## MARYLAND GAZETT

<sub>අව මට කි</sub>යල් කර යුතු කර ය

HURSDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 1787.

## 

From the MARYLAND JOURNAL, &c. To the VOTERS of ANNE-ARUNDEL COUNTY.

S one of your delegates I hold myfelf responsible to you for my conduct, and A bound to obey your instructions, in every case, in which you please to give them; or to resign my seat. I observe ig the Maryland Journal, of this day, a draught of isfroctions, which are afferted to be now circulatieg smong you for subscription. I esteem it my duty to cast on you against putting your names to a paper, which, in my opinion, contains an explicit and absolve jurrender of one of your greatest and most invaluable rights and privileges, as freemen,-the right finfructing either, or beth branches of your legiflatere, on any subject that materially concerns your weitare, happines or fasety. These instructions have piper money on loan, to be received in tax-s; and the near to establish a principle, that the people of this flare have no right to instruct the jenate, on any nitter, ho vever it may effect the prosperity, peace, er fafety of the government.

As to the first object of these instructions, an emisin of paper money, I know your fentiments, and have no reason to believe you have changed them; if you have altered your opinion, be pleased to inform me; and I will give up my private judgment, and endeavour to carry into execution your pleafure.

As to the jecond object of these instructions, " that you cannot constitutionally (that is, without a breach of it) interfere with the deliberations of the fenate, for in other words inftruct that body, on any fubjest however important and interesting to you) until the ends of government shall be perverted, and li-bery manifeftly endangered," I earnestly solicit you mot feriously to deliberate and confider the subject, before you give your approbation and function, to isch a doctrine.

The framers of these instructions have affigned no resions to induce you to adopt their opinion; and ca fo important a subject the sentiments of no man seeks to have any further respect or influence with ros, than what arises from the reasons adduced by him, and your confidence in his integrity, knowleige, experience and fincerity. The nouse of delegates are under a very different impression from the proposers of these instructions. In their address to respentite to their conflituents for their conduct, and that on all subjects that materially concorn their welfare or happirefr, they are to be confulted; and their opinions freely and fairly delivered ought to govern their deliberations." They also declare. "that they hol. BOTH branches of your legislature bound by the initructions of the people, whenever they please to give them."—I should imagine that the opinion of unknown individuals, if weighted in the scale against that of your house of delegates, would inflantly kick the beam

The influctions proposed to you for your assent, to not controvert the right of the people to instruct the members of the bouse of delegates; it only maintain the position, that the people have no right to infruit the series. By only denying the right of infruiting the senate, it seems to admit the right of infruiting the house of delegates.

trant language in the house of commons was "whose business are we doing? How shall we answer this to the stepple? What will the people of England say to the 'house of delegates' during the year for which they are chosen. It seems to me, that every reason tractions the force. trzed to exempt the fenate, from any dependence on, or control of, the people, will apply with equal, if not greater propriety and force, to exempt the house of delegates. All lewful authority originates from the people, and their power is like the light of the fish, native, original, inherent and unlimited by human authority. Power in the rulers or governon to the people is like the reflected light of the moon, and is only borrowed, delegated and limited by the grant of the people. The right of the people to participate in the legislature is the foundation of till free government, and where that right is not en-Fired, the people are not free; this right is the geproceeds a gorgrament, like ours, by representation.
Both branches of our legislature derive all their power "hy in the duration of their commission. Their autho- ents." A late judicious writer thus delivers himself,

is elected immediately by the people themseives in person; and the latter is chosen by diputies appointed by the people for that purpose. The two branches have only a derivative and delegated power. The people create and vest them with legislative authority to be exercised agreeably to the constitution; and therefore both branches must be equally the representatives, trustees and servants of the people, and the people are equally the constituents of both. It the fenate are under no control of the people, in any case, neither are the house of delegates. power by our form of government is granted to trus diffinct bodies of men, to operate as checks upon each other; and thence the evident necessity that each body should be entirely and absolutely free and independent ef the eiber; but betb bodies muit be subject to the instructions of the people or neither. If there was but one branch of legislature, as in Pennsylvania, would it be independent of ail control from their constituents? I have before observed that our government is a government by representation. The people appoint reoresematives in the tenate and house of delegates to transact the business of making laws for them, which is impracticable for them to do in person. From the nature of a government by repre fentation, the cepatier muit be subject to the will of their principals, or this manifest absurdity and plain confequence mud follow, that a few men would be greater than the nobile community, and might act in epp-fition to the declared fende of all their conflituents.

The doctrine that the representatives of the people are not bound by their infructions is entirely new in this country, and broached fince the revolution, and was never heard of but within these few weeks. You all remember that, under the old government, you claimed, and frequently exercised the right of instructing your members in the lower house of affembly. This right, and the exercise of it, was never questioned under the proprietary government. Aftonishing to me, that any man should dare to doubt, much more deny, this right under the new government !--You also recollect that you claimed no right to instruct the upper house of assembly; and I conceive for this reason, because they were not elected by you, but were appointed by the proprietary; and were, in truth, bis representatives. By our constitution you do appoint the fenate, and they are, and have uniformly claimed themselves to be, your representatives. If they are your representatives, they are bound by your instructions, or you destroy the very idea of eledien, and of delegated power. To represent, is to speak and act agreeably to the opinions and senti-ments of the persons represented, in the same, mannents of the perions represented, in the lame, manner as they would do, if personally present; of consequence therefore, to speak and act contrary to the declared will of the persons represented, is not to represent, but to misrepresent them.

The right of electors in England, to instruct their members in the house of commons.

members in the house of commons, was never controverted, fays a late writer, " until the fystem of corruption (which has fince arrived to fo dangerous a height) began to predominate in that kingdom; then it was, that arbitrary ministers, and their prostituted dependents, began to maintain this doctrine, dangerous to our liberty, that the representatives were independent of the people." Before that sime the con-

Our law books, and treatifes by Sydney, and many must have a right to direct their conduct. but the whole body of the house of commons often refused to grant money; or to agree to requisitions from the crown, before they confusted with their conflituents; and that they often adjourned for this purpole. The English history affords innumerable in-stances of instructions by the electors, in that nation, to their members in the house of commons; and this practice, for above 150 years, proves the fense of the people in that country, of their right to inftruch, and that their representatives were bound to

obey them.

We also find that the members of the house of that commons frequently declared, in debate, se that their duty to their electors obliged them to do as direded." Many of the greatest patriots the English nation ever produced, have declared their opinion that, lion the people, and equally hold their cummission to tion ever produced, have declared their opinion that, legislate, or make laws, from the grant of the people; and there is no difference between them but implicitly to obey the instructions of their constitutions of their constitutions. A late judicious writer thus delivers himself,

rity proceeds from the same source, and is co-equal, "our representatives in parliament are not the bars and co extensive. It appears to me, that the mode of choice by the people can make no difference in the political relation between the people and the house of delegates, and the people and the senate;—the former the parliament in them; we speak and act by them a actually contain our power, and are, as it were, the very persons of the people they represent. We are the parliament in them; we speak and act by them; we have therefore a right to know what they fay and do; and should they contradict our fense, or iwerve from our interests, we have a right to remonstrate and aired them; by which means we become the regulators of our own conduct, and the inflitutors of our own laws, and nothing material can be cone,

but by our authority and confent." This doctrine that the confituents have no right to instruct their representatives, in the language of the two patriots, Sir John Barnard, and Sir William Windham, in the house of commons, "is not only a new and wicked doctrine, but it is the most monstrous, and most flavish doctrine that was ever heard, and such a doctrice as no mar will dare to support within these waits."—A celebrated A erican writer observes, "when the right of the people to inflruct their representatives is taken from them, they may justly complain, as Demoinenes di lor the Athenians.—That the representative has now usurged the right of the people and x-roles an arbitters power over his antient and natural lord." This writer remarks, " that no initiance c. n be produced in which the people nave abulic this right, nor is there any eaton to beli ve they will ever do it; they act from what they feel; and when that feeling is general, it must be real." The virtuous and great Mr. Addison observes, it that the noticity and gentry have many private expectations, and particular interests, that hang like a laife bias upon their judgments, and may pourbly dif, ofe them to facrinice the good of their country to the advancement of their own fortanes; w ereas the grofs of the pet ple can have no other prospect in changes and revolutions, than of public bleding, that are to diffuse themselves through the whole state in general."

I can find but one author who has ventured to

affert, that a member of the house of commons is not bound by the infiructions of his conflituents. Judge Blackstone has delivered this opinion, and he founds it on a fidion, that after the perion is elected he becomes the reprefentative of the whole kingdom, and not of a particular part. The formury of this argument is fufficiently manifest; and it true, it would only follow, that all the members would be bound by the instructions of a majority of all treir conflicuents. Judge Blackstone is against voting by ballot, in the house of commons, "because the conduct of every member is subject to the future centure of his constituents, and therefore should be opinity sub-mitted to their inspection."—A late writer observas on this opinion of judge Blackstone, " if the members of the house of commons are not obliged to regard the instructions of their constituents, the people of this country choose a set of de poss every leven years, and are as perfect flaves as the Turks, excepting at the time of the general election;" and remarks, " that he laments that a writer, whose admirable work will be read as long as England, its laws and language remain, should be so sparingly tinctured with the true and generous principles of liberty."

By our constitution the general astemply are au-

thorised to appoint delegates to represent this state in Congress; and you well know, that in very many instances, (some of them of the greatest consequence) the general affembly have claimed and exercised the right of instructing them, as to their conduct in their representative capacity. This power is not granted to the legislature by the constitution, and can only be supported on the principle, that the trust is delegated to them by the legislature, and therefore they

Ir is not unworthy of notice, that the proposed instructions most graciously allow the people to inter-fere with the deliberations of the senate, " when the ends of government shall be perverted, and liberty manifefly endangered." Where is this exception to the power of the fenate to be found? Who is to judge when the senste shall pervert the end of their inflication, and endanger the public liberty? The people I presume. Such a limitation as this on the power of the senate is useless; for if they may act without any control, until our liberties are in marifest danger, it may be too late to refift; and we then could only execrate our own folly and blindness in submitting to such a restriction of the power of the fenate. The right in the people to refilt their rulers when they attempt to enflave them, is paramount, and not derived from the form of government, and it supposes a subversion of the government before it can be rightfully exercised; but the right of the people to inkruft the legislature is neccsarily implied in the effablishment, and is the very effence of our

t thick fel-inches high, very b thy with a lump and works

2, 1786.

he manage. un entands

mmeterial; an that un-213. 10

t the filling gal steet state of the state o

RSTER.

ty, be; teme

fubilitiber, ne, a negro

RD.

nt away me particula ly v change his Young, English out in this n again, thell y thillings if it of the state law allows, VIE, 3d.

v 1, 1787. en frequent? or trees mult committed en ence of luntourselves unnd do here w ns, or fining as we are dra h the utmut

BARBER, ENT, E. Ery 1, 1787. piece, ng apple tiess, it, remarkacis

ater will have on Patuxent WAILES.

Т, ern, lately oc-Esquire. For ILLIAMS.

rv 26, 1787. of Annapolis. erect or trans fe-guard of the finished in this e, and requires feet, the ut lity age to the propiles at proper ie whar's from firm and foli ipping or imates to trame and , and making burthen. Any so useful an unthe same to the raged, he will d by the first of there the water

ubscriber hopes encourage-E M'CAIN. ftray, by Henry in Montgomery ORSE, about half high, nine add on the near

the greatest ad-

but supposed to W, paces, trots him again on 3.X

neis-Street.