

Mr. Gordon.—That is not testimony, because he can be introduced here to testify for himself.

The Witness.—I wish he was here now.

The Chairman.—There is a summons out for him, but it has not been returned.

The Witness.—That is all the way I have information about that matter.

By *Mr. Gordon.*—*Q.*—How long has Mr. Brock been in Baltimore? Had you seen him in Baltimore before this grant was made by the City Council?

Answer. No, sir.

Question. Did you ever see him there shortly afterwards?

Answer. Not for some time after.

Question. Do you recollect the time when the books were opened to take subscriptions of stock?

Answer. I have a distinct recollection.

Question. Was Mr. Brock in the city then?

Answer. I could not say. My impression is that he was. I had seen him there before, but I do not know whether he was there on that day.

Question. Was he a resident of Baltimore?

Answer. No, sir.

Question. Where did he reside?

Answer. In Philadelphia.

By *Mr. Blakistone.*—*Q.*—Have you any other knowledge connected with this matter? You are a lawyer, and can tell what will be matter of evidence?

Answer. I do not think I have.

Question. You do not know of any other parties who had offices and got appointments? Did or did not the Sergeant-at-Arms get a place?

Answer. I do not know what kind of an office that is on that road.

Question. I mean Sergeant-at-Arms of the Council.

Answer. We have door-keepers there.

Question. I am not familiar with the terms for such things, as I do not live in a large city. Did the door-keeper get a place?

Answer. I am unable to say.

By *Mr. Gordon.*—*Q.*—You say that you think Mr. Brock was in the city before the subscriptions of stock were taken for the road?

Answer. Yes, sir.

Question. Was he there shortly afterwards?

Answer. Yes, sir.

Question. How soon after the subscriptions of stock did he become a purchaser?

Gov. Pratt.—He purchased in April. The documentary evidence proves that the books for the subscription of stock were opened in June. The \$500 that the witness speaks of was the consideration given to the grantees.

By *Mr. Blakistone.*—*Q.*—Was any consideration named in the grant?

Answer. No, sir; there is no consideration named in the grant.

Question. I understood you to say that this \$2,500, which Mr. Brock told you he paid, was paid for the use of the names to be incorporated in the grant, and not for the transfer. Did he say any thing about this being a consideration for the transfer?

Answer. I asked Mr. Brock the question distinctly whether he paid, and what amount, and he replied, to the best of my recollection—and if I am wrong I think the rest of the gentlemen present can recollect better than I do—that he paid these gentlemen \$2,500 for the use of their names. I then asked him in regard to my friend, if he paid him \$500 cash, and he answered emphatically that he paid him \$500 cash.

By *Mr. Maxwell.*—*Q.*—Did he say it was to get the use of their names in procuring the grant?