

March Court . . . . . 1745 . . . . . 249

A copy of the foregoing declaration was made and sent to be served on the defendant in order for as prody-  
tryall - At which day here came the ap<sup>s</sup> Robert Geddes by his Attorney ap<sup>s</sup> and the sherriffe forcitt John Hardy-  
gent: now here returned that he had taken the ap<sup>s</sup> Solomon Rigger whose body here at this day he had ready to  
answer the ap<sup>s</sup> Robert Geddes of the ap<sup>s</sup> plea as to him it was commanded and further the said sherriffe returned  
that he had served the ap<sup>s</sup> Solomon Rigger with a copy of the foregoing declaration according to Act of Assembly  
in such case made and provided &c

And the ap<sup>s</sup> Solomon Rigger at the prayer of the ap<sup>s</sup> Robert Geddes is adjudged to give special  
bail to the action ap<sup>s</sup> Thereupon a certain Daniel Donoho of Somerset County planter present herein  
Court in his proper person undertook for the said Solomon Rigger (likewise the said Solomon Rigger present  
herein Court in his proper person assumed upon himself) that if it should happen that Judgment in the plea  
ap<sup>s</sup> should be rendered for the same Robert Geddes against the same Solomon Rigger or that he the same Solomon  
Rigger should be therein convicted that then he the said Solomon Rigger should pay and satisfy unto the said  
Robert Geddes the Judgment of the Court thereupon or render his body in execution of such Judgment to the prison  
of the sherriffe of the County ap<sup>s</sup> in satisfaction thereof or that he the same Daniel Donoho will do the same for  
him &c

And the aforesaid Solomon Rigger by George Douglas his attorney comes and defends  
the force and injury when &c and the aforesaid Robert Geddes prays that the ap<sup>s</sup> Solomon Rigger to  
his declaration aforesaid may answer Whereupon the aforesaid Solomon hath until Friday the fourth day  
of this term unto him by the Court given to answer &c And the same Solomon at the same day solemnly  
called came not nor the same attorney of the same Solomon, and for the same Solomon saith nothing  
whereof in bar or preclusion of the action ap<sup>s</sup> of the aforesaid Robert by which the same Robert  
remaineth against the same Solomon thereof undefended &c

Wherefore the same Robert his damages by Breach of the non performance of the promise  
and assumption aforesaid against the aforesaid Solomon ought to recover and because it is not  
known to his Lordships Justices here what damages the aforesaid Robert hath sustained by Breach  
of the non performance of the promise and assumption aforesaid Whereupon at the prayer  
of the same Robert and by the consent of the same Solomon the Justices here according to act of  
assembly in such case provided assessed the damages of the same Robert beyond his costs and charges  
by him about his suit in this part assessed to four pounds ten Shillings current money of Maryland &c

Therefore it is considered that the ap<sup>s</sup> Robert Geddes recover against the  
aforesaid Solomon Rigger his damages ap<sup>s</sup> to the ap<sup>s</sup> four pounds ten Shillings current money of Mary-  
land by the Justices ap<sup>s</sup> in form aforesaid &c as also

382

of his ap<sup>s</sup> adjudged and the ap<sup>s</sup> Solomon in Mary &c  
pounds of tobacco for his costs and charges to the same Robert by the Court here