MARYLAND GAZETTE.

HURSDAY, TUNE 14, 1787.

To the PEOPLE of MARYLAND.

NONE OM E time ago, it was afferted, by the enemies of Ariftides, that an officer

of the government has no right to meddle with public affairs, except those

which relate to his office. This position has given way to another more plaufible. "A judge should dedicate the time, not employed in the administration of justice, to the abstruse study of his protession. He cannot otherwise be qualified, in all cases, to give skilful decisions. And, if he suster himself to be drawn into the agitations of public discumon, he cannot hold with a fleady hand the fcales of judice." Let us contrait this doctrine with a celebrated law of an ancient brave enlightened people, whom the Publicolæ of all succeeding times have at-fected to admire. In the republic of Athens, initituted for the preservation of equal rights, and approaching as nearly as one could wish, to a perfect democracy, the man, who remained inactive during a civil commotion, was liable to be punished as a traitor. This law was intended to make each citizen feel himself a guardian of the public weal. The bearing an high office in the flate would have been the worst of all defences for a man arraigned under this law; as his fituation would have naturally induced his fellow-citizens to expect from him more fignal fervices. But, mercy on us! how culpable amongst certain enlightened moderns is a judge, for assuming the title and office of a guardian of the contitution, and for proteiting against innovations in a news paper. A man of common fense however, when the duty of this guardian leads him to preserve his fellow-citizens from the dangerous impression of pessilent doctrines, will admit, that news-papers are of all others the most proper and convenient vehicles. Let me here propose a few queries to Publicula.

Has he never applauded the former exertions of Ariflides?

Has he never commended the author for his seasonable, disinterested, and useful publications?

Has he, on no occasion, advised him to employ his pen, for the public information?

Before Aristides opposed the late paper system, did Publicola ever condemn him for an improper difposal of his time?

But to descend to a more particular inquiry,-Did not Publicola, in the most flattering terms of approbation, speak to Aristides of a publication in the session of 1784, by which Aristides had the credit of having prevented a committee from bringing in their report for an emission of paper?

When Publicola, from being the decided enemy of paper, on a fudden became as decided an advocate, it struck many men with wonder and amazement. But who was there, that considered Publicola's proposition as a signal for changing his own

opinion ? The man, my beloved countrymen, who makes truth his guide, and your substantial good his object, must ever raise up enemies to himselt. Publicola has called me the partisan of power. He seems to have adopted the cant under the former government. What power is there, to which Aristides pays his court? Is he labouring to augment the authority of the governor and council? And if so, how is it they can require him? Is it then the fenate, whose authority Aritides would erect on the ruin of your liberties? Has he made any attempt to extend their privileges, augment their power or increase the duration of their offices? Has he ever ascribed so them that the senate control even propose a mency bill, and that, whenever they venture to propose any other bill, which is rejected by the delegates, there is an end of the business. They never think of appealing of his reasons, and taking up the question on the tothe people, and thereby compelling the delegates to adopt their proposal. He must then, after all, be apartises for the power of the delegates. He has many of you thought the appealing appealing of the configure country. alone the power of making laws? He well knows that the senate country of even propose a money bill, and that, whenever they venture to propose any other be a partifau for the power of the delegates. He has many of you thought the opposite, doctrine counte-maintained andeed, that, in paining or rejecting bills nanced by the principles of several admired writers the two branches are on terms of perfect equality; and that the members of each branch are at full liberty to exercise their own judgments. Examine well the position, and say whether it can justify the charge.—That the position has ever been denied, he presumes is not, because it would tend to the establishment of undue power; but because, if both branches, as to the ordinary affairs of government, are under the control and direction of the people, one of them muit become far greater than the other. From the nature of things, the people must, in most cases, decide in favour of their immediate representatives. The confequence will be this. No man of superior worth will prefer a feat in the senate, degraded thus from its constitutional importance. One branch will in effect become supreme; and the state wronged nor wished to wrong him, and who, at eurangs, former then attend for one.

may be ruled by a few men, combining from interest that time, was concerned for his delassion. He did or ambirion. Reslecting maturely on these things, not, however, think proper to answer the pamphlet you will view Aristides in his true character, not the of Aristides, nor the excellent essay in Mr. Gudbase sycophant, and partisan of power, but the real and not pretended affertor of equal rights,—the determined foe to arbitrary sway. As well might Publi-cola be compared to Catiline or Cethegus, as Aristi-des to Sir Robert Filmer or Judge Jeffries. But on no occasion, if even detected and fairly exposed, would

Aristides descend to illiberal abuse.

What a wretched thing is man, under the domi-nion of malignant passions! Publicola has even made fome malicious infinuations respecting the professional capacity of Arithides. To a charge of this kind it is difficult to answer, without deviating from the received rule of propriety. I am happy however, that to you, my fellow-citizens, I can safely make my appeal. To whom has Aritides behaved with the infolence of office? To whom has he denied, or wantonly, or unnecessarily, delayed, or made a shameful traffic of justice? On what occasion has he failed to maintain his dignity? Whilst dependent on a precarious annual vote, was he ever dependent in his opinions? or did he ever yield to the improper influence of leading members? He defies the world to shew one instance, where he has not bestowed a becoming attention to the matter before him, or where his judgement has been biaised by fear, affection, prejudice, or partiality. He has ever felt too much anxiety not to use all proper means of information, and, at this moment, he reflects with a conscious pride, that his decisions have been universally approved by the intelligent, the impartial, and the disin-

On no occasion have I undertaken a task more irksome than the present. When affertion is substituted for found argument, and pointed authority; when that affection is mingled with invective, and flander is vested in general terms; when at one moment Publicola maintains almost the doctrine of conceiving him aright; the labour of pursuing him appears almost endless — There are some who will censure Aristides for honouring those disgusting effufions with his notice, whilst others will perhaps require a comment on every part.

It was impossible for common sense to consider the recent appeal of the delegaces otherwise than as an attempt to force, at latt, upon the fenate a measure, which it had repeatedly and unanimously rejected. Aristides conceived every principle of policy and justice opposed to the plan; and the means of effecting it, should they succeed, appeared far worse than the measure isself. It was the general idea, that the sense of the people should be conveyed by written instruments or infructions. At the instance of several respectable men, he prepared a draught, expressing in the most decent respectful terms, a disapprobation of the plan, and defining the peoples general right of interference. Had there really existed a dispute between the two branches, necessarily and constitu-tionally to be decided by the people, it was certain-ly improper for either a senator or delegate to dic-tate either the form, or substance of the decision. The interference of Aristides, both as a matter of right and a matter of duty, was natural, proper, and con-fiftent with his official character. But no feoner was his draught submitted to the public, than he was abused in news-papers, by Publicola, by the delegate of Anne Arundel, by a scandalous anonyand patriots; and, as he had ever found authority more prevalent than reason, he determined to confult books, and quote authority. He had never perused the effays on government, of either Sydney, or Locke. From paffages in Publicola's first address, he had supposed them advocates for the right of the people of England to control their representatives, and was utterly aftonished to find both these writers pointedly in his own savour. He therefore published again, to detect misrepresentation, and to turn against his opponents those very authors, which vaguely they had quoted against him. Hence sprung the rage and clamour of Publicola. Like a foaming champion he has rushed forth, regardless of hazards,

not, however, think proper to answer the pamphlet of Arissides, nor the excellent essay in Mr. Goddaid's paper. On a vain supposition, that Aristides had mistaken the meaning of Sydney, he reproaches him with not understanding what he read. Examine the passage again.

4.6

"Every county does not make a diffinct body, "having in itself a fovereign power, but is a member of that great body, which compreherds the whole nation. It is not therefore for Kent, or Suffex, Lewes, or Maidstone, but for the whole nation, that the members chosen in tnesse places are sent to parliament. And though it be fit for them, as friends and neighbours, so far as may be to hearken to the opinion of electors, for the information of their indemnate, and to the code formation of their judgments, and to the end, that what they shall fay may be of more weight, when every body is known not to speak his own thoughts only, but those of a greater number of men; yet they are not strictly and properly obliged to give an account of their actions to any, unless the whole body of the nation for which they ferve, and who are equally concerned in their decisions, could be assembled This being imprassi-" cable, the only punishment, to which they are subject, if they betray their trust, is so rn, intany, hatred, and an affurance of being rejected, when they shall again seek the same honour, &c." I ftill maintain my conftraction to be right. When

Mr. Sydney afferts, that a thing cannot be done, he has more tenfe than to suppose the people have a right to do it. Is there ought in this, or any other passage to shew, that, if a majority of counties and boroughs should join in one letter of instruction, the whole house of commons would be bound intellicitly to obey it? Aristides might here retort; but every body knows that Publicola and he are both learned

enough to understand plain language.

The dispute is not concerning the propriety of the Aristides, and, at the next changes his ground; peoples voluntarily offering their opinions, advice; when he uses words suited to milead, and then or remonstrance. In times to come, perhaps these taxes Aristides with indecenc, and failehood for not may operate as featonable checks to arbitrary pro-conceiving him aright; the labour of purfuing him appears almost endless—There are some who will is there will dare to despite trem? When obtained in the manner lately efflyed, on a subject, whereon the people in general never pretended to be competent to decide, who is there of a proper turn of mind that will hold himself bound to obey? Take the following passage from Mr. Hume, an author wrose political essays have been ever greatly re-

"The political controversy, with regard to infructions, is a very frivolous one, and can never be brought to any decision, as it is managed by both parties. The country party pretend not, that a member is absolutely bound to follow instructions, as an ambassador, or general, is bound by his orders, and that his vote is not to be received in the house, but to far as it is conformable to them. The court party again pretend not that the sentiments of the people ought to have no weight with each member; much less that he ought to despise the sentiments of those he repreients, and with whom he is more particularly connected. And if their fentiments be of weight, who ought they not to express them? The question then is only concerning the degrees of weight, which ought to be placed on instructions, &c. &c."

I dare believe no man of the least consideration in

England ever supposed, if instructions were not obeyed, that the people had a right to dissolve the go-vernment. The dispute in England is indeed frivo-lous; but our dispute is of a different cast; because dience of the legislature may produce convultions in the flate, or, by the inftrumentality of the people, a fingle man of great popular talents, or a finall combination of such men, may carry measures against the united wisdom of the legislature.

On the mistake occasioned by Publicela's sirst adon the mistane occasional of rubicola's street and tests, it was natural for Arifides to speak of Mr. Sydney, in terms of disapprobation. He was not fully apprized of Mr. Sydney's nevit, but on finding he had wronged him, it was natural for a man of candour to make a concession, although he could address only the manes of the injured patrice. To acknowledge voluntarily an error, is the part of a liberal foul, and never yet disconvered a character truly to be respected. provided he could annoy the man who had neither But a proud, arrogant man, will commit an handred

RY.

e of his patronage he tickets elion of the prizes s fore, in

ARK. the prize 1787. are re-

enient to authorife m at the they will to make m in le-

iftrator at feveral returned, y colonel

1787. JS, eateft main high ver mares are. His the noted de lo gefamilton's ovè-His Lo, upon

-Cincinstands in OKES.

, Septem-

prelumed.

a negro thick felches high, ery bothy th a lump away bis, believe he change his out in that cures the gain, shall nillings, if

8, 1787. and the deral's jacks, of five gui-jacks, viz. will cover

of the state

w; allows,

fuits them res or jenetween five n payment overfeer,

eling exerealonable XTON: water cu-

AMER. 南南部西南

Street.