

The President of the Senate stated that the motion of Mr. Goldsborough, of Talbot, was, according to his interpretation of the rules, unauthorized by them, unless with the concurrence of two-thirds of the Senators present, and so decided; but because of its novelty, he would prefer an expression of the sense of the Senate on it, and would be pleased, so that it might be had, that some Senator would take an appeal from his decision; whereupon, Mr. McKaig appealed from the decision, and upon the question being put:

“Shall the opinion of the Chair stand as the judgment of the Senate?”

Which was decided in the negative by yeas and nays as follow:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Blackiston,	Miles,	Stone,
Bradley,	Nuttle,	Yellott—8.
Grahame,	Smith,	

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Duvall,	Gardiner,	McKaig,
Franklin,	Heckart,	Townsend,
Goldsborough, of Talbot,	Lynch,	Watkins—9.

Mr. Stone moved to adjourn.

The yeas and nays being demanded, appeared as follow:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Bradley,	Nuttle,	Stone,
Grahame,	Smith,	Yellott—6.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Brooke, P't,	Goldsborough, of	Miles,
Blackiston,	Talbot,	Townsend,
Duvall,	Heckart,	Watkins—12.
Franklin,	Lynch,	
Gardiner,	McKaig,	

So the Senate refused to adjourn.

The question then recurred on the motion of Mr. Goldsborough, of Talbot, to refer the bills to the Committee on Judicial Proceedings.

The yeas and nays being demanded, appeared as follow:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Brooke, P't,	Goldsborough, of	Miles,
Blackiston,	Talbot,	Nuttle,