Author. Thou Wretch, and Dependant little purgethy own Heart before you cast Reflections upon others. And was it consistent in you, Mr. Act., and Doctor Stendard, to asperse Me as a Defender of the Stamp-Act? What was your Answer to the Sons of Liberty, when they applied to you to open the Provincial Court? You objected your Aphorrence of Perjury: That you were sworn to Execute the Laws: And hoped more riumanity from the Sons of Liberty than to force you to the Guilt of Perjury. Was not this an open and publick Avowal of the Parliamentary Right? Does your. Oath extend to the Execution of unconstitutional Laws, or not? It not, then you must have deemed the Stamp-Act a Constitutional Law, or how could you incurr Perjury in acting in Disobedience to it? And may we not thence conclude that there are at least TAO sudges in Americal, who, upon real Principles of Dury, "would mast dursfully defend the Stamp Act, as a Regular and Constitutional Exercise of Parliamentary "Power!"

Taus, Gentlemen, I have endeavoured to vindicate my elf from your Afpertions and Scandal: Expressions may have fallen from Me, possibly, not Confident with your Delicary: I shall make no other Apology, than that a Man must be dead to all Sensibility and Feelings who can calmly oppose he Shass of Malice, dipoin the most fatal Poston, and annex at

his Reputation.

I am

Gentlemen

Your Humble Servant.

SAMUEL CHASE.

Annapolis, 74/7 16, 1766.

This was NOT Printed by flacery