

ANNAPOLIS: THURSDAY, SEPT. 14, 1836. HYPHENAL. From the Village Record.

THE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL. There will be a meeting of the Executive Council on Monday the 18th instant.

ELECTORS OF THE SENATE.—COM-PLETE. The following named gentlemen constitute the Electoral College of this State.

- List of electors of the senate from various counties including Prince-George's, Annapolis, and Howard.

JACKSON MEETING IN BOSTON. A large and respectable meeting of persons friendly to the elevation of General Jackson to the Presidency.

JACKSON IN THE WEST. The Cincinnati National Republican, of the 23d of August, announces that General Duncan, a friend and supporter to General Jackson, has been elected to Congress in Illinois.

PRIMARY SCHOOLS. Anne-Arundel county, Sept. 12. To the Editor of the Md. Gazette.

LIBERAL MEN THROUGHOUT THE STATE. It is the impression of many of the Voters in this county, that the "Primary School" law, requires that each elector should state on his ticket at the October election, whether he is for or against the establishment of primary schools.

MEXICO.—A letter has been received in New-York from Mr. Poinsett, our Minister to Mexico, dated the 11th July.

RINGBONE IN HORSES. A gentleman assures us that the cause of Ringbone in horses, is a small collection of water in the foot, just above the hoof.

NEW JERSEY. From the Trenton Emancipator. The voice of Sussex.—The old republican county of Sussex, is awake to the true interests of the State.

FROM THE AMERICAN SENTINEL. TO THE FREEMEN OF PENNSYLVANIA.—JUNIUS, who was the most acute, as well as constitutional annotator of his day, cautions his countrymen against the slightest invasion of their rights.

SHAMEFUL OUTRAGE. From the St. Louis Enquirer. A few newspapers in Ohio and Kentucky have dwelt with great satisfaction upon the conduct of a brutal stage driver in the former State.

HAYTI. It is stated, that in the commercial treaty arranging between England and Hayti, a stipulation has been acceded to, that all Negroes who run away from the British colonies are to be restored on application being made to the President for them.

ORLEANS. The New Orleans papers of the 10th ult. state that the health of the city was never better, in the most favoured years, than it was at that date.

It is mostly confined to the slave-holding States, and is particularly characterized by an attachment to the policy of the slave States, and open hostility to those of the "free States."

What is this case with Pennsylvania, New-Jersey and Indiana, that voted at Washington for the election of Gen. Jackson? And are Louisiana, Kentucky, and Missouri, that voted for Mr. Adams, "free states?"

What were then the principles of the Cincinnati Gazette, of Charles Hammond, and John C. Wright? They were furious advocates of Mr. Adams, a citizen of a slave-holding state, and repelled the attacks on that ground; but they now assail General Jackson, because Tennessee is a slave-holding state.

What were then the principles of the Cincinnati Gazette, of Charles Hammond, and John C. Wright? They were furious advocates of Mr. Adams, a citizen of a slave-holding state, and repelled the attacks on that ground; but they now assail General Jackson, because Tennessee is a slave-holding state.

What were then the principles of the Cincinnati Gazette, of Charles Hammond, and John C. Wright? They were furious advocates of Mr. Adams, a citizen of a slave-holding state, and repelled the attacks on that ground; but they now assail General Jackson, because Tennessee is a slave-holding state.

What were then the principles of the Cincinnati Gazette, of Charles Hammond, and John C. Wright? They were furious advocates of Mr. Adams, a citizen of a slave-holding state, and repelled the attacks on that ground; but they now assail General Jackson, because Tennessee is a slave-holding state.

What were then the principles of the Cincinnati Gazette, of Charles Hammond, and John C. Wright? They were furious advocates of Mr. Adams, a citizen of a slave-holding state, and repelled the attacks on that ground; but they now assail General Jackson, because Tennessee is a slave-holding state.

What were then the principles of the Cincinnati Gazette, of Charles Hammond, and John C. Wright? They were furious advocates of Mr. Adams, a citizen of a slave-holding state, and repelled the attacks on that ground; but they now assail General Jackson, because Tennessee is a slave-holding state.

What were then the principles of the Cincinnati Gazette, of Charles Hammond, and John C. Wright? They were furious advocates of Mr. Adams, a citizen of a slave-holding state, and repelled the attacks on that ground; but they now assail General Jackson, because Tennessee is a slave-holding state.

What were then the principles of the Cincinnati Gazette, of Charles Hammond, and John C. Wright? They were furious advocates of Mr. Adams, a citizen of a slave-holding state, and repelled the attacks on that ground; but they now assail General Jackson, because Tennessee is a slave-holding state.

What were then the principles of the Cincinnati Gazette, of Charles Hammond, and John C. Wright? They were furious advocates of Mr. Adams, a citizen of a slave-holding state, and repelled the attacks on that ground; but they now assail General Jackson, because Tennessee is a slave-holding state.

gation, but self defence, after the contradiction which you have impudently made, requires that I should ask, what are the facts of the case?

Mr. Crawford, from ill health, is not expected to be again a candidate for the Presidency. His partisans are therefore considered to be without a Candidate; and in a situation in which, in the nature of things, they will for some other person.

Mr. Crawford's late friends, a very undue proportion of individuals, with reference to relative numbers, and merit, to fill his most important appointments.—Adopting the rule, which you apply to me,—as you have not denied this, the point and essence of my third objection to Mr. Adams, I have a right to infer that you admit the fact.

Mr. Crawford's late friends, a very undue proportion of individuals, with reference to relative numbers, and merit, to fill his most important appointments.—Adopting the rule, which you apply to me,—as you have not denied this, the point and essence of my third objection to Mr. Adams, I have a right to infer that you admit the fact.

Mr. Crawford's late friends, a very undue proportion of individuals, with reference to relative numbers, and merit, to fill his most important appointments.—Adopting the rule, which you apply to me,—as you have not denied this, the point and essence of my third objection to Mr. Adams, I have a right to infer that you admit the fact.

Mr. Crawford's late friends, a very undue proportion of individuals, with reference to relative numbers, and merit, to fill his most important appointments.—Adopting the rule, which you apply to me,—as you have not denied this, the point and essence of my third objection to Mr. Adams, I have a right to infer that you admit the fact.

Mr. Crawford's late friends, a very undue proportion of individuals, with reference to relative numbers, and merit, to fill his most important appointments.—Adopting the rule, which you apply to me,—as you have not denied this, the point and essence of my third objection to Mr. Adams, I have a right to infer that you admit the fact.

Mr. Crawford's late friends, a very undue proportion of individuals, with reference to relative numbers, and merit, to fill his most important appointments.—Adopting the rule, which you apply to me,—as you have not denied this, the point and essence of my third objection to Mr. Adams, I have a right to infer that you admit the fact.

Mr. Crawford's late friends, a very undue proportion of individuals, with reference to relative numbers, and merit, to fill his most important appointments.—Adopting the rule, which you apply to me,—as you have not denied this, the point and essence of my third objection to Mr. Adams, I have a right to infer that you admit the fact.

Mr. Crawford's late friends, a very undue proportion of individuals, with reference to relative numbers, and merit, to fill his most important appointments.—Adopting the rule, which you apply to me,—as you have not denied this, the point and essence of my third objection to Mr. Adams, I have a right to infer that you admit the fact.

Mr. Crawford's late friends, a very undue proportion of individuals, with reference to relative numbers, and merit, to fill his most important appointments.—Adopting the rule, which you apply to me,—as you have not denied this, the point and essence of my third objection to Mr. Adams, I have a right to infer that you admit the fact.

Speech of call in question their motives. I hold that their offence against the constitution, or in other words their political offence would be the same, whether they acted under a mistaken view of the constitution or purposely united in an attempt to defeat its spirit.

What were the facts? Mr. Clay and his friends opposed Mr. Adams in the presidential contest more violently than any other candidate. It is well known, that they had a political quarrel on their hands, but Mr. Clay defended them with his charges against Mr. Adams, because his motives (both being then candidates for the Presidency) might be misunderstood.

What were the facts? Mr. Clay and his friends opposed Mr. Adams in the presidential contest more violently than any other candidate. It is well known, that they had a political quarrel on their hands, but Mr. Clay defended them with his charges against Mr. Adams, because his motives (both being then candidates for the Presidency) might be misunderstood.

What were the facts? Mr. Clay and his friends opposed Mr. Adams in the presidential contest more violently than any other candidate. It is well known, that they had a political quarrel on their hands, but Mr. Clay defended them with his charges against Mr. Adams, because his motives (both being then candidates for the Presidency) might be misunderstood.

What were the facts? Mr. Clay and his friends opposed Mr. Adams in the presidential contest more violently than any other candidate. It is well known, that they had a political quarrel on their hands, but Mr. Clay defended them with his charges against Mr. Adams, because his motives (both being then candidates for the Presidency) might be misunderstood.

What were the facts? Mr. Clay and his friends opposed Mr. Adams in the presidential contest more violently than any other candidate. It is well known, that they had a political quarrel on their hands, but Mr. Clay defended them with his charges against Mr. Adams, because his motives (both being then candidates for the Presidency) might be misunderstood.

What were the facts? Mr. Clay and his friends opposed Mr. Adams in the presidential contest more violently than any other candidate. It is well known, that they had a political quarrel on their hands, but Mr. Clay defended them with his charges against Mr. Adams, because his motives (both being then candidates for the Presidency) might be misunderstood.

What were the facts? Mr. Clay and his friends opposed Mr. Adams in the presidential contest more violently than any other candidate. It is well known, that they had a political quarrel on their hands, but Mr. Clay defended them with his charges against Mr. Adams, because his motives (both being then candidates for the Presidency) might be misunderstood.

What were the facts? Mr. Clay and his friends opposed Mr. Adams in the presidential contest more violently than any other candidate. It is well known, that they had a political quarrel on their hands, but Mr. Clay defended them with his charges against Mr. Adams, because his motives (both being then candidates for the Presidency) might be misunderstood.

What were the facts? Mr. Clay and his friends opposed Mr. Adams in the presidential contest more violently than any other candidate. It is well known, that they had a political quarrel on their hands, but Mr. Clay defended them with his charges against Mr. Adams, because his motives (both being then candidates for the Presidency) might be misunderstood.

What were the facts? Mr. Clay and his friends opposed Mr. Adams in the presidential contest more violently than any other candidate. It is well known, that they had a political quarrel on their hands, but Mr. Clay defended them with his charges against Mr. Adams, because his motives (both being then candidates for the Presidency) might be misunderstood.