mation as to the business of the Court, and seldom inquires into the character and reputation of the parties brought before him.

14th. I think what I have stated in the answers preceding, embraces all I know of his general misconduct in office.

Lawrence Sangston sworn.

Manage in the state of the stat

Lawrence Sangston, a witness of lawful age being duly sworn, deposes and says, in response to the following interrogatories:

Q. Were you or not the foreman of the Grand Jury of Baltimore city during the January term of 1859. If so, state if you have any personal knowledge of the conduct of Judge

Stump while on the bench during that session?

A. I was the foreman of the Grand Jury for that term, during the whole of the session which was a very protracted one, I was in daily intercourse as foreman of the jury with Judge Stump. His general bearing and demeanor during that period was undignified to such an extent as to bring his Court into contempt. At times, and not unfrequently, he was so much under the influence of liquor as to prevent him from discharging his duties, in such manner as would be creditable to himself or the position which he occupied. He was sometimes in a state of apparent stupor, and occasionally asleep upon the bench.

Q. Were you or not a member of the same jury during January term, 1858, and if so, state all your knowledge as to the conduct of said Judge while on the bench during that term?

A. The same course of conduct was pursued during January

term, 1858.

Q. Do you or not know that the Grand Jury had frequently to await for Judge Stump on account of his absence during the session of said Court, and that they often had to send a

messenger to hunt him up.

A. The Grand Jury went to their room at 10 o'clock, A. M., and remained in session from one and a half to two hours. On their return to the Court room, they would frequently find the Court adjourned, and would have to send a messenger round the neighborhood to hunt up the Judge.