

Question. How long were you at the polls?

Answer. About three-quarters of an hour after the polls opened, and about fifteen minutes at or about two o'clock, P. M.

Question. Do you believe that the gentlemen who signed the agreement to be present at the poll, if they had all attended and done what they pledged themselves to do, could have succeeded in getting in the Reform vote?

Answer. I believe that a hundred men could have got in the Reform vote, but not thirty of whom only I know as having signed.

*Re-examination.*

Question. Could the Reform vote in the sixteenth ward, from what you saw at the polls, have been polled without the removal of the party who had taken possession of the access to the window?

Answer. It could not.

Question. From what you there observed, in what way only could the party in the possession of the window have been removed by the Reformers?

Answer. Only by shooting them down.

*Cross-examination resumed.*

Question. If the Reformers of the sixteenth ward had met on the ground before nine o'clock in a body, could they not have got possession of the window, and so got in their vote?

Answer. If all the Reformers in the ward, whom I believe to be in a majority of 400, had all been on the ground before the polls opened, I think they might all have got in their votes.

Question. Is it your belief that the Reformers have a majority of 400 in the ward, founded on your having conversations with that many persons in the ward?

Answer. No, sir.

Question. Is it founded on conversation with forty persons in the ward?

Answer. Yes, sir; and over a hundred.

Question. Have you any other means of ascertaining the sentiments of the ward?

Answer. Yes; by the ward being blocked, and every man's vote being taken down.

Question. Did you block the ward yourself?

Answer. No, sir.

Question. Do you know anything of the political sentiments of the voters, otherwise than by hearsay?