

Mr. Tyson—I will explain, that so far as my remarks are concerned, this investigation is taking a wide range. My remarks were applicable to freights brought from the west to Baltimore, and when I sum up my evidence, I think I will be able to make the case a clear one. The investigation is based upon my assertions in regard to western produce brought to Baltimore.

Mr. Garrett—Mr. Chairman, and gentlemen of the Committee, do you think this question is not pertinent?

The Chairman—It does not appear to be pertinent to quote the rates of other roads. With regard to the charges of discrimination by which the geographical position of Baltimore has been ignored, it has been decided that the tariffs of others roads have nothing to do. This evidence does not come within the scope of our investigation. You offered the tariff sheet of the Reading road, which the Committee ruled out.

Mr. Garrett—I understood that that tariff was objected to, because it was dated in 1857, and marked as in use in 1860. I have, however, since got the correct information regarding that tariff, and it is now used by the Reading Company.

Mr. Dennis—I concur with you, Mr. Garrett, that it was owing to the date that was the cause of it being ruled out; still, I do not think that this investigation ought to go so far as compare rates with the Massachusetts or any other roads.

The Chairman—The Committee saw a wide field ahead, and they limited the investigation to 1859, and to the strict letter of the order under which we act. I understood that we decided that the tariffs of other roads had nothing to do with this investigation.

Mr. Garrett—I simply designed to prove that the rates charged by the Baltimore and Ohio Road for local business, were lower than the rates charged for similar services by distances on the road with which the witness has been connected, and with which he has had large experience by virtue of his having been a director and extensive shipper. If it is objected to, however, I will not press it.

The Chairman—That will be a subject for the other Committee. It certainly does not come within the scope of our investigation.

Mr. Vickers—(To witness)—Do your answers refer to 1859?

Witness—Yes.

Mr. Vickers—You stated that there was a difference in favor of freight going from Baltimore to Cincinnati, as compared with the freight by the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad going from New York to Cincinnati. Do you know the difference?

Witness—Yes, sir, I know the difference, ascertained from my correspondents in New York. I know that difference.

Mr. Vickers—Within the past year?

Witness—Within the past year, too.