

June Court Anno Domini 1749

W^{ch} heay w^{ch} by the same Thomas Remains against the said James therefor without Defence. It is therefore considered by the Court here that the said Thomas do answer against the said James his Damages by reason of the burnings to Two Thousand Eight Hundred and Twenty One Pounds of Tobacco with deduction of Three Hundred Pounds of Tobacco if paid in Inspected Tobacco as also Two Hundred and Fifty Seven Pounds of Tobacco for his costs and Charges by him about his suit in this Part laid out and Expended to the said Thomas of his spirit by the Court here adjudged and Def^{er} in and the said James Mudd in W^{ch} 1749

Thomas Hungerford v. James Mudd

W^{ch} William Smallwood, Sen^r Judgment according to Nat^l Law

Charles County sh. William Smallwood Sen^r of Sedstone late of Charles County Planter was all to answer unto Thomas Hungerford of a part of Trespass upon the Case and so forth
 And thereupon the same Thomas by Jeremiah Chase his Attorney complains that whereas the said William the day of December in the year of our Lord One Thousand Seven Hundred and forty Eight at Charles County sh. was indebted to the said Thomas in the sum of Eleven Hundred and Thirty One Pounds of Tobacco for divers articles lying properly in an account by the Particulars thereof here with into Court brought may appear and being so thereof indebted the afo^r In consideration thereof afterwards to wit, the day and year afo^r at the County afo^r upon himself assumed and to the said Thomas then and there faithfully promised that he the afo^r William the afo^r sum of Eleven Hundred and Thirty One Pounds of Tobacco to the said Thomas when thereunto afterwards he should be requested and really would content and pay Nevertheless the afo^r William his Promise and Assumption afo^r not not regarding but minding and fraudulently Intending the same Thomas in this Part craftily and Subtly to deceive and defraud the afo^r William the afo^r sum of Eleven Hundred and Thirty One Pounds of Tobacco or any Part thereof to the same Thomas hath not paid nor him for the same in any sort Contented at the the afo^r 17th afterwards to wit the first day of April in the year of our Lord One Thousand Seven Hundred and forty Nine and often afterwards at Charles County sh. by the same Thomas hath been Requested, but the same to him hitherto, to pay or him for the same in any sort to Content hath hitherto altogether Refused and still doth Refuse to the damage of the same Thomas Hungerford Two Thousand Two Hundred and Sixty Two Pounds of Tobacco and therefore Suits brought and so forth
 Pled: 1749

1747

Oct ^r	To 2 Lewis	366 ⁰⁰	By 16 1/2 Meads	32
	To Edmund Porteus	106	By Balance due	1131
	To Thomas Clark	500		
	To Crayers fees	89		
	To Crayers fees	27		
	To Summing in Evidence about Dan ^r M ^r Person	22 ⁰⁰		
	To 1 Bon Supremous about same	7 ⁰⁰		
December	To Interest on 1000 10 1/2 p ^{ct}	80		
		1153		1153

Errors Excepted p^r Thomas Hungerford late Sh^r Charles County

Whereupon at the Prayer of the said Thomas Hungerford it is ruled that the said W^{ch} Smallwood give Special Bail in the Plea afo^r and for want thereof the said W^{ch} Smallwood be in Custody committed into the Custody of the Sheriff namely Samuel Hanson Gentleman thence to Remain until 1750 And the afo^r said William in Custody as afo^r by Thomas Clark his Attorney Comes and defends the same
 1749