

Resolved in the affirmative.

The question was then put on the amendment proposed by Mr. Williams as amended, and

Determined in the negative.

The question then recurred upon the amendment as offered by Mr. Grason, to be inserted in lieu of the 1st and 2d sections of the bill.

Mr. Giles called for a division of the question upon striking out.

The question was then put on striking out, and

Resolved in the affirmative.

Mr. Gallagher then moved to amend said amendment by inserting after the words "county courts," these words, "or judges of the city court of Baltimore."

Mr. Alexander moved to lay said bill and amendments on the table, Determined in the negative.

The question then recurred upon the amendment as offered by Mr. Gallagher, and

Resolved in the affirmative.

The question again recurred upon the amendment offered by Mr. Grason as amended,

Mr. Alexander offered as a substitute for said amendment the following:

Strike out all after the enacting clause, and insert "that the 30th article of the declaration of rights be amended as follows:"

"No chancellor or judge ought to hold any other office, civil or military, whether the same be created by the constitution and form of government or by law, or receive fees or perquisites of any kind.

And be it enacted, That the 32d article of the declaration of rights be amended as follows,—That no person ought to hold at the same time more than one office of profit, whether the same be created by the constitution and form of government or by law, nor ought any person in public trust to receive any present from any foreign prince, or State, or from the United States, or any of them, without the approbation of this State.

And be it enacted, That no chancellor, judge of a county court, or judge of the criminal court of Baltimore, whilst he acts as such, ought to hold the office of a president, director or cashier or agent of any bank, banking, or savings institution, or internal improvement corporation in this State.

Which was read.

On the question being put—will the house adopt said substitute? It was determined in the negative.

Mr. Williams then offered as a substitute for said amendment the following:

"Be it enacted, That no chancellor or judge ought to hold any other office, civil or military, whether the same shall have been or may be created by the constitution and form of government or by law, or receive fees or perquisites of any kind.

And be it enacted, That no person shall hold at the same time more than one office of profit whether the same be created by the constitution and form of government or by law, nor shall any per-