

June Court Anno Domini 1749

Francis Larnham vs James Mudd. Judgment for Demand Court Acheson Damages. In respect to the Release of the Damages of the Insured Tobacco.

Charles County vs James Mudd late of Charles County Planter was attached to answer unto Francis Larnham of a Plea of Trespass upon the Case and so forth. And Whereupon the same Francis by Jeremiah Chase his Attorney complains that whereas the said James Mudd the Eleventh day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and forty four five at Charles County aforesaid was indebted to the said Francis in the sum of fourteen hundred and seventy three Pounds of Tobacco for divers Articles lying Properly in an Account by the Particular Account thereof herewith into Court brought may appear and being so thereof indebted the aforesaid James in Consideration thereof afterwards to wit the day and year aforesaid at the County aforesaid upon himself aforesaid and to the said Francis then and there faithfully promised that he the said James the aforesaid sum of fourteen hundred and seventy three Pounds of Tobacco to the said Francis when thereunto afterwards he should be Requested, well and truly would content and pay. Nevertheless the aforesaid James his Promise and Assumption aforesaid regarding but minding and fraudulently Intending the same Francis in this Part craftily and Subtly to deceive and defraud the aforesaid James the aforesaid sum of fourteen hundred and seventy three Pounds of Tobacco or any Part thereof to the same Francis hath not paid nor time for the same in any sort contented At this the said James afterwards to wit the Tenth day of August in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and forty eight and often afterwards at Charles County aforesaid by the same Francis hath been Requested but the same to him hitherto to pay or him for the same in any sort to Content hath hitherto refused and still doth Refuse to the damage of the same Francis five thousand nine hundred and forty six Pounds of Tobacco and therefore suit is brought and so forth.

1749/5 James Mudd vs F. Larnham

15 th Dec	W ^{ch} fit Dressing Wanda geese 3 hands	100
16	Liniment Emol.	25
	Dressing your hand	10
	Doitto March 17 th 18 th 19 th & 20 th	50
20	W ^{ch} fit Emol. & A	50
22	W ^{ch} fit	100
23	W ^{ch} fit & dressing an artery	200
25	W ^{ch} fit and Dressing	150
26	W ^{ch} fit	
27	W ^{ch} fit Mercenial Receipt rub.	25
31	Liniment Digestio	30
April 7 th	W ^{ch} fit	100
15	Colla. Imet. Mercenial of Negroes	30
	Pil. Mercenial n ^o 4	90
	Liniment Digestio	25
18	W ^{ch} fit four Negroes	100
20	Pil. Mercenial n ^o 4	90
	W ^{ch} fit Mercenial Doitto	50
22	W ^{ch} fit	50
27	Colla. Mercenial n ^o 4	00
May 1	W ^{ch} fit	100
11	Doitto Burgund. i.	30
	Error Excepted	1173

Charles County vs: I do hereby certify that the above is a true copy of Francis Larnham's Deeds which appear to have been proved by the Oath of the said Larnham before a proper Magistrate as the law directs. Allen Davis March the 11th 1747. Whereupon into Court here in his proper Person comes Henry Merten of Charles County Planter and becomes Judge and Security for the said James that if it should happen the said James in the Plea aforesaid should be found that then the said Henry yielded and granted that as well the Damages aforesaid as all Costs which to the said Francis in this Part should be adjudged of his Lands and Charles should be made good to and for the use of the said Francis that if it should happen that the said James the Damages and Costs aforesaid to the said Francis Larnham should not pay or his Body or to the Custody of the Sheriff by Reason thereof Render. And the aforesaid James by Thomas Clark his Attorney comes and Francis Larnham by his attorney aforesaid and the said Francis by his said Attorney Prays that the said James to his Declaration aforesaid against him may answer and the said James (67)