

signees and their friends or agents in the various transactions that have attended the history of the measure. And which, in the opinion of the undersigned, to vitiate their whole proceedings and deprive them of the consideration of the Legislature of Maryland in seeking a protection and confirmation of the grant from the General Assembly, and an acquaintance and absolution from the consequences of their manifestly improper proceedings in connection with the grant of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore.

The evidence given by many of the witnesses satisfies the undersigned that whatever names nominally might be set forth as the grantees and executors of the city ordinance in the case, the capital and resources of Mr. Brock and his associates were the means relied upon by the grantors or many of them, for carrying into effect the objects and purposes of the grant in question; and so far, therefore, the framers of the ordinance creating the grant appear to have acted with a duplicity and unfairness which the undersigned cannot but look upon with suspicion and reprehension.

In justice to Mr. Brock and his associates in the business, the undersigned willingly admits their undoubted right and legitimate privilege to employ their enlarged capabilities in the furtherance and formation of street railways, wherever opportunities therefor are properly presented. And in this particular case, the undersigned is under the impression that from the train of very peculiar circumstances that surround it, Mr. Brock and his associates may have been placed in a position calculated to reflect more injuriously upon them than the evidence in the premises would justly seem to warrant.

On the foregoing grounds, therefore, (to which the undersigned have had time only very briefly to allude to,) they are compelled to differ from the report of their colleagues.

ROBERT TURNER,
GEO. H. MORGAN.

House of Delegates, Annapolis, Feb. 27, 1860.