	19	26.3%		
Diagnostic Units/CEU												
Arrow Child & Family Ministries	13	9	3	2	17	10	3	1	6	3		
Children's Home	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	4	2		
RICA Rockville	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		
Diagnostic Units/CEU Total	18	66.7%	22.2%	11.1%	19	52.6%	15.8%	5.3%	11	45.5%		
Total RTC	154	46.1%	17.5%	9.1%	117	51.3%	23.1%	13.7%	113	38.1%		

For total RTC releases including Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Units/CEU:

- Between FY 2017 and FY 2019, the rearrest rate decreased 8.1 percentage points.
- Between FY 2017 and FY 2018, the reconviction rate increased 5.5 percentage points and the reincarceration rate increased 4.6 percentage points.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few youth may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE (OOS) FACILITY RELEASES,
FY 2017 - FY 2019***

Residential Treatment Facility OOS	FY2017				FY2018				FY2019			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
Devereux (Georgia)	2	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Kid Link - Coastal Harbor Treat. Ctr.	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0		
UHS of DE-Harbor Point	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	3	1	0	7	2		
UHS of DE- Sandy Pines	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	N/A		
Residential Treat. Facility Total	4	50.0%	50.0%	25.0%	5	80.0%	40.0%	0.0%	8	25.0%		
Staff Secure OOS												
Canyon State	3	1	1	0	4	2	1	1	1	1		
Clarinda Academy	3	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	1		
Manos House	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0		
Glen Mills School	10	6	6	5	7	3	3	2	32	21		
KidLink Network- Found. for Living	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Natchez Trace Youth Academy	6	1	0	0	5	3	1	1	5	3		
New Outlook Acad.	2	0	0	0	5	1	1	1	2	1		
Sequel - Mingus Mountain Academy	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0		
Summit Academy	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1		
Woodward Acad.	2	1	1	0	3	2	1	1	14	5		
Staff Secure Total	30	43.3%	26.7%	16.7%	27	48.1%	25.9%	22.2%	60	55.0%		
Hardware Secure OOS												
Cornell Abraxas Acad.	3	1	0	0	3	1	1	0	4	1		
Mid-Atlantic Luzerne	9	7	2	1	1	1	0	0	5	4		
Mid-Atlantic West. PA	3	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	11	7		
Wolverine Treat. Ctr.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	1	1	4	2		
Hardware Secure OOS Total	15	60.0%	20.0%	13.3%	8	62.5%	37.5%	25.0%	24	58.3%		
Out-of-State Total	49	49.0%	26.5%	16.3%	40	55.0%	30.0%	20.0%	92	53.3%		

For Out-of-State releases including Residential Treatment Facilities, Staff Secure, and Hardware Secure:

- Between FY 2017 and FY 2019, the rearrest rate increased 4.3 percentage points.
- Between FY 2017 and FY 2018, the reconviction rate increased 3.5 percentage points, and the reincarceration rate increased 3.7 percentage points.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few youth may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR STATE-OPERATED AND PRIVATELY OPERATED FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2017 - FY 2019*

State-Operated Facilities	FY2017				FY2018				FY2019			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Backbone Mtn Yth Ctr.	67	34	16	10	74	37	18	9	87	44		
Green Ridge Mtn Quest	22	14	7	4	21	13	8	6	21	11		
Green Ridge- Yth Ctr.	52	20	9	5	51	28	12	6	42	25		
J. DeWeese Carter Ctr.	17	5	1	1	16	5	1	0	15	3		
Meadow Mtn Yth Ctr.	59	31	9	7	48	21	7	5	54	29		
Savage Mtn Yth Center	53	36	13	9	5	3	3	2	7	5		
Victor Cullen Center	54	33	15	12	68	38	13	8	39	27		
Total State Operated	324	53.4%	21.6%	14.8%	283	51.2%	21.9%	12.7%	265	54.3%		
Privately Operated Facility												
Silver Oak Academy	59	25	10	7	46	18	5	1	41	24		
Total Privately Operated	59	42.4%	16.9%	11.9%	46	39.1%	10.9%	2.2%	41	58.5%		
Total State and Privately Operated	383	51.7%	20.9%	14.4%	329	49.5%	20.4%	11.2%	306	54.9%		

For State-Operated Facility and Silver Oak releases:

- Between FY 2017 and FY 2019, the rearrest rate increased 3.2 percentage points.
- Between FY 2017 and FY 2018, the reconviction rate decreased 0.5 percentage points, and the reincarceration rate decreased 3.1 percentage points.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few youth may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

**ONE-, TWO-, AND THREE-YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR
FY 2017 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE**

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrest			Reconviction			Reincarceration		
		1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr
Foster Care Total	38	34.2%	44.7%	55.3%	5.3%	15.8%	21.1%	0.0%	5.3%	7.9%
Treatment Foster Care	36	33.3%	44.4%	55.6%	5.6%	13.9%	19.4%	0.0%	5.6%	8.3%
In-Home Foster Care	2	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Group Home Total*	234	44.9%	57.3%	62.0%	20.1%	32.1%	35.5%	14.1%	19.7%	21.4%
Group Home	161	44.7%	57.1%	60.9%	20.5%	31.1%	32.9%	14.9%	19.9%	21.1%
Therapeutic Group Home	39	41.0%	59.0%	66.7%	15.4%	33.3%	43.6%	10.3%	20.5%	25.6%
ICFA	34	50.0%	55.9%	61.8%	23.5%	35.3%	38.2%	14.7%	17.6%	17.6%
Independent Living Total	12	33.3%	41.7%	41.7%	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%
Independent Living	12	33.3%	41.7%	41.7%	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%
Alternative Living Units	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
RTC Total	154	46.1%	59.7%	67.5%	17.5%	32.5%	35.1%	9.1%	20.8%	22.1%
Residential Treatment Facility	97	46.4%	61.9%	70.1%	20.6%	38.1%	41.2%	11.3%	24.7%	26.8%
Psychiatric Hospital	39	35.9%	51.3%	56.4%	7.7%	20.5%	20.5%	2.6%	12.8%	12.8%
Diagnostic Units/ CEU	18	66.7%	66.7%	77.8%	22.2%	27.8%	33.3%	11.1%	16.7%	16.7%
Out-of-State Total	49	49.0%	69.4%	71.4%	26.5%	38.8%	46.9%	16.3%	26.5%	30.6%
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	4	50.0%	75.0%	75.0%	50.0%	75.0%	75.0%	25.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Staff Secure - OOS	30	43.3%	63.3%	66.7%	26.7%	36.7%	46.7%	16.7%	23.3%	26.7%
Hardware Secure - OOS	15	60.0%	80.0%	80.0%	20.0%	33.3%	40.0%	13.3%	26.7%	33.3%
State Operated Total	324	53.4%	67.6%	70.1%	21.6%	31.8%	34.9%	14.8%	22.2%	25.0%
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr.	67	50.7%	65.7%	67.2%	23.9%	29.9%	32.8%	14.9%	20.9%	22.4%
Green Ridge - Mountain Quest	22	63.6%	72.7%	72.7%	31.8%	40.9%	40.9%	18.2%	22.7%	22.7%
Green Ridge Youth Center	52	38.5%	53.8%	57.7%	17.3%	25.0%	26.9%	9.6%	15.4%	17.3%
J. DeWeese Carter Center	17	29.4%	41.2%	41.2%	5.9%	17.6%	17.6%	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	59	52.5%	69.5%	71.2%	15.3%	23.7%	25.4%	11.9%	18.6%	20.3%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	53	67.9%	77.4%	81.1%	24.5%	41.5%	47.2%	17.0%	28.3%	34.0%
Victor Cullen Center	54	61.1%	77.8%	81.5%	27.8%	40.7%	46.3%	22.2%	33.3%	38.9%
Silver Oak (Privately-Operated DJS Youth Only)	59	42.4%	69.5%	78.0%	16.9%	27.1%	32.2%	11.9%	22.0%	28.8%
Statewide Total	870	47.7%	62.3%	67.0%	19.5%	31.1%	34.7%	12.8%	20.7%	23.2%

* Data previously reported under the separate category of ICFA data were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for Group Home Total cannot be compared to previous DRGs.

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2017 releases at 1 and 3 years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 47.7%, and within 3 years it was 67.0%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 19.5%, and within 3 years it was 34.7%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 12.8%, and within 3 years it was 23.2%.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

ONE- AND TWO-YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2018 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrest		Reconviction		Reincarceration	
		1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr
<i>Foster Care Total</i>	25	28.0%	40.0%	16.0%	20.0%	8.0%	12.0%
Treatment Foster Care	25	28.0%	40.0%	16.0%	20.0%	8.0%	12.0%
In-Home Foster Care	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Group Home Total*</i>	149	32.9%	49.0%	12.1%	16.8%	10.1%	13.4%
Group Home	111	36.0%	52.3%	15.3%	20.7%	12.6%	17.1%
Therapeutic Group Home	22	22.7%	40.9%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
ICFA Total	16	25.0%	37.5%	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%
<i>Independent Living Total</i>	11	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%
Independent Living	9	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%
Alternative Living Units	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>RTC Total</i>	117	51.3%	65.8%	23.1%	32.5%	13.7%	21.4%
Residential Treatment Facility	80	58.8%	71.3%	28.8%	38.8%	17.5%	26.3%
Psychiatric Hospital	18	16.7%	44.4%	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	11.1%
Diagnostic Units / CEU	19	52.6%	63.2%	15.8%	26.3%	5.3%	10.5%
<i>Out-of-State Total</i>	40	55.0%	67.5%	30.0%	40.0%	20.0%	30.0%
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	5	80.0%	100.0%	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%	20.0%
Staff Secure - OOS	27	48.1%	63.0%	25.9%	33.3%	22.2%	29.6%
Hardware Secure - OOS	8	62.5%	62.5%	37.5%	50.0%	25.0%	37.5%
<i>State Operated Total</i>	283	51.2%	66.4%	21.9%	30.4%	12.7%	19.4%
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr.	74	50.0%	66.2%	24.3%	33.8%	12.2%	21.6%
Green Ridge - Mountain Quest	21	61.9%	76.2%	38.1%	47.6%	28.6%	38.1%
Green Ridge Youth Center	51	54.9%	78.4%	23.5%	33.3%	11.8%	19.6%
J. DeWeese Carter Youth Center	16	31.3%	37.5%	6.3%	18.8%	0.0%	12.5%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	48	43.8%	60.4%	14.6%	25.0%	10.4%	12.5%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	5	60.0%	60.0%	60.0%	60.0%	40.0%	40.0%
Victor Cullen Center	68	55.9%	66.2%	19.1%	23.5%	11.8%	16.2%
<i>Silver Oak (Privately-Operated DJS Youth)</i>	46	39.1%	63.0%	10.9%	30.4%	2.2%	17.4%
<i>Statewide Total</i>	671	45.0%	60.5%	19.2%	27.6%	11.8%	18.5%

* Data previously reported under the separate category of ICFA data were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for Group Home Total cannot be compared to previous DRGs.

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2018 releases at 1 and 2 years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 45.0%, and within 2 years it was 60.5%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 19.2%, and within 2 years it was 27.6%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 11.8%, and within 2 years it was 18.5%.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

Overall Recidivism Rates for Youth with Probation Dispositions

6-, 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2017-2019 PROBATION YOUTH⁺

Follow-up Period	FY2017 (N=2,239)			FY2018 (N=2,134)			FY2019 (N=1,715)		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*
6 Months	32.6%	13.7%	7.0%	30.1%	11.5%	5.7%	30.6%		
12 Months	45.9%	20.6%	10.8%	43.5%	18.1%	9.0%	42.2%		
24 Months	58.2%	29.1%	15.7%	55.4%	25.6%	12.8%			
36 Months	62.4%	32.5%	18.2%						

- Between FY 2017 and FY 2019, the 12-month rearrest rate decreased 3.7 percentage points.
- Between FY 2017 and FY 2018:
 - The 12-month reconviction rate decreased 2.5 percentage points.
 - The 12-month incarceration rate decreased 1.8 percentage points.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS FOR PROBATION YOUTH⁺, FY 2018

Demographics	FY2018						
	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*			
Race/Ethnicity							
Black	1,427	707	49.5%	293	20.5%	145	10.2%
White	539	154	28.6%	55	10.2%	25	4.6%
Hispanic/Other	168	68	40.5%	39	23.2%	22	13.1%
Sex							
Male	1,771	793	44.8%	358	20.2%	187	10.6%
Female	363	136	37.5%	29	8.0%	5	1.4%
Age at Release							
11 and Under	14	5	35.7%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%
12	48	18	37.5%	8	16.7%	3	6.3%
13	116	63	54.3%	25	21.6%	12	10.3%
14	257	128	49.8%	54	21.0%	25	9.7%
15	407	200	49.1%	82	20.1%	51	12.5%
16	514	254	49.4%	116	22.6%	49	9.5%
17	535	206	38.5%	80	15.0%	37	6.9%
18 or older	243	55	22.6%	20	8.2%	14	5.8%
Total	2,134	929	43.5%	387	18.1%	192	9.0%

Note: The probation cohort includes youth placed on probation (not youth released from probation). Therefore, recidivism is measured starting at the disposition date and may include new offenses while under supervision or after supervision.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above.
 - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
 - Black youth had the highest rearrest rate while Hispanic/Other youth had the highest reconviction and incarceration rates.
 - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

⁺Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides. * Since the probation cohort includes youth who may not have been previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects a subsequent commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR PROBATION YOUTH, BY REGION AND COUNTY⁺, FY 2017-2019

Region/ County	FY2017				FY2018				FY2019			
	Place- ments	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Incar- ceration*	Place- ments	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Incar- ceration*	Place- ments	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Incar- ceration*
R-I-Balt. City	303	59.7%	29.4%	18.2%	266	56.0%	22.6%	14.7%	155	54.2%		
Baltimore City	303	59.7%	29.4%	18.2%	266	56.0%	22.6%	14.7%	155	54.2%		
R-II-Central	757	45.0%	22.1%	10.3%	670	44.5%	19.7%	9.9%	509	47.0%		
Baltimore Co.	514	46.1%	21.8%	10.5%	448	47.5%	21.0%	9.6%	344	48.0%		
Carroll	40	35.0%	20.0%	10.0%	52	26.9%	15.4%	7.7%	33	30.3%		
Harford	107	39.3%	20.6%	7.5%	87	42.5%	18.4%	14.9%	76	43.4%		
Howard	96	50.0%	26.0%	12.5%	83	41.0%	16.9%	7.2%	56	55.4%		
R-III-Western	189	49.7%	21.7%	12.2%	226	43.4%	19.0%	6.6%	177	37.3%		
Allegany	50	44.0%	16.0%	8.0%	56	35.7%	14.3%	5.4%	46	41.3%		
Frederick	71	46.5%	28.2%	14.1%	82	41.5%	23.2%	7.3%	74	32.4%		
Garrett	14	28.6%	14.3%	0.0%	20	45.0%	25.0%	15.0%	13	23.1%		
Washington	54	64.8%	20.4%	16.7%	68	51.5%	16.2%	4.4%	44	45.5%		
R-IV-Eastern	255	39.6%	14.5%	4.7%	242	41.3%	12.0%	5.0%	195	44.1%		
Caroline	15	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11	45.5%	0.0%	0.0%	15	20.0%		
Cecil	51	41.2%	27.5%	3.9%	55	30.9%	9.1%	3.6%	28	42.9%		
Dorchester	33	60.6%	15.2%	0.0%	44	56.8%	20.5%	6.8%	21	42.9%		
Kent	7	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	2	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3	100.0%		
Queen Anne's	13	30.8%	15.4%	15.4%	13	46.2%	7.7%	7.7%	11	36.4%		
Somerset	7	71.4%	0.0%	0.0%	13	46.2%	15.4%	7.7%	25	72.0%		
Talbot	8	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12	41.7%	16.7%	8.3%	10	10.0%		
Wicomico	73	39.7%	9.6%	5.5%	53	41.5%	9.4%	5.7%	53	54.7%		
Worcester	48	22.9%	10.4%	4.2%	39	33.3%	12.8%	2.6%	29	24.1%		
R-V-Southern	355	42.3%	14.1%	7.0%	339	39.2%	13.0%	5.3%	330	36.1%		
Anne Arundel	151	44.4%	18.5%	10.6%	178	37.6%	12.4%	6.7%	202	41.6%		
Calvert	34	38.2%	14.7%	11.8%	33	39.4%	18.2%	6.1%	31	32.3%		
Charles	98	51.0%	13.3%	4.1%	85	41.2%	14.1%	1.2%	66	28.8%		
St. Mary's	72	27.8%	5.6%	1.4%	43	41.9%	9.3%	7.0%	31	19.4%		
R-VI-Metro	380	42.1%	20.3%	12.9%	391	38.6%	20.2%	10.7%	349	37.2%		
Montgomery	190	46.8%	22.6%	15.8%	226	43.8%	26.5%	13.3%	184	40.2%		
Prince George's	190	37.4%	17.9%	10.0%	165	31.5%	11.5%	7.3%	165	33.9%		
Statewide	2,239	45.9%	20.6%	10.8%	2,134	43.5%	18.1%	9.0%	1,715	42.2%		

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of placements. Some counties have a small number of placements; therefore, if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

⁺Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides. * Since the probation cohort includes youth who may not have been previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects a subsequent commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.


PROBATION YOUTH BY RISK LEVEL¹, FY 2014-2018[^]



¹ Percentages for each FY may not add to 100% due to cases with missing or incomplete risk assessments.

- The proportion of high risk probation cases has increased 7.3 percentage points between FY 2014 and FY 2018. This is important to note as assessed risk predicts recidivism, so high risk youth tend to recidivate at higher rates.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY RISK LEVEL¹ FOR PROBATION YOUTH⁺, FY 2018[^]



¹ There were 16 youth with missing/incomplete MCASP risk levels. Percentages are calculated excluding these youth.

- For all three measures, youth with high risk levels had the highest recidivism rates, though consideration needs to be given to the smaller number of youth with a high risk.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR PROBATION YOUTH⁺, FY 2014-2018



- Rearrest rates increased slightly each year between FY 2014 and FY 2017; however, between FY 2017 and FY 2018, there was a decrease of 2.3 percentage points. Reconviction rates and incarceration rates were lowest in FY 2018.

⁺Due to methodological changes, recidivism data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2019. [^]Risk level data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides. * Since the probation cohort includes youth who may not have been previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects a subsequent commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.

Appendices



Youth from J. DeWeese Carter Center took part in music production training in a portable studio where they were able to write their own lyrics, learn to record vocals, and create and mix beats. This was made possible through the Dream Loud Music Program.

Appendix A

COMMUNITY SERVICES STAFFING DISTRIBUTION AS OF JULY 31, 2020¹

Facilities (by Region)	CMS ⁺		Mgmt ⁺	Admn	RA/CD ⁺		Res. Spec. ⁺		Services ⁺	Secure ⁺	Other ⁺	Total
	Spec	Sup			Offr	Sup	Spec	Sup				
R-I-Baltimore City												
CD - BCJJC	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
CD - MYRC	0	0	1	1	33	6	0	0	0	0	0	41
Evening Reporting Center Office	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Gay Street Office	35	8	2	10	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	59
Hilton Street Office	7	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Plaza Office	6	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	11
R-II-Central												
Arbutus Office	6	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Bel Air Office	7	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Ellicott City Office	9	3	0	2	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	24
Essex Office	10	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
Garrison Office	6	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Hunt Valley Office	8	4	1	5	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	23
Westminster Office	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
R-III-Western												
Allegany Co Office	6	2	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	12
Frederick Office	8	3	2	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	18
Hagerstown Office	5	1	0	3	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	15
Oakland Office	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Cambridge Office	3	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
CD - LESCC	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Centreville Office	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Chestertown Office	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Denton Office	2	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	6
Easton Office	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
Elkton Office	4	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Ocean City Office*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Princess Anne Office	4	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	9
Salisbury Office	8	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	12
Snow Hill Office	2	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
R-IV-Eastern												
Annapolis Office	14	4	3	6	2	1	0	1	2	0	0	33
Glen Burnie Office	8	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
LaPlata Office	7	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Leonardtown Office	6	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Prince Frederick Office	4	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
R-V-Southern												
Largo Office	19	4	0	9	5	0	1	1	2	0	2	43
Rockville Office	21	4	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	32
Silver Spring Office	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
Upper Marlboro Office	10	2	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	20
R-VI-Metro												
Total Filled	240	68	20	74	74	11	11	5	10	1	4	518
Total Vacancies	3	6	0	3	13	0	2	0	0	0	0	27

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only. ¹ Data were run on a later date than previous Data Resource Guides due to capacity and facility changes that occurred at the end of the fiscal year.

*Ocean City Office is a seasonal office (not opened this season), staffed by contractual employees. + "CMS": Case Management Specialist; "RA/CD": Resident Advisor/Community Detention Officer, "Res. Spec.": Resource Specialist, "Mgmt" includes management and Assistant Area Directors; "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health; "Secure" includes Police Officer Trainee and Building Security Officer classifications; "Other" includes recreation and program specialists.

Appendix B

DETENTION CENTER STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JULY 31, 2020¹

Facility (by Region)	Res Advisor		GLM*	CMS*	Services ⁺	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Rec*	Total
	Advis	Sup										
R-I-Baltimore City												
BCJJC**	109	9	9	11	4	3	20	18	8	4	2	197
R-II-Central												
Hickey School**	97	7	8	7	4	5	9	12	6	3	3	161
R-III-Western												
WMCC	28	4	3	1	3	1	1	3	5	1	1	51
R-IV-Eastern												
LESCC	36	4	4	2	2	2	3	5	5	1	1	65
R-V-Southern												
Waxter Center	41	6	4	4	6	2	2	7	8	2	1	83
R-VI-Metro												
Cheltenham**	74	8	6	9	5	1	4	8	7	3	2	127
Noyes Children's Ctr	40	6	5	4	4	0	2	0	4	2	1	68
Total Filled	425	44	39	38	28	14	41	53	43	16	11	752
Total Vacancies	4	0	2	2	1	4	2	5	5	1	0	26

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

¹ Data were run on a later date than previous Data Resource Guides due to capacity and facility changes that occurred at the end of the fiscal year.

* "GLM": Group Life Manager; "CMS": Case Management Specialist; "Facil": Facility Maintenance at all facilities, and at BCJJC it represents Facility Maintenance Building Security Officers, and Police Officer Trainees; "Rec": recreation.

⁺ "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health.

**Direct clinical services are provided by contracted vendors. For the MAST initiative, DJS added full-time, non-contractual psychologist and social work positions.

Appendix C

COMMITTED PROGRAM STAFFING (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JULY 31, 2020¹

Facility (by Region)	Res Advisor		GLM*	CMS*	Services ⁺	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Rec*	Total
	Advis	Sup										
R-III (Western)												
Backbone Mt. Yth Ctr	37	3	4	5	7	1	2	7	0	3	0	69
Garrett Children's Ctr ²	32	2	4	4	2	1	2	3	0	2	0	52
Green Ridge Yth Ctr [^]	40	2	5	5	3	1	2	5	0	2	0	65
Mountain View ²	13	3	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	23
Victor Cullen	44	8	4	5	4	1	6	7	7	3	1	90
Yth Ctrs Central Office	1	0	0	1	0	3	6	1	0	1	1	14
Total Filled	167	18	20	21	16	8	18	23	7	12	3	313
Total Vacancies	2	1	0	1	8	1	0	0	1	0	4	18

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

¹ Data were run on a later date than previous Data Resource Guides due to capacity and facility changes that occurred at the end of the fiscal year. Meadow Mountain Youth Center and J. DeWeese Carter Center were closed June 30, 2020.

² Savage Mountain Youth Center was renamed Garrett Children's Center on June 3, 2020; J. DeWeese Carter Center youth were relocated to the new Mountain View program on the grounds of Backbone Youth Center on June 19, 2020.

[^] Includes Green Ridge Mountain Quest

* "GLM": Group Life Manager; "CMS": Case Management Specialist; "Facil": Facility Maintenance; "Rec": recreation.

⁺ "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health.

Appendix D

STATE-OPERATED FACILITY EXPENDITURES, FY 2020

	General Admin.	Mainten.	Education Services*	Somatic Health	Direct Care	Nutritional Services	Mental Health Services	Juvenile Incent.	Grand Total
Baltimore City Juv. Justice Ctr.	\$3,919,041	\$1,972,395	\$425,109	\$1,728,648	\$13,329,149	\$1,930,616	\$1,717,396	\$256	\$25,022,610
Carter Ctr.¹	\$472,403	\$193,559	\$58,215	\$429,149	\$1,573,193	\$131,214	\$157,091	\$0	\$3,014,826
Cheltenham	\$2,298,439	\$859,567	\$280,541	\$1,871,495	\$9,623,983	\$943,621	\$2,030,430	\$1	\$17,908,077
Green Ridge	\$517,592	\$277,280	\$1,513 ⁺	\$4,901	\$3,931,871	\$444,165	\$249,697	\$2,122	\$5,429,142
Hickey	\$1,062,875	\$1,488,016	\$446,751	\$1,373,561	\$10,864,095	\$1,233,993	\$1,267,850	\$87	\$17,737,229
Lower Eastern Shore Child. Ctr.	\$433,843	\$421,140	\$97,615	\$953,468	\$3,749,673	\$460,154	\$334,433	\$0	\$6,450,326
Noyes	\$1,135,837	\$226,623	\$138,751	\$1,111,212	\$5,766,500	\$440,957	\$648,744	\$54	\$9,468,677
Statewide Youth Centers**	\$1,371,771	\$1,989,712	\$532,387 ⁺	\$1,471,189	\$12,907,507	\$1,703,342	\$1,203,116	\$0	\$21,179,024
Victor Cullen	\$1,323,720	\$614,852	\$109,102	\$922,094	\$5,103,623	\$714,091	\$370,580	\$1	\$9,158,062
Waxter	\$2,026,633	\$281,726	\$128,989	\$1,164,799	\$5,540,948	\$541,425	\$576,724	\$0	\$10,261,244
Western MD Children's Ctr.	\$618,101	\$281,068	\$156,616	\$954,466	\$3,191,045	\$458,515	\$204,536	\$476	\$5,864,823
Grand Total	\$15,180,255	\$8,605,937	\$2,375,589	\$11,984,983	\$75,581,586	\$9,002,093	\$8,760,597	\$2,997	\$131,494,038

*Education costs reflect the amounts collected from Local Education Agencies. The funds are transferred to MSDE, the agency responsible for operation of the education programs in DJS facilities.

**Only includes statewide Youth Centers (Backbone Mountain, Meadow Mountain (closed June 30, 2020), and Savage Mountain (renamed Garrett Children's Center on June 3, 2020).

⁺In previous DRGs, expenditures for Green Ridge education services were included under Statewide Youth Centers.

¹J. DeWeese Carter Center closed June 30, 2020 and youth were relocated to the new Mountain View program on the grounds of Backbone Youth Center on June 19, 2020.

Appendix E

DJS has changed the way it reports daily costs for facility operations. The method used in DRGs prior to FY 2019 reported cost based on a simple per-diem rate calculation. This was determined to misrepresent the actual daily operations cost. Facility populations fluctuate daily, and it costs the same to staff, heat, and maintain a 12-bed unit, whether it houses 7 youth or 12 youth on a given night. The new method uses the bed capacity to calculate the daily rate: Total annual expenditures (not including education costs budgeted to MSDE)/366 days (leap year)/Number of beds.

STATE-OPERATED FACILITY PER DIEMS AND AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS, FY 2020

	Total Expenditures ¹	Capacity	Per Diem Cost Per Bed
Baltimore City Juv. Justice Ctr.	\$24,597,501	120	\$560.05
Carter	\$2,956,610	14	\$577.01
Cheltenham	\$17,627,535	72	\$668.93
Green Ridge	\$5,427,629	40	\$370.74
Hickey	\$17,290,478	72	\$656.14
Lower Eastern Shore Child. Ctr.	\$6,352,710	24	\$723.21
Noyes ⁺	\$6,657,949	57	\$426.30
Statewide Youth Centers [*]	\$20,646,637	96	\$587.62
Victor Cullen	\$9,048,960	48	\$515.08
Waxter	\$10,132,255	42	\$659.14
Western MD Children's Ctr.	\$5,708,207	24	\$649.84

¹Does not include education costs

^{*}Only includes Backbone Mountain, Meadow Mountain (closed June 30, 2020), and Savage Mountain (renamed Garrett Children's Center on June 3, 2020). Rated capacity at Garrett Children's Center is 8 until ongoing renovations are complete.

⁺Data represent July 2019-March 2020. The capacity was reduced to 29 on March 27, 2020.

COMMITTED PROGRAM PER DIEM RATES, FY 2020

	Program Type	FY20 Per Diem Range ^{*, ***}	Avg. FY20 Per Diem Rate ^{**}
In-State	Treatment Foster Care	\$122.39 - \$261.87	\$169.77
	Group Home	\$191.89 - \$290.46	\$229.74
	Therapeutic Group Home	\$278.19 - \$384.41	\$305.23
	Independent Living	\$107.11 - \$144.81	\$128.96
	Alternative Living	\$279.73	\$279.73
	Residential Treatment Center	\$429.10 - \$539.94	\$486.85
	Diagnostic Unit/CEU	\$329.13 - \$334.56	\$331.85
Out-of-State	Residential Treatment Center	\$306.70 - \$600.00	\$407.99
	Staff Secure	\$173.94 - \$391.83	\$258.91
	Hardware Secure	\$360.00 - \$369.61	\$362.11

^{*}"Per Diem Rates" are presented for privately-operated programs that DJS reimburses for service using a single rate. Rates are not presented for programs that are funded in whole or in part by other sources such as private health care insurance or Medicaid. IFCA and Psychiatric Hospitals are excluded as a class for this reason, and rates for in-state Residential Treatment Centers are based on 5 of 7 programs utilized by DJS during FY 2020.

^{**}The average per diem rate should not be used to calculate the total cost by program type given the range of per diem rates. The average per diem rate does not take into account individual program utilization and the total cost may vary substantially based on the number of youth who actually participate in each program.

^{***}Educational costs are not included in the per diem rates. Educational costs may vary within a program depending on the combination of services provided (e.g., regular education versus special education) and the educational needs of youth placed in the program.

Appendix F

DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 1

Youth Name: _____ **ASSIST ID:** _____
Date of Birth: _____ **Race:** _____
Gender: _____ **Complaint/Police Report ID:** _____
Worker Name: _____ **Complaint/Police Report Date:** _____
Date DRAI Completed: _____ **Date of Decision:** _____

County of Jurisdiction:	
Police Requested Detention:	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Completed by Centralized Intake:	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Detention Risk Scoring	
1. Charges Pending Adjudication (excluding charges pending at intake)	
<input type="radio"/> Two or more prior charges pending adjudication for Category I or II Offenses: <u>8 points</u> <input type="radio"/> One or more prior charge pending adjudication for a Category III, IV or V Offense: <u>4 points</u> <input type="radio"/> One prior charge pending adjudication for Category I or II Offenses: <u>2 points</u> <input type="radio"/> No prior charges pending adjudication: <u>0 points</u>	
2. Prior Sustained Adjudications / Current Supervision	
<input type="radio"/> One prior sustained adjudication for a Category III or IV Offense OR Probation Supervision: <u>5 points</u> <input type="radio"/> One or more prior sustained adjudication for a Category V Offense OR Pre-Court or ATD Supervision: <u>3 points</u> <input type="radio"/> One or more prior sustained adjudication for a Category I or II Offense OR Intensive Supervision: <u>1 point</u> <input type="radio"/> Two or more prior sustained adjudications for Category III or IV Offenses OR Aftercare Supervision: <u>1 point</u> <input type="radio"/> No prior sustained adjudications AND no current supervision: <u>0 points</u>	
3. History of Failure to Appear for a Court Hearing (within past 12 months)	
<input type="radio"/> One or more FTA for court hearing in past 12 months: <u>5 points</u> <input type="radio"/> No FTA for court hearing in past 12 months: <u>0 points</u>	
4. History of Escape / AWOL (within past 12 months)	
<input type="radio"/> One or more instances of escape / AWOL in past 12 months: <u>4 points</u> <input type="radio"/> No escapes / AWOLs in past 12 months: <u>0 points</u>	
5. Prior Detentions (within past 6 months)	
<input type="radio"/> One or more prior detentions in past 6 months: <u>2 points</u> <input type="radio"/> No prior detentions in past 6 months: <u>0 points</u>	
6. Age at First Felony Complaint	
<input type="radio"/> 16 or under: <u>4 points</u> <input type="radio"/> Over 16 or no prior felony complaint: <u>0 points</u>	

DRAI Risk Level: DRAI Risk Score:

Low Risk: 0-7 points | Moderate Risk: 8-12 points | High Risk: 13+ Points

Appendix F (cont.)

DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 2

DRAI RATIONALES	
New Complaint:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Most Serious New Alleged Offense:	<input style="width: 200px; height: 20px;" type="text"/> Offense Category: <input style="width: 40px; height: 20px;" type="text"/> (Cat. 1 or 2 = Mandatory Detention)
Mandatory Court and Policy Holds (Check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/> ATD Violation (Court Order Requires Detention at First Infraction)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Non-ATD GPS Violation (Court Order Requires Detention at First Infraction)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Writ or Warrant	
<input type="checkbox"/> Detained for Adult Court Pending Transfer Hearing, or Transferred Pending Juvenile Charging	
<input type="checkbox"/> Court-Ordered Detention at Hearing (include Committed Youth Held for Court Hearing)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Interstate Hold	
<input type="checkbox"/> Firearm use / possession (exclude BB guns)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Escape – Secure Facility	
<input type="checkbox"/> Ejected – Committed Placement	
Non-Mandatory Rationales (Check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Transferred From Adult Court	
<input type="checkbox"/> Violation of Probation / Violation of Commitment	
<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-Adjudicated ATD Violation	
<input type="checkbox"/> Post-Disposition ATD Violation	

INDICATED DECISION:

DECISION COMPONENTS:
(Risk Score, Offense Seriousness, Mandatory Hold)

DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 3

ACTUAL DECISION:

- RELEASE
 DETENTION ALTERNATIVE (ATD)
 DETAIN

Please check ALL aggravating and mitigating factors below that impact your decision to override.

REASON(S) FOR OVERRIDE UP TO ATD OR SECURE DETENTION	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Parent refusal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Parent unavailable
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth has a history of violence in the home or victim resides in the home
<input type="checkbox"/>	ATD refusal (specify name of ATD(s)): _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shelter refusal (specify name of shelter(s)): _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shelter unavailable
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please specify, required): _____

REASON(S) FOR OVERRIDE DOWN TO ATD OR RELEASE	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Age of youth
<input type="checkbox"/>	Parent willing/able to provide supervision
<input type="checkbox"/>	Category I or II offense is less serious than indicated by charge (please specify, required): _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	New charge referred is not recent
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please specify, required): _____

OVERRIDES:

SUPERVISOR APPROVAL OBTAINED Yes No

Intake Worker Signature: _____

Authorizing Supervisor (please print): _____

Supervisor Signature: _____

Appendix F (cont.)

DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 4

Intake DRAI Follow Up:

<p>Current Detention Status (Prior to Court Action)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detained</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detention Alternative: <input type="checkbox"/>CD <input type="checkbox"/>Shelter <input type="checkbox"/>DRAP <input type="checkbox"/>ERC <input type="checkbox"/>Other:_____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Released</p>
<p>DJS Recommendation to Court</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detained</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detention Alternative: <input type="checkbox"/>CD <input type="checkbox"/>Shelter <input type="checkbox"/>DRAP <input type="checkbox"/>ERC <input type="checkbox"/>Other:_____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Release to: <input type="checkbox"/>Parent <input type="checkbox"/>Sibling <input type="checkbox"/>Grandparent <input type="checkbox"/>Aunt/Uncle <input type="checkbox"/>Other:_____</p>
<p>Court Detention Decision</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detained</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Detention Alternative: <input type="checkbox"/>CD <input type="checkbox"/>Shelter <input type="checkbox"/>DRAP <input type="checkbox"/>ERC <input type="checkbox"/>Other:_____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Release to: <input type="checkbox"/>Parent <input type="checkbox"/>Sibling <input type="checkbox"/>Grandparent <input type="checkbox"/>Aunt/Uncle <input type="checkbox"/>Other:_____</p> <p>Special Circumstances Affecting Detention Decision: _____</p>

Appendix G

DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION ASSESSMENT FOR YOUTH

DJS Housing Classification Assessment for Youth		
Name of Youth	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; border-bottom: 1px solid black;"> _____ _____ _____ </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; font-size: small;"> <i>first</i> <i>last</i> <i>MI</i> </div>	Youth PID: _____ Youth DOB: _____
Date of Admission:	_____	Staff Name: _____
1. Severity of <u>Current</u> Charge (for detained youth), or Adjudication (for pending placement youth): <i>Category I = 4 points</i> <i>Category III = 2 points</i> <i>Category II = 3 points</i> <i>Category IV, V or VOP = 1 point</i>		
		Points: _____
2. Most Serious <u>Past</u> Adjudicated Delinquent Offense: _____		
<i>Category I = 4 points</i> <i>Category II = 3 points</i> <i>Category III = 2 points</i> <i>Category IV, V, or VOP = 1 point</i> <i>None = 0 points</i>		Points: _____
3. Number of Prior Serious Incidents in Custody* (See summary on Face Sheet) <small>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes.</small>		
<i>6 or more = 6 points</i> <i>2 or 3 = 2 points</i> <i>4 or 5 = 4 points</i> <i>0 or 1 = 0 points</i>		Points: _____
4. Current Age of Youth: _____		
<i>Under 14 = 4 Points, 15-17 = 2 Points, 18 and Over = 0 Points.</i>		Points: _____
		Total Score: _____
Recommended Classification: <i>Low= 0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above</i>		
Special Housing Issues. Check all that apply. These issues may indicate the need for higher supervision status, or placement into a special unit such as an infirmary.		
Y/N	Issue:	Details
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____
_____	Protective Custody	_____
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____
Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:		_____
<i>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</i>		
Double Bunking Concerns. Check all that apply that will require special bunking decisions		
Y/N	Issue:	
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk with youth over 16 years old.	
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not bunk small and extra large youth together	
_____	Sex Offense History: Single room only.	
_____	Other. Details:	_____
Unit Assignment: _____		Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High): _____
		<small>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</small>
Room Number: _____	Single Room Only? (Y/N) _____	

Appendix G (cont.) DJS HOUSING CLASSIFICATION RE-ASSESSMENT FOR YOUTH

Housing Classification Re-Assessment for Youth	
Youth Name: _____	Date: _____
Current Supervision Level: _____	
Current Unit Assignment: _____	
1. Current Score - From Last Classification Assessment	Current Score: _____
2. Escapes/Attempts since last Classification Assessment <i>1 or more = 6 Points, None = 0 points.</i>	Points: _____
3. Number of Serious Incidents since last Classification Assessment* <small>*Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, or restraints.</small>	
5 or more incidents = 4 Points	
2 - 4 incidents = 2 Points	Points: _____
0 - 1 incidents = - 4 Points	
	Total Score: _____
Recommended Supervision Level: _____	<small>Low=0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 10 or above</small>
Special Housing Issues. <i>Check all that apply that will require special housing decisions</i>	
Y/N	Issue:
_____	Medical Condition/Injury
_____	Protective Custody
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:
Behavioral Health review of Special Issues: _____	
<small>Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth</small>	
Double Bunking Concerns. <i>Check all that apply that will require special bunking decisions</i>	
Y/N	Issue:
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk with youth over 16 years old.
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not bunk small and extra large youth together
_____	Sex Offense History: Single room only.
_____	Other. Details: _____
	Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High): _____
Unit Assignment: _____	<small>If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve</small>
Room Number: _____	
Completed by: _____	Single Room Only? (Y/N) _____

Appendix H

ACCOUNTABILITY INCENTIVES MANAGEMENT (AIM)

AIM grids are designed to structure case manager decision-making when responding to positive or negative behaviors of supervised youth. The Graduated Responses grid determines an appropriate response based on the level of infraction and the level of youth supervision. Responses range from a verbal warning at the lowest level, to requesting a formal violation at the highest. This structured process supports the Department's efforts to reward positive behaviors and objectively identify and sanction violations, which reduce inequalities and increase successful completion rates among supervised youth.

GRADUATED RESPONSES - COMMUNITY SUPERVISION CASES

	Low Supervision	Moderate Supervision	High/Intensive Supervision
Minor Infraction*	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Verbal Warning – Make up Missed Appointments 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Verbal Warning – Make up Missed Appointments – Written Essay or Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Community Service (up to 4 hours) 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Verbal Warning – Youth & Parent Meeting w/in 1 day – Make up Missed Appointments – Written Essay or Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Community Service (up to 10 hours) – Restrict Activity (Short-Term) – Modify Curfew (Extended-Term)
Moderate Infraction**	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Verbal Warning – Youth & Parent Meeting w/in 3 days – Community Service (up to 4 hours) 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Verbal Warning – Youth & Parent Meeting w/in 1 day – Community Service (up to 8 hours) – Written Essay or Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Restrict Activity (Short-Term) – Modify Curfew (Short-Term) – Increase Supervision Contacts 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Administrative Meeting with Youth, Family, Supervisor w/in 1 day – Make up Missed Appointments – Written Essay/Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Community Service (up to 12 hours) – Restrict Activity (Short-Term) – Modify Curfew (Extended-Term) – Increase Supervision Contacts – Increase drug screenings (if applicable) – GPS for 30 Days (Intensive Level ONLY) – File VOP
Serious Infraction***	Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Youth & Parent Meeting w/in 1 day – Community Service (up to 6 hours) – Modify Curfew (Short-Term) – Increase Supervision Level to Moderate 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Administrative Meeting with Youth, Family, Supervisor w/in 2 days – Make up Missed Appointments – Written Essay or Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Community Service (up to 10 hours) – Restrict Activity (Extended-Term) – Modify Curfew (Extended-Term) – Increase Supervision Contacts – Increase drug screenings (if applicable) – Increase Supervision Level to High 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Administrative Meeting with Youth, Family, Supervisor w/in 1 day – Make up Missed Appointments – Written Essay/Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Community Service (up to 14 hours) – Restrict Activity (Short-Term) – Modify Curfew (Extended-Term) – Increase Supervision Contacts – Increase drug screenings (if applicable) – GPS for 30 Days (Intensive Level ONLY) – File VOP

* Programs/Interventions for minor infractions: low supervision options include Community Conferencing, Skill-Building Program, Victim Awareness, Individual Counseling, Family Counseling, Youth Mentoring Services; for moderate supervision, additional options include Evening Reporting Center and Community-Based Advocacy; for high/intensive supervision Evidence-Based Programs are available as well.

** Programs/Interventions for moderate infractions: low supervision options include Community Conferencing, Skill-Building Program, Victim Awareness, Individual Counseling, Family Counseling, Youth Mentoring Services, Outpatient Treatment (if applicable); for moderate supervision, additional options include Evening Reporting Center (if available), Evidence-Based Program Services, Family Services, and Drug Court; for high/intensive supervision, Care Management Entities are available as well.

*** Programs/Interventions for serious infractions: low supervision options include Community Conferencing, Skill-Building Program, Crisis Intervention Services, Victim Awareness, Individual Counseling, Family Counseling, Youth Mentoring Services, Outpatient Treatment (if applicable), and Youth Advocacy Program Services; for moderate supervision, additional options include Evidence-Based Program Services and Crisis Intervention Services; for high/intensive supervision, Care Management Entities are available as well.

Appendix H (cont.) ACCOUNTABILITY INCENTIVES MANAGEMENT (AIM)

DJS GRID OF INCENTIVIZED* BEHAVIORS - COMMUNITY SUPERVISION CASES

	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 0-30 days	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 31 – 90 days (1-3 mos.)	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 91-180 days (4-6 mos.)	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 181 to Indefinite (>6 months)
COMPLIANCE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enrolls in an academic or vocational program - Improves school attendance - Attends court-ordered Cognitive Programs/treatment services - Keeps office appointments with reminders - Abides by curfew - Improves behavior in school - Improves grades in school - Abides by set family/house rules - Locates and/or begins court-ordered community service - Begins paying restitution - No incidents of whereabouts unknown - Notifies CMS of change in contact information - No suspensions/expulsions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enrolls in an academic or vocational program - Improves school attendance - Attends and/or completes court-ordered Cognitive programs/treatment services - Keeps office appointments without reminders - Abides by curfew - Improves behavior in school - Improves grades in school - Abides by set family/house rules - Clean urine screen/drug free - Completes at least 50% of court-ordered community service - Makes consistent payments and/or pays off restitution - No incidents of whereabouts unknown - Notifies CMS of change in contact information - No suspensions/expulsions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enrolls in an academic or vocational program - Attends school and all classes as scheduled - Attends and/or completes court-ordered Cognitive programs/treatment services - Keeps office appointments without reminders - Abides by curfew - Improves behavior in school - Maintains grade improvements - Abides by set family/house rules - Clean urine screen/drug free - Completes at least 75% of court-ordered community service - Makes consistent payments and/or pays off restitution - No incidents of whereabouts unknown - Notifies CMS of change in contact information - No suspensions/expulsions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enrolls in an academic or vocational program - Attends school and all classes as scheduled - Attends and/or completes court-ordered cognitive programs/treatment services - Keeps office appointments without reminders - Abides by curfew - Improves behavior in school - Maintains grade improvements - Abides by set family/house rules - Clean urine screen/drug free - Completes 100% of court-ordered community service - Makes consistent payments and/or pays off restitution - No incidents of whereabouts unknown - Notifies CMS of change in contact information - No suspensions/expulsions
PROSOCIAL INVOLVEMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obtains/Retains Employment - Receives promotion in grade level or employment - Joins/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school/community) - Participates in additional services - Detaches from negative peer groups - Establishes at least one personal goal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obtains/Retains Employment - Receives promotion in grade level or employment - Joins/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school or community) - Participates in additional services - Remains detached from negative peer groups - Makes progress toward or completes at least one personal goal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obtains/Retains Employment - Receives promotion in grade level or employment - Joins/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school or community) - Participates in additional services - Remains detached from negative peer groups - Makes progress toward or completes at least one personal goal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obtains/Retains Employment - Receives promotion in grade level or employment - Joins/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school or community) - Participates in additional services - Remains detached from negative peer groups - Completes at least one personal goal
SELF-ADVOCACY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs - Seeks help - Manages time well for at least one important task - Handles a difficult situation well - Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs - Seeks help - Manages time well for at least one important task - Handles a difficult situation well - Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs - Seeks help - Manages time well for at least one important task - Handles a difficult situation well - Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs - Seeks help - Manages time well for at least one important task - Handles a difficult situation well - Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition

*The incentives listed below are not a comprehensive list and are only representative of the types of incentives offered, the availability of which varies by region:

Incentives for minor achievements/behaviors completed or sustained:

- Within 0 - 30 days, incentives range from verbal praise to a positive letter sent home on their behalf;
- Within 31 - 90 days, incentives range from verbal praise to invitation to a monthly Recognition Ceremony;
- 91 days or greater, incentives range from verbal praise to being given additional school supplies.

Incentives for modest achievements/behaviors completed or sustained:

- Within 0 - 30 days, incentives range from being given school supplies to being provided with additional personal hygiene supplies; for modest behaviors achieved;
- Within 31 - 90 days, incentives range from being offered school supplies to being given formal Court recognition;
- 91 - 180 days, incentives range from being given books/magazines to being provided a job shadowing opportunity;
- 181 days or greater, incentives range from being given books/magazines to being given college/university gear.

Incentives for major achievements/behaviors completed or sustained:

- Within 0 - 30 days, incentives are meal coupons or meals for the youth's family;
- Within 31 - 90 days, incentives range from being given recreation center passes to participation in field trips;
- 91 - 180 days, incentives range from being given tickets to sporting events to having their case formally petitioned for closure;
- 181 days or greater, incentives range from being given movie tickets to being offered the opportunity to have lunch with their case manager and judge.

Appendix I

DJS YOUTH VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT TOOL - IMPLEMENTED OCTOBER 4, 2013, PAGE 1

Department of Juvenile Services
Youth Vulnerability Assessment Instrument: Risk of
Victimization and/or Sexually Aggressive Behavior/Overall Risk

Youth's Name: _____
 ASSIST ID#: _____ Sex: ___ Race: ___ DOB: _____
 Facility: _____
 Date of Assessment: _____
 Date of Re-Assessment _____

Results:		
Yes	No	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vulnerable to Victimization
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sexually Aggressive
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Roommate
	Low	Medium
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		High
		<input type="checkbox"/>

Youth Interview: (Must be completed in a private setting)

1.	Experience in Institution	Score
Ask:	Have you been in a locked juvenile facility?	
	NO	SCORE 2
	YES	SCORE 0

2.	Social Skills	Score
Lead in with:	How do you feel being in a facility with so many other juvenile justice youth?	
Then ask:		
	• Do you feel you get along well with other people?	Yes/No (Yes score 0, No score 1)
	• Do you find it easy to make friends?	Yes/No (Yes score 0, No score 1)
	• Do you feel OK about being in groups of people you don't know well?	Yes/No (Yes score 0, No score 1)
	Award a score of 1 for each No answer.	
	SCORE (0-3)	

3.	Perception of Risk	Score
Ask:	Do you feel at risk from attack or abuse from other youth? For example, have you received threats, insults, and harassment from other youth? Prompt with options if necessary	
	NOT AT ALL	SCORE 0
	SOMETIMES	SCORE 1
	OFTEN	SCORE 2

If sometimes or often, ask for more details and note youth's statements below:

4.	History of Victimization	Score
Ask:	Do you have concerns about your safety or concerns you will be attacked, bullied or abused by your peers?	
Prompt with options if necessary.		
	NEVER	SCORE 0
	A FEW TIMES	SCORE 2
	OFTEN	SCORE 4

Ask: **Have you ever had a sexual experience that you did not want to have?** If yes, ask what & if this information was reported to the Abuse Registry and law enforcement. If the youth reports abuse that has never been reported, a report must be made to the Abuse Registry.

NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 4	

Appendix I (cont.)

DJS YOUTH VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT TOOL - IMPLEMENTED OCTOBER 4, 2013, PAGE 2

5. **Offense Type**

Ask: **Have you ever been arrested on a sexual offense?** Also verify by checking the youth's file.

NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 4	

Ask: **Have you ever been arrested on a violent offense?**

NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 4	

6. Ask: **Have you ever engaged in behavior that you would consider violent or sexually aggressive?**

NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 4	

7. **Age of Youth**

19 YEARS AND UP TO 21	SCORE 0	
16, 17, 18 YEARS	SCORE 1	
13, 14, 15 YEARS	SCORE 2	
10 – 12 YEARS	SCORE 3	

8. **Intellectual Impairment:** From the file, review is there any evidence that this youth has been previously reported to have an intellectual impairment (Low IQ), learning disability or Special Education classes?

NO EVIDENCE	SCORE 0	
EVIDENCE	SCORE 2	

9. **Review of Features.** This item requires a judgment by the screener that this youth's features may place the youth at higher risk of vulnerability with the peer group.
(Place a check in applicable box)

Look for features of the youth's physical appearance such as:		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Small Build	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Looks younger than stated age	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Impaired vision (requires glasses)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pronounced disfigurement	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Physical disability	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Deaf or Hard of Hearing	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Limited English Proficiency	
Look for features of the youth's presentation and behaviors such as:		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inappropriate verbal behavior (e.g., giggling, odd remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Any gender non-conforming appearance or manner	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Hunched fearful posture (e.g., very fearful, very shy)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Acts of Aggression – observation	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth's interaction with sibling(s)/youth	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth's behavior in school	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Speech impediment	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Has difficulty understanding questions	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Behaviors that are likely to irritate and annoy other youth (e.g., immature, silly)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Behaviors that appear related to mental illness (e.g., jittery, crying, bizarre)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Do you consider yourself lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex? (Youth should be informed that this question is asked of ALL youth, and that he/she is not compelled to answer.)	
Look for features of the youth which make him or her stand out		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Having a lack of exposure to criminal lifestyle	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Being from an ethnic minority not well represented in the offender population (e.g., Vietnamese, Indian, Middle Eastern)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Membership in a gang that is likely to be a target of attack from others.	
Note other features not listed above:		
<input type="checkbox"/>	NONE OR ONLY ONE FEATURE	SCORE 0
<input type="checkbox"/>	TWO OR THREE FEATURES	SCORE 2
<input type="checkbox"/>	MULTIPLE FEATURES (FOUR OR MORE FEATURES)	SCORE 4
ITEMS 1-9		
TOTAL SCORE		

DJS YOUTH VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT TOOL - IMPLEMENTED OCTOBER 4, 2013, PAGE 3

10. File Review:

Does the file indicate the youth has been charged with a sex offense?

INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE	SCORE 0	
NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 2	

Any information suggests prior sexual aggression or sexual victimization of others?

INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE	SCORE 0	
NO	SCORE 0	
YES	SCORE 2	

Overall Risk Score

Vulnerability to Victimization:

- | | |
|--|--------------|
| 1. Experience in institution | Score: _____ |
| 2. Social Skills | Score: _____ |
| 3. Perception of Risk | Score: _____ |
| 4. History of Victimization | Score: _____ |
| 7. Age of Youth | Score: _____ |
| 8. Intellectual Impairment | Score: _____ |
| 9. Review of Features with juvenile justice facility culture | Score: _____ |

Interview: _____

Overall Vulnerability To Victimization Score: _____

Maximum Score - 20

Sexually Aggressive Behavior:

- | | |
|---------------------|--------------|
| 5. Offense Type | Score: _____ |
| 6. Violent Behavior | Score: _____ |
| 10. File Review | Score: _____ |

Overall Sexually Aggressive Score: _____

Maximum Score - 16

Appendix I (cont.)

DJS YOUTH VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT TOOL - IMPLEMENTED OCTOBER 4, 2013, PAGE 4

<p>Risk Level: Low (1-8) Medium (9-16) High (17 & above)</p>

Total Risk Score:	_____
Risk Level:	_____

No Roommate (NR): High overall score and/or high score in either Vulnerability to Victimization and/or Sexually Aggressive Behavior would indicate need to place on NR status.

NR Documentation: Yes No Double Room NR

In the event that you need movement to a lesser or higher risk unit or room assignment, the Shift Commander will determine movement. Proper documentation must be stated below as to the reason why youth is moved, i.e., behavior, maturity, facility count, etc. In support of an override please attempt to obtain collateral information from file review and/or parent/guardian contact.

Override Documentation: _____

Supervisor: _____ Date/Time of Override: _____

Signature of Screener: _____ Date: _____ Time: _____

Adapted from the "Prison Youth Vulnerability Scale", New Zealand
 Department of Corrections © Crown copyright 2003
 Florida Department of Juvenile Justice – Form RC 8050-2

Appendix J

RACIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT LENS - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2018, PAGE 1

RACIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT LENS¹

Racial and ethnic disparities are created and perpetuated over time for a number of reasons, including personal and interpersonal biases, longstanding and divisive cultural factors, and institutional racism (e.g., routine, often invisible, systemic production of inequitable social opportunities and outcomes by race and ethnicity). The Racial Impact Assessment Lens (RIAL) is an examination tool used by DJS managers and staff to anticipate, assess, and prevent adverse consequences for youth and families of color as a result of agency policy, practice, and programs.

This tool is also used to guide agency leaders in the identification and adoption of measures that advance specific benefits and outcomes for racial and ethnic groups to eliminate disparate treatment and outcomes. While the juvenile justice system is comprised of multiple public agencies with statutorily-mandated decision making, DJS utilizes this tool to maximize its public accountability to ensure equitable decisions and outcomes for youth and families of color that it serves.

Note: “Proposed action” is the development, implementation or modification of an existing agency policy, set of protocols, or program to serve DJS youth and families.

A. INCLUSION

1. Which racial/ethnic group(s) may be most affected by or concerned with the issues related to this proposed action?
2. Are there specific regions, counties, or neighborhoods within counties that are most affected by or concerned with this policy/practice/program?
3. Explain how stakeholders from different racial/ethnic groups- especially those most affected- have been informed and/or engaged in the planning or implementation of this action. Specifically, how have they been:
 - Meaningfully involved in constructing this action?
 - Authentically represented in conversations and processes of this action?
4. Which group(s) are missing from the planning and discussion of this proposed action, and how can they be meaningfully and authentically engaged?

B. DOCUMENTING INEQUITIES

1. Which racial/ethnic group(s) are currently most *advantaged* by the issues that this proposed action seeks to address, and how?
2. Which racial/ethnic group(s) are currently most *disadvantaged* by the issues that this proposed action seeks to address, and how?
3. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of disparate impact exists? What further data are needed to document inequities here?

¹ The DJS Racial Impact Assessment Lens (RIAL) is adapted from the Applied Research Center’s *Racial Equity Impact Assessment Guide*, © 2009. www.arc.org

Appendix J (cont.)

RACIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT LENS - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2018, PAGE 2

C. EXAMINING ROOT CAUSES

1. Which factors may be producing and perpetuating racial/ethnic inequities associated with the issue that this proposed action addresses?
2. Are the factors identified as “root causes” expanding or narrowing? If so, how? What quantitative or qualitative evidence exists to support this assessment?
3. Does this proposed action address or seek to correct root causes? If not, how could it be modified to ensure root causes are addressed and corrected?

D. CLARIFYING PURPOSE

1. What does this proposed action seek to broadly accomplish?
2. Does this proposed action specifically seek to accomplish the following?
 - Protect decision making from use of personal and/or interpersonal biases?
 - Reduce the impact of cultural factors that produce or perpetuate disparate treatment?
 - Dismantle institutional barriers to racial and ethnic equity?

E. MITIGATING HARM & ADVANCING EQUITABLE IMPACT

1. What adverse impacts or unintended consequences could result from this proposed action? Which racial/ethnic groups stand to be adversely affected?
2. How could adverse impacts be minimized for identified racial/ethnic groups?
3. What positive impacts on equity and inclusion, if any, could result from the proposed action? Which racial/ethnic groups could benefit?
4. Are there further ways to maximize equitable opportunities and impacts for racial/ethnic groups?
5. What provisions could be changed or added to this proposed action to ensure positive impacts on racial equity and inclusion?

F. VIABILITY & SUSTAINABILITY

1. Is this proposed action realistic? Is it adequately funded?
2. Are there mechanisms in place to ensure successful implementation and to expediently address resistance or lack of clarity in implementation?
3. Are there provisions to ensure ongoing data collection, public reporting, and inclusion of actual members or representatives of affected racial/ethnic groups in implementation and monitoring?

G. INDICATORS & BENCHMARKS

1. What are the success indicators and progress benchmarks of this proposed action?
2. Are indicator and benchmark data routinely disaggregated by race and ethnicity? Are there other demographic data variables associated with this proposed action being disaggregated for routine review (e.g., gender, offense, age, geography)?
3. How will impacts be documented and evaluated? How often?
4. How will the level, diversity, and quality of ongoing stakeholder engagement be assessed? How often?

The DJS Office of Equity & Reform leads use of this tool and staff training to periodically review agency policy, practice, and programs as a part of the DJS *Race Equity and Inclusion (REI) Framework*.

Appendix K

CRIMES OF VIOLENCE

The definition of “crimes of violence” occurs in two separate places in Maryland’s Annotated Code. The Maryland State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy uses the definition found in the Maryland Annotated Code, Correctional Services Article, Section 7-101(m). This definition, which is used here, references section 14-101 of the Criminal Law Article and also includes burglary in the 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree.

Maryland Annotated Code, Criminal Law Article, Section 14-101 provides a list of crimes identified as crimes of violence. They are as follows:

(1) abduction; (2) arson in the first degree; (3) kidnapping; (4) manslaughter, except involuntary manslaughter; (5) mayhem; (6) maiming, as previously proscribed under former Article 27, §§385 and 386 of the Annotated Code; (7) murder; (8) rape; (9) robbery under §3-402 or §3-403 of the Criminal Law Article; (10) carjacking; (11) armed carjacking; (12) sexual offense in the first degree; (13) sexual offense in the second degree; (14) use of a handgun in the commission of a felony or other crime of violence; (15) child abuse in the first degree under §3-601 of the Criminal Law Article; (16) sexual abuse of a minor under §3-602 of the Criminal Law Article if:

- (i) the victim is under the age of 13 years and the offender is an adult at the time of the offense; and
- (ii) the offense involved:

1. vaginal intercourse, as defined in §3-301 of the Criminal Law Article;
2. a sexual act, as defined in §3-301 of the Criminal Law Article;
3. an act in which a part of the offender’s body penetrates, however slightly, into the victim’s genital opening or anus; or
4. the intentional touching, not through the clothing, of the victim’s or the offender’s genital, anal, or other intimate area for sexual arousal, gratification, or abuse;

(17) home invasion under § 6-202(b) of the Criminal Law Article; (18) an attempt to commit any of the crimes described in items (1) through (16) above; (19) continuing course of conduct with a child under §3-315 of the Criminal Law Article; (20) assault in the first degree; (21) assault with intent to murder; (22) assault with intent to rape; (23) assault with intent to rob; (24) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the first degree; and (25) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the second degree.

Appendix L

MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS AND JUVENILE JURISDICTION

Human Services Article

- *Title 9 - Juvenile Services*

- Establishes the functions of the Department of Juvenile Services; enumerates the authority of the Secretary; details the organization and administration of DJS; establishes the operation of state facilities, advisory boards, juvenile care facilities, and regional services; and specifies the procedures for Interstate Compact and the Juvenile Services facility capital program.

Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article

- *Title 3 - Courts of General Jurisdiction*

- Establishes the jurisdiction and functions of the juvenile court.

- *Subtitle 8 – Juvenile Causes – Children in Need of Assistance (CINA)*

- **§3-823. Permanency plan for out-of-home placement:** Requires the court to hold a permanency planning hearing to determine the permanency plan for a child no later than 11 months after a child is committed.

- *Subtitle 8A - Juvenile Causes – Children Other than CINAs and Adults*

- **§3-8A-01. Definitions:** Provides the meanings of words commonly used in juvenile justice (e.g. adjudicatory hearing, community detention, detention, disposition, petition, violation.)
- **§3-8A-02. Purposes and construction of subtitle:** Establishes the goals of the Juvenile Justice System.
- **§3-8A-03. Jurisdiction of court:** Specifies the jurisdiction of the court over delinquent, child in need of supervision (CINS), Peace Order, and Interstate Compact juveniles and details exceptions to this jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-05. Determination of Jurisdiction:** Specifies the age of the person at the time the alleged delinquent act was committed and controls the determination of jurisdiction under this subtitle.

Appendix L (cont.)

MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS AND JUVENILE JURISDICTION

- **§3-8A-06. Waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes how the juvenile court may waive jurisdiction of a youth to the adult court.
- **§3-8A-07. Retention, termination or waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes when the court may terminate jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-08. Venue:** Establishes the venue for a CINS petition, a petition concerning delinquency, a Peace Order Request, and certain offenses.
- **§3-8A-09. Transfer of Proceedings:** Describes when the court may transfer certain proceedings to the youth's county of residence or domicile.
- **§3-8A-10. Complaint; preliminary procedures:** Procedural requirements when an intake office receives a complaint from a person or agency or certain citations issued by a police officer.
- **§3-8A-10.1. Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program:** Describes the CINS pilot program.
- **§3-8A-12. Certain information inadmissible in subsequent proceedings:** Describes when certain statements or information are inadmissible in certain proceedings.
- **§3-8A-13. Petition; general procedures:** Describes the general procedures for filing a petition that alleges delinquency or CINS and for filing peace order requests.
- **§3-8A-14. Taking child into custody:** Defines methods by which youth may be taken into custody (e.g. by court order/law enforcement officer.)
- **§3-8A-14.1. Taking child into custody-Arrest Warrant:** Describes the procedure by which an arrest warrant may be obtained for crimes originating in juvenile jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-15. Detention and shelter care prior to hearing:** Provides the authorization for placing a youth in detention, community detention, or shelter care for a child who may be in need of supervision or delinquent.
- **§3-8A-16. Transfer to other facilities:** Requires notice to be given to the court or DJS Intake by an adult detention facility upon receiving an individual under the age of 18. Also provides for transfer to adult facility when juvenile jurisdiction is waived and prohibits transportation of juveniles with adult inmates unless juvenile is charged as an adult.
- **§3-8A-16.1. Blood lead level testing:** Authorizes a court to order a child to undergo blood lead level testing after a petition has been filed, but before an adjudication.
- **§3-8A-17. Study and Examination of child, etc:** Describes when the court may direct DJS or another qualified agency to make a study concerning the child, the child's family, the child's environment, and other matters relating to the disposition of the case.
- **§3-8A-17.1 - §3-8A-17.12. Juvenile Competency:** Procedural requirements regarding juvenile competency, including evaluations, reports, hearings, services, court findings, and dismissal.
- **§3-8A-18. Adjudication:** Requires the court to hold an adjudicatory hearing; requires the allegations in the petition be proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the youth has committed a delinquent act. Also prescribes situations when application for body attachment can be made.
- **§3-8A-19. Disposition; costs:** Requires the court to hold a separate disposition hearing after an adjudicatory hearing unless the court has dismissed/waived the petition/citation; allows disposition to be held on the day of the adjudicatory hearing; and describes permitted dispositions.
- **§3-8A-19.1 - §3-8A-19.5. Peace Order Request:** Describes procedures for an intake officer to file a Peace Order Request, peace order hearings, forms of relief the order may include, service of a peace order; modification or recessions, and violations of an order.
- **§3-8A-20. Right to counsel:** Specifies when a youth is entitled to the assistance of counsel.
- **§3-8A-20.1. Treatment service plan:** Defines "treatment service plan" and describes the procedures for development and implementation of a treatment service plan.
- **§3-8A-21. Emergency medical treatment:** Authorizes court to order medical treatment in certain circumstances when child's guardian is unavailable or refuses to grant authorization without good cause.
- **§3-8A-22. Limitations on place of commitment:** Specifies the limitations on where the court may detain, commit, or transfer a youth.

MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS AND JUVENILE JURISDICTION

- **§3-8A-23. Effect of proceedings under subtitle:** Describes the effect of an adjudication and disposition of a child.
- **§3-8A-24. Effective period of order of commitment; renewal of order:** Specifies the length of time for an order of commitment, procedures for renewal of an order, and when the order is effective.
- **§3-8A-25. Progress reports:** Specifies requirements for visitation by a juvenile counselor of a child who is committed to an individual or to a public or private agency or institution. Authorizes the court to require the custodian to file periodic reports.
- **§3-8A-26. Order controlling conduct of a person before the court:** Authorizes the court to make an appropriate order controlling conduct under certain circumstances.
- **§3-8A-27. Confidentiality of records:** Describes confidentiality requirements, procedures, and exceptions for police and court records pertaining to or concerning a child.
- **§3-8A-27.1. Expungement of records:** Describes procedure for expunging juvenile records.
- **§3-8A-28. Judgment of restitution:** Authorizes the court to enter a judgment of restitution against the parent of a child, the child, or both.
- **§3-8A-29. Parents liable for support after commitment:** Authorizes the court to order either parent/both parents to pay support of the child.
- **§3-8A-30. Contributing to certain conditions of a child:** Describes the offense of contributing to certain conditions of a child and provides for a penalty.
- **§3-8A-32. Appointment of attorney or advocate to represent child's interest:** Authorizes a court to appoint an attorney to represent a child's interest under certain conditions.
- **§3-8A-33. Citation for certain violations:** Authorizes a law enforcement officer to issue a citation to a child if the officer has probable cause to believe that the child is violating certain alcoholic beverage, tobacco, salvia divinorum, or marijuana possession violations.
- **§3-8A-34. Rights of victim or witness of delinquent act:** Refers to the rights of victims and witnesses of delinquent acts.

Appendix M

INTAKE DECISION TOOL - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2020, PAGE 1

PID: _____

Intake Folder ID: _____

Intake Decision Date: _____

DJS Intake Decision Form

Intake Decision Exception Rationales

<input type="checkbox"/> Complaint withdrawn (Disapprove)
<input type="checkbox"/> Legally insufficient (Disapprove)
<input type="checkbox"/> Offense not in juvenile jurisdiction (Disapprove)
<input type="checkbox"/> Youth was an adult on date of offense (Disapprove)
<input type="checkbox"/> SAO declined to petition/prosecute (Baltimore City Immediate Charging Unit only)
<input type="checkbox"/> Case returned by court
<input type="checkbox"/> Youth placed in detention or ATD overnight
<input type="checkbox"/> Youth received charges while detained
<input type="checkbox"/> Complaint received while youth detained/placed
<input type="checkbox"/> FTA for intake appointment and charged with a handgun violation or felony (Formal)
<input type="checkbox"/> FTA for intake appointment and charged with an offense other than a handgun violation or felony

MCASP Intake Risk Assessment (Revised)

Part I. Delinquency History (Auto-Populated)

Item	Responses/Scoring
<i>Disapproved cases should be excluded from all items based on referrals.</i>	
1. Age at First Offense: The age at the time of the offense for which the youth was referred to DJS for the first time on a misdemeanor or felony.	<input type="radio"/> 17 and over (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> 16 and under (3 pts)
2. Misdemeanor Referrals: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a misdemeanor.	<input type="radio"/> One or fewer (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> Two (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> Three or more (2 pts)
3. Felony Referrals: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a felony.	<input type="radio"/> None (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> One (1 pts) <input type="radio"/> Two or more (2 pts)
4. Firearms Referrals*: Total number of referrals for the possession or use of a firearm.	<input type="radio"/> None <input type="radio"/> One or more
5. Person-to-Person Misdemeanor Referrals*: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a person-to-person misdemeanor.	<input type="radio"/> None <input type="radio"/> One <input type="radio"/> Two or more
6. Person-to-Person Felony Referrals*: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a person-to-person felony.	<input type="radio"/> None <input type="radio"/> One or two <input type="radio"/> Three or more
7. Detention: Number of times a youth served at least one day confined in detention under a detention order.	<input type="radio"/> None (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> One or more (1 pt)
8. Placement*: Number of times a youth served at least one day in placement under commitment to DJS (including pending placement in a detention facility).	<input type="radio"/> None <input type="radio"/> One <input type="radio"/> Two or more
9. Escapes: Total number of referrals for escape.	<input type="radio"/> None (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> One or more (5 pts)
10. Failure to appear in court warrants*: Total number of failures-to-appear in court that resulted in a warrant being issued. Exclude failure-to-appear warrants for non-criminal matters.	<input type="radio"/> None <input type="radio"/> One <input type="radio"/> Two or more

*Not scored

INTAKE DECISION TOOL - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2020, PAGE 2

PID: _____

Intake Folder ID: _____

Intake Decision Date: _____

Part II. Social History

Item	Responses/Scoring
School/Education	
1. Youth's <u>current</u> school enrollment status, regardless of attendance.* If the youth is in home school as a result of being expelled or dropping out, check <i>Expelled</i> or <i>Dropped out</i> ; otherwise check <i>Enrolled full-time</i> or <i>Enrolled part-time</i> .	<input type="radio"/> Graduated/GED <input type="radio"/> Enrolled full-time <input type="radio"/> Enrolled part-time <input type="radio"/> Suspended <input type="radio"/> Dropped out <input type="radio"/> Expelled <input type="radio"/> Unknown
2. Youth's attendance in the most recent school term.* <i>Partial-day absence</i> means missing less than half the school day. <i>Full-day absence</i> means missing all or most of school day.	<input type="radio"/> Not applicable (includes home schooled) <input type="radio"/> Good attendance; few excused absences <input type="radio"/> No unexcused absences <input type="radio"/> Some partial-day unexcused absences <input type="radio"/> Some full-day unexcused absences <input type="radio"/> Chronic full-day unexcused absences or youth is currently dropped out <input type="radio"/> Unknown
3. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.	<input type="radio"/> Not applicable (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> Above 3.5 (mostly As) (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> Above 3.0 (mostly As and Bs) (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> 2.0 to 3.0 (mostly Bs and Cs, no Es/Fs) (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> 1.0 to 2.0 (mostly Cs and Ds, some Es/Fs) (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> Below 1.0 (some Ds, mostly Es/Fs) (2 pts) <input type="radio"/> Unknown (<i>not scored</i>)
4. Youth is/was a special education student or has had a formal diagnosis of a special education need (in any grade).* <i>Check all that apply.</i> If the specific type of special education need is not known, check <i>Other/Unspecified</i> .	<input type="checkbox"/> No special education need <input type="checkbox"/> Learning <input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual disability <input type="checkbox"/> Behavioral <input type="checkbox"/> ADHD/ADD <input type="checkbox"/> Other/unspecified <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
5. Youth's school conduct, most recent school term.* <i>Check all that apply.</i> <i>Conduct problems</i> include things like fighting or threatening students/teachers; overly disruptive behavior; drug/alcohol use; delinquent acts (e.g., theft, vandalism); lying, cheating.	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (includes home schooled) <input type="checkbox"/> Recognition of good school behavior <input type="checkbox"/> No school conduct problems <input type="checkbox"/> Conduct problems reported by teachers <input type="checkbox"/> Disciplinary referrals <input type="checkbox"/> In-school suspension(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Out-of-school suspension(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
Use of Free Time	
6. Current interest and involvement in <u>structured</u> recreational activities.* Youth regularly participates in structured, supervised pro-social community-based activity, such as church, community, or cultural group, or an organized music, art, sports, or volunteer activity.	<input type="radio"/> Involved in 2 or more activities <input type="radio"/> Involved in 1 activity <input type="radio"/> Interested but not involved <input type="radio"/> Not interested in any structured activities <input type="radio"/> Unknown
7. Current interest and involvement in pro-social <u>unstructured</u> recreational activities.* Youth regularly engages in unstructured activities that positively occupy his or her time, such as reading, hobbies, unsupervised sports, etc.	<input type="radio"/> Involved in 2 or more activities <input type="radio"/> Involved in 1 activity <input type="radio"/> Interested but not involved <input type="radio"/> Not interested in any unstructured activities <input type="radio"/> Unknown

*Not scored

Appendix M (cont.)

INTAKE DECISION TOOL - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2020, PAGE 3

PID: _____

Intake Folder ID: _____

Intake Decision Date: _____

Employment	
8. Current interest in employment.*	<input type="radio"/> Currently employed <input type="radio"/> Not employed but highly interested in employment <input type="radio"/> Not employed but somewhat interested <input type="radio"/> Not employed and not interested in employment <input type="radio"/> Too young for employment consideration (under 14 years old) <input type="radio"/> Unknown
Relationships in the Community	
9. Current positive relationships with adults (other than family).* Adults who provide support and model pro-social behavior (such as a religious or youth leader, coach, neighbor, mentor, facility staff, etc.)	<input type="radio"/> No positive relationships <input type="radio"/> 1 positive relationship <input type="radio"/> 2 positive relationships <input type="radio"/> 3 or more positive relationships <input type="radio"/> Unknown
10. Current friends/ companions with whom youth actually spends time, last 3 months. Check all that apply. <i>Negative friends:</i> Friends who engage in delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive friends (0 pts) <input type="checkbox"/> No consistent friends or companions (1 pt) <input type="checkbox"/> Negative friends (1 pt) <input type="checkbox"/> Gang members/associates (2 pts) <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown (<i>not scored</i>)
Family	
11. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime).* Include both court-ordered placements AND voluntary placements that resulted from CPS investigation of the home. Exclude DJJ detentions and commitments.	<input type="radio"/> No placements ever <input type="radio"/> 1 or more placements <input type="radio"/> Unknown
12. Number of times youth has either run away or gotten kicked out of home (youth's lifetime).* Include <u>all</u> incidents when the youth did not voluntarily return within 24 hours, even if not reported by or to law enforcement.	<input type="radio"/> No incidents <input type="radio"/> 1 incident <input type="radio"/> 2 or more incidents <input type="radio"/> Unknown
13. Youth has been living in the physical custody and care of a parent or legal guardian during last 3 months.*	<input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> Unknown
14. Youth has been living in a stable environment during last 3 months.* <i>Stable environment:</i> The youth lives in a fixed, regular, and adequate environment in which the youth can stay indefinitely, such as a parent/ guardian's home or his/her own apartment. <i>Transient environment:</i> The youth and/or family is living in a homeless shelter, transitional housing, outside, couch surfing, doubled up with other families, etc.	<input type="radio"/> No, living in a transient environment <input type="radio"/> Yes, living in a stable environment <input type="radio"/> Unknown
15. Youth's current parenting status.*	<input type="radio"/> Does not have a child <input type="radio"/> Expecting a child <input type="radio"/> Has a child and working to maintain positive relationship <input type="radio"/> Has a child and not working to maintain positive relationship <input type="radio"/> Unknown
16. Youth's current compliance with guardian's/caretaker's rules and authority, last 3 months.	<input type="radio"/> Not applicable (Living without adult supervision last 3 months) (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> Youth usually obeys rules (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> Youth sometimes obeys rules (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> Youth consistently disobeys, is hostile to parental authority (2 pts) <input type="radio"/> Unknown (<i>not scored</i>)

*Not scored

INTAKE DECISION TOOL - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2020, PAGE 4

PID: _____

Intake Folder ID: _____

Intake Decision Date: _____

Alcohol & Drugs	
First assess whether the youth has used alcohol or drugs in the last 3 months. If there is an indication of recent use, then examine (1) whether drug use contributes or is related in some way to the youth's recent criminal behavior, and (2) whether the current/ recent use is serious enough to disrupt the youth's life, causing problems related to education, family life, social life or health.	
17. Current alcohol use (within last 3 months).	<input type="radio"/> No (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> Yes (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> Unknown (<i>not scored</i>)
18. Current drug use (within last 3 months).	<input type="radio"/> No (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> Yes (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> Unknown (<i>not scored</i>)
19. Alcohol or drug use contributes to current/ recent delinquent behavior.* Substance use either motivates delinquent behavior (e.g. stealing to support a habit) or is related to delinquent behavior in some other way (e.g., substance use is connected with violent, risky or impulsive behaviors that get the youth into trouble).	<input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> Unknown
20. Alcohol or drug use disrupts other areas of youth's life (e.g., school, family, peers, health). Substance use creates problems with any of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School (e.g., problems with school attendance, conduct or grades); • Family (e.g., stealing at home to support use, withdrawing, arguing over use); • Peers (e.g., loss of pro-social friends, inability to form prosocial relationships); • Health (e.g., trips to emergency room, medical problems related to use). 	<input type="radio"/> No (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> Yes (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> Unknown (<i>not scored</i>)
21. Current participation in alcohol/drug treatment, last 3 months.*	<input type="radio"/> Not applicable (including treatment not warranted) <input type="radio"/> Not attending needed treatment <input type="radio"/> Attending needed treatment <input type="radio"/> Completed treatment <input type="radio"/> Unknown
Mental Health	
22. Victim of neglect during lifetime.* Include any history of suspected neglect, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of neglect proven to be false. Neglect includes negligent behavior that endangers the child's health, welfare and safety, such as failure to provide adequate food, shelter, clothing, healthcare, nurturing or supervision. <u>If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.</u>	<input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> Unknown
23. Victim of physical or sexual abuse during lifetime.* Check all that apply. Include any history of suspected abuse, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of abuse proven to be false. <u>If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> No abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Physical abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
24. Exposure to violence during lifetime.* Check all that apply.	<input type="checkbox"/> Has not witnessed violence <input type="checkbox"/> Witnessed violence in the home <input type="checkbox"/> Witnessed violence in the community <input type="checkbox"/> Family member or close friend killed as a result of violence <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown

*Not scored

Appendix M (cont.)

INTAKE DECISION TOOL - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2020, PAGE 5

PID: _____

Intake Folder ID: _____

Intake Decision Date: _____

<p>25. Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever in lifetime).* Such as schizophrenia, bi-polar, anxiety, ADD/ADHD, depression, personality, and other diagnosed disorders. <u>Exclude</u> substance abuse and special education needs. Confirm by a professional when possible.</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Unknown</p>
<p>26. Mental health treatment currently prescribed.* Confirm by a professional when possible.</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> No current mental health problem <input type="radio"/> No mental health treatment currently prescribed <input type="radio"/> Attending prescribed treatment <input type="radio"/> Not attending prescribed treatment <input type="radio"/> Unknown</p>
<p>27. Mental health medication currently prescribed.* Confirm by a professional when possible.</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> No current mental health problem <input type="radio"/> No mental health medication currently prescribed <input type="radio"/> Taking prescribed medication <input type="radio"/> Not taking prescribed medication <input type="radio"/> Unknown</p>
<p>28. Current health insurance.*</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> No health insurance <input type="radio"/> Public insurance (Medicaid) <input type="radio"/> Private insurance <input type="radio"/> Unknown</p>

*Not scored

INTAKE DECISION TOOL - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2020, PAGE 6

PID: _____ Intake Folder ID: _____ Intake Decision Date: _____

Intake Case Summary

Risk for Reoffending

MCASP Risk Score: MCASP Risk Level:

Offense Summary

Most Serious New Alleged Offense: Offense Category:

Mitigating & Aggravating Factors *Check all that apply.*

Mitigating Factors	Aggravating Factors
<input type="checkbox"/> Youth under 13 years old – refer to services <input type="checkbox"/> First referral to DJS <input type="checkbox"/> More than one year since most recent DJS referral <input type="checkbox"/> Victim preference – diversion <input type="checkbox"/> Victim impact/injury – minimal <input type="checkbox"/> Youth already participating in services <input type="checkbox"/> DJS referring to services <input type="checkbox"/> Response already provided by caregiver <input type="checkbox"/> Response already provided by another system/agency <input type="checkbox"/> Youth already under DJS supervision – AIM response applied <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Victim preference – court <input type="checkbox"/> Victim impact/injury – more than minimal <input type="checkbox"/> Access to services requires DJS involvement (Pre-court) <input type="checkbox"/> Youth not responsive to prior DJS service referrals <input type="checkbox"/> Two prior failed pre-court cases (Forward for Petition) <input type="checkbox"/> Youth non-compliant with current DJS supervision <input type="checkbox"/> No agreement on restitution <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-Court not agreed to by youth, parent/ guardian, and victim (Forward for Petition) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____

Service Referrals

Service Needs <i>(auto-populate from MCASP/check all that apply)</i>	Service Referrals <i>(Check all planned referrals)</i>
School/Education <input type="checkbox"/> Unmet special education need <input type="checkbox"/> Currently expelled, suspended, or dropped out (MCASP) <input type="checkbox"/> Chronic unexcused absences from school (MCASP) <input type="checkbox"/> Below average academic performance (MCASP)	<input type="checkbox"/> Academic Service <input type="checkbox"/> GED Preparation Program <input type="checkbox"/> Truancy Prevention/Intervention Program <input type="checkbox"/> Other Education Support Service (specify): _____
Use of Free Time <input type="checkbox"/> Interested but not involved in structured or unstructured pro-social recreational activities (MCASP)	<input type="checkbox"/> After School Program <input type="checkbox"/> Civic Responsibility Program/Education <input type="checkbox"/> Recreational Program <input type="checkbox"/> Other Program/Activity (specify): _____
Employment <input type="checkbox"/> Not employed but highly interested in employment (MCASP)	<input type="checkbox"/> Career & Technology Education Program <input type="checkbox"/> Employment Skills Training/Certification Program <input type="checkbox"/> Other Employment Support Service (specify): _____
Peers/Relationships <input type="checkbox"/> No positive relationships with adults (MCASP) <input type="checkbox"/> Associates with negative or gang-involved peers (MCASP)	<input type="checkbox"/> Mentoring Program <input type="checkbox"/> Gang Prevention/Intervention Program <input type="checkbox"/> Dating Violence Prevention/Intervention Program <input type="checkbox"/> Other Peer/Relationships Program (specify): _____
Family <input type="checkbox"/> Currently a runaway or kicked out (MCASP) <input type="checkbox"/> Youth is living with parent/legal guardian and transient (MCASP) <input type="checkbox"/> Disobeys caregiver rules or is hostile to parental authority (MCASP) <input type="checkbox"/> Abuse/neglect (MCASP) <input type="checkbox"/> Youth is an expecting or current parent (MCASP) <input type="checkbox"/> Crossover youth	<input type="checkbox"/> DSS/Family Preservation <input type="checkbox"/> Family Peer Support <input type="checkbox"/> Family Counseling/Therapy <input type="checkbox"/> Parenting/Teen Parent Skills Program <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic Violence Prevention/Intervention Program <input type="checkbox"/> Shelter <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Support Service <input type="checkbox"/> Other Family Support Service (specify): _____

Appendix M (cont.)

INTAKE DECISION TOOL - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2020, PAGE 7

PID: _____

Intake Folder ID: _____

Intake Decision Date: _____

<p>Alcohol & Drug Use</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Alcohol/drug use contributes to current/recent delinquent behavior (MCASP)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Alcohol/drug use disruptive to youth's life (MCASP)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not attending needed treatment (MCASP)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Alcohol/drug use (MAYSI)</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Substance Use Assessment/Evaluation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Drug Testing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Substance Use Prevention Education</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other Substance Use Service (specify): _____</p>
<p>Mental Health</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not taking prescribed mental health medication (MCASP)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not attending prescribed treatment (MCASP)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Angry-Irritable (MAYSI)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Depressed-Anxious (MAYSI)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Somatic complaints (MAYSI)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Suicide ideation (MAYSI)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Thought disturbance (MAYSI)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Traumatic Experiences (MAYSI)</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Mental Health Assessment/Evaluation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Crisis Intervention/Stabilization Service</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Care Coordination/Wraparound</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Anger Management Program</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other Mental Health Service (specify): _____</p>
<p>Other – Offense Related</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Victim-offender remediation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Fire setter</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Shoplifter</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Sex offender</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Community Conferencing/Mediation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Community Service Program</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Conflict Resolution Program</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Restitution Program</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Victim Awareness Education Program</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Fire Setters Program</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Shoplifters Abatement Program</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Sex Offender Treatment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Teen Court</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other Offense Related Service (specify): _____</p>
<p>Other – Needs Related</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Youth is not living with parent/legal guardian and transient (MCASP)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Human trafficking victim</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Multiple systems involvement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Medical/physical health need</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Case Management</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Family Navigation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Human Trafficking Service</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unaccompanied Homeless Youth Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Local Care Team</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Physical Health Service</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Urgent Material Support (food, clothing)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other Needs Related Service (specify): _____</p>

Service Access Considerations

Consideration <i>(Check all that apply)</i>	Notes
<input type="checkbox"/> Cognitive functioning	
<input type="checkbox"/> Cultural	
<input type="checkbox"/> Language/communication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Education/reading level	
<input type="checkbox"/> Health/medical	
<input type="checkbox"/> Transportation access	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	

Offense-Related Case Processing Factors

- Incarcerable traffic offense (16 or older; Forward for Petition)
- Felony offense (must be reviewed by SAO)

INTAKE DECISION:

- Resolve (no service referrals) Resolve with service referral(s) Pre-Court Supervision Forward for Petition

Appendix N

QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2019, PAGE 1

Item
DOMAIN 1: Delinquent History
1. Age at first adjudication: Age at first adjudication for a misdemeanor or felony offense.
2. Adjudications: Total # of adjudications in which the most serious offense was a felony or misdemeanor. A. Misdemeanor adjudications: Total # of adjudications in which the most serious offense was a misdemeanor. B. Felony adjudications: Total # of adjudications in which the most serious offense was a felony.
3. Against-person misdemeanor adjudications: Total # of adjudications in which the most serious offense was an against-person misdemeanor.
4. Against-person felony adjudications: Total # of adjudications for an against-person felony.
5. Detention: # of times a youth served at least one day confined in detention under a detention order.
6. Placement: # of times a youth served at least one day in placement under commitment to DJS (including pending placement in a detention facility).
7. Escapes: Total # of referrals for escape.
8. Failure to appear in court warrants: Total # of failures-to-appear in court that resulted in a warrant being issued. Exclude failure-to-appear warrants for non-delinquent matters.
DOMAIN 2: School (History)
1. Youth is/was a special education student or has had a formal diagnosis of a special education need (in any grade). If the specific type of special ed. need is not known, check Other/ Unspecified. Check all that apply.
2. Total number of times expelled or suspended for conduct problems. Only consider out-of-school suspensions for conduct problems. A. Since first grade. B. In most recent school term.
3. Age at first expulsion or suspension. Only consider out-of-school suspensions for conduct problems.
DOMAIN 2: School (Current)
4. Youth has been enrolled in school during the last 3 months or most recent school term, regardless of attendance.
5. Youth's current school enrollment status, regardless of attendance. If the youth is in home school as a result of being expelled or dropping out, check Expelled or Dropped out; otherwise check Enrolled full-time or Enrolled part-time. A. Type of school in which youth is currently enrolled. Select the school in which the youth spends most of his/her day.
6. Youth believes there is value in getting an education.
7. Youth believes school environment is encouraging.
8. Number of school staff (e.g., teachers, coaches, counselors, and support staff) the youth likes/feels comfortable with.
9. Youth's involvement in school activities, most recent school term. E.g., Ongoing participation in school leadership activities, school clubs, arts-related activities, athletics, other extracurricular school activities.
10. Youth's school conduct, most recent school term. Conduct problems include things like fighting or threatening students/teachers; overly disruptive behavior; drug/alcohol use; delinquent acts (e.g., theft, vandalism); lying, cheating. Check all that apply.
11. Youth feels safe in school, most recent school term.
12. Youth's attendance in the most recent school term. Partial-day absence means missing less than half the school day. Full-day absence means missing all or most of school day.
13. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.
DOMAIN 3: Use of Free Time
1. Current interest and involvement in structured recreational activities. Youth regularly participates in structured, supervised pro-social community-based activity, such as church, community, or cultural group, or an organized music, art, sports, or volunteer activity.
2. Current interest and involvement in pro-social unstructured recreational activities. Youth regularly engages in unstructured activities that positively occupy his or her time, such as reading, hobbies, unsupervised sports, etc.
DOMAIN 4: Employment (History and Current)
1. History of employment.
2. Youth's history of success on the job. Check all that apply.
3. Current employment status. Employment problems include things like youth not getting along with boss or co-workers, poor performance on the job, poor attendance, etc.

Appendix N (cont.)

QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2019, PAGE 2

Item
4. Current interest in employment.
DOMAIN 5: Relationships in the Community (History and Current)
1. Current positive relationships with adults in the community. Adults who provide support and model pro-social behavior (such as a religious or youth leader, coach, neighbor, mentor, etc.)
2. History of delinquent friends/companions, last few years. Delinquent: Friends who engage in delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc. Check all that apply.
3. Current friends/companions youth actually spends time with, last 3 months. Delinquent: Friends who engage in delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc. Check all that apply.
4. Currently admires/emulates delinquent/criminal peers.
5. Current resistance to delinquent/criminal peer influence.
DOMAIN 6: Family (History)
1. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime). Include both court-ordered placements AND voluntary placements that resulted from CPS investigation of the home. Exclude DJS detentions and commitments.
2. Number of times youth has either run away or gotten kicked out of home: Include all incidents when the youth did not voluntarily return within 24 hours, even if not reported by or to law enforcement. A. Incidents across lifetime. B. Any incidents in last 3 months. C. Currently a runaway or kicked out.
3. Household members who ever lived with youth (at least 3 months) and had a history of criminal conviction/prison. Mother and father refer to parent, legal guardian or youth's primary female and male caretakers residing in household. Check all members that apply or check "None".
4. Siblings who ever lived with youth (at least 3 months) and have a history of adjudication/commitment. Check all members that apply or check "None".
DOMAIN 6: Family (Current Household)
5. Youth has been living under adult supervision during last 3 months. Adult supervision: Someone is responsible for the youth's day-to-day welfare, either legally or with parental consent.
6. Youth has been living in a stable environment during last 3 months.
7. Persons with whom youth is currently living. Check all that apply.
8. Youth's current parenting status.
9. Annual combined income of youth and family.
10. Problem history of parents/caretakers who currently live with youth. Check if either of the parents/caretakers in the current household have had a problem in the past or currently have a problem. Check all that apply or check "No problems".
11. Current support network for youth's family. Extended family and/or friends who can provide additional support (emotional, financial, or other forms of assistance) to the family.
12. Family willingness to support youth.
13. Family member(s) youth currently feels close to or has good relationship with. Family member does NOT have to live in youth's household. Check all that apply.
14. Current level of conflict between parents, between youth and parents, among siblings, last 3 months. Select the most serious level of conflict.
15. Current parental supervision, last 3 months. Good supervision: Parents know whom youth is with, when youth will return, where youth is going, and what youth is doing.
16. Youth's current compliance with parent's rules and authority.
17. Current parental approach to discipline. Appropriate discipline involves clear communication, timely response, and response proportionate to youth's conduct.
18. Current parental approach to rewarding youth. Appropriate rewards involve acknowledging positive behavior, giving praise and positive feedback (as well as tangible rewards), providing a reward in response to and proportionate to positive conduct.

QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2019, PAGE 3

Item
DOMAIN 7: Alcohol & Drugs
1. History of alcohol use <u>prior to the last 3 months</u> . A. Past alcohol use. B. Age at first alcohol use. C. Past alcohol use disrupted functioning.
2. History of drug use <u>prior to the last 3 months</u> . A. Past drug use. B. Age at first drug use. C. Past drug use disrupted functioning.
3. History of alcohol/drug assessment/diagnosis.
4. History of attending alcohol/drug education classes.
5. History of participating in alcohol/drug treatment program.
6. Any drug or alcohol use within last 3 months.
7. Current alcohol use (within last 3 months): A. Any <u>current</u> alcohol use. B. Alcohol use disrupts current functioning. C. Frequency of alcohol use <u>in the last 30 days</u> . D. Use of alcohol.
8. Current drug use (within last 3 months): A. Any <u>current</u> drug use. B. Drug use disrupts current functioning. C. Frequency of drug use <u>in the last 30 days</u> . D. Use of drugs.
9. Type of drugs currently used. Check all that apply.
10. Current participation in alcohol/drug treatment, last 3 months.
DOMAIN 8: Mental Health (History)
1. Victim of neglect during lifetime. Neglect includes negligent behavior that endangers the child's health, welfare and safety, such as failure to provide adequate food, shelter, clothing, healthcare, nurturing or supervision.
2. Exposure to violence during lifetime. Check all that apply.
3. Victim of violence/physical abuse during lifetime. Check all that apply.
4. Victim of sexual abuse during lifetime. Check all that apply.
5. Traumatic experiences during lifetime. Ever experienced events such as rape, abuse or observed violence, dreams or flashbacks.
6. History of lead exposure/poisoning.
7. History of ADD/ADHD. Confirm by a professional.
8. Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever in lifetime). Such as schizophrenia, bi-polar, anxiety, depression, personality and other diagnosed disorders. <u>Exclude</u> substance abuse, conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, ADD/ADHD, and special education needs. Confirm by a professional.
DOMAIN 8: Mental Health (Current)
9. Current mental health status, last 3 months.
10. ADD/ADHD medication currently prescribed. Confirm by a professional.
11. Mental health treatment currently prescribed, excluding ADD/ADHD treatment. Confirm by a professional.
12. Mental health medication currently prescribed, excluding ADD/ADHD medication. Confirm by a professional.
13. Current health insurance.
DOMAIN 9: Attitudes and Aggression
Part A: Attitudes
1. Youth's primary emotion when committing delinquent act(s).
2. Youth's primary purpose(s) for committing delinquent act(s). Check all that apply.
3. Youth's optimism about future. Youth talks about future in positive way, has plans or aspirations, has pro-social goals (for education, employment, family or other life domains.)

Appendix N (cont.)

QUESTIONS FROM THE DJS MCASP NEEDS ASSESSMENT - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2019, PAGE 4

Item
4. Impulsive; acts before thinking.
5. Youth's belief in control over his/her own anti-social behavior.
6. Youth's empathy, remorse, or sympathy for the victim(s).
7. Youth's respect for adults. Consider youth's general attitude towards parents, teachers, coaches, employer, etc.
8. Youth's attitude toward laws/social norms.
9. Youth's view of his/her anti-social behavior.
10. Youth's belief in successfully meeting conditions of court supervision.
Part B: Aggression
11. Interpretation of actions and intentions of others in common, non-confrontational settings.
12. Tolerance for frustration.
13. Belief in yelling and verbal aggression to resolve a disagreement or conflict.
14. Belief in fighting and physical aggression to resolve a disagreement or conflict.

Appendix O

RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

The Relative Rate Index (RRI) is a measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool for measuring how ethnic minorities compare with white youth at various contact points (court referral, diversion, etc.). The RRI was developed by the National Center for Juvenile Justice, with funding from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice.

DEFINITIONS FOR KEY CONTACT POINTS

The definitions below describe each key contact point included in the tables that follow, along with a brief explanation of each point. Understanding each contact point and how it is defined in the calculations will provide the basis for proper interpretation of the rates. Though not a 'contact point,' the general youth population is included because it is a key data point for calculating the rate of contact.

Contact Point	Definition
Population	Population of youth ages 11-17 as of July 2019. Source: MD Dept. of Planning. <i>Note: DJS uses 11-17 as a population denominator due to the low number of cases under 11.</i>
Referrals to Juvenile Court/Intake	Juvenile complaints referred to DJS intake, from police or other sources, including delinquent & non-delinquent offenses, recorded in ASSIST. Rate is based on youth in the general population.
Diversion	Complaints diverted by DJS Intake (resolved or informally adjusted to pre-court supervision) without formal petition. Rate is per referral.
Detention	Cases resulting in detention both pre-disposition or pending placement, but not including youth ejected to detention from a committed placement. Rate is per referral.
Petitioned	Complaints resulting in juvenile court petitions. Rate is per referral.
Delinquent	Complaints resulting in delinquent findings. Rate is per petition.
Probation	Probation dispositions. Rate is per delinquent finding.
Confinement	Youth placed in a hardware secure state-operated committed program; or staff- and hardware-secure private out-of-state programs. Rate is per delinquent finding.
Transferred	Juvenile petitions waived up to adult court. Does not include charges under original adult jurisdiction. Rate is per petition.

RRI TABLE FOR ALL MINORITY YOUTH

The data presented here show the RRI for minority youth compared to white youth. An RRI of 1.0 indicates no disproportional contact. A rate higher than 1.0 indicates that minority youth are disproportionately over-represented at that decision point, and a rate below 1.0 shows they are under-represented. The RRI for each decision point is based on the number of youth of each race/ethnicity represented at that point compared with the number at the previous decision point.

RATES OF CONTACT FOR ALL YOUTH

This table shows the number and rate of representation for all youth, regardless of color, at each key juvenile justice decision point. The rates are based on the general population for this table, rather than based on the previous decision point.

Appendix O (cont.) RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

RRI's FOR ALL MINORITIES COMPARED WITH WHITE YOUTH, AT KEY CONTACT POINTS¹, FY 2020

Region/ County	Referred to Juvenile Court/ Intake	Cases Diverted	Cases Involving Secure Detention	Cases Petitioned	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Cases Transferred to Adult Court
R-I-Balt. City								
Baltimore City	8.20	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
R-II-Central								
Baltimore Co.	3.52	0.71	1.07	0.99	1.05	0.92	**	**
Carroll	1.38	1.07	**	1.04	**	**	**	**
Harford	3.82	0.71	2.33	1.25	0.83	**	**	**
Howard	5.10	0.59	**	0.91	1.59	**	**	**
R-III-Western								
Allegany	2.57	0.97	0.99	0.98	1.17	**	**	**
Frederick	2.33	0.80	1.94	1.25	1.18	0.86	**	**
Garrett	2.41	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Washington	2.35	0.58	1.70	1.45	0.94	1.80	**	**
R-IV-Eastern								
Caroline	2.29	**	**	0.96	**	**	**	**
Cecil	2.08	0.72	0.82	0.93	1.22	**	**	**
Dorchester	3.16	0.76	**	1.15	**	**	**	**
Kent	1.88	2.29	**	**	**	**	**	**
Queen Anne's	3.75	0.63	**	**	**	**	**	**
Somerset	2.17	**	0.70	0.93	**	**	**	**
Talbot	2.63	0.60	**	2.24	**	**	**	**
Wicomico	5.19	0.52	1.51	1.03	0.80	**	**	**
Worcester	1.53	0.71	1.63	1.61	0.67	**	**	**
R-V-Southern								
Anne Arundel	2.99	0.65	1.49	1.16	0.92	0.81	**	**
Calvert	1.54	1.34	**	0.63	**	**	**	**
Charles	2.36	**	**	0.61	**	**	**	**
St. Mary's	3.36	1.44	1.23	1.36	0.96	**	**	**
R-VI-Metro								
Montgomery	2.90	1.10	1.96	1.42	1.17	1.75	**	**
Prince George's	3.28	**	**	0.80	**	**	**	**
State Total	1.91	0.68	2.19	1.40	0.94	0.97	1.65	**

¹ At all decision points minority youth include: African American, Asian or Pacific Islander, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Hispanic/Latino and Other/Unknown youth.

- Statistically significant results are shaded; Results that are not statistically significant are shown in regular font
- Insufficient number of cases for analysis are shown as **; an RRI of 1.0 indicates no disproportional contact. A rate higher than 1.0 indicates that minority youth are disproportionately over-represented at that decision point, and a rate below 1.0 shows they are under-represented.

RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

RATE OF CONTACTS PER 10,000 YOUTH AT KEY CONTACT POINTS, COMPARED WITH GENERAL POPULATION, FY 2020*

Region/ County	Population (ages 11-17)	Referred to Juvenile Court/ Intake	Cases Diverted	Cases Involving Secure Detention	Cases Petitioned	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Cases Transferred to Adult Court
R-I-Balt. City	45,965	220.2	12.8	96.6	178.2	56.8	25.7	6.1	0.0
Baltimore City	45,965	220.2	12.8	96.6	178.2	56.8	25.7	6.1	0.0
R-II-Central	141,463	310.8	28.2	20.4	126.9	43.6	35.9	1.0	0.4
Baltimore Co.	71,971	433.1	24.6	27.7	182.6	59.3	53.4	1.5	0.0
Carroll	14,902	212.7	76.5	9.4	62.4	25.5	18.1	0.0	0.0
Harford	22,847	199.2	21.9	14.4	67.4	31.5	21.9	0.4	1.3
Howard	31,743	160.0	18.3	13.5	73.7	25.2	14.8	0.6	0.9
R-III-Western	44,871	379.1	82.5	31.4	136.4	62.2	39.2	1.1	0.9
Allegany	5,583	838.3	125.4	59.1	270.5	121.8	100.3	3.6	0.0
Frederick	24,245	211.6	46.2	19.8	80.0	49.1	28.5	0.8	1.6
Garrett	2,157	542.4	139.1	13.9	78.8	69.5	32.5	0.0	0.0
Washington	12,886	468.0	122.6	44.2	194.0	59.8	34.1	0.8	0.0
R-IV-Eastern	39,980	643.3	63.0	42.8	189.1	64.0	34.8	2.0	2.5
Caroline	3,058	608.2	36.0	42.5	170.0	62.1	39.2	0.0	0.0
Cecil	9,334	353.5	51.4	23.6	120.0	40.7	20.4	3.2	5.4
Dorchester	2,605	1493.1	191.9	61.4	541.2	115.1	61.4	0.0	0.0
Kent	1,514	482.1	85.9	0.0	52.8	6.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	4,484	211.9	37.9	2.2	44.6	24.5	13.4	0.0	0.0
Somerset	2,121	1404.8	160.3	84.9	485.6	160.3	89.6	0.0	0.0
Talbot	2,699	440.9	59.3	14.8	129.7	59.3	55.6	0.0	0.0
Wicomico	10,540	661.3	21.8	72.1	203.0	65.5	34.2	4.7	0.0
Worcester	3,623	1062.5	110.4	58.0	195.9	104.9	44.2	0.0	13.8
R-V-Southern	84,655	335.6	47.3	21.9	92.3	50.2	20.7	3.5	0.1
Anne Arundel	49,178	346.1	46.6	24.0	109.8	62.4	20.9	4.1	0.2
Calvert	8,836	407.4	74.7	13.6	58.8	26.0	13.6	0.0	0.0
Charles	15,759	238.6	7.6	18.4	57.1	45.1	24.7	3.2	0.0
St. Mary's	10,882	370.3	85.5	23.9	91.0	22.1	19.3	4.6	0.0
R-VI-Metro	171,535	139.3	30.3	17.1	60.8	26.2	12.1	1.7	0.1
Montgomery	93,621	145.3	39.6	12.9	60.0	33.5	13.6	1.7	0.2
Prince George's	77,914	132.2	19.1	22.1	61.7	17.5	10.3	1.7	0.0
State Total	528,468	282.2	37.8	30.3	109.9	43.3	25.0	2.2	0.4

*Rates are calculated for each decision point based on general population data from the Census (see Appendix P).

- This table calculates the rate of DJS contact for all youth at each stage, compared with the general population (Census ages 11-17). For example, for every 10,000 youth in Baltimore City, there were 96.6 youth detained, and in Prince George's County there were 22.1 youth detained per 10,000 youth.

Appendix P

CENSUS INFORMATION, 2019* POPULATION ESTIMATES BY RACE (AGE 11-17)¹

Region / County	White ²	Black ²	American Indian/ Alaskan ²	Asian ²	Hawaiian/ OPI ²	Two or More ²	Hispanic/ Latino	Total
R-I - Balt. City	7,636	32,292	132	898	7	1,426	3,574	45,965
Baltimore City	7,636	32,292	132	898	7	1,426	3,574	45,965
R-II - Central	74,049	36,366	330	11,981	66	7,333	11,339	141,463
Baltimore Co.	33,206	24,667	201	4,539	23	3,308	6,027	71,971
Carroll	12,521	550	22	361	4	597	847	14,902
Harford	15,159	4,021	47	785	17	1,297	1,520	22,847
Howard	13,163	7,127	59	6,296	22	2,131	2,944	31,743
R-III - Western	30,662	5,039	75	1,749	27	2,522	4,796	44,871
Allegany	4,666	443	8	70	6	269	120	5,583
Frederick	15,201	2,764	50	1,361	11	1,373	3,485	24,245
Garrett	1,987	79	1	14	0	36	40	2,157
Washington	8,809	1,754	16	304	10	843	1,151	12,886
R-IV - Eastern	26,271	7,742	84	652	21	1,973	3,237	39,980
Caroline	2,101	429	9	30	3	137	350	3,058
Cecil	7,324	739	17	100	7	466	681	9,334
Dorchester	1,261	916	7	33	1	140	247	2,605
Kent	1,105	204	2	28	0	75	100	1,514
Queen Anne's	3,646	231	7	56	2	230	313	4,484
Somerset	779	1,057	4	20	1	103	156	2,121
Talbot	1,811	387	5	50	3	114	329	2,699
Wicomico	5,728	3,155	19	270	2	533	832	10,540
Worcester	2,514	624	15	64	1	175	230	3,623
R-V - Southern	47,397	20,479	221	2,656	61	5,297	8,543	84,655
Anne Arundel	29,124	9,347	95	1,692	35	2,935	5,951	49,178
Calvert	6,402	1,092	34	207	7	558	536	8,836
Charles	4,400	8,413	66	491	11	1,070	1,308	15,759
St Mary's	7,471	1,627	27	266	9	735	748	10,882
R-VI - Metro	41,172	62,934	340	15,600	25	7,171	44,293	171,535
Montgomery	33,977	18,779	163	12,767	11	4,899	23,026	93,621
Prince George's	7,195	44,156	177	2,833	14	2,272	21,268	77,914
Statewide	227,188	164,852	1,182	33,536	207	25,722	75,781	528,468

* Data Sources: Maryland Department of Planning, Population Estimation for Maryland. Files used: (1) July 1, 2019 Population by Age (single year), Race, Sex and Hispanic Origin for Maryland; (2) July 1, 2019 Population by Age, Sex, Race and Hispanic/Latino Origin for Maryland's Jurisdictions. Available online: https://planning.maryland.gov/MSDC/Pages/pop_estimate/CensPopEst.aspx (accessed on July 2020).

¹ The proportions of age ranges 11-14 and 15-17 have been computed from the population by age (single year), race and ethnicity for Maryland. The proportions were then applied to estimate each jurisdiction's/county's pop, from the population by age (5-year age group), race and ethnicity of Maryland jurisdictions.

² Excludes Hispanic and Latino

Note: Estimates with fractional values are rounded to whole numbers; therefore, totals may not sum exactly.

Appendix Q

NUMBER AND RATIO OF YOUTH, CASES, AND PLACEMENTS BY COUNTY*, FY 2020

Region/County	Complaints			Pre-D Detention Placements			Pending Placement			Committed Placements		
	Cases	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth
R-I - Balt. City	1,012	623	1.6	444	301	1.5	101	84	1.2	102	87	1.2
Baltimore City	1,012	623	1.6	444	301	1.5	101	84	1.2	102	87	1.2
R-II - Central	4,397	2,756	1.6	289	212	1.4	89	68	1.3	90	77	1.2
Baltimore Co.	3,117	1,865	1.7	199	148	1.3	54	38	1.4	48	40	1.2
Carroll	317	247	1.3	14	10	1.4	3	3	1.0	4	4	1.0
Harford	455	323	1.4	33	26	1.3	18	17	1.1	22	20	1.1
Howard	508	321	1.6	43	28	1.5	14	10	1.4	16	13	1.2
R-III - Western	1,701	1,140	1.5	141	95	1.5	45	36	1.3	54	46	1.2
Allegany	468	272	1.7	33	23	1.4	19	15	1.3	20	15	1.3
Frederick	513	367	1.4	48	36	1.3	18	14	1.3	19	18	1.1
Garrett	117	87	1.3	3	3	1.0	4	3	1.3	4	3	1.3
Washington	603	414	1.5	57	33	1.7	4	4	1.0	11	10	1.1
R-IV - Eastern	2,572	1,773	1.5	171	120	1.4	38	36	1.1	47	45	1.0
Caroline	186	123	1.5	13	8	1.6	5	4	1.3	5	5	1.0
Cecil	330	242	1.4	22	15	1.5	3	3	1.0	4	3	1.3
Dorchester	389	246	1.6	16	12	1.3	4	3	1.3	5	5	1.0
Kent	73	62	1.2	0	0	N/A	1	1	1.0	1	1	1.0
Queen Anne's	95	80	1.2	1	1	1.0	2	2	1.0	3	3	1.0
Somerset	298	181	1.6	18	13	1.4	3	3	1.0	5	4	1.3
Talbot	119	89	1.3	4	4	1.0	1	1	1.0	0	0	N/A
Wicomico	697	408	1.7	76	50	1.5	14	14	1.0	19	19	1.0
Worcester	385	342	1.1	21	17	1.2	5	5	1.0	5	5	1.0
R-V - Southern	2,841	2,064	1.4	185	139	1.3	93	82	1.1	113	91	1.2
Anne Arundel	1,702	1,228	1.4	118	90	1.3	62	56	1.1	73	59	1.2
Calvert	360	259	1.4	12	10	1.2	4	4	1.0	4	4	1.0
Charles	376	279	1.3	29	21	1.4	18	13	1.4	24	17	1.4
St. Mary's	403	298	1.4	26	18	1.4	9	9	1.0	12	11	1.1
R-VI - Metro	2,390	1,743	1.4	293	223	1.3	101	80	1.3	98	85	1.2
Montgomery	1,360	936	1.5	121	91	1.3	48	39	1.2	51	46	1.1
Prince George's	1,030	807	1.3	172	132	1.3	53	41	1.3	47	39	1.2
Out-of-State	N/A	N/A	N/A	77	69	1.1	4	2	2.0	9	8	1.1
Statewide	14,913	10,099	1.5	1,600	1,159	1.4	471	388	1.2	513	439	1.2

* If a youth had multiple complaints/placements during same FY, the location from the first complaint/placement will be used in order to count each youth only once in data.

¹ Post-ejection detention placements are included.

Appendix R

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES⁺

Crimes of Violence			
	Offense Severity [^]		Offense Severity [^]
Arson 1st Degree	3	Murder 2nd Degree	1
Assault 1st Degree	2	Murder 2nd Degree - Attempted	2
Burglary 1st Degree	3	Prostitution - Abduct, Persuade, Entice for Prostitution or Certain Sex Crimes	1
Burglary 2nd Degree	4	Rape 1st Degree	1
Burglary 3rd Degree	4	Rape 1st Degree - Attempted	1
Burglary - Home Invasion	2	Rape 2nd Degree	1
Burglary With Explosives	3	Rape 2nd Degree - Attempted	2
Carjacking - Armed	1	Robbery	3
Carjacking - Unarmed	2	Robbery with Deadly Weapon	2
Child Abduction of Individual Under 16	1	Sex Offense - Continuing Course of Conduct	1
Child Abuse 1st Degree	1	Sex Offense 1st Degree**	1
Child Abuse - Physical with Death	1	Sex Offense 1st Degree - Attempted**	1
In-State Abduction of Child Under 12	2	Sex Offense 2nd Degree**	1
Kidnapping	1	Sex Offense 2nd Degree - Attempted**	2
Manslaughter - Voluntary	3	Sex Trafficking - Felony	1
Murder 1st Degree	1	Use of Handgun in Commission of Felony or COV, 1st Offense	2
Murder 1st Degree - Attempted	1	Use of Handgun in Commission of Felony or COV, Subsequent	1
Person-to-Person Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity [^]	Felony	Offense Severity [^]
Abuse, Neglect of Vulnerable Adult-1st Degree*	3	Manslaughter - Involuntary	3
Assault on Police Officer	4	Manslaughter - Negligent Homicide by Automobile	4
CDS - Weapons Use	2	Manslaughter by Automobile	3
Child Abuse 2nd Degree	3	Obscene Matter - Possession of Visual Representations, etc. Subsequent Offense	3
Child Abuse - Sell, Barter, Trade, etc. a Child for Money, Property, etc.	4	Out-of-State Family Abduction	5
Child Pornography	3	Poisoning	1
Criminal Organization - Commission of Crime ¹	2	Prostitution- Human Trafficking ²	1
Criminal Organization - Commission of Crime - Resulting in Death of Victim ¹	1	Removing Firearm from Possession of Law Enforcement Officer	3
Criminal Organization - Manage, Fund Criminal Organization ¹	2	Sex Abuse by Household Member	1
Destructive Devices	2	Sex Offense 3rd Degree (Victim Incapacitated or 4 years + Younger)	4
Escape - 1st Degree	3	Sex Offense 3rd Degree (w/Force or Threat)	3
Hate Crime or Threat to Commit Hate Crime Involving a Separate Felony Crime	3	Sex Offense - Use of Personal Identifying Information of an Individual to Invite Another to Commit Sexual Crime	3
Hate Crime or Threat to Commit Hate Crime Involving Death of a Victim	2	Sexual Solicitation of a Minor	3
Incest	3	Sodomy; Unnatural or Perverted Sexual Practice ³	3
Judicial Process - Influence or Intimidate -Felony	2	Use of Machine Gun for a Crime	2
Labor Trafficking	1		

⁺ DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland legislative session; [^]The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug). ^{*} Active as of 10/1/2020; ^{**} Offense date prior to 10/1/2017; ¹The term "gang" was replaced by "criminal organization" as of 10/1/2020; ²Inactive as of 10/1/2019; ³Inactive as of 10/1/2020

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES⁺

Person-to-Person Offenses			
Misdemeanor	Offense Severity [^]	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity [^]
Abuse, Neglect of Vulnerable Adult - 2nd Degree*	4	Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry, Subsequent (Including on School Property)	2
Affray	4	Handgun Violation - Wear, Carry with Intent to Injure, Kill	2
Assault 2nd Degree / Battery	4	Handgun Violation - Wearing, Carrying Under the Influence	5
Assault - Knowingly/willfully Causing Another to Ingest Bodily Fluid	4	Harassment	5
Assault Weapons - Possess, Sell Assault Weapon, etc.; Manufacture, Possess, Sell, etc. a Rapid Fire Trigger Activator	4	Harassment - Use of Electronic Communication to Alarm, Seriously Annoy, or Inflict Emotional Distress on a Minor, etc.	4
Assault Weapons - Use of Assault Weapon, Rapid Fire Trigger Activator, etc. in the Commission of a Felony or COV, 1st offense	2	Harassment-Use of Electronic Communication to Alarm, Seriously Annoy, or Inflict Emotional Distress on a Minor, etc. w/ Intent to Induce Minor to Commit Suicide	3
Assault Weapons - Use of Assault Weapon, Rapid Fire Trigger Activator, etc. in the Commission of a Felony or COV, Subsequent	1	Harassment - Revenge Porn	5
Child Neglect	4	Hate Crime or Threat to Commit Hate Crime	4
Committing a COV in Presence of Minor	4	Hate Crime-Use of Item/Symbol to Threaten/Intimidate*	4
Criminal Organization - Use of Force to Coerce Participation or Prevent Leaving Organization ¹	5	In-State Family Abduction	5
Criminal Organization - Use of Force to Coerce Participation or Prevent Leaving Organization in or Near School ¹	4	Indecent Exposure	4
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Concealed Dangerous Weapon - Wear or Carry	5	Judicial Process - Influence or Intimidate - Misdemeanor	4
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Openly with Intent to Injure - Dangerous Weapon, Mace/Chemical Device, Wear or Carry	5	Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle/Vessel	4
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Certain Ordinance	5	Obscene Matter - Possession of Visual Representations, etc.	4
Deadly Weapon on Public School Property	4	Obstructing Justice - Tamper with or Fabricate Physical Evidence ⁴	4
Disorderly Intoxication	5	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering, Common Law	4
Disturbing the Peace	5	Prostitution – Receive Money for	3
Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	5	Prostitution – Solicitation	5
Driving under Influence CDS	5	Reckless Endangerment	4
Driving under Influence Drugs and/or Alcohol	5	Resisting Arrest	4
Driving While Impaired	5	Retaliating Against a Victim or Witness ⁴	4
Driving While Intoxicated	5	Rioting	3
Driving While Using Text Messaging Device, etc. that Causes Accident Resulting in Death, Serious Bodily Injury	5	Sex Offense 4th Degree	5
Electronic Mail Abuse ²	5	Sextortion - Causing Another to Engage in Sexual Activity, etc. by Threatening Behavior	4
Escape 2nd Degree - Remove Monitoring Device	4	Sex Trafficking - Misdemeanor	2
Escape 2nd Degree - Secure Facility	4	Stalking	4
Failure to Appear - Connection Felony	4	Threat of Mass Violence	4
Failure to Appear – Connection Misdemeanor	5	Use of Machine Gun for Aggressive Purposes	3
Failure to Appear - Citation	5	Visual Surveillance, Unlawful	5
Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry 1st Offense (Including on School Property)	5		

⁺ DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland legislative session.

[^]The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

* Active as of 10/1/2020; ¹The term “gang” was replaced by “criminal organization” as of 10/1/2020; ² Inactive as of 10/1/2019; ⁴ Inactive as of 10/1/2017

Appendix R (cont.)

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES⁺

Property Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity [^]	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity [^]
Arson 2nd Degree	4	Arson Misdemeanor	5
Bad Check Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Arson - Threat	4
Bad Check Felony - \$25,000 to \$100,000	4	Attempted Arson	5
Bad Check Felony - \$1,500 to < \$25,000	5	Bad Check Misdemeanor	5
Bad Check Felony - Multiple w/ in 30 Days (\$1,500 to \$25,000)	5	Breaking and Entering Motor Vehicles	5
Breaking and Entering a Research Facility	5	Burglary 4th Degree	5
Cloned Wireless Phone - Possession with Intent to Distribute, Distribution, Manufacturing or Sell	4	Code Grabbing Device	5
Credit Card Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Contraband - Possess, Possess with Intent to Deliver, Receive Telecommunications Device, Accessories	5
Credit Card Felony - \$25,000 to \$100,000	4	Credit Card Misdemeanor	5
Credit Card Felony - \$1,500 to \$25,000	5	Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor	5
Credit Card - Unlawfully Use or Disclose	4	Cruelty to Animals - Surgery on Dogs; Unauthorized Surgical Devocalization	5
Cruelty to Animals - Felony Racehorse	5	False Alarm	4
False Statement - Fake Bomb	4	False Report	5
False Statement - Rumor as to Bomb	4	Forgery - Prescription	5
Forgery, Counterfeit &/ or Uttering-Felony	4	Forgery, Counterfeit &/ or Uttering - Misd	5
Fraud Felony (Medicaid)	4	Fraud	5
Identity Fraud Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Fraud Misdemeanor (Medicaid)	5
Identity Fraud Felony - \$25,000 to 100,000	4	Gambling - Make or Sell a Book or Pool on the Result of a Race, etc.; Establish, Keep, etc. a Building, Vessel or Place for Purpose of Betting, etc.	5
Identity Fraud Felony - \$1,500 to \$25,000	5	Gambling ²	5
Identity Fraud - Intent to Manufacture/ Distribute Personally Identifying Information	4	Harassment - Use of Interactive Computer Service to Disclose Personal Identifying Information to Annoy, Threaten, Harass ²	5
Malicious Burning - Felony	5	Identity Fraud - Falsely Represent Self as Another Person	5
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	Identity Fraud Misdemeanor	5
Railroad Obstructing	4	Malicious Burning - Misdemeanor	5
Theft Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Malicious Destruction	5
Theft Felony - \$25,000 to \$100,000	4	Possession of Dangerous or Wild Animals	5
Theft Felony - \$1,500 to \$25,000	5	Telephone Misuse	5
Trademark Counterfeiting-Felony	4	Theft Misdemeanor	5
Verbal Threat - Extortion	4	Trademark Counterfeiting-Misdemeanor	5
Written Threat	4	Transfer Recorded Sound/Images w/o Consent	5
		Trespassing	5
		Unauthorized Removal of Property	5

⁺ DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland legislative session.

[^]The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

² Inactive as of 10/1/2019

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES⁺

Drug Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity[^]	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity[^]
CDS - Import Certain Amount into State	3	CDS - False Prescription	5
CDS - Using Minors for Manufacture or Distribution	3	CDS - Possession Marijuana > 10 Grams	5
CDS (Cocaine, Heroin, PCP, LSD, Oxy., Meth.)-Manf/Dist/PWID, etc.	3	CDS - Possession Other	5
CDS (Marijuana) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	Distribution of Inhalants	5
CDS (Other) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	Drug Paraphernalia	5
CDS - Distribution (Fake CDS)	4	Non-CDS, Possession	5
CDS (Marij/ Amphet/ Valium/ Ecstasy < 750 grams/ Bup./ Countrft)-Manf/ Dist/ PWID, etc.	4	Paraphernalia Controlled - Possess or Distribute - Marijuana	5
Receipt of Proceeds From CDS Offenses	4	Paraphernalia Controlled - Possess or Distribute - Non-Marijuana	5
		Use of Inhalants	5
Unspecified Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity[^]	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity[^]
Conspiracy to Commit Any Felony Offense	3	Conspiracy to Commit Any Misdemeanor Offense	5
Unspecified Felony	3	Traffic Violation Incarcerable	5
		Unspecified Misdemeanor	5
Other Offenses			
Citation Offenses	Offense Severity[^]	Ordinance Offenses	Offense Severity[^]
Alcohol Possession on School Premises	5	BB Gun / Pellet Gun	5
Alcoholic Beverage Violation	5	Discharging Firearms	5
CDS - Possession Marijuana < 10 Grams	5	Fireworks Violation	5
Gambling - Bet, Wager or Gamble	5	Loitering	5
Lottery Restrictions (Tables Games or Video Lottery Terminals, Facility) Less than 21 Years	5	Tampering	5
Pager at School	5	Violation of Local Ordinance	5
Tobacco Violation ²	5	Violation of Natural Resources Article	5
Traffic Violation Non-Incarcerable	5		
Vaporizer Violation (Electronic Smoking Device)	5		
Child in Need of Supervision (CINS)	Offense Severity[^]	Violation of Probation	Offense Severity[^]
Runaway	5	Violation of Felony Probation	3
Truant	5	Violation of Misdemeanor Probation	5
Ungovernable	5		

⁺ DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland legislative session.

[^] The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

² Inactive as of 10/1/2019

Appendix R (cont.)

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES

OFFENSES INCLUDED IN THE INTAKE & COMMUNITY SUPERVISION OFFENSE TYPE TABLES AS OTHER PERSON AND OTHER PROPERTY. THE OFFENSES ARE LISTED BASED ON FY 2020 INTAKE CASES¹.

The following information refers back to the Intake and Community Supervision Section's Offense Type tables. Space was limited on those pages, and these offenses were not able to be broken out within the tables. Below is a list of the three most common offenses categorized under "Other Person" and "Other Property". It should be noted that one intake case/complaint can have multiple alleged offenses.

Statewide		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	1,259
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	1,055
	Affray	491
Property	Trespassing	722
	False Report	100
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	34
Statewide - Females		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	536
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	448
	Affray	243
Property	Trespassing	139
	False Report	37
	Telephone Misuse	7
Statewide - Youth < 13		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	160
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	140
	Affray	56
Property	Trespassing	51
	Telephone Misuse	8
	False Report	6
Statewide - Youth of Color		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	1,038
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	819
	Affray	421
Property	Trespassing	561
	False Report	74
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	19

R-I-Balt. City		
Person	Reckless Endangerment	32
	Resisting Arrest	11
	Burglary-Home Invasion-Breaking & Entering w/ Intent to Commit COV	7
Property	Trespassing	5
	False Report	3
	Telephone Misuse	1
R-II-Central		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	276
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	170
	Resisting Arrest	80
Property	Trespassing	313
	False Report	39
	False Alarm	11
Baltimore County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	217
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	113
	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering - Common Law	66
Property	Trespassing	222
	False Report	25
	False Alarm	8
Carroll County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	14
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	5
	Reckless Endangerment	5
Property	Trespassing	26
	False Report	3
	False Alarm	2
Harford County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	32
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	16
	Threat of Mass Violence	5
	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering - Common Law	5
	Resisting Arrest	5
Property	Trespassing	26
	Forgery, Counterfeit & /or Uttering-Felony	2
	False Report	2
Howard County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	27
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	22
	Resisting Arrest	8
Property	Trespassing	39
	False Report	9
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	6

¹ Fewer than three items are listed if all offenses have been captured. More than three items are listed when there is an equal number of offenses, i.e., a tie, for the third most common offense; additional tying offenses are then listed. For ties in first or second rank, tying items are counted as the subsequent rank.

R-III-Western		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	199
	Affray	99
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	68
Property	Trespassing	67
	False Report	11
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	7
Allegany County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	69
	Resisting Arrest	9
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	8
Property	Trespassing	31
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	7
	Fraud-Misdemeanor	1
	Bad Check - Misdemeanor - \$100 to < \$1,500	1
Frederick County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	39
	Affray	22
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	17
Property	Trespassing	17
	False Report	8
	Forgery, Counterfeit	4
Garrett County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	9
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	7
	Resisting Arrest	1
	False Statement - Fake Bomb	2
Property	Contraband - Possession, Possession with Intent to Deliver, Receive Telecommunication Devices & Accessories	1
	False Report	1
	False Statement - Rumor as to Bomb	1
	Trespassing	1
	Washington County	
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	104
	Affray	73
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	14
Property	Trespassing	18
	False Alarm	6
	False Report	2

R-IV-Eastern		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	473
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	342
	Affray	176
Property	Trespassing	129
	False Report	12
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	12
Caroline County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	15
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	9
	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering - Common Law	5
Property	Trespassing	4
	False Report	2
	Telephone Misuse	1
Cecil County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	26
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	12
	Resisting Arrest	7
Property	Trespassing	16
	Telephone Misuse	4
	Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor	2
Dorchester County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	89
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	60
	Reckless Endangerment	18
Property	Trespassing	39
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	10
	False Report	2
Kent County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	21
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	1
	Reckless Endangerment	1
	Threat of Mass Violence	1
Prop.	Trespassing	1

Appendix R (cont.)

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES

Queen Anne's County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	12
	Reckless Endangerment	3
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	2
	Resisting Arrest	2
Property	Trespassing	3
Somerset County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	99
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	71
	Affray	61
Property	Trespassing	6
	False Report	5
	Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor	1
	Identity Fraud-Falsely Represent Self as Another Person	1
	Telephone Misuse	1
Talbot County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	9
	Affray	4
	Burglary-Home Invasion-Breaking & Entering w/ Intent to Commit COV	2
Property	Trespassing	2
	False Report	1
	False Statement - Rumor as to Bomb	1
	Forgery, Counterfeit	1
	Fraud-Misdemeanor	1
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	1
Wicomico County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	198
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	144
	Affray	89
Property	Trespassing	37
	Identity Fraud - Falsely Represent Self as Another Person	3
	False Alarm	1
	False Report	1
	Fraud-Misdemeanor	1
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	1
Transfer Recorded Sound/Images w/o Consent of Owner	1	
Worcester County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	34
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	12
	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering - Common Law	10
Property	Trespassing	21
	Forgery, Counterfeit	3
	Identity Fraud - Falsely Represent Self as Another Person	2

R-V-Southern		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	381
	Affray	198
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	141
Property	Trespassing	96
	False Report	18
	Telephone Misuse	12
Anne Arundel County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	182
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	121
	Affray	120
Property	Trespassing	71
	False Report	9
	Telephone Misuse	5
Calvert County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	39
	Affray	5
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	4
Property	Trespassing	5
	Identity Fraud - Misdemeanor > \$100 to \$1,500; Possess, Obtain, Help Another Obtain Re-Encoder or Skimming Device	2
	Extortion by Written Threat	1
	False Report	1
	Fraud-Misdemeanor	1
Charles County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	74
	Affray	60
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	15
Property	Trespassing	13
	False Report	5
	Telephone Misuse	2
St. Mary's County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	86
	Affray	13
	Resisting Arrest	5
Property	Trespassing	7
	Telephone Misuse	5
	False Report	3
R-VI-Metro		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	189
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	67
	Resisting Arrest	42
Property	Trespassing	112
	False Report	17
	False Alarm	5
Montgomery County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	51
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	50
	Resisting Arrest	31
Property	Trespassing	41
	False Report	15
	Fraud-Misdemeanor	4
Prince George's County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	139
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	16
	Resisting Arrest	11
Property	Trespassing	71
	False Alarm	4
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	3

Appendix S

OFFENSES UNDER ORIGINAL ADULT COURT JURISDICTION FOR YOUTH UNDER 18

<u>AUTOMATIC ADULT JURISDICTION</u>	
Source: § 3-8A-03 of the Maryland Courts & Judicial Proceedings Article	
AGE 14 AND OLDER	AGE 16 AND OLDER
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Murder – 1ST Degree • Rape – 1ST Degree • Any attempts of above • Any conspiracies of above <p><u>Abbreviations:</u> CL = Criminal Law Article CP = Criminal Procedure Article PS = Public Safety Article</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Serious/Violent Offenses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kidnapping • Assault – 1ST Degree (CL, §3-202) • Carjacking & Armed Carjacking (CL, §3-405) • Murder – 2nd Degree <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attempted Murder – 2nd Degree (CL, §2-206) • Voluntary Manslaughter • Rape – 2nd Degree <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attempted Rape – 2nd Degree (CL, §3-310) • Robbery w/ Dangerous Weapon <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attempted Robbery w/ Dangerous Weapon (CL, §3-403) • Sex Offense-- 3rd (CL, §3-307(a)(1)) <u>IF</u> force, a weapon, or threat of force used) <p style="text-align: center;">Firearms Offenses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wear, Carry, Transport Handgun (CL, §4-203) • Possession of Unregistered Short-barreled Shotgun or Short-barreled Rifle (PS, §5-203(a)) • Possession of Regulated Firearm (PS, §5-133--convicted of prior disqualifying offense, etc.) • Minor in Possession of Regulated Firearm (PS, §5-133(d)(1)) • Use Wear, Carry, Transport Firearm during Drug Trafficking Crime (CL, §5-621) • Use of Firearm in Commission of Crime of Violence or Felony (CL, §4-204) • Possess, Own, Carry, Transport Firearm by Drug Felon (CL, §5-622) • Possess, Sell, Transfer Stolen Regulated Firearm (PS, §5-138) • Sell, Rent, Transfer Regulated Firearm (PS, §5-134(b)) • Sell, Rent, Transfer Regulated Firearm to Minor (PS, §5-134(d)) • Use or Possess Machine Gun in commission/attempted commission of Crime of Violence (CL, §4-404) • Use or Possess Machine Gun for Offensive or Aggressive Purpose (CL, §4-405) <p style="text-align: center;">Miscellaneous:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any felony <u>IF</u> prior felony conviction as an adult • Non-incarcerable Traffic & Boating Offenses • Domestic Violence Peace Order requests are heard in the adult court where the victim is: the current or former spouse of the respondent; a cohabitant of the respondent; a person related to the respondent by blood, marriage or adoption; a parent, stepparent, child, or stepchild of the respondent or victim who resides or resided with the respondent or victim for at least 90 days within 1 year before the filing of the petition; a vulnerable adult; or an individual who has a child in common with the respondent. CP, §3-8A-03(d)(6). The juvenile court retains jurisdiction over violations of this section by a juvenile.
<p><u>Automatic Adult Jurisdiction – When Transfer to Juvenile Court is Prohibited (CP, §4-202(c))</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In an unrelated case, Juvenile was <u>convicted</u> of any of the above offenses (excluding “non-incarcerable traffic & boating” and excluding if adult jurisdiction is created only by a prior, non-excluded felony offense). • Offense is 1st degree murder and the juvenile was 16 or 17 years of age when the alleged crime was committed 	

Appendix T

DOMESTIC MINOR SEX TRAFFICKING (DMST) TOOL - IMPLEMENTED MARCH 2012, PAGE 1 (ANSWERS ARE KEPT CONFIDENTIAL)

DJS utilizes the Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking (DMST) Tier One and Tier One - B screening tools, developed in partnership with TurnAround, Inc., to identify youth within the DJS system who are at high risk of sex trafficking victimization and flag them for additional assessment and services. The screening tools may be administered by community supervision or facility staff to help identify potential victims. The language used in the screening tools is based on preference by gender, i.e., DMST Tier One is designated for females while the DMST Tier One - B is designated for males. There are only slight differences, e.g., in the DMST Tier One (designated for females) question # 4 reads, "Have you ever run away..."; the same question on the DMST Tier One - B, (designated for males) reads, "Have you ever stayed away..." Since only minor variations exist between the forms, one version is included here.

DMST SCREENING TOOL: FACILITY TIER ONE

YOUTH NAME:			ASSIST NUMBER:
DOB:	AGE:	RACE/ETHNICITY:	INTERVIEW DATE:
GENDER: Female Male Self Identify:			JURISDICTION OF RESIDENCE:
<i>Please ask client how they would like to be identified</i>			
FACILITY NAME:			INTERVIEWER NAME:

INTERVIEWER OBSERVATIONS:

PERSONAL ITEMS: (hotel keys, large amounts of cash, Viagra pills, condoms, etc.)		
VISUAL EVIDENCE OF BRANDS/TATTOOS?	No	Yes
SUSPECTED OR DISCLOSED GANG AFFILIATION?	No	Yes
NAME OF GANG, IF KNOWN: _____		
EVIDENCE OF ABUSE? (e.g., ligature marks, burns, bruises)	No	Yes
IS CPS/DHS INVOLVED?	No	Yes
NAME OF CASEWORKER, IF APPLICABLE: _____		
GENERAL OBSERVATIONS: (<i>attire, hygiene, affect, etc.</i>)		

DOMESTIC MINOR SEX TRAFFICKING (DMST) TOOL - IMPLEMENTED MARCH 2012, PAGE 2 (ANSWERS ARE KEPT CONFIDENTIAL)

Directions: Staff completing this tool should (1) ask each question in the interview and indicate “NR” if the youth does not respond after prompting, (2) be aware of the attitude and demeanor of the youth during the interview and record observations, and (3) let the youth guide the level of information they provide.

READ TO YOUTH: I’m going to ask you a set of 11 questions about some of the things going on in your life. Anything you’d like to share will be helpful in identifying how we can help you best.

1. Where were you staying overnight prior to detention?

2. Are you living or staying with anyone? No Yes (*Ask Question 2a*)

2a. What is your relationship to them?

3. Have you ever been homeless, placed in foster care or an out of home placement?

4. Have you ever run away or left home, out of home placement/foster care?

No (*Skip to Question 7*) Yes (*Ask Questions 4a-e*)

4a. What made you feel like you had to leave?

4b. About how many times? (*If youth has difficulty, ask if only 1 time, 2-3 times, 4-6 times, 7-10 times, more than 10*)

4c. How long did you usually stay away?

4d. Where did you go?

4e. Who did you stay with?

5. While you were on your own did anything keep you from going back?

6. How did you get what you needed (*food, personal care items, clothing, etc.*)?

7. Who do you go to when you need help?

7a. Do you owe them anything in return? No Yes (*Ask Question 7b*)

7b. What do you owe them?

8. Have you ever had to do anything you didn’t want to in order to have your daily needs met? No Yes

Appendix T (cont.)

DOMESTIC MINOR SEX TRAFFICKING (DMST) TOOL - IMPLEMENTED MARCH 2012, PAGE 3 (ANSWERS ARE KEPT CONFIDENTIAL)

9. Prior to this arrest have you had any contact with police? No Yes (Ask Questions 9a-b)

9a. In what cities/states did this contact occur?

9b. Did the contact with police result in arrest? No Yes (Ask Question 9c)

9c. What was the arrest for?

10. Have you ever traveled outside of Maryland without family? No Yes (Ask Questions 10a-c)

10a. What cities/states have you visited?

10b. Who did you travel with?

10c. Why did you travel there?

11. We've talked about some difficult topics in your life. What are a few of your hopes for the future?

READ TO YOUTH AT THE END OF THE INTERVIEW:

Another staff member is going to review the answers you shared. If they need more clarification on some of your answers, they might ask to talk with you a little more. At that point, you can decide whether you want to talk with them. OK?

Youth Delinquency History (run report in system and attach the query to this document):

Current charge that youth was referred to DJS on:

History of Prostitution arrests: No Yes

How to FLAG a Potential Victim of Sex Trafficking

IF a youth reports:

Runaway for a period of longer than 3 days PLUS

- History of child abuse/History of sexual assault **AND/OR**
- History of/currently in foster care **AND/OR**
- Presence of an older "boyfriend", "homeboy" or "girlfriend" **AND/OR**
- Significant Substance Abuse of youth and/or family (heroin, meth, and crack)

Then you should **FLAG** the youth for a follow-up assessment

Immediate FLAGS include ANY of the following:

- Runaway for a period of 2 weeks or more/each time
- Returns from running away with more/nicer items/nicer than youth left with
- Returns from running with hair/nails done
- Indicates sleeping in hotels while on the run
- Has an excess amount of condoms/lubricant
- Indicates traveling long distances (especially other states) while on the run
- Using prostitution/pimping terminology
- Talks about involvement in stripping/prostitution
- Talks about meeting people quickly on-line; may talk about websites such as: backpage.com, tagged, Instagram, plenty of fish, meetme.com, or reference "out calls"
- History of prostitution arrest(s)

Appendix U

RE-ENTRY PRE-DISCHARGE CHECKLIST - ADMINISTERED 45-60 DAYS BEFORE DISCHARGE

Re-Entry Pre-Discharge Checklist

Plan Date: _____ Youth's name: _____ Youth's date of birth: _____ ASSIST PID: _____ Youth's Placement: _____

PARTICIPANTS INVOLVED IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE RE-ENTRY/TRANSITION PLAN

Youth Parent Guardian Treatment Team Community Case Manager Residential Case Manager Other

Date of Youth's Last Treatment Service Plan (TSP), which lists the youth's strengths, concerns, immediate needs: _____

REGION: Select the Region of the Re-entry Specialist for this case:

Baltimore City Central Eastern Shore Metro Southern Western

DISCHARGE DATE: _____

This date should reflect the consensus between DJS and the committed placement team, and it should not be arbitrarily entered into the ASSIST placement event. Enter the youth's anticipated discharge date, which should reflect the anticipated discharge date recorded in ASSIST.

PERMANENCY PLAN

Has the Case Management Permanency Planning/Housing checklist been completed to determine the youth's living arrangement following discharge?

Yes No

FAMILY NOTIFICATION

Select all of the means by which the youth's family has been informed of the youth's treatment needs and progress during the youth's placement. If the youth's family has NOT been informed of the youth's treatment needs and progress during the youth's placement, briefly explain why.

In person By phone By e-mail By mail By video conferencing None of the above. Reason: _____

LIVING ARRANGEMENT

Select the youth's living arrangement following discharge. The response should be guided by the Case Management Permanency Planning/Housing checklist.

HOME (PARENT/GUARDIAN) STEP-DOWN PLACEMENT FOSTER CARE INDEPENDENT LIVING
 NON-HOUSEHOLD RELATIVE NON-HOUSEHOLD NONRELATIVE

Is the youth returning to original jurisdiction?

Yes No

REUNIFICATION PLAN

If the reunification plan involves home, family or relative, has a CMS home visit been scheduled prior to discharge?

Yes **DATE OF HOME VISIT:** _____ (includes if residing with relative, alternative family, etc.)
 No
 N/A Youth is not returning to a home at discharge

If the reunification plan does not involve return to the family, has the family stated a refusal to accept the youth into the home?

Yes- family refuses; they are UNABLE to provide housing
 Yes- family refuses; they are UNWILLING to provide housing
 No
 N/A

FAMILY SUPPORT SERVICES

Are family support services or family counseling required as part of the discharge plan?

Yes No

SUPPORTIVE RELATIONSHIPS / COMMUNITY CONNECTIONS

Upon release, who will be the youth's support system? Identify additional adult supports and mentors, including but not limited to, church/synagogue/mosque/spiritual organizations, clubs, social organizations, interest areas, and social networks youth in which the youth can participate.

Appendix U (cont.)

RE-ENTRY PRE-DISCHARGE CHECKLIST - ADMINISTERED 45-60 DAYS BEFORE DISCHARGE

EDUCATION AND EMPLOYMENT

Has the youth graduated or obtained his or her GED?

- Graduated HS Obtained GED Neither

Is re-enrollment in a local school required? *Indicate whether youth will need to be re-enrolled in a local public school system. Note that the youth's school records must be presented to the appropriate local school system within 2 days of the date of discharge.*

- Yes No

Is enrollment in a GED program required?

- Yes No GED already earned

If re-enrollment in local school is required, has the community case manager or other staff notified DJS transition staff of the expected discharge?

- No N/A (re-enrollment in local school is not required)
 Yes: *The Facility Case Manager or Re-entry Specialist must notify the Education Re-Entry Unit.*

At the time of discharge, will the youth be actively seeking employment and/or postsecondary education?

Although youth may be interested in employment and/or postsecondary education, this question applies only to youth who have already earned their high school diploma or GED at the time of discharge. Select no if the youth has yet to earn his or her high school diploma or GED.

- No Actively employed Actively seeking employment Actively seeking postsecondary education.
 Actively seeking both employment and postsecondary education. N/A, youth is under the age of 14

CAREER DEVELOPMENT

Select the career development resources to which the youth was introduced during placement

- Evidence-based self-efficacy and personal responsibility programming (i.e., Forward Thinking Re-entry Planning Interactive Journal)
 Self-assessment component (i.e., O*Net Interest Profiler)
 Career exploration component (i.e., U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Career Exploration website)
 Youth was registered as a jobseeker on the Maryland Workforce Exchange.
 Other career preparation, job readiness, or job search skills.
 None of the above

MONEY MANAGEMENT/BUDGETING

At release, will the youth have a source of income?

- Yes, employed No Yes, receives monthly benefit

Does the youth have a monthly budget? Yes No

Has the youth received any life skills training on monthly budgeting? Yes No

Does the youth have a savings account? Yes No

Does the youth have a checking account? Yes No
 If yes, has the youth received any life skills training on keeping a checking account? Yes No

Does the youth have a completed Credit Report? Yes No

RESTITUTION

Does the youth currently owe restitution? Yes No

MEDICAL ASSISTANCE

Will the youth require Maryland Medical Assistance (MA) or be enrolled or re-enrolled in private medical insurance upon discharge?

- MA Private insurance

If the youth requires MA upon discharge, select the status of the coverage.

- MA is active in the Foster Care coverage group. MA is active, but not in the Foster Care coverage group.
 Youth is returning to the community from a DJS committed program and an MA application needs to be filed.

Note: MA should be active in the MA Foster Care (FC) coverage group. If the youth is not in the FC coverage group, the case manager should immediately file a DJS Youth in Foster Care (Out of Home Placement) form along with an MA application at the local DSS. The application and form are available on the DJS intranet. For youth requiring MA upon discharge from a DJS committed facility an MA application will need to be filed through Maryland Health Connection.

Appendix U (cont.)

RE-ENTRY POST-DISCHARGE CHECKLIST - ADMINISTERED 30-DAYS AFTER DISCHARGE

Re-Entry Post-Discharge Checklist

Plan Date: _____ Youth's name: _____ Youth's date of birth: _____ ASSIST PID: _____

Youth's Discharge Facility: _____ Youth's Discharge Date: _____

REGION: Select the Region of the Re-entry Specialist for this case:

Baltimore City Central Eastern Shore Metro Southern Western

REGION: Select the Discharge Region of the Youth:

Baltimore City Central Eastern Shore Metro Southern Western

LIVING ARRANGEMENT

Select the youth's current living arrangement. *The response should be guided by the Case Management Permanency Planning/Housing checklist.*

HOME (PARENT/GUARDIAN) STEP-DOWN PLACEMENT FOSTER CARE INDEPENDENT LIVING
 NON-HOUSEHOLD RELATIVE NON-HOUSEHOLD NONRELATIVE OTHER

Was a parent/guardian or other custodian invited to the pre-discharge staffing?

Yes No N/A

Did the parent/guardian or other custodian attend the pre-discharge staffing? *"Attendance" can include participation by phone or video conference.*

Yes No N/A

Has the assigned Case Management Specialist completed a home visit since discharge?

Yes No

Has the Family Engagement Survey been completed with the family? *If not, complete the Family Engagement Survey with the family.*

Yes No N/A

Have family services or family counseling been initiated?

Yes No N/A (neither family services nor family counseling are part of the discharge plan)

For youth who returned to the community not under the care of a parent or guardian, did that youth remain in stable housing for at least 30 days following discharge?

Yes No N/A

Is the youth employed?

Yes No N/A (youth is not out of school or is not seeking employment)

Does the youth currently owe restitution?

Yes No

Is the youth enrolled in medical insurance coverage?

Yes No

EDUCATION

For youth requiring re-enrollment in a local school, were the youth's school records forwarded to the local school system within two days following discharge? *Contact the DJS Transition Specialist with questions relating to local school re-enrollment.*

Yes No N/A (youth did not require re-enrollment in a local school system)

For youth requiring re-enrollment in a local school, has the youth re-enrolled in school?

Yes No N/A (youth did not require re-enrollment in a local school system)

For youth pursuing a GED or postsecondary education, has the youth enrolled in a GED or postsecondary program?

Yes No N/A (youth is not pursuing a GED or postsecondary education at this time)

RE-ENTRY POST-DISCHARGE CHECKLIST - ADMINISTERED 30-DAYS AFTER DISCHARGE

SERVICES

For youth requiring mental health services following discharge, has the youth been scheduled for an appointment with a mental health treatment provider? *Refer to the pre-discharge survey or the youth's discharge summary or treatment service plan to determine whether mental health treatment services were required as part of the youth's discharge plan.*

Yes No N/A (youth did not require mental health services following discharge)

For youth requiring substance abuse treatment following discharge, has the youth been scheduled for an appointment with a substance abuse treatment provider? *Refer to the pre-discharge survey or the youth's discharge summary or treatment service plan to determine whether substance abuse treatment services were required as part of the youth's discharge plan.*

Yes No N/A (youth did not require substance abuse treatment following discharge)

For youth requiring somatic health treatment (preventive, acute, or chronic) following discharge, has the youth been scheduled for an appointment with a somatic health treatment provider? *Refer to the pre-discharge survey or the youth's discharge summary or treatment service plan to determine whether somatic health treatment services were required as part of the youth's discharge plan.*

Yes No N/A (youth did not require somatic health treatment upon discharge)

For youth requiring prescription medication upon discharge, has the youth or family secured medication and/or a prescription?

Yes No N/A (youth did not require prescription medication upon discharge)

DOCUMENTATION

Does the youth, or parent/guardian have originals of the following documents? *Check all that apply.*

- Birth certificate Social Security Card Annual credit report Health insurance card Foster Care Verification Letter
- Maryland identification card (or driver's license) (select if youth has already obtained a driver's license or identification card)
- Most recent information relating to a youth's medical history, including (1) names/address of health providers, (2) immunization record, (3) known medical problems, (4) medication(s), and (5) any other relevant health information.
- None of the above

NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS DOCUMENT AND DATE COMPLETED

_____/_____/_____
 Community Case Management Specialist or Supervisor (Print / Signature) _____
 Date

_____/_____/_____
 Re-Entry Specialist or Supervisor (Print / Signature) _____
 Date

_____/_____/_____
 Other (Title/ Print / Signature) _____
 Date

_____/_____/_____
 Other (Title/ Print / Signature) _____
 Date

_____/_____/_____
 Other (Title/ Print / Signature) _____
 Date

This document contains Protected Health Information (PHI). As a person/agency entitled to receive PHI you are responsible for ensuring that privacy and confidentiality are fully protected.

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services FY 2020 Statistical Information

MISSION OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES

By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and aftercare services.

DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.

Intake & Community Supervision Information

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2018-2020

Complaint Source	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Adult Court Transfers	2.0%	1.8%	1.8%
Citizen	2.2%	2.1%	1.9%
Police*	91.3%	92.4%	92.7%
Violation of Probation**	4.6%	3.6%	3.6%
Total Complaints	19,669	18,904	14,913

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.1%	61.7%	62.7%
White	29.2%	29.4%	28.3%
Hispanic/Other	8.7%	8.8%	9.0%
Sex			
Male	73.7%	70.9%	71.0%
Female	26.3%	29.1%	29.0%
Age			
11 and under	3.9%	4.3%	4.8%
12	4.7%	5.6%	5.0%
13	8.4%	9.6%	9.5%
14	12.9%	12.8%	14.2%
15	18.9%	19.1%	18.8%
16	22.1%	21.3%	21.7%
17	25.9%	24.7%	23.2%
18-20	3.2%	2.6%	2.8%
Total Complaints	19,669	18,904	14,913

Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALIZED CASES⁺, FY 2018-2020

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	39.7%	45.2%	47.7%
Informaled	15.2%	15.8%	13.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	45.1%	39.0%	38.9%
Total Complaints	19,669	18,904	14,913
Court Action for Formalized Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	11.5%	11.1%	13.2%
Stet	8.7%	9.0%	10.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.9%	0.8%	0.4%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	27.5%	29.4%	23.0%
Pending Disposition*	6.3%	7.3%	19.2%
Services Not Ordered	1.6%	2.0%	1.3%
Probation	29.2%	28.4%	22.8%
Committed to DJS	13.4%	11.3%	8.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Other**	0.7%	0.7%	1.3%
Total Formal Complaints	8,866	7,371	5,806

* Reflects the ultimate disposition at court

** Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2020

Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	12.6%	13.7%	30.1%
Felony	8.6%	13.7%	15.4%
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	1.6%	2.4%
- Property	5.3%	4.6%	5.3%
- Drugs	1.8%	1.6%	0.8%
- Unspecified	0.3%	5.9%	6.9%
Misdemeanor	69.7%	71.1%	54.3%
- Person-to-Person	42.1%	36.1%	27.3%
- Property	24.0%	28.2%	22.4%
- Drugs	1.8%	2.5%	1.6%
- Unspecified	1.9%	4.3%	3.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%
Citations	6.9%	1.4%	0.2%
CINS	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Dispositions	14,913	1,323	505

* See Appendices K and R for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2020 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Community	3,605.3	3,281.3	2,704.8
Pre-Court	735.6	723.0	583.7
Probation	2,202.2	1,963.4	1,605.9
Aftercare	667.5	595.0	515.2
Committed-Aftercare	411.7	395.4	314.4

* Average daily active cases

COMPLAINTS AND PLACEMENTS BY REGION/COUNTY, FY 2020

County/ Region	Intake Complaints	Pre-D Detention Placements*	Post-D Pending Placements*	Post- Ejection Placements	Adult Court Placements	Committed Placements***
R-I-Balt. City	1,012	444	101	15	96	102
Baltimore City	1,012	444	101	15	96	102
R-II-Central	4,397	289	89	20	49	90
Baltimore Co.	3,117	199	54	9	41	48
Carroll	317	14	3	0	0	4
Harford	455	33	18	6	1	22
Howard	508	43	14	5	7	16
R-III-Western	1,701	141	45	5	19	54
Allegany	468	33	19	1	1	20
Frederick	513	48	18	4	5	19
Garrett	117	3	4	0	1	4
Washington	603	57	4	0	12	11
R-IV-Eastern	2,572	171	38	6	14	47
Caroline	186	13	5	1	0	5
Cecil	330	22	3	1	1	4
Dorchester	389	16	4	1	0	5
Kent	73	0	1	0	1	1
Queen Anne's	95	1	2	0	0	3
Somerset	298	18	3	0	2	5
Talbot	119	4	1	1	1	0
Wicomico	697	76	14	2	9	19
Worcester	385	21	5	0	0	5
R-V-Southern	2,841	185	93	15	30	113
Anne Arundel	1,702	118	62	9	19	73
Calvert	360	12	4	0	1	4
Charles	376	29	18	4	6	24
St. Mary's	403	26	9	2	4	12
R-VI-Metro	2,390	293	101	20	87	98
Montgomery	1,360	121	48	6	30	51
Prince George's	1,030	172	53	14	57	47
Out-of-State	N/A**	77	4	2	15	9
Statewide	14,913	1,600	471	83	310	513

* Post-ejection detention placements are included in the total.

** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction.

*** "Placements" reflect annual activity, and do not reflect just cases referred in FY, many of which also may not be placed.


Secure Detention Information

The Maryland Department of Juvenile Services owns and operates seven detention facilities across the state. Each facility serves a population of youth awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and also houses youth who are Post-Disposition awaiting placement in a committed program (pending).

PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Youth Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	77.5%	77.6%	74.8%
White	15.0%	15.0%	16.0%
Hispanic/Other	7.5%	7.4%	9.2%
Sex			
Male	83.6%	84.7%	83.3%
Female	16.4%	15.3%	16.7%
Age			
11 and under	0.3%	0.5%	0.5%
12	0.7%	1.6%	1.6%
13	4.0%	5.2%	7.0%
14	12.6%	11.0%	12.0%
15	21.1%	21.3%	20.3%
16	24.9%	22.3%	21.9%
17	24.2%	24.8%	25.2%
18-20	12.3%	13.2%	11.5%
Total Placements from Juv. Court	2,663	2,404	1,752

PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2018-2020



*81.6% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.

CAPACITY, ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND UTILIZATION, FY 2020*

Facility	Capacity	Admissions	ADP	Utilization
Alfred D. Noyes	57 [†]	217	27.2	54.6% [†]
Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Ctr	120	519	69.7	58.1%
Charles H. Hickey School	72	426	49.7	69.1%
Cheltenham Youth Facility	72	464	50.4	70.0%
Lower Eastern Shore Children's Ctr	24	189	14.4	59.8%
Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Ctr	42	236	22.3	53.1%
Western MD Children's Center	24	166	19.1	79.7%
Total	411[†]	2,010^{**}	252.9[†]	61.5%[†]

*Includes Adult Court; **Total represents placements not admissions; †Data represents July 1, 2019-March 26, 2020. The capacity was reduced to 29 on March 27, 2020. From March 27, 2020-June 30, 2020, the utilization rate was 56.6%. Includes data for Noyes for the full fiscal year.

Committed Placement Information

A range of out-of-home program options have been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement programs. DJS operated seven committed programs in Maryland during FY 2020. DJS also contracts with other programs both in state and out of state.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2018-2020

Demographics	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	72.5%	70.7%	68.4%
White	18.9%	21.0%	22.2%
Hispanic/Other	8.6%	8.3%	9.4%
Sex			
Male	88.7%	86.3%	86.5%
Female	11.3%	13.7%	13.5%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.2%	0.3%	0.6%
13	2.5%	2.7%	3.7%
14	9.6%	7.8%	10.5%
15	17.9%	17.1%	15.4%
16	29.7%	30.2%	25.0%
17	28.2%	29.6%	29.6%
18-20	11.9%	12.4%	15.2%
Total Placements	826	768	513

COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS[†] FOR FY 2018-2020, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2020


	Placements [*]			FY 2020	
	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	31	20	10	8.7	344.1
Group Home ^{**}	203	151	146	67.9	169.2
Indep. Living	12	6	7	6.0	323.9
RTC	157	158	115	68.1	214.3
State Operated	357	344	204	97.4	146.7
Staff Secure	283	253	127	64.0	146.5
Hardware Sec.	74	91	77	33.4	147.1
Silver Oak	43	48	31	25.2	246.3
Out-of-State	74	84	55	41.2	247.1
RTC	6	12	16	7.2	157.5
Staff Secure	53	53	27	21.8	224.2
Hardware Sec.	17	20	13	11.9	299.6
Independ. Liv.	0	1	0	0.3	125.0
Total	826	768	513	314.4	201.6

[†] Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category; for example, "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care. ¹ ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer. *All data exclude transfers within and between programs/program types and therefore may not add up to the totals presented. Placement data may not be comparable to DRGs prior to FY 2019. ** Data previously reported under the separate category of Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA) were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for Group Home cannot be compared to DRGs prior to FY 2019.

Financial Information

FY 2020 OPERATING EXPENDITURES*

TOTAL:
\$270.7 MILLION



* Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

STATE OPERATED FACILITY PER DIEMS AND AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS, FY 2020

Facility	Total Expenditures ¹	Capacity	Per Diem Cost Per Bed
Baltimore City Juv. Justice Ctr.	\$24,597,501	120	\$560.05
Carter	\$2,956,610	14	\$577.01
Cheltenham	\$17,627,535	72	\$668.93
Green Ridge	\$5,427,629	40	\$370.74
Hickey	\$17,290,478	72	\$656.14
Lower Eastern Shore Child. Ctr.	\$6,352,710	24	\$723.21
Noyes [†]	\$6,657,949	57	\$426.30
Statewide Youth Centers [*]	\$20,646,637	96	\$587.62
Victor Cullen	\$9,048,960	48	\$515.08
Waxter	\$10,132,255	42	\$659.14
Western MD Children's Ctr.	\$5,708,207	24	\$649.84

¹ Does not include education costs

* Only includes Backbone Mountain, Meadow Mountain (closed June 30, 2020), and Savage Mountain (renamed Garrett Children's Center on June 30, 2020). Rated capacity at Garrett Children's Center is 8 until ongoing renovations are complete.

[†] Data represent July 2019-March 2020. The capacity was reduced to 29 on March 27, 2020.

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services
Division of Support Services
Redwood Tower
217 East Redwood Street
Baltimore, MD 21202
djs.maryland.gov

Baltimore County Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Preston Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Benville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ilchester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Forest Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmoor Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill Cheverly Chillum Clinton College Park College Park Woods Colmar Manor Coral Hills Cottage City Croom Daniels Park District Heights Eagle Harbor East Riverdale Edmonston Fairmount Heights Forest Heights Forestville Fort Washington Friendly Glassmanor Glenarden Glenn Dale Goddard Greenbelt Hardesty Hillcrest Heights Hollywood Hyattsville Kaywood Gardens Kettering Lake Arbor Landover Landover Hills Landover Park Langley Park Lanham Largo Laurel Marlboro Meadows Marlow Heights Marlton Mitchellville Morningside Mount Rainier New Carrollton New Hampshire Gardens North Brentwood Oxon Hill Peppermill Village Queenstown Riverdale Park Rosaryville Seabrook Seat Pleasant South Laurel Springbrook Terrace Springdale Springhill Lake Suitland Temple Hills University Park Upper Marlboro Walker Mill West Laurel Westphalia Woodlawn Woodmore **Queen Anne's County** Barclay Centreville Chester Church Hill Grasonville Kent Narrows Kingstown Queen Anne Queenstown Stevensville Sudlersville **Somerset County** Chance Crisfield Dames Quarter Deal Island Eden Fairmount Frenchtown Mount Vernon Princess Anne Rumbley Smith Island West Pocomoke **St. Mary's County** California Charlotte Hall Golden Beach Leonardtown Lexington Park Mechanicsville Piney Point Saint George Island Tall Timbers **Talbot County** Cordova Easton Oxford Saint Aubins Heights Saint Michaels Tilghman Island Trappe **Washington County** Antietam Bagtown Bakersville Beaver Creek Big Pool Big Spring Blue Hill Boonsboro Breathedsville Brownsville Cascade Cavetown Cearfoss Charlton Chewsville Clear Spring Conococheague Dargan Downsview Eakles Mills Edgemont Ernstville Fairplay Fairview Fountain Head Funkstown Gapland Garretts Mill Greensburg Hagerstown Halfway Hancock Highfield Indian Springs Jugtown Keedysville Leitersburg Long Meadow Mapleview Mercersville Mount Aetna Mount Briar Mount Lena Myersdale Orchard Hills Paramount Pecktonville Pinesburg Pondsville Reid Ringgold Robinwood Rohrsersville Rohrsersville Station Saint James San Mar Sandy Hook Sharpsburg Smithsburg Tilghmanton Trego Williamsport Wilson Yarrowsburg **Wicomico County** Allen Bivalve Delmar Fruitland Hebron Jestersville Lincoln Heights Mandela Springs Nanticoke Nanticoke Acres Parsonsburg Pittsville Powellville Quantico Salisbury Sharptown Spring Grove Tyaskin Waterview Whitehaven Willards **Worcester County** Berlin Bishopville Girdletree Newark North Ocean City Ocean City Pines Pocomoke City Snow Hill Stockton West Ocean City Whaleyville **Allegany County** Barreilville Barton Bel Air Bier Bowling Green Bowmans Addition Carlos Clarysville Corriganville Cresaptown Cumberland Danville Dawson Detmold Eckhart Mines Eilerslie Flintstone Franklin Frostburg Gilmore Grahamtown Klondike La Vale Little Orleans Lonaconing Luke McCoole Midland Midlothian Moscow Mount Savage National Nikep Ocean Oldtown Pleasant Grove Potomac Park Rawlings South Cumberland Spring Gap Vale Summit Westernport Woodland Zihlman **Anne Arundel County** Admiral Heights Annapolis Arden on the Severn Arnold Brooklyn Park Cape Saint Claire Crofton Crownsville Deale Eastport Edgewater Ferndale Forest Villa Friendship Galesville Gambrells Germantown Glen Burnie Green Haven Herald Harbor Highland Beach Hillsmere Shores Jessup Lake Shore Linthicum Londontowne Maryland City Mayo Odenton Parole Pasadena Primrose Acres Pumphrey Riva Riviera Beach Selby-on-the-Bay Severn Severna Park Shady Side South Gate Truxton Heights Tyler Heights Victor Haven Wardour West Annapolis **Baltimore County** Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Presto Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Benville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ilchester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Fores Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmoor Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill