

August Court anno Domini 1729

Chase Allen Davies vs Isaac Mudd } Isaac Mudd }
 Robert Davis vs Esquire } Isaac Mudd }
 Isaac Scott & Rowland } Isaac Mudd }
 Leonard Gray vs NJ

Chase Scott & Rowland } Isaac Mudd }
 Elizabeth Gray vs NJ } Isaac Mudd }
 Chase Thomas M'Pherson } Attachment on Warr. }
 Joseph Johnson } No return }

Chase M^r Monroe } Debt 45 86 }
 William Howard } Esquire }
 Chase John Muschell } Att. on Warrant }
 Godhorn Trupell } Nulla bona }

Charles Rudd vs Isaac Mudd }
 From Thomas Mudd } Judgment according to Nat.

Charles County sh. Thomas Boorman Mudd late of Charles County Planter was attached
 to answer unto Charles Rudd of a plea of Trespass upon the Case and so forth
 And whereupon the same Charles by Thomas Clark his Attorney complains that
 whereas the said Thomas Boorman after the first day of May in the year of our Lord One Thousand
 Seven Hundred and forty Nine at Charles County afo. did make his certain Note on the King
 called a promissory Note with his proper hand and Name thereto Subscribed bearing date the
 same day and year last mentioned and the said Note unto the said Charles at the County afo. did
 Deliver by which said Note the said Thomas Boorman Mudd Promised to pay Charles Rudd for
 his order one Thousand Two Hundred fifty Six and a Quarter Pounds of Inspected Tobacco upon Demand
 for Value Received by Virtue whereof and also by force of the Statute the said Thomas Boorman be
 liable and chargeable to pay unto the said Charles the said Sum of One Thousand Two Hundred
 fifty Six and a Quarter Pounds of like Tobacco according to the Tenor of the Note afo. and so being
 chargeable the said Thomas Boorman afterwards to wit the day and year last mentioned at the
 County afo. upon himself assumed and to the said Charles then and there faithfully promised that he
 the said Thomas Boorman the said Sum of One Thousand Two Hundred fifty Six and a Quarter pounds
 of like Tobacco to the said Charles when thereunto afterwards he should be requested well and truly
 would Content and Pay Nevertheless the said Thomas Boorman his Promise and assumption
 afo. not in the least Regarding but minding and fraudulently intending the same Charles in this
 Part craftily and Subtly to deceive and defraud the said Sum of One Thousand Two Hundred fifty
 Six and a Quarter Pounds of like Tobacco or any Part thereof to the said Charles hath not paid altho
 to do the said Thomas Boorman was on the sixth day of July in the year of our Lord One Thousand
 Seven Hundred and forty Nine and often since at Charles County afo. to pay the same but that unto
 him hitherto, to pay or Content the said Thomas Boorman hath hitherto althogether refused and still
 doth refuse to pay the same to the damage of him the same Charles Rudd Two Thousand five Hundred
 Twelve and a half pounds of like Tobacco and therefore Suit is brought and so forth
 Iled. H.

Whereupon into Court here in his proper person Comes Mark M'Pherson of Charles County
 Planter and becomes pledge and Security for the said Thomas Boorman Mudd that if it should happen
 the said Thomas Boorman in the plea afo. should be convicted that then the said Mark M'Pherson and he should
 that as well the Damages afo. as all Costs which to the said Charles Mudd in this part should be
 Adjudged of his Lands and Chattels should be made & paid to and for the use of the said
 Charles