

MARYLAND GAZETTE.

[LXVIth YEAR.]

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 20, 1810.

[No. 3310.]

James F. Brice,
HAS opened an OFFICE in his own house,
where he means to continue the Practice
of the Law.
Annapolis, May 22, 1810. 5 6w.

Maus and Black's
IMPROVEMENT IN THE
Construction of Mills, &c.

By means of this new invention, of all o-
thers yet discovered the most simple and
least expensive, families, consisting of twenty
or thirty persons, may be suited with a mill a-
quate to a supply of flour, at an expense
less than the value of the toll paid for two
mills at watermills, exclusive of the trouble
attending the water or windmill. This
invention may be used by hand, by horse
power, by wind or water, according to the
circumstances for which the mill is to be used. A
model may be seen at Pinkney and Munroe's
office, in Annapolis, where patent rights may
be had, to make and use the same under the
authority of the subscriber, sole proprietor
of Anne-Arundel county.

JOHN GIBSON.
N. B. The subscriber will give information
to the mode of applying the power to this
machine according to the experience already
obtained. J. G.

CHEAP GOODS

THE Subscriber being desirous of settling up
his business, and having on hand a pretty
general assortment of DRY GOODS and
PROVISIONS, suitable to the present and
approaching Seasons, will sell them at very
cheap prices for CASH.

Those who are indebted to him for all sums
under Fifty Dollars are requested to call at
his Store and settle the same immediately,
and for all sums over fifty dollars to make
payment on or before the first day of August
next, as further indulgence cannot be given.
Woolen goods at a fair market price will be re-
ceived in payment.

JOSEPH EVANS.
Annapolis, 1st May, 1810. 7

In Chancery,

May 30, 1810.

ORDERED, that the report of John Gol-
der, trustee for the sale of the real estate
of ARCHIBALD GOLDER, deceased, be read
and confirmed, unless cause to the con-
trary be shewn on or before the 21st day
of July next; provided a copy of this order
inserted once a week for three successive
weeks in the Maryland Gazette, before the
1st day of June next.

True copy, 3X
T. NICHOLAS BREWER,
Reg. Cur. Can.

In Chancery,

June 5th, 1810.

ORDERED, that the sale made by Willi-
am Warfield, trustee for the sale of the
real estate of JOHN SAPPINGTON, deceased,
ratified and confirmed, unless cause to the
contrary be shewn on or before the 28th day
of July next; provided a copy of this order
inserted once in each of three successive
weeks in the Maryland Gazette, before the
1st day of the present month.

The report states, that a tract or parcel of
land called Greniston, containing about one
hundred and eight acres, was sold for five
hundred and forty-one dollars eight cents.

True copy, 3X
T. NICHOLAS BREWER,
Reg. Cur. Can.

NOTICE.

ALL persons having claims against the estate
of Mrs. Anne Steward, late of Anne-Ar-
undel county, deceased, are requested to
bring them in legally attested, to the subscri-
ber. All persons who are indebted to said ef-
state, are requested to make payment.

JOHN PITTS, Executor.
Annapolis May 28, 1810. 3X 3w.

JUST PUBLISHED,
AND FOR SALE AT THIS OFFICE,

AN APPENDIX
TO A
RELIGIOUS COLLOQUY.

To be had,

At the store of Gideon White, Esquire,
AN ANSWER
To a Pamphlet, Entitled
APPENDIX TO A RELIGIOUS COLLOQUY.

One Hundred Dollars
REWARD.

RAN away from the subscriber, the follow-
ing slaves, viz. a mulatto man called
DENNIS GRAHAM, aged about twenty-
three years, five feet eight or ten inches high,
is knock-kneed, has long wool, flat nose and
thick lips; he took with him several suits of
cloaths, and went off on the 26th of March,
having a pass, giving him leave of absence
for fourteen days.

POLLY SHORTER, and her two sons,
JOHN and THOMAS, with THOMAS
MARTIN. Polly is about forty-five years
old, John fifteen, Thomas twelve, and Tho-
mas Martin about nineteen. They took no
cloaths with them. I suppose them to be in
or about the City of Washington, as they
are well acquainted there. Polly is very much
given to drunkenness.

I will give the above reward for the whole,
or twenty dollars for each, if secured in any
goal so that I get them again, and if brought
to Annapolis, all reasonable expenses will be
paid by me, or Richard Wallack, Esq. my
attorney in the City of Washington.

WILLIAM CATON.
Masters of vessels and others are forewarned
harbouring or carrying them off at their peril.
May 28, 1810. 10t.

Jonathan Waters,

SADDLER & HARNESS MAKER,

RESPECTFULLY informs his friends,
and the public, that he has commenced
the above business next door to Mr. John
Wells's apothecary and shoe store, in Church-
street, Annapolis, where he hopes, by dili-
gent attention, to merit public patronage.
He flatters himself that those who have tried
his performance in that line, (while in George-
town, twelve years since,) will need no other
recommendation therein. Orders from the
city and country will be thankfully received
and executed with promptitude. His ma-
terials being well selected, ensures to his
patrons moderate terms.

April 24, 1810. 8 3w.

Seth Sweetser,

BOOT & SHOE MANUFACTURER,

RETURNS his sincere thanks to a gene-
rous public, and his Customers in particu-
lar, for past favours in the line of his business.
He has a good assortment of work on hand,
and the usual credit will be given to punctual
customers.

Those that have accounts standing more
than twelve months are requested to call and
settle them by paying the money or giving
their note.

N. B. He has received an assortment of
Ladies Morocco Slippers of the latest fashions
and best quality, and Mens, Boys and Chil-
dren's Shoes, from Baltimore and Boston,
which he flatters himself he can sell on better
terms for Cash than any yet sold. Kips
mended gratis.

April 18, 1810. 9 S. S.

I hereby give notice

TO all my creditors, that I intend to apply
to the county court of Anne-Arundel
county, or to some judge thereof, in the records
of said court (after this notice shall have been
published two months,) for the benefit of the
act of assembly, passed at Nov. session, 1805,
entitled, An act for the relief of sundry in-
solvent debtors, and the several supplements
thereto.

THOMAS WILLMER.
May 28, 1810. 4 8t.

NOTICE

IS hereby given, that the subscriber intends
to petition to the judges of St. Mary's
county court, at their next session, for the be-
nefit of an act for the relief of sundry in-
solvent debtors, and the several supplements
thereto. BENNET BISCOE, of Jas.
May 23, 1810. 4 8t.

NOTICE.

ALL persons having claims against the es-
tate of the late Thomas W. Walker, de-
ceased, are hereby requested to bring them
forward, properly authenticated, to the sub-
scriber for settlement.

BENJ. HODGES, Executor
of T. W. W.
May 16, 1810. 6t.

Laws of Maryland.

A FEW copies of the LAWS OF MARY-
LAND for sale at the Office of the Mary-
land Gazette. Price 1 doll. 50 cts.

MARYLAND GAZETTE.

ANNAPOLIS, WEDNESDAY, JUNE 20, 1810.

IMPORTANT CORRESPONDENCE.

National Intelligencer Extra.

London, Feb. 19th, 1810.

Sir,

I RECEIVED on the 12th inst. by Mr.
Powel, whom I had sent sometime before
to France, a letter from gen. Armstrong, of
which a copy is enclosed; and keeping in
view the instructions contained in your letter
to me of the 11th of Nov. last, I have writ-
ten to lord Wellesley, to inquire whether any,
and, if any, what blockades of France insti-
tuted by Great-Britain during the present
war, before the 1st of Jan. 1807, are un-
derstood here to be in force. A copy of my
letter to lord Wellesley is enclosed.

It is not improbable that this official inqui-
ry will produce a declaration, in answer to it,
that none of those blockades are in force, &
I should presume that such a declaration will
be received in France as substantially satisfi-
ng the condition announced to me by gen.
Armstrong.

I am not aware that this subject could have
been brought before the British government
in any other form than that which I have
chosen. It would not, I think, have been
proper to have applied for a revocation of the
blockades in question, (at least, before it is as-
certained that they are in existence) or to
have professed, in my letter to lord Welles-
ley, to found upon gen. Armstrong's commu-
nication my inquiry as to their actual state.
I have, however, supposed it to be indispen-
sable (and have acted accordingly) that I
should explain to lord Wellesley, in conver-
sation, the probability afforded by gen. Arm-
strong's letter that a declaration by this go-
vernment, to the effect above mentioned,
would be followed by the recall of the Berlin
decree.

I cannot, perhaps, expect to receive from
lord Wellesley any answer to my letter, in
time to send a copy by the John Adams now
in the Downs or at Portsmouth; but I will
send it by an early opportunity, and will take
care that gen. Armstrong shall be made ac-
quainted with it without delay.

I have the honour to be,

With great consideration,

Sir,

Your most obedient

Humble servant,

WM. PINKNEY.

P. S. March 23, 1810. Since the writ-
ing of this letter lord Wellesley has sent me
the answer (of the 2d inst.) of which a copy
is now enclosed. It was not satisfactory, and
I pointed out its deficiencies to lord Welles-
ley in conversation, and proposed to him that
I should write him another letter requesting
explanations. He assented to this course, &
I have written him the letter of the 7th inst.
of which also a copy is enclosed. His reply
has been promised very frequently, but has
not yet been received. I have reason to ex-
pect that it will be sufficient; but I cannot
think of detaining the corvette any longer.
The British packet will furnish me with an
opportunity of forwarding it to you; and I
will send Mr. Lee with it to Paris, by the
way of Morlaix.

I have the honour to be, &c.

WM. PINKNEY.

The hon. R. Smith, &c.

[COPY.]

From gen. Armstrong to Mr. Pinkney.
Paris, 25th Jan. 1810.

Sir,

A letter from Mr. secretary Smith of
the 1st of Dec. last, made it my duty to in-
quire of his excellency the duke of Cadore,
what were the conditions on which his majes-
ty the emperor would annul his decree, com-
monly called the Berlin decree; and whether,
if Great-Britain revoked her blockades of a
date anterior to that decree, his majesty
would consent to revoke the said decree?
To these questions I have this day received
the following answer, which I hasten to con-
vey to you by a special messenger.

ANSWER.

"The only condition required for the re-
vocation by his majesty the emperor of the
decree of Berlin, will be a previous revocati-
on by the British government of her blockades
of France, or part of France, (such as that
from the Elbe to Brest, &c.) of a date an-
terior to that of the aforesaid decree."

I have the honour to be,

With very high respect, &c.

(Signed) JOHN ARMSTRONG.

Great Cumberland Place,
February 15, 1810.

My Lord,

In pursuance of the intimation which I
had the honour to give your lordship a few
days ago, I beg to trouble your lordship with
an inquiry, whether any, and if any, what
blockades of France, instituted by G. Britain
during the present war, before the 1st day of
January, 1807, are understood by his majes-
ty's government to be in force. I am not
able at present to specify more than one of
the blockades to which this inquiry applies;
namely, that from the Elbe to Brest, declared
in May, 1806, and afterwards limited and
modified—but I shall be much obliged to your
lordship, for precise information as to the
whole.

I have the honour to be, with the highest
consideration, my lord, your lordship's most
obedient, humble servant,

(Signed) WM. PINKNEY.

The most noble the marquis
Wellesley, &c. &c.

Foreign Office, March 2, 1810.

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the
receipt of your note of the 15th ult. where-
in you request to be informed whether any,
and if any, what blockades of France, insti-
tuted by G. Britain during the present war,
before the 1st day of Jan. 1807, are under-
stood by his majesty's government to be in
force? I have now the honour to acquaint
you, that the coast, rivers and ports from the
river Elbe to Brest, both inclusive, were noti-
fied to be under the restrictions of blockade,
with certain modifications, on the 16th of
May, 1806—and these restrictions were af-
terwards comprehended in the order of coun-
cil of the 7th of Jan. 1807, which order is
still in force.

I have the honour to be, with great confi-
dence, sir, your most obedient humble ser-
vant,

(Signed) WELLESLEY.

Wm. Pinkney, Esq.

[COPY.]

Great Cumberland Place,
7th March, 1810.

My Lord,

I have had the honour to receive your
lordship's answer of the 2d inst. to my letter
of the 15th of last month, concerning the
blockades of France, instituted by G. Britain
during the present war, before the 1st day of
Jan. 1807.

I infer from that answer that the block-
ade notified by G. Britain in May, 1806,
from the Elbe to Brest, is not in itself in
force, and that the restrictions which it esta-
blished, rest altogether, so far as such restric-
tions exist at this time, upon an order or or-
ders in council, issued since the 1st day of
Jan. 1807.

I infer also, either that no other blockade
of France was instituted by G. Britain during
the period above mentioned, or that, if any
other was instituted during that period, it is
not now in force.

May I beg your lordship to do me the ho-
nour to inform me whether these inferences
are correct, and if, incorrect, in what respects
they are so.

I have the honour to be, with the highest
consideration, my lord, your lordship's most
obedient humble servant,

(Signed) WM. PINKNEY.

The most noble the marquis
Wellesley, &c. &c. &c.

Extract of a letter from Mr. Pinkney to Mr.
Smith, dated March 27, 1810.

"I have the honour to enclose a copy of
lord Wellesley's reply to my letter of the
7th inst. respecting the British blockades of
France before the Berlin decree."

"I do not think it of such a nature as to
justify an expectation that gen. Armstrong
will be able to make any use of it at Paris;
but I shall nevertheless convey to him the sub-
stance of it without delay."

Foreign Office, March 26th, 1810.

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the
receipt of your letter of the 7th inst. request-
ing a further explanation of my letter of the
2d, concerning the blockades of France insti-
tuted by G. Britain, during the present war,
before the 1st day of Jan. 1807.

The blockade notified by G. Britain in
May 1806, has never been formally with-
drawn; it cannot therefore be accurately
stated, that the restrictions which it establish-
ed, rest altogether on the order of council of
the 7th of Jan. 1807; they are comprehend-
ed under the more extensive restrictions of that
order. No other blockade of the ports of