

thousand, eight hundred and sixty, before the subscriber, a Justice of the Peace of the State of Maryland, in and for the city of Baltimore, personally appeared John J. Danneker, and made oath on the Holy Evangelist of Almighty God, that he was a Deputy under the late Sheriff, Thos. Creamer, and that in cases where the same party or parties were indicted more than once, during the same year, for keeping bawdy houses or for violating the Sunday liquor law, it was the practice of collecting a fine and costs for the first offence, and, in all subsequent cases, of collecting the costs, without any fine; and that the same practice was in use during the time Sam'l. S. Gaskins was Sheriff, under whom he also acted as deputy.

JNO. J. DANNEKER.

Sworn and subscribed before me.

WM. H. HAYWARD, J. P.

The subscriber was also a Deputy under the said Gaskins and Creamer, and will testify to the correctness of the above, and make oath to the same.

L. R. WOOLLEN.

Sworn and subscribed before me.

WM. H. HAYWARD, J. P.

---

*Before Joint Committee of Senate and House of Delegates,  
upon the matter of the Petitions for the removal of the Hon.  
Henry Stump, Judge of the Criminal Court of Baltimore  
City.*

On this 21st day of February, in the year 1860, appeared Samuel Hindes, of Baltimore city, a witness produced on the part of Judge Stump, who, being first duly sworn, deposes as follows:

I reside in the city of Baltimore. I am conducting the business of a hatter in Baltimore. I was formerly Sheriff of Baltimore city; I entered upon the duties of said office in the latter part of November, 1853, and my office expired in the latter part of November, 1855. I know Judge Stump, and had opportunities, while I was Sheriff, of noticing his official conduct as Judge. I saw no improprieties on the part of the Judge, during my term of office, excepting the want of dignity, which I thought requisite for his position. I never saw