

nor churches, nor the power is  
to assert that St. Anne's parish is an  
erected and established as a parish beyond  
in which said parish a church and  
and erected by ancient laws and that  
church and chapel do not derive their  
the Act of 1701.  
is established, the parishioners, by  
ided upon public utility, become a  
having the government of the parish  
may, by common consent, pass a bye-  
ing of a tax for church repairs, without  
of any superior jurisdiction. There is  
stitutional, or repugnant to reason, in  
common-law, because the imposition  
common consent of the parishioners.  
ar custom, grounded upon the princi-  
nvenience, this authority of the whole  
ishioners may be delegated to a *schol-*  
distinguished by the appellation of  
business of the parish, being transferred  
to the church, where the vestments  
generally deposited and kept. When  
custom are thus chosen and elected for  
government of the parish devolves upon  
as the representatives of the people of  
in their corporate capacity of Vestrymen,  
upon the parish for church repairs and  
stitutional, for in such case the tax is  
representatives of the parishioners in  
As the persons, then, thus homi-  
nated, have the government of the pa-  
exercise a power of taxation, the nomi-  
ation of them is of common right in all the  
who are to be bound and affected by sta-  
s, by custom or statute, the election is  
fined to part of them under a particu-  
and so stands the Law confirmed by re-  
nitions. Churchwardens too, (per  
ward and custody of the church) are con-  
as a corporate body to many purposes,  
powers did not extend to taxation, and  
of them, custom and statute out of the  
common right in all the parishioners.  
law operates still, suspended or abridged by  
a St. Anne's parish, then, was erected  
as a parish, the government of it de-  
the parishioners, they became a body  
by common consent, exercise the power  
church repairs. But by custom, the man-  
agement of this parish has been dele-  
gated to the Vestrymen, whether in days beyond the  
an, they proceeded to taxation is a point  
ence in the present case, because, we de-  
ur justification, with respect to the tax we  
our political capacity as Vestrymen, but  
we cited Acts of Assembly of 1704 and  
are subsisting Laws of the province. The  
ably of 1704 broke in upon the common-  
Vestrymen, and vested the power of taxa-  
church repairs, in the county court. A jurisdic-  
stitutional (than that of Vestrymen) be-  
offices of our courts, holding their com-  
the Lord Proprietary, and at his will can  
upon no principle, be deemed the rep-  
the parishioners, so as to involve com-  
imposition of such taxes for church repairs  
in 1729, properly concerning, that  
in the county court was an infringement  
stitutional rights of the people, virtually  
part of the Act of 1704, which gave the  
to the county courts to tax, by vesting the  
of taxation for church repairs in the Vestry-  
churchwardens, departing in an unconsti-  
the common law, by admitting the Church-  
a share in taxation with the Vestrymen,  
material point, because, as Churchwardens  
common right, eligible by the parishioners  
representatives as constitutionally as Vestrymen,  
question, then, is, did the Vestrymen  
authority we act as Vestrymen? Is it  
you, Sir, this precise answer? By the  
the parishioners, founded upon common  
on right, who chose, nominated and elect-  
en of St. Anne's parish, according to the  
e and custom of the parish beyond the  
verend Sir, as you not only fight  
of a legal nature, but can caper too  
principles, we shall now meet you  
ground, and take up our justification  
y position, that there can be no taxation  
ed not premise, that the only point,  
to be established, is that we and our brethren  
nationally chosen Vestrymen and Church-  
a once fixed, the above cited Acts of As-  
eat the business for us.  
ACT of 1729 a power of taxation is given  
men and Churchwardens for church re-  
alk.  
upon constitutional principles, that the  
churchwardens to be elected?  
can be no taxation without consent, in  
reverend and glorious Hampden held, as  
Sir, maintain, and as we must clearly  
ably, and as a natural consequence,  
only who are to be affected by the  
the right of election, because, upon  
ble ground, can the taxation of the Vestry-  
churchwardens be a taxation with the  
But we, our brethren, and Church-  
to imposed the tax in question, we  
n and elected Vestrymen and Church-  
St. Anne's parish, by the parishioners, will  
and avails contradictory. Ergo, we  
and Churchwardens, were constitutionally  
ymen and Churchwardens of St. Anne's  
which was the point to be proved.  
us now to enquire whether the

which, reverend Sir, you have pronounced against us,  
be agreeable to the strict rules of equity. You have ad-  
judged us guilty of an high infringement of the consti-  
tutional right of taxation, and you ground yourself  
upon the fact, "in thus usurping the power of taxing  
the people without the consent of their representa-  
tives in Assembly convened."  
We ask, who are the representatives of the people,  
with respect to the imposition of taxes for church re-  
pairs? Surely the Vestrymen and Churchwardens: be-  
cause, they only have the power of such taxation, and  
they are chosen and elected by the parishioners. When,  
therefore, we and our brethren were elected and chosen  
Vestrymen and Churchwardens of St. Anne's parish by  
the parishioners themselves; when by the Law of 1729  
we the Vestrymen and Churchwardens, and no other ju-  
dicature under Heaven, had the power of taxation for  
church repairs; when in consequence of such election and  
in consequence of such a Law, we, the only persons that  
by any possibility could be constitutionally the representa-  
tives of the parishioners upon such a subject, imposed a  
tax for church repairs, in God's name! how are we  
guilty of an high infringement of the constitutional  
right of taxation in "usurping the power of taxing  
the people without the consent of their representa-  
tives in Assembly convened?" How too are we  
"the arbitrary instruments of an unconstitutional  
taxation?" or "the immediate agents of fixing that  
odious badge of slavery upon the necks of a free  
people, taxation without consent, taxation without  
THE LEAST PRETEXT OF A LAW?"  
But, reverend Sir, suppose our late proceeding, as  
Vestrymen, could not be strictly warranted by Law,  
and that we, without attentively weighing and con-  
sidering our authority, concurred with our brethren in  
the imposition of a tax for the necessary repairs of the  
church and chapel: Why is your charity confined to the  
supreme magistrate only? We could not possibly  
have had any private views; nothing was to be put  
into our pockets; but on the contrary our purses were  
opened, for this public purpose, equally with our  
fellow parishioners; and you Sir, yourself, must confess  
that our only object was to rescue the church and chapel  
from falling into ruin. You are as liberal of your  
praise, where the power of translation resides, as you  
are profuse in your reflections on those, who dispute  
your claim to the forty per poll.  
Here then we rest our defence, and submit ourselves  
to the impartial judgment of the publick.  
But, reverend Sir, before we part, the rules of good  
breeding may require, that we should take some no-  
tice of your queries upon the proclamation? And here  
we presume to set you right in a capital point "Can  
you hereafter presume to place yourselves in an  
higher rank of patriotism than those members who  
voted for the Proclamation?" Pray, Sir, did any  
member vote for the Proclamation? No. So glaringly  
unconstitutional was that measure, that the vote against  
it passed UNANIMOUSLY.  
Jonathan Boucher. Q. "Why are you so cla-  
morous against the Proclamation?"  
Chase and Paca. A. Because we were never  
consulted upon that proceeding; because we and the  
people of this province never assented to it, personally  
or representatively.  
Jon. Boucher. Q. "Is not this the avowed prin-  
ciple of your opposition, that it is a tax without  
Law?"  
Chase and Paca. A. LUCE CLARIUS.  
Jon. Boucher. Q. "Have you any powers or pre-  
rogatives which even the supreme magistrate must  
not be allowed to exercise?"  
Chase and Paca. A. Yes. The power as Vestry-  
men with the Churchwardens, to tax St. Anne's  
parish for church repairs; which the supreme magistrates  
must not be allowed to exercise.  
Jon. Boucher. Q. "Whence derived?"  
Chase and Paca. A. From the Act of 1729, ex-  
press in point.  
Jon. Boucher. Q. "Can you hereafter presume to  
place yourselves in an higher rank of patriotism than  
those members who voted for the Proclamation?"  
Chase and Paca. A. A BULL! for no member  
voted for the Proclamation.  
Jon. Boucher. Q. "You who have thus been the  
arbitrary instruments of an unconstitutional tax upon  
the people?"  
Chase and Paca. A. How so? We were the re-  
presentatives of the parishioners, and imposed the tax  
by the Act of 1729.  
Jon. Boucher. Q. "That those whose ONLY OBJECT  
was to rescue them (the people) from the extortion  
of Officers?"  
Chase and Paca. A. Laudable charity! but we question  
whether the supreme magistrate will accept of the nossey.  
Jon. Boucher. Q. "Men (Officers), who needed such a  
restraint?"  
Chase and Paca. A. LUCE CLARIUS.  
Jon. Boucher. Q. "If, as you have repeatedly declared,  
they are harpies and plunderers?"  
Chase and Paca. A. If they are, then the Proclamation  
is too weak a cord to hold them; and we prefer the  
strong cable of the common law, and the verdict of a  
jury, if they are not, wherefore then the Proclamation?  
If they are!  
But not yet tired of interrogatories, you are pleased,  
reverend Sir, to put several queries upon a different  
subject, and those too we will answer.  
Jon. Boucher. Q. "Where a tax is constitution-  
ally imposed, is there not a mode of enforcing it; if  
it be withheld?"  
Chase and Paca. A. LUCE CLARIUS.  
Jon. Boucher. Q. "Suppose then the parishion-  
ers of St. Anne's parish should be so rebellious as to  
refuse the payment of this same 5 lb. of tobacco im-  
posed upon their polls, by the plenitude of your am-  
ple powers, what is the Sheriff to do?"  
Chase and Paca. A. As we and our brethren the Vestry-  
men and Churchwardens imposed this same 5 lb. of  
tobacco by the plenitude of our ample powers, derived from  
the Act of 1729, the common-law gives the remedy by  
distress

Jon. Boucher. Q. "Is the Sheriff to file a bill in  
Chancery against every individual of them?"  
Chase and Paca. A. He may for discovery but  
not for relief.  
Jon. Boucher. Q. "Or what course is the Sheriff  
to take to make them do so?"  
Chase and Paca. A. Not by a distress in the shops, nor upon  
the polls, nor upon the backs of the people, as the  
Sheriff of Anne Arundel county is illegally and over-  
bearingly instructed by the Clergy to do in case of  
failure of goods and chattels to pay the forty per poll;  
but by distress; because, no remedy is given by sta-  
tute. And when a man is so poor in his circumstances,  
or unhappy in his temporal acquisitions that he has  
neither wig, hat or cap, coat or jacket, shirt or  
breaches, stockings or garters, shoes or buckles, pipe  
or tobacco-box, to pay his tax with, the humanity of  
the common-law, in spite of the oppressive spirit of a  
Priest, will spare his naked torse, and protect him from  
the thorns of a jail. But if, reverend Sir, you should  
be able to accomplish the scheme for an American Bi-  
shop; you may then indeed file a bill in the spiritual  
court, and possibly upon Canon principles obtain judg-  
ment, to have this naked dog excommunicated and  
driven into a wilderness to herd with beasts. And yet,  
What is his crime?—Poverty.  
—Upon our knees we bend, and to Heaven devoutly  
pray, that the province of Maryland may never be  
curled with ecclesiastical tyranny! May the Christian  
religion and the Gospel of Christ flourish through the  
land in their native purity, by THEIR OWN INTRIN-  
SIC, INHERENT, AUTHORITY, without the  
interposition of those infernal jurisdictions of spiri-  
tual cruelty, vengeance, and inhumanity! May THE  
COMMON LAW prevail triumphant! and may the glo-  
rious trial by jury exist, to late time! the scourge of  
oppression, the bulwark of liberty, and the palladium  
of our country.  
You are pleased, reverend Sir, speaking of the as-  
sessment for St. Anne's parish by Anne Arundel county  
court to say, "an indubitable proof of their opi-  
nion upon a point which hath of late thrown this  
once happy country into such dreadful convulsions."  
By your leave, Sir, no proof at all, much less indubi-  
table; because they exercised no judgment upon the  
matter, and because the measure was legal, independ-  
ently of the Act of 1701. You admit this once happy  
country is thrown into dreadful convulsions. Yes, rever-  
end Sir, this once happy country is thrown into dread-  
ful convulsions indeed! The Clergy in general of the  
Church of England have blown up a storm of oppres-  
sion; and the good people of this province, like strug-  
gling waves, are contending against it.—Cease, ye ho-  
ly ministers and Gospel preachers; your exactions and  
heavy burthens upon the people; cease your illegal, ar-  
bitrary and oppressive claim of the forty per poll; heark-  
en to the dictates of Religion and Christianity; let the  
examples of the Apostles humanize your conduct, and  
give back peace and happiness to a convulsed pro-  
vince!  
We shall now, reverend Sir, in our turn, beg leave  
to submit a few questions for your consideration, and  
which we trust you will take in good part.  
Did not you, with a flock of your brethren, assembled  
in the city of Annapolis, draw up an application for an  
American Bishop? And what was the assigned reason for  
such meeting?—Who composed this patriotic band?  
Give a list of their names, that the publick may re-  
ward them. Did you not address his Excellency Rob-  
ert Eden, Esq; for a dissent application? If not to aid  
such application, what was the purport of the address,  
and why presented? Did you not, in the plenitude of  
your ample powers, nominate and elect a Secretary of  
State for your body politic? Who was your Secretary,  
and by what constitutional authority was he elected  
and established as such? What was his salary and out-  
fit? What fund payable? What reply did his Excellency  
make upon the address to him? Give the publick a copy  
of it from your records. Did he not give you a  
spitited reprimand for your presumption? Did he not  
crush that scheme of perdition which was so deliberately  
formed for this once happy country? Did he not demand  
of you to inform him by what authority you presumed  
to elect for yourselves a Secretary of State? Did you  
not in your Application and Address brand the General  
Assembly with the odious epithet of Levellers? If  
not, clear up the facts to the publick by producing cop-  
ies of the Application and address from your records.  
Did not his Excellency threaten to lay your proceed-  
ings before the General Assembly? Did not your body  
politic, thereupon, knock under, and shrink back into  
your original nothingness? As you applied for a Bi-  
shop, be pleased to inform the publick how he was to  
be supported? We presume without Officers and Mi-  
nisters too, he could not enforce his jurisdiction. How  
were the fees of this glorious American Bishop, of his Of-  
ficers and Ministers, to be paid, and by whom? By a  
tax upon the people? If by a tax on the people, was  
it to be raised with their assent? If not, by a tax with-  
out their assent, by what other ways and means? Be precise  
upon the point.—Where was this American Bishop to  
hold his metropolitan court, and what jurisdiction  
was to prohibit in case he exceeded his authority? If to  
be held in Boston, for example, how, in case of a con-  
troversy between the parishioners and minister, were  
they to travel thither? How were their charges to be  
paid? and by whom? How too, were witnesses to be  
transported, who differ in some degree from commodities  
for sale? Was the Bishop to keep a man of war, or in  
what vehicle were culprits with their witnesses to be  
transported? Will you say that the authority of the  
Bishop was to be delegated to chosen Ministers in every  
colony? What? Was a Sub-Bishop with a spiritual  
court to be established in every colony? Pray who was  
to be the Sub-Bishop of Maryland? Surely such distri-  
butive spiritual jurisdiction would be a multiplication  
of Officers and Fees upon the people with a vengeance!  
And when that time comes, the Lord have mercy up-  
on us! For Heaven and our prayers must be our only  
dependence.  
And now, reverend Sir, a few words and then fare-  
well for the present.—It was the policy of the Lawyers to

keep back their sentiments upon the forty per poll Act,  
that while the question upon the validity of it was sus-  
pended in doubt, a reasonable composition might take  
place between the Clergy and People; they were  
Church-men and well affected to the established reli-  
gion, and therefore had no wish of inclination that  
"faithful and able Ministers labouring in the work of the  
Gospel" should not have an adequate reward for their  
services; but for this laudable prudence, the Mary-  
land Gazette rung with personal reflections, and shame-  
ful abuse; the Lawyers were repeatedly challenged to  
give an opinion publicly, and their silence was censured  
in the most indecent terms. The Clergy too began to  
turn the scale; by prosecution of suits and an exaction  
of the forty per poll. Provocation at length, and the  
arbitrary conduct of particular Clergymen, roused up  
some of the Bar; they spoke openly and publicly—  
You, reverend Sir, we presume, was highly offended  
with the sentiments we frequently express upon the  
subject; because, we cannot upon any other ground  
account for your personal attack upon us. Mortified  
and mad with us for the language we held with respect  
to the validity of the forty per poll Act, you placed  
yourself upon the watch for an unguarded moment to  
give a stab to our publick characters; your vanity  
persuaded you to think that you were qualified for a  
slight into the political sphere, and falsely conceiv-  
ing that our late proceeding, as Vestrymen, was a  
step in our politicks; swift as an eagle down you drope  
upon your prey. You have traduced and vilified us  
with a wantonness that shocks humanity; and with a  
Pen dip in gall painted us in the most odious colours.  
—Your aim was to deprive us of the honourable  
trust and confidence the publick has reposed in us by the  
ruin of our characters.—But, Reverend Sir, with your  
reason enveloped by passion, you have rambled in the  
dark and made an unlucky stumble.—Chagrin and dis-  
appointment now await your folly; for we trust, that  
the deadly shaft, shot with such vengeance, and sped  
with too much zeal, has fairly paid by and mist the  
mark.  
Your humble Servants,  
SAMUEL CHASE,  
WILLIAM PACA.  
The Partnership of James Dick and Stewart having  
expired the 1st Inst. the business is now conducted un-  
der the Firm of  
JAMES DICK and STEWART, and Co.  
Who have to sell by Wholesale and Retail, at their  
Stores in Annapolis and London-Town, for ready  
Money, Country Produce, or the usual Credit.  
A GENERAL Assortment of European and East-  
India Goods, among which are; Hyson,  
Bloom, Green and Bohea Teas, London double and  
single refined Sugar.  
They have likewise for Sale; old Madeira Wine  
by the Pipe, Hogshead, or Quarter Cask, West-  
India and Country Rum, by the Hogshead, Jamai-  
ca and Barbadoes Spirit, by the Hogshead or Quar-  
ter Cask, Muscovado Sugar, by the Hogshead,  
Tiefee, Barrel, or Hundred; a few Tierces of  
Rice, a few Bags of Hops, barreled Potk, &c. &c.  
Also, Anchors, Grappals, Sail Duck, and all  
Sorts of Ship Chandlery and Cordage, made at  
Newington Rope-walk; where Orders for a Ships  
Rigging of any Size may be complied with on a few  
Days Notice.  
Annapolis, January 13, 1773.  
The Partnership of James Dick and Stewart be-  
ing expired, all Persons indebted to us, are request-  
ed to settle their Accounts as soon as it is Conve-  
nient, which will oblige us.  
Their humble Servants,  
JAMES DICK,  
ANTHONY STEWART.  
January 12, 1773.  
To be sold at publick Vendue, on Tuesday the Second Day  
of February next, by the Subscriber, if fair, if not  
the next fair Day, at his Plantation near Queen-  
Anne,  
THE said Plantation with the Stock, consisting  
of Cattle, Horses, Hogs, and Sheep, and  
Four likely Country born Slaves, for Sterling Cash,  
London Bills of Exchange, or Current Money.—The  
Sale to begin at Ten o'Clock.  
W3 STOCKETT, and WILLIAMS.  
Elk-Ridge, January 6, 1773.  
To be sold at publick Vendue, on Monday the First Day  
of March, Inst. at the late Dwelling-House of  
Henry Dorsey, junr. deceased, in Queen Carolinas  
Parish, Anne Arundel County. The Sale to begin  
precisely at 10 o'Clock, and to continue for Two Days  
if not all sold in One.  
A VALUABLE Parcel of Negroes, Servants,  
Horses, Mares, Sheep, Hogs, &c. Plantation  
Utensils, and Household Furniture; Six Months  
Credit will be given for all Sums above Ten Pounds,  
with legal Interest, on giving good Security, and  
ready Money is to be paid for all Sums under Ten  
Pounds.—Attendance will be given on the Premises,  
by  
SAMUEL DORSEY, junr. Administrator.  
N. B. All Persons who have any Demands against  
the Estate of the late Henry Dorsey, deceased, are de-  
sired to bring in their Accounts to the above Ad-  
ministrator, and those indebted to the said Estate,  
are requested to pay without further Trouble.