

The question was then taken on the order.

Mr. Yellott demanded the yeas and nays, which appeared as follow :

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Bradley, Grahame,	Nuttle, Smith,	Stone, Yellott—6.
------------------------------	-------------------	----------------------

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Brooke, P't, Blackiston, Duvall, Franklin, Gardiner,	Goldsborough, of Talbot, Heckart, Lynch,	McKaig; Miles, Townsend, Watkins—12.
--	---	---

And the order was rejected.

Mr. Stone moved that the Senate adjourn.

The yeas and nays being demanded, appeared as follow :

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Bradley, Grahame,	Nuttle, Smith,	Stone, Yellott—6.
------------------------------	-------------------	----------------------

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Brooke, P't, Blackiston, Duvall, Franklin, Gardiner,	Goldsborough, of Talbot, Heckart, Lynch,	McKaig, Miles, Townsend, Watkins—12.
--	---	---

So the Senate refused to adjourn.

Mr. Goldsborough, of Talbot, moved that the bills entitled, An act to amend the 817th section of the 4th Article of the Code of Public Local Laws; the bill to repeal the 706th section of the 4th Article of Public Local Laws; the bill to amend 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 12, 13, 14, 18, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 28, 30, 31, 38, 44, 49, and 56 sections of Article 38 of Public General Laws of the Code; the bill to amend Article 4th of the Code of Public Local Laws; the bill to amend Article 4 of the Code of Local Public Laws, by providing a permanent police for the city of Baltimore be referred to the Committee on Judicial Proceedings.

Mr. Yellott rose to a point of order: "That the papers mentioned by Mr. Goldsborough could not be referred, or acted on, as Senate bills, as no leave had been asked for the introduction of either of them."