

The counsel for Mr. Purnell claimed the right to enter into a general investigation as to the legality of the returns of the election for Comptroller of the Treasury, in the counties, and to summon witnesses therefrom; but the committee decided to confine the investigation to the question as to whether there was or was not a legal election in the city of Baltimore, on the 2d day of November, 1859.—Messrs. Freaner, Gordon, Harding and Goldsborough voting for, and Messrs. Wilson, of Harford, and Medders against the proposition.

The following witnesses appeared and were sworn:

Edward Horney, Revd. L. D. Meeyer, Wm. H. Hooper, Geo. Evans, Geo. W. Mowbray, Hugh J. Morrison, Jas. J. Murphy, Jas. P. Thomas, Dr. F. E. B. Hintze, Thos. Dailey, S. Teaklé Wallis, T. H. Martin.

It was here offered, to produce the original depositions of witnesses who testified before Daniel Myers, J. P. in the contested election cases in Baltimore, on the 2d day of Nov., 1859; but the counsel for the claimants admitted that the book of testimony, (marked A,) offered by the counsel for the contestants is a fair and true copy of the original depositions, and therefore waived the production of said depositions, subject however to all objections to which the original depositions might be liable.

*Edward Horney*, having been previously sworn, was called and testified as follows:

To 1st interrogatory—Were you or not examined as a witness, in the city of Baltimore, in the month of December last, before Daniel Myers, a justice of the peace for said city, by and on behalf of the parties contesting the election of delegates to the House of Delegates of Maryland; and cross-examined on behalf of the sitting members touching certain occurrences at the polls of the 1st ward of the city of Baltimore, on the 2nd day of Nov., 1859.

Deponent answers—I was.

To 2nd interrogatory—Look at the deposition printed in the volume here shown to you, and entitled “contested election cases,” commencing at page 11. Please state whether you have read the same and tell the committee whether what you deposed to at the examination referred to in the first interrogatory is or is not fairly and truly set down therein as by you so deposed to, and whether the facts therein set down as having been deposed to by you, are or are not true as therein set down. If they are not true, or fairly set down, please state in what particular they are not, and correct the