MARYLAND GAZETTE.

Annapolis, Thursday, Feb. 3.

APPOINTMENT

By the Governor and Council.

Jonn Johns, Esq. Justice of the Peace for Anne Arundel county.

For the Maryland Gazette.

On Wednesday the 26th instant, several persons, citizens of Calvert county, were brought to the bar of the House of Delegates, to be examined as witnesses, and to be compelled to disclose for whom they voted at the last election. It had been previously determined, that this was the enquiry to be made of them.

When they presented themselves, one of them sent up to the Speaker, a paper, a copy of which is now given.

James I. Bowen, a witness now at the Bar of the House of Delegates of Maryland, to give testimony relative to the late election in Calvert county, protests against the right to extract from him any thing which may have a tendency to criminate himself, and to subject him to prosecution and punishment. The witness, it has been charged, voted at the late election in Calvert county, and it is alleged was not entitled to a vote, and if he be correctly informed he is now before the house of delegates, to prove that he did vote, and for whom he voted.

The right of the witness to vote at that election, he confidently insists upon. At the same time, however, he contends, that no person or constituted authority, legislative or judicial, can demand of him to answer whether he voted at all, or for whom he did vote. The constitution has expressly provided, that free citizens of the state shall be at liberty to vote without being obliged to make it known for whom they voted, and any attempt which is made by the house of delegates, or elsewhere, to extort from him any information relative thereto, he considers it to be a duty which he owes to himself, as a freeman of Maryland, and to the free citizens of the State, at whatever hazard it may be done, to protest against and resist.

The witness is not unapprised, that it may be said, the question whether he was entitled to a vote has been decided by the house of delegates. But he is advised, and he trusts all must be convinced, that that decision, whatever influence it may have in the house of delegates, and upon the return of the judges of election, does not in any way affect him. If a prosecution should be commenced against him for having voted when he was not entitled to vote, the decision of the house will not even be the slightest evidence to the proper tribunal, that he was not a constitutional voter. The question then, whether he be a voter or not, so far as it concerns himself, is one which the house of delegates cannot decide, either in his favour or against him, and to that house he is not bound to defend himself against the charge of having given an illegal vote, and he has not been invited to furnish any proof of his right to a vote.

The witness being an illiterate man, and incapable, without assistance, to judge what questions were calculated to criminate himself, hopes, that the house of delegates will allow him to have the assistance of counsel, with whom to advise, and by whom to be directed. If denied this privilege, the house will be sensible of the embarrassing situation in which he is placed, and he must therefore, in protesting against this course of proceeding by the house of delegates as a violation of rights secured to every free citizen of the state, leave it to those who now would decide upon his privileges, to determine if the house can demand of him, that he answer any question designed to prove either that he voted, or for whom he voted? and at the same time he must be allowed to express his regret, that situated as he is, and called upon as he conceives that he is, to maintain constitutional rights and privileges never before assailed, so short a time has been afforded to prepare the protest which he now offers at the Bar of this House.

JAMES I. BOWEN.

We the undersigned, also attending as witnesses at the Bar of the House of Delegates, also request that we may be considered parties to the protest, and to object, upon the same grounds, to the right of the house to enquire of us how we voted, or whether we voted at all

JOSEPH S. WILSON,
JOHN ROBERTSON,
WILLIAM DOSSEY,
JAS GRAY,
his
HENRY ✗ COCHRANE.
mark

This paper being read, a motion was made that it should lie on the table; and this was succeeded by a motion to insert the substance of it as usual on the journals. Whereupon a most learned discussion took place. Now, it must be obvious to any man, whatever be the size of his intellect, that in this paper, it contained a prayer, or application, to wit: to have the assistance of counsel; and also a denial of the right of the house to force the witness to make the disclosure; so that it is both a protest and memorial.—Not so, however, thought some of our Solomon's in Council. It made use of the word protest, and therefore it could not be called a memorial; and the application to have the assistance of counsel, was no application at all, and therefore no notice was to be taken of it upon the journals. And be it remembered too, that all this took place after the house had decided upon an order, which expressly referred to the application for assistance of counsel. The next day, to cap the climax, one member discovered that the paper, though it had been read by order of the house, and by its order too was lying upon the table, was not in possession of the house; and as if to make the transaction still more ridiculous, another honourable member immediately submitted a resolution, for which all these wise gentlemen voted, having express reference to this paper which was not in possession of the house, and declaring, that because of the proof furnished to the house by this paper, that the witnesses had been misguided, the house would permit others to testify what these witnesses had been heard to say; so that, in truth this paper, though read by order of the house, and ordered by the house to lie upon its table, was not in possession of the house, and though not in the possession of the house was a paper to which its proceedings could have express reference, and which might be made the ground of its decisions.

In due time too, a motion was made, to allow to them, while at the bar, the assistance of counsel, and this was refused. Now, if any of the honourable gentlemen who constituted the majority, have heretofore applied, or hereafter may apply, for counsel, to assist them, (and such a thing is very possible,) what would they have thought if the body to which the application was made, refused it? And this too was not an application to allow counsel to make speeches, and consume time, but simply to advise them, so far as to prevent the *cute* ones from *entrapping* them into a surrender of their rights, on which it was their determination to insist. Furthermore, this was not an application by learned *lawyers*, who may be supposed to be able to take care of their rights, but by a parcel of illiterate men, one of whom it will be observed, was unable to write. Some of the witnesses brought to the bar, consented to swear and to answer. Some took the oath, and to the interrogatory gave this true answer they were not bound to tell for whom they voted. Others, in the face of their honours, declared they would not suffer the oath to be administered to them.

One of them upon being interrogated, declared that he was of age when he voted, and that *it was proved by his mother*; the very person to whom rational people would have applied for information what was his age; but the house, without asking her what was the age of her son, resolved that he was under age. Now by reason of this we have upon our journals, a resolution, making a man, who was born more than 21 years, less than 21 years of age. Perhaps some of the honourable body themselves could by a resolution of the house, make themselves a few years younger. It has not yet however been attempted by a legislative vote, to make any of the members a few years older. The scene was indeed most truly ridiculous. The witnesses set the house at defiance, and were in consequence *ordered to retire*. Among the witnesses there was one, who the house resolved, that it was proved to them, was a foreigner by birth, and not naturalized.—Now, some people may suppose that this is among the things absolutely impossible, and that a negative like this too could not have been proved, but then there are men who can see, what cant be seen," and with equal eye, can find proof which does not exist. The Foreigner was brought to the bar, the Sergeant at Arms tendered to him, the New Testament, the Chief Clerk had left his seat, and was standing at the bar, to administer the oath, but the witness would not put his hand upon the book declaring he was willing to prove that he had been naturalized, that he had served the United States, and in the service of the government, had been taken prisoner, with a distinguished physician then residing in Annapolis; but he would not prove any thing more, for he did not know a word in the book, and he was afraid *they* intended to *take him in*. But, what the witness was *willing* to prove, the house was not as willing to have proved, because it would have been proof positive that one of its resolutions had asserted what was not true, and what the house wished to have proved by him, he told them they should not compel him to say. Whereupon the said witness had the consent of the house to depart from the bar, and accordingly he did depart therefrom, no doubt entertaining a very exalted opinion of those, who said he was obliged to declare, and they had the power to make him declare the very thing, which he gave them proof positive that he had the power to refuse to declare.

It may be, that some other things which then and there happened, may hereafter be noticed. It is right that the people should know of the things done in their name, and with what *zeal* those who must be great patriots, for they say so, can extricate themselves from the trammels of the law," and trample upon the rights and privileges of the citizens.

A LOOKER ON.

An Abstract

Of the Proceedings of the

Legislature of Maryland.

HOUSE OF DELEGATES.

Wednesday, Jan. 26.

The house resumed the consideration of the Calvert county election

On motion by Mr Boyle, Ordered, That William Spicknell be and he is hereby discharged from further attendance as a witness on this house.

On motion by Mr. Dorsey, the following order was read.

Ordered, That all the questions propounded to the said persons shall be reduced to writing, and read by the clerk

And the question put, That the house assent to the same? Determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. Lecompte, the following order was read:

Ordered, That the following oath shall be administered to each and every witness who shall be examined with respect to the Calvert election.

The evidence that you shall give in the matter now depending before the house of delegates shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God.

Mr. Montgomery offered the following as a substitute:

Ordered, That the following oath shall be administered to the witnesses, "you will true answers make to such questions as shall be asked of you in the matter now pending before the house, so help you God.

On motion by Mr Lecompe, the question was put that the words. "The answers you shall give to the questions propounded to you shall be true," be inserted in the proposed substitute after the word "witnesses." Determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. Forrest, the question was put, that the words "proper and legal" be inserted in the same between the words "such questions." Determined in the negative.

The question was then put on the substitute proposed by Mr. Montgomery

The yeas and nays being required, appeared as follows:

Affirmative—Mr. Speaker, Messrs. E. Brown, Brooke, Marriott, T. W. Hall, Wyvill, C. Stewart, Hayward, N. Martin, Orrick, AH. Price, Showers, Wroth, Mackey, Maffitt, Patton, R. T. Hall, Duvall, Boyle, Harrison, Hollingsworth, Nicholson, Quinton, Riley, Iiams, Hawkins, Maulsby, Norris, H. Hall, Forwood, Hardcastle, Willis, Whitely, Montgomery, Breckenridge, Gabby, Kennedy, Tomlinson, W. Price Greenwell—40.

Negative—Messrs Key, Millard, Blakistone, Plater, JF. Brown, Spencer, Stonestreet, Jenifer, Dorsey, Garner, Dashiell, Polk, Dennis, King, Lecompte, Lucas, Griffith, Jackson, Somervell, Warfield, Ross, Keller, Peter, Gaither, Washington, Forrest, Blair—29.

Negative—Mr. Speaker, Messrs. E. Brown, Brooke, Marriott, T W. Hall, Wyvill, C. Stewart, Hayward, Stevens, N. Martin, Orrick, AH. Price, Showers, Wroth, Mackey, Maffett, Patten, Cross, R. T. Hall, Duvall, Boyle, Harrison, Hollingsworth, Nicholson, Quinton, Riley, Iiams, Hawkins, Maulsby, Norris, H. Hall, Forwood, Hardcastle, Willis, Whitely, Montgomery, Breckenridge, Schnebly, Gabby, Kennedy, Peter, Tomlinson, W. Price, Greenwell—42.

Determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. Forrest, the question was put that the house adjourn for one hour. Determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. Dorsey, that the order of the 20th Dec., proposing to reduce to writing the questions to witnesses be observed on the examination. A question of order arose and the speaker determined the motion out of order, in as much as the same passed previous to a reference of the subject to the committee of elections. An appeal from the decision of the chair was called for by Mr. Forrest, and the question put, whether the decision of the chair be correct. Resolved in the affirmative—yeas 36, nays 31.

On motion by Mr. Forrest, the following order was read:

Ordered, That all questions put to Thomas Mitchell, be reduced to writing, declared to the speaker, and by him propounded to the witness; and the question was put, that the house assent to the same? Determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. Lecompte, the following order was read:

Ordered, That no question shall be put to any witness under examination before this house, without the consent of the house, and the question was put, that the house assent to the same? Determined in the negative.

Thomas Mitchell being asked by Mr. Boyle, for whom he voted.

Mr. Dorsey, objected to the legality of said question and the question was put that the said question is a legal one.

The yeas and nays being required, appeared as follows

Affirmative—Mr. Speaker, Messrs. E. Brown, Brooke, Marriott, TW. Hall, Wyvill, C. Stewart, Hayward, Stevens, N. Martin, Orrick, A. H Price, Showers, Wroth, Maffett, Cross, R. T. Hall, Duvall, Boyle, Harrison, Hollingsworth, Nicholson, Quinton, Riley, Iiams, Hawkins, Maulsby, Norris, H. Hall, Forwood, Hardcastle, Willis, Whitely, Montgomery, Breckenridge, Schnebly, Gabby, Kennedy, Peter, Tomlinson, W. Price, Greenwell—42.

Negative.—Messrs. Key, Millard, Blakistone, Plater, J. F. Brown, Spencer, Weems, Stonestreet, Jenifer, Dorsey, Garner, Dashiell, Polk, Dennis, King, Lecompt, Lucas, Griffith, Jackson, F. M. Hall, Somervell, Warfield, Ross, Gaither, Washington, Forrest, Blair—27.

Resolved in the affirmative.

Mr. Forrest having asked John W. Simmons the following questions: "how old are you, and was your age proven by any person at the last election? Mr. Boyle objected to the said question as having been already determined by the house, and the question was put, shall the said question be propounded to the witness.

The yeas being required, appeared as follows:

Affirmative.—Messrs. Key, Millard, Blakistone, Plater, J. F. Brown, Spencer, Weems, Stonestreet, Jenifer, Dorsey, Garner, Dashiell, Polk, Dennis, King Lecompte, Lucas, Griffith, Jackson, FM Hall, Somervell, Warfield, Ross, Peter, Gaither, Washington, Forrest, Blair—28.

Negative—Mr. Speaker, Mess. E Browne, Brooke, Marriott, T. W. Hall, Wyvill, Stevens, N Martin, Orrick, AH Price, Showers, Wroth, Mackey, Maffett, Patten, Cross, RT Hall, Duvall, Boyle, Harrison, Hollingsworth, Nicholson, Quinton, Riley, Iiams, Hawkins, Maulsby, Norris, H Hall, Forwood, Hardcastle, Willis, Whitely, Montgomery, Breckenridge, Schnebly, Gabby, Kennedy, Tomlinson, W. Price, Greenwell—41.

Determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr Lecompte, the question was put, that the words "was having been already determined by the house," be stricken out of the preceding question as stated on the journal? Determined in the negative

On motion by Mr. Lecompte, the following order was read,

Ordered, That the proceedings of this day be stricken out from the journals. Determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. Forrest, the house adjourned until to-morrow morning nine o'clock.

The question was put, that the house adjourn until 6 o'clock P.M. Determined in the negative—yeas 26, nays 40

On motion by Mr. Forrest, that the following question be propounded to James Sly:

Did or did you not at the last election produce to the judges of election a memorandum of your age, and swear that it was correct?

The question being objected to by Mr. Maulsby as irrelevant and consequently improper,

The question was put, shall the said question be propounded to the witness? The yeas and nays being required, appeared as follows:

Affirmative—Messrs. Key, Millard, Blakistone, Plater, JF Browne, Spencer, Weems, Stonestreet, Jenifer, Dorsey, Garner, Dashiell, King, Lecompte, Lucas, Jackson, Somervell, Warfield, Ross, Gaither, Washington, Forrest, Blair—23

Negative—Messrs. Speaker, E Browne, Brooke, Marriott, TW Hall, Wyvill, Stevens, N. Martin, Orrick, AH Price, Showers, Polk, Dennis, Wroth, Mackey, Maffett, Patten, Cross, RT. Hall, Duvall, Boyle, Harrison, Hollingsworth, Nicholson, Quinton, Riley, Iiams, Hawkins, Maulsby, Norris, H Hall, Forwood, Hardcastle, Willis, Whitely, Montgomery, Breckenridge, Kennedy, Peter, Tomlinson, W Price, Greenwell—42.

Determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. Ross, that the following question be proposed to James Sly: Did you on the 4th of October last, produce to the judges of the election an extract from the entry of your birth, and swear that the same was a true copy from the record?" Mr. Maulsby objected to the same, as irrelevant and improper; and the question was put, shall the said question be propounded to said witness?

Determined in the negative

On motion by Mr Forrest, the following order was read:

Ordered, That after a witness has declared for whom he voted, any question may be put to him, to try his credibility, provided they be such questions as are allowed, on cross examinations in the courts of law in this state.

And the question put that the house assent to the same? The yeas and nays being required appeared as follows:

Affirmative—Messrs Key, Millard, Blakistone, Plater, TF Browne, Spencer, Weems, Stonestreet, Jenifer, Dorsey, Garner, Dashiell, Polk, King, Lecompte, Lucas, Griffith, Jackson, Somervell, Warfield, Ross, Gaither, Washington, Forrest, Blair—25.

Negative—Mr. Speaker, Messrs. E Browne, Brooke, Marriott, TW. Hall, Wyvill, Stevens, N Martin, Orrick, AH Price, Showers, Wroth, Mackey, Maffitt, Patten, Cross, RT. Hall, Duvall, Boyle, Harrison, Hollingsworth. Nicholson, Quinton, Riley, Iiams, Hawkins, Maulsby, Norris, H Hall, Forwood, Hardcastle, Willis, Whitely, Montgomery, Breckenridge, Schnebly, Gabby, Peter, Tomlinson, W Price, Greenwell—42.

Determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr Dorsey, that the following question be propounded to James Sly, to wit: Have you ever said that the paper you produced before the judges of the election on the 4th day of last October, was not a true copy from the entry of your birth made in the bible or copy book?

The same was objected to by Mr. Harrison, and the question put, shall the said question be propounded to the witness? Determined in the negative.

Mr. Boyle having asked James I. Bowen for whom he voted, the question was objected to by Mr. Forrest, on the ground that to make the witness answer the question will be a violation of his constitutional privilege of keeping secret the names of the persons for whom he voted.

And the question put, That the said question proposed by Mr. Boyle, be propounded to the witness? Resolved in the affirmative.

Which being propounded to him, he answered that he was not bound to tell, and refused to answer said question.

Mr. Boyle having asked Wm. Dossey for whom he voted, Mr. Forrest objected to the question on the same grounds that he objected to the question proposed to James I. Bowen, which he answered it was not a fair question, and refused its answer; which objection being overruled by the house, On motion by Mr. Maulsby, the question was put to Wm. Dossey, as follows: "Who did you vote for as delegates to the general assembly, for Calvert county, at the last election?" who answered he was not obliged to tell, and refused to answer said question.

Mr. Boyle having asked James Gray for whom he voted at the last Calvert election? He answered that he did not think he was bound to tell, and refused to answer said question.

On motion by Mr. Boyle, the house adjourned until to-morrow morning nine o'clock.

[We were unable to obtain the proceedings of the House of Delegates of Thursday and Friday in time for this week's paper.—We will endeavour to procure them for next week.]

Saturday, Jan. 29.

On motion by Mr. Kennedy, the question was put, that leave be given to bring in a bill, entitled, An act for the relief of persons professing the Jewish religion in this state. Determined in the negative—yeas 20, nays 47.

On motion by Mr. Boyle, the following resolution was read:

Whereas upon a full investigation of all the facts connected with the election of Calvert county, and examination of the testimony reported by the committee of elections, and hearing the evidence of witnesses produced at the bar of the house, it appears that Daniel Kent, John Beckett and Thomas Blake, have a majority of legal votes.

Therefore, Resolved, That Daniel Kent, John Beckett and Thomas Blake, Esquires, are declared to be duly elected.

A second reading of the same being called for by Mr. Boyle, and objected to,

On motion by Mr. Boyle, the question was put, That the house dispense with the 16th rule—yeas 36, nays 29

The house proceeded to the second reading of the said resolution.

On motion by Mr. Dorsey, That the whole of the same be stricken out from the word "Whereas," for the purpose of inserting the following: "it appears from the journals of this house, that a course of proceeding has been adopted in relation to the contested election from Calvert county, inconsistent with the rights of the citizen, violating the [illegible]

[illegible] Maubsby, Norris, H. Hall, Forwood, [illegible] Willis, Whitely, Montgomery, [illegible] Schnebly, Keller, Gabby, [illegible] Tomlinson, W. Price, Greenwell [illegible]

[illegible] Key, Millard, Blakistone, Pl[illegible] F. Brown, Spencer, Weems, Stonestreet, Jenifer, Dorsey, Garner, Dashiell, [illegible] Dennis, King, Lecompte, Lucas, [illegible] Jackson, Somervell, Warfield, [illegible] Gaither, Washington, Forrest, Blair [illegible]

Resolved in the affirmative.

[illegible] Keen and John Beckett, appeared, [illegible] and took their seats.

[illegible] by Mr. Dorsey, Ordered, That [illegible] of claims be instructed to report to the house a particular statement of [illegible] incurred in consequence of the [illegible] into the Calvert election.

Monday, Jan. 31.

PETITIONS.

[illegible] sundry inhabitants of Anne-Arundel county, for an inspection at Chew's [illegible] From the judges, the bar, and justices of Baltimore county court, for a change [illegible] time of holding said court. From [illegible] inhabitants of Caroline that the depot to Hillsborough school may be con[illegible] From sundry inhabitants of Caroline for a bridge over Choptank river. From [illegible] Loockerman, to be compensated [illegible] services rendered while Auditor.

[illegible] house resolved itself into a committee [illegible] whole on the bill regulating the mode [illegible] executions, and repealing the [illegible] assembly therein mentioned, and for [illegible] purposes, Mr. Wm. R. Stuart in the [illegible] and after some time spent in consideration of the same, the committee rose, and [illegible] chairman reported the same with amendments.

[illegible] which being read throughout, the question was put, Shall the bill pass? Resolved [illegible] affirmative—yeas 37, nays 17.

[illegible] motion by Mr Dorsey, Leave to bring [illegible] bill providing for an uniform rule of evidence to be applied by the judges of election [illegible] deciding on questions relating to the [illegible] of voters.

SENATE.

Saturday, Jan. 22.

[illegible] bill to erect a bridge over the narrows [illegible] Island in Queen Anne's county, [illegible] to incorporate a company to erect a [illegible] over South River, the bill to authorise [illegible] Stevens the elder, late collector of [illegible] county to complete his collection, [illegible] to vest certain powers in the directors of the Penitentiary, the bill for the be[illegible] of the vestry of All Saints Church in [illegible] town, Frederick county, and the [illegible] in favour of John Chandler, were [illegible] to the house of delegates.

[illegible] bill to authorise Matthew Murray, [illegible] and collector of Baltimore county [illegible] complete his collections, was read the [illegible], and by special order the third time, [illegible], and sent to the house of delegates.

[illegible] bill for the relief of Elizabeth Knott, [illegible] county, was read the second, [illegible] third time by special order, passed, and [illegible] to the house of delegates.

[illegible] further supplement to an act to establish [illegible] and incorporate a company, under the title of The Planters Bank of Prince-[illegible] county, was read the second, and [illegible] special order the third time, and will [illegible]

[illegible] clerk of the house of delegates delivered [illegible] bill, entitled, An act for the benefit of [illegible] Ross, of Caroline county; a bill authorising William Bruce, of Charles county, [illegible] bring into this state a negroman call[illegible] and to retain him as a slave; a supplement to an act relative to justices of the [illegible] and for other purposes; a bill for the [illegible] of William Acton and Richard Bate [illegible] of Charles county; and a bill for the [illegible] of John Stemble, of Frederick county, [illegible] insolvent debtor; which were read [illegible] first time.

[illegible] supplement to an act to repeal all [illegible] parts of the laws of this state as authorise [illegible] the courts of law to sentence negro or [illegible] slaves to undergo a confinement in [illegible] Penitentiary, was read the third time and passed.

[illegible] Senate resumed the consideration of [illegible] supplement to an act for the better protection of slave holders in the several counties therein mentioned, which was passed [illegible] amendments.

[illegible] resolution in favour of Sarah Tillard, [illegible] read the second and third time by special order, and assented to.

[illegible] clerk of the house of delegates delivered [illegible] bill, entitled, An act for the relief of [illegible] S Murray, of Frederick county; [illegible] was read.

[illegible] bill annulling the marriage of Esther [illegible] comb, of Queen Anne's county, and [illegible] Newcomb her husband, was read [illegible] second, and by special order the third [illegible], and will not pass.

[illegible] bill annulling the marriage of Thomas F. Ward and Ann Ward of St Mary's [illegible] was read the second, and by special [illegible] the third time, and will pass.

[illegible] bill for the relief of James Bowers, [illegible] county, was read the second, and [illegible] special order the third time, and will [illegible]

[illegible] bill to incorporate the Skipton turnpike company was read the second, and [illegible] special order the third time, and will [illegible]

[illegible] bill to alter the time of holding the [illegible] court of Charles county, was read [illegible] second, and by special order the third [illegible], and will pass.

[illegible] bill authorising a lottery for the benefit of the Rockville and Barnstown Roman [illegible] Congregations, in Montgomery [illegible], was read the second, and by special [illegible] the third time, and will not pass.

[illegible] resolution in favour of Abraham [illegible] was read the second, and third time by [illegible] order, and postponed for further [illegible]

Monday, Jan. 24.

[illegible] motion of Mr. Harper, leave given to [illegible] in a bill, entitled, An act for the benefit of William Trumbo. Ordered that [illegible] Harper, Tanner and Howard, be a [illegible] to prepare and bring in the same. [illegible] Harper from the committee reported [illegible] same; which was read.

[illegible] supplement to an act relating to insolvent debtors in the city and county of [illegible], was read the second and third [illegible], and passed with amendments.

[illegible] resolution in favour of William Wood [illegible] read the second, and by special order [illegible] third time, and assented to.

[illegible] resolution in favour of the Potomac [illegible] was read the second, and by special order the third time, and the question [illegible] "Will the Senate assent to the same?"

[illegible] The [illegible] as follows: [illegible] Ashmead, [illegible] Hollyday, [illegible] Murray, Parnham and [illegible] Negative—Mr. [illegible] Pre[illegible] 24.

Resolved in the [illegible]

On motion of Mr. [illegible] lowing order was adopted:

Ordered, That the [illegible] tern shore be requested [illegible] nate with a statement [illegible] of this state from 181[illegible] sive, and of the differ[illegible] the same arises, also [illegible] present capital of the [illegible] part is productive, and [illegible] and also a statement of [illegible] the present year, and [illegible] ceipts in the treasury [illegible] corrected by the receipts [illegible] of revenue for 1819, [illegible] senate with any information [illegible] possess as to the time [illegible] tuted to recover the [illegible] ries drawn for the Su[illegible] tery, Medical College [illegible] lottery, and for the P[illegible] Frederick, and as to [illegible] time when the monies [illegible]

Mr. Gale presented [illegible] Hollyday, of Cecil c[illegible] and referred.

Tuesday

The clerk of the [illegible] vers a bill, entitled, [illegible] Susanna Teas of W[illegible] for the benefit of Sa[illegible] son of William A[illegible] county; A bill for [illegible] Monks, of Harford [illegible] to the funds of All [illegible] which were read.

The bill to authorise [illegible] Washington county [illegible] read the second, and [illegible] third time, and will [illegible]

The bill to confirm [illegible] conveyance therein [illegible] the second, and by [illegible] time, and will not pass.

The bill to confirm [illegible] real estate of the [illegible] made by commissioners [illegible] county court, was [illegible] special order the third [illegible]

The bill to confirm [illegible] partition of the real [illegible] kins, late of Harford [illegible] read the second, and [illegible] third time, and will [illegible]

On motion of Mr [illegible] to bring in a bill, entitled, [illegible] the act, entitled, A [illegible] Wilson Cary Selden [illegible] Ordered, That Messrs [illegible] and Maxcy, be a committee [illegible] bring in the same.

The supplement [illegible] and opening a road [illegible] sed December session [illegible] second, and by special [illegible] and will not pass.

On motion of Mr [illegible] to bring in a bill, [illegible] firm and make valid [illegible] ings of James Hou[illegible] peace for Caroline [illegible] Messrs. Hughlett, [illegible] be a committee to [illegible] same.

Mr. Howard from [illegible] a bill, entitled, A [illegible] titled, An act for t[illegible] Seldon, of the state [illegible] read.

The bill for the [illegible] tice of the city of [illegible] second, and by special [illegible] and will not pass.

The bill to encourage [illegible] grows in Anne Arundel [illegible] to the house of del[illegible] message:

BY THE SE[illegible]

Gentlemen of the [illegible]

We have received [illegible] ry 14, 1820, accompanying [illegible] An act to encourage [illegible] in Anne-Arundel [illegible] cline the reconsideration [illegible] return it to your [illegible]

By order,

J[illegible]

The bill to incorporate [illegible] wardens for the [illegible] Lodge No. 57, of F[illegible] for the purposes [illegible] read the third time [illegible]

The bill for the [illegible] kins, late of Harford [illegible] read the second, and [illegible] third time, and will [illegible]

On motion of Mr [illegible] to bring in a bill, [illegible] the act, entitled, A [illegible] Wilson Cary Selden [illegible] Ordered, That Messrs [illegible] and Maxcy, be a committee [illegible] bring in the same.

The supplement [illegible] and opening a road [illegible] sed December session [illegible] second, and by special [illegible] and will not pass.

On motion of Mr [illegible] to bring in a bill, [illegible] firm and make valid [illegible] ings of James Houston [illegible] peace for Caroline [illegible] Messrs. Hughlett, [illegible] be a committee to [illegible] same.

Wedne

The clerk of the [illegible] livers a bill, entitled, [illegible] make valid the a[illegible] James Houston a[illegible] Caroline county; [illegible]

Thur

The supplement [illegible] act relative to ju[illegible] other purposes, [illegible] special order the [illegible]

The bill to all[illegible] Waller, former [illegible] merset county, [illegible] the collections [illegible] was read the second [illegible] the third time, [illegible]

The bill to co[illegible] acts and proceed[illegible] a justice of the [illegible] was read the se[illegible] the third time, [illegible]

The clerk of [illegible] vers a bill, entitled [illegible] Cole, late heri[illegible] county, to co[illegible] for the revaluation [illegible] perty in Montgomery [illegible] the revaluation [illegible] ty in the seve[illegible] which were re[illegible]

The addition[illegible] titled, An act [illegible] surance Com[illegible] the second, an[illegible] and passed wi[illegible]